Effect of integrated weed management practices on performance of dry direct seeded rice (*Oryza sativa* L.)

KP Bhurer¹, DN Yadav², JK Ladha³, RB Thapa² and K Pandey² ¹Nepal Agriculture Research Council (NARC), ²Institute of Agriculture and Animal Sciences (IAAS), Nepal, and ³International Rice research institute ⁽IRRI), the Philippines

Abstract

Weeds are serious problem in dry direct seeded rice (DDSR). A field experiment was conducted during rainy seasons of 2010 and 2011 at research farm of the Regional Agricultural Research Station (RARS) Parwanipur, to study the effect of integrated weed management practices on the performance of dry direct seeded rice. Ten treatment combinations viz; weedy, weed free (weekly), Pendimethalin fb (followed by) Bispyribac, Pendimethalin fb two hand weeding, Stale seedbed fb Bispyribac, Stale seedbed fb Pendimethalin fb Bispyribac, Mulch 4 t/ha fb Bispyribac fb one hand weeding, Stale seedbed fb mulch 4 t/ha fb Bispyribac, Pendimethalin and Sesbania co-culture fb 2.4-D Na salt fb one hand weeding and Pendimethalin fb 2.4-D fb one hand weeding were tested in a randomized complete block design and replicated thrice. Observations were taken on weed, plant growth and yield attributes, yield, and socio-economic parameters. All weed control treatments significantly reduced the weed density and dry weight of weed resulting significant increase in yield of DSR over weedy check in both years. Weed free treatment resulted the highest yield, however, it was not economical due to high cost of cultivation. The use of Pendimethalin fb 2,4-D fb one hand weeding produced vield (5161 in 2010 and 6160 kg/ha in 2011) which were statistically at par with yield (5305 in 2010 and 6319 kg/ha in 2011) obtained under the weed free treatment. Further, the highest benefit cost ratio (CBR) 1.77 and 2.22 and net return Rs 47700 and 75084/ha during 2010 and 2011, respectively, were obtained under this treatment indicating its superiority over other treatments. The grain yield, yield attributing characters viz. panicles per m^2 , panicle weight, filled grain per panicle, thousand grain weight as influenced by different weed management practices revealed that higher yield resulted from weed free plot followed by Pendimethalin followed by two hand weeding and Pendimethalin followed by 2,4-D followed by one hand weeding. However, the net return per unit investment resulted highest in Pendimethalin followed by 2,4-D followed by one hand weeding. This proved that amid increasing wage rate and labor scarcity integrated weed management through Pendimethalin 30 EC (stomp) @ 1 kg a. i./ha as pre- emergence herbicide application followed by 2,4-D sodium salt 80 WP @ 0.5 kg a.i./ha followed by one hand weeding or stale seed bed followed by Pendimethalin 30 EC (stomp) @ 1 kg a. i./ha followed by Bispyribac (nominee gold) @ 25 g a. i./ha 10 % @ 200 ml/ha at 20 days of seeding resulted best alternative for manual hand weeding practices giving higher net return per unit investment.

Key Words : direct-seeded, weed, herbicide, rice, yield

Introduction

Rice is the main staple food of Nepalese People and it is predominantly grown by transplanting in puddled soil with continuous flooding. However, this method requires huge amount of water, labor and energy for land preparation, nursery raising, transplanting and weeding leading to high cost of cultivation. Furthermore, puddling also affects soil health due to the dispersion of soil particles, soil

becoming compact and making tillage operations difficult requiring more energy in succeeding crops such as wheat (Singh *et al.*, 2002 & Hobbs *et al.*, 2002). An alternative to puddling and transplanting could be aerobic direct seeding because it requires less water, labor and capital inputs. The direct-seeded crop also matures earlier (7-10 days) than the transplanted crop, thus allowing timely planting of the succeeding wheat crop (Giri, 1988).

Irrigated "aerobic rice" is a new system being developed for low land areas with water shortage and for favourable upland areas with access to supplementary irrigation (Tuong *et al.*, 2003 and Belder *et al.*, 2005). However, aerobic systems are subject to much higher weed pressure than conventional puddled transplanting systems (Rao *et al.*, 2007). Aerobic soil dry-tillage and alternate wetting and drying conditions, on the other hand, are conducive to the germination and growth of weeds causing grain yield losses of 50-91% (Elliot *et al;* 1984; Fujisaka *et al.* 1993 and Rao *et al.*, 2007). Weed account for 50-80% yield reduction in rainfed uplands (Ranjit *et al.*, 1989).

Thus, weeds are the most severe constraint to aerobic rice production and timely weed management is crucial to increasing the productivity of aerobic rice. Most upland and aerobic rice growers in Asia mechanically weed their crops two or three times per season, investing upto 190 person days ha⁻¹ in hand weeding (Roder; 2001). Herbicides are considered to be an alternative/ supplement to hand weeding. Both pre-emergence and post-emergence herbicides can be used in aerobic rice fields and they are effective, if properly used (De Datta *et al.*, 1996 and Singh *et al.*, 2006).

Since the concept of aerobic rice is new growing rice under aerobic conditions on raised beds or on flat land would require suitable, effective and economic weed-control methods, development of new improved herbicides for aerobic dry-seeded rice is also needed. The increasing cost of labour threatens the sustainability of transplanted rice within the rice-wheat system of Indo-Gangetic Plains. Direct-seeding is cost effective, can save water through either rice crop establishment and allows early sowing of wheat (Ladha *et al.*, 2003 and Singh *et al.*, 2003). In RCT^s, dry-DSR with zero-till machine has been started and information on weeds and weed management is scarce. However, this type of research works are less carried in our context. Therefore, the present experiment was conducted to develop effective and economical integrated weed management practices for dry direct-seeded rice.

Materials and methods

The field experiment was conducted for two consecutive years (2010 and 2011) at the experimental field of RARS, Parwanipur at an elevation of 115 m (27"4'N and 84"53'E). The climate of Parwanipur is subtropical with very hot summers and cold winters. The hottest months are May and June, when the maximum temperature reaches 40° C, whereas during December and January, the coldest month of the year, the minimum temperature often goes below 7°C. The average rainfall is 1200 mm, 80% of which is received through the monsoon from June to September. The experimental soil was an Inceptisol formed on Himalayan residium with the following characteristics in the top 15 cm profile. clay 8.0%,silt 17.0%, and 75% (loamy sand), pH (1.2 soil. water) 7.0 total N 0.086 g kg⁻¹, total C 6.5 g kg⁻¹, NH₄OAC -extractable K 0.054 g kg⁻¹, Olsen P 0.015 g kg⁻¹, Saturation extract exchangeable cations 1.4 ds m⁻¹, and bulk density 1.6 Mg m⁻³.

The experiment was arranged in randomized block design with three replications. Ten treatments were weedy check, weed free (weekly hand weeding), Pendimethalin followed by Bispyribac, Pendimethalin followed by two hand weedings, stale seedbed followed by Bispyribac, stale seedbed followed by Pendimethalin followed by Bispyribac, mulch (wheat straw) @ 4t/ha followed by Bispyribac , Pendimethalin and Sesbania co-culture followed by 2,4-D Na salt followed by one hand weeding stale seedbed bed followed by mulch (Wheat Straw) 4t/ha followed by Bispyribac and Pendimethalin followed by 2,4-D followed by one hand weeding. Herbicides were applied using a power operated Knapsack sprayer with a flat fan nozzle and water as a carrier at 750 liter ha⁻¹. For the weed free treatment, 8-11 hand weeding were done to maintain a weed free situation. In the weedy control, no weeding was done. Sabitri, a late duration variety was seeded on 7th July, 2010 and 15th June, 2011 with seed rate of 30 kg/ha by Pantanagar Zero seed drill in line. Row to row spacing was kept 20 cm and plant to plant continuous. Pre-sowing irrigation was applied in all the plots for moisture. In stale seedbed treatment, the pre-seeding herbicide Glyphosate @ 1.0 kg a.i/ha was applied 10 days before seeding to kill already germinated weeds. In Sesbania co-culture treatment, it was sown as an inter crop with rice.

Results and discussion

Effect on weeds

The major weeds infesting the experimental field were Cynodon dactylon, Cyperus rotundus, Cyperus iria, Echinochloa crusgalli, Echinochloa colona, Fimbristylis dichotoma, Palantus nirui, etc. However, the number of weeds infested the experimental field were less in 2011 than 2010, perhaps due to more rainfall in 2011 which might have suppressed the growth of some weed species. All the weed control treatments significantly reduced the weed density and dry weight of weeds over weedy check during both the year of study. The maximum weed population and weed dry weight were recorded in weedy check during both the years. Among the herbicidal treatments maximum intensity and dry weight of weeds were recorded in Pendimethalin fb by Bispyribac and the lowest intensity and dry weight of weeds were recorded in Pendimethalin fb 2,4- D fb one hand weeding. The next lowest intensity and dry weight of weeds were recorded in Pendimethalin fb two hand weeding. This indicates that one cannot rely on herbicide only for the weed control and at least one hand weeding is needed. During both years, the proportion of grassy weed dry weight was higher than other weeds (table- 1.a, 1.b, 2.a & 2.b). Grasses persist in all of the principal crops and are a major cause for concern. It is also reported that the greatest weed pressure and crop-weed competition occur in aerobic rice and least in transplanted irrigated and rain fed lowland rice (Datta et al., 1996; Moody, 1991 and Rao et al; 2007). The weedy control had significantly highest weed density and dry weed weight over all the treatments.

SNo	Treatments	Weed Density (no/m ²) 30 DAS									
		Broad Le	aves	Sed	ges	Grasses					
		2010	2011	2010	2011	2010	2011				
1	Weedy	112.40A	15.10B	40.60B	12.60AB	104.40A	12.76B				
	-	(10.22A)	(3.94A)	(6.41B)	(3.61A)	(10.15A)	(3.64A)				
2	Weed free	0.00C (0.71D)	0.00B	0.00E	0.00AB	0.00C	0.00B				
			(0.71F)	(0.71H)	(0.71F)	(0.71F)	(0.71F)				
3	Pendimethalin	68.60B	11.45C	146.90A	9.08C	62.00B	11.68D				
	fb Bispyribac	(7.98B)	(3.45B)	(12.14A)	(3.09B)	(7.90B)	(3.48AB)				
4	Pendimethalin	7.40C	0.50C	1.30DE	0.43B	4.80C	3.38C				
	fb two hand	(2.51CD)	(0.99E)	(1.33FG)	(0.96F)	(2.30E)	(1.97D)				
	weeding										
5	Stale seedbed	8.90C (2.80C)	1.08B	4.50DE	3.83AB	10.80C	6.88B				
	fb Bispyribac		(1.24D)	(2.23E)	(2.07D)	(3.35D)	(2.71C)				
6	Stale seedbed	8.20C	0.95B	1.50DE	3.40AB	9.70C	4.43B				
	fb	(2.49CD)	(1.20DE)	(1.41F)	(1.97D)	(3.19DE)	(2.22D)				
	Pendimethalin										
	fb Bispyribac										
7	Mulch 4t/ha	13.10C	8.03C	6.80D	5.48C	18.80C	10.28DE				
	fb Bispyribac	(3.34C)	(2.92C)	(2.68D)	(2.44C)	(4.39C)	(3.27B)				
	fb One hand										
	weeding										
8	Stale seedbed	56.90B	0.78C	13.60C	1.64AB	53.10B	3.65B				
	fb mulch	(7.13B)	(1.13DE)	(3.75C)	(1.45E)	(7.29B)	(2.04D)				
	4t/ha fb										
	Bispyribac										
9	Pendimethalin	63.60B	11.05A	15.30C	8.10A	65.10B	11.78A				
	and Sesbania	(7.41B)	(3.39B)	(3.96C)	(2.92B)	(8.08B)	(3.50AB)				
	co-culture fb										
	2,4-D Na salt										
	fb one hand										
10	weeding	40	0.450	0.505	0.000	1.000	1.005				
10	Pendimethalin	5.74C	0.45C	0.50E	0.30C	4.60C	1.88E				
	fb 2,4-D fb	(2.32CD)	0.97E)	(1.00GH)	(0.88F)	(2.26E)	(1.53E)				
	one hand										
	weeding	24.40 (4.60)	4.0.4	22.10	4 40	22.22					
	Mean	34.48 (4.69)	4.94	23.10	4.48	33.33	6.67				
	CM0/	(1 (1 (2(17)	(1.99)	(12.14)	(2.01)	(4.96)	(2.50)				
	CV%	64.61 (26.47)	18.22	15.61	22.69	36.29	17.27				
	E tost	**	(7.81) **	(3.56) **	(9.85) **	(12.78)	(7.58) **				
т	F-test										
	SD at 0.05	32.33	1.306	5.232	1.476	17.55	1.67				

 Table 1.a. Weed density at 30 DAS as influenced by integrated weed management practices in dry direct seeded rice at RARSs, Parwanipur, Bara

Note. fb = followed by, hw = hand weeding & figure in parentheses indicate square root transformed (\sqrt{x} +0.5) original value

-

S	Treatments	Weed Density (no/m ²) 60 DAS								
No		Broad	Leaves	Sed	ges	Grasses				
		2010	2011	2010	2011	2010	2011			
1	Weedy	46.70A	12.18ABC	16.50A	21.80AB	51.00A	18.93B			
		(6.74A)	(3.56A)	(4.11A)	(4.72A)	(7.17A)	(4.41A			
2	Weed free	0.00B	0.00BC	0.00E	0.00B	0.00F	0.00B			
		(0.71C)	(0.71D)	(0.71G)	(0.71G)	(0.71G)	(0.71F)			
3	Pendimethalin fb	44.20A	11.08A	12.10B	16.50A	32.80C	16.50A			
	Bispyribac	(6.64A)	(3.39A)	(3.53B)	(4.12BC)	(5.76B)	(4.12A			
4	Pendimethalin fb	2.80B	5.05ABC	0.80DE	9.90AB	1.11F	3.55B			
	two hand weeding	(1.70BC)	(2.35C)	(1.11EFG)	(3.21E)	(1.27F)	(2.01E			
5	Stale seedbed fb	3.80B	10.13ABC	1.80DE	15.30B	4.60F	9.93B			
	Bispyribac	(2.03BC)	(3.25AB)	(1.51DE)	(3.97BC)	(2.22E)	(3.22C			
6	Stale seedbed fb	3.10B	8.60C	1.10DE	14.20B	1.90F	9.23B			
	Pendimethalin fb	(1.81BC)	(3.01B)	(1.26EF)	(3.83CD)	(1.53F)	(3.11C			
	Bispyribac									
7	Mulch 4t/ha fb	8.00B	10.40E	3.10D	16.38D	9.30E	12.40I			
	Bispyribac fb One	(2.85B)	(3.30AB)	(1.87D)	(4.10BC)	(3.12D)	(3.59B			
	hand weeding									
8	Stale seedbed fb	33.10A	8.50AB	8.30C	12.18AB	24.60D	6.23B			
	mulch4t/ha fb	(5.57A)	(2.99B)	(2.93C)	(3.55DE)	(5.00C)	(2.58D			
	Bispyribac									
9	Pendimethalin and	45.90A	11.78D	12.80B	17.85C	37.30B	16.850			
	Sesbania co-culture	(6.50A)	(3.50A)	(3.63B)	(4.28B)	(6.14B)	(4.16A			
	fb 2,4-D Na salt fb									
	one hand weeding									
10	Pendimethalin fb	1.80B	3.73E	0.30DE	6.65D	0.90F	3.13D			
	2,4-D fb one hand	(1.32C)	(2.05C)	(0.86FG)	(2.67F)	(1.17FG)	(1.89E			
	weeding									
	Mean	18.94	8.14	5.68	13.08	16.35	9.67			
		(3.58)	(2.81)	(2.15)	(3.52)	(3.41)	(2.98)			
	CV%	60.17	16.37	32.79	14.96	18.50	14.41			
		(26.22)	(7.63)	(14.51)	(7.42)	(9.65)	(6.77)			
	F-test	**	**	**	**	**	**			
	LSD at 0.05	16.53	1.934	2.702	2.837	4.388	2.023			

 Table 1. b. Weed density at 60 DAS as influenced by integrated weed management practices in dry direct seeded rice at Parwanipur, Bara

Note: fb = followed by, hw=hand weeding & figure in parentheses indicate square root transformed $(\sqrt{x} + 0.5)$ original value

SNo	Treatments	Dry weed weight (g/m ²) 30 DAS								
		Broad	Leaves	Sed	ges	Grasses				
		2010	2011	2010	2011	2010	2011			
1	Weedy	19.72A	2.65B	7.13A	2.21AB	18.32A	2.24B			
		(4.34a)	(1.77A)	(2.96B)	(1.65A)	(4.30A)	(1.66A)			
2	Weed free	0.00C	0.00B	0.0B	0.00AB	0.00C	0.00B			
		(0.71C)	(0.71E)	(0.71F)	(0.71F)	(0.71E)	(0.71F)			
3	Pendimethalin fb	10.06B	1.68C	21.55C	1.33C	9.09B	1.71D			
	Bispyribac	(2.86B)	(1.48B)	(4.70A)	(1.35B)	(3.09B)	(1.49B)			
4	Pendimethalin fb two	0.53C	0.07B	0.27E	0.23AB	0.64C	0.41B			
	hw	(1.00C)	(0.75DE)	(0.88E)	(0.85E)	(1.07DEF)	(0.95D)			
5	Stale seedbed fb	1.14C	0.13B	0.21DE	0.47AB	1.35C	0.61B			
	Bispyribac	(1.19C)	(0.79D)	(0.84EF)	(0.99D)	(1.36D)	(1.06C)			
6	Stale seedbed fb	1.01C	0.08C	0.09D	0.06C	0.81C	0.33E			
	Pendimethalin fb	(1.48C)	(0.76DE)	(0.77EF)	(0.74F)	(1.15DE)	(0.91DE			
	Bispyribac									
7	Mulch 4 t/ha fb	1.92C	1.18C	1.00DE	0.81C	2.76C	1.51E			
/	Bispyribac fb one hw	(1.48C)	(1.30C)	(1.22D)	(1.14C)	(1.80C)	(1.41B			
8	Stale seedbed fb	9.98B	0.14C	2.39E	0.29C	9.32B	0.64CI			
	mulch 4t/ha fb	(1.20B)	(0.80D)	(1.70C)	(0.89E)	(3.12B)	(1.07C			
	Bispyribac									
9	Pendimethalin and	8.83B	1.54A	2.13E	1.13A	9.04B	1.64A			
	Sesbania co-culture fb	(0.95B)	(1.42B)	(1.62C)	(1.27B)	(3.08B)	(1.46B			
	2,4-D Na salt fb one									
	hw									
10	Pendimethalin fb 2,4-	0.44C	0.03C	0.08C	0.03B	0.29C	0.20C			
	D fb one hw	(3.07C)	(0.73DE)	(0.76EF)	(0.73F)	(0.89EF)	(0.84E)			
	Mean	5.36	0.75	3.48	0.65	5.16	0.93			
		(1.99)	(1.05)	(1.59)	(1.03)	(2.06)	(1.15)			
	CV%	70.25	17.78	15.71	23.05	40.28	17.63			
		(26.65)	(4.22)	(5.80)	(5.79)	(12.28)	(5.19)			
	F-test	**	**	**	**	**	**			
	LSD at 0.05	5.465	0.1947	0.7827	0.22	30.16	0.2384			

 Table 2.a. Dry weed weight at 30 DAS as influenced by integrated weed management practices in dry direct seeded rice at Pparwanipur, Bara

Note. fb = followed by, hw = hand weeding & figure in parentheses indicate square root transformed (\sqrt{x} +0.5) original value

SN	Treatments	Dry weed weight (g/m ²) 60 DAS									
		Broad	Leaves		lges	Gras	sses				
		2010	2011	2010	2011	2010	2011				
1	Weedy	42.46A	11.28B	15.43A	20.22B	47.53A	17.58A				
		(6.45A)	(3.43A)	(3.97A)	(4.55A)	(6.92A)	(4.25A)				
2	Weed free	0.00B	0.00B	0.00D	0.00B	0.00E	0.00A				
		(0.71C)	(0.71F)	(0.71G)	(0.71G)	(0.71G)	(0.71F)				
3	Pendimethalin fb	46.13A	11.56B	12.63AB	17.22AB	34.23B	17.22A				
	Bispyribac	(6.78A)	(3.46A)	(3.61AB)	(4.20AB)	(5.88B)	(4.20A)				
4	Pendimethalin fb	3.02B	5.44AB	0.86D	10.67AB	1.20E	3.83A				
	two hw	(1.75BC)	(2.43D)	(1.14EFG)	(3.33E)	(1.30F)	(2.08E)				
5	Stale seedbed fb	4.10B	10.91B	1.94D	16.49B	4.96DE	10.70A				
	Bispyribac	(2.09BC)	(3.37AB)	(1.56DE)	(4.11BC)	(2.30E)	(3.34C)				
6	Stale seedbed fb	3.24B	8.98B	1.15D	14.82B	1.98E	9.63A				
	Pendimethalin fb	(1.84BC)	(3.07BC)	(1.28DEF)	(3.91BCD)	(1.55F)	(3.18C)				
	Bispyribac										
7	Mulch 4 t/ha fb	6.64B	8.63D	2.57D	13.58D	7.72D	10.29C				
	Bispyribac fb one	(2.61B)	(3.02C)	(1.73D)	(3.74CD)	(2.85D)	(3.28C)				
	hw										
8	Stale seedbed fb	33.91A	8.71A	8.50C	12.47A)	25.20C	6.38A				
	mulch4t/ha fb	(5.63A)	(3.03C)	(2.97C)	(3.59DE)	(5.06C)	(2.61E)				
	Bispyribac										
9	Pendimethalin and	38.08A	9.77C	10.62BC	14.81AC	30.94B	13.98B				
	Sesbania co-	(5.93A)	(3.20ABC)	(3.32BC)	(3.91BCD)	(2.60B)	(3.80B)				
	culture fb 2,4-D										
	Na salt fb one hw										
10	Pendimethalin fb	1.62B	3.34D	0.27D	5.96D	0.81E	2.80C				
	2,4-D fb one hw	(1.28BC)	(1.96E)	(0.85FG)	(2.54F)	(1.14FG)	(1.81E)				
	Mean	17.92	7.86	5.40	12.62	15.46	9.24				
		(3.51)	(2.77)	(2.11)	(3.46)	(3.33)	(2.92)				
	CV%	56.05	17.31	35.95	15.72	22.79	15.98				
		(25.20)	(7.82)	(15.12)	(7.67)	(10.59)	(7.20)				
	F-test	**	**	**	**	**	**				
	LSD at 0.05	14.57	1.974	2.814	2.88	5.11	2.142				
Note	fh = followed hy	hw = ha	nd weeding	& figure in	narenthecec	indicate sa	are root				

 Table 2.b. Dry weed weight at 60 DAD as influenced by integrated weed management practices in dry direct seeded rice at Parwanipur, Bara

Note. fb = followed by, hw = hand weeding & figure in parentheses indicate square root transformed (\sqrt{x} +0.5) original value

Effect on crop yield and yield attributes.

The weed free treatment produced maximum yield of direct seeded rice in both years. This might be attributed to better growth of plants on account of reduced weed competition at critical crop growth stages resulting in increased availability of nutrients, water and light. All the weed control treatments significantly increased the number of panicles/m², panicle weight, filled grains/panicle and thousand grain weight and ultimately the yield over weedy check except unfilled grain and 1000 grain weight in 2011, which were non significant. Among the herbicidal treatments, the use of

Pendimethalin fb 2,4-D fb one hand weeding produced maximum number of panicles/m² (230) panicle weight, filled grains/panicle and 1000 grain weight and yield during both years (table-3) which were comparable to that of weed free treatment, Samar Singh *et al*; (2005) reported similar results with the use of Pendimethalin in dry direct seeded rice.

 Table 3.
 Effect of integrated weed management practices on yield attributes of dry direct seeded rice

SNo	Treatments	Panicl	es/m ²	Panicle	Weight(g)	Filled gra	ins/Panicle	Unfilled gra	ins/Panicle	Thousand grai	n Weight(g)
		2010	2011	2010	2011	2010	2011	2010	2011	2010	2011
1	Weedy	41F	66E	1.68B	1.97BC	74C	84E	39.00B	72.5A	21.08A	21.6A
2	Weed free	265A	222A	2.83A	2.52A	129A	114A	24.23B	19.5A	23.25B	22.2A
3	Pendimethalin fb Bispyribac	206D	169D	2.49A	1.89BC	106B	95BCD	32.75B	30.8A	21.86AB	21.7A
4	Pendimethalin fb two hw	233BC	217A	2.65A	2.20ABC	126A	99B	26.00B	22.0A	22.96B	22.1A
5	Stale seedbed fb Bispyribac	227BCE	0192BC	2.56A	2.2ABC	110B	88CDE	30.00B	23.00A	22.23B	21.9A
6	Stale seedbed fb Pendimethalin fb Bispyribac	231BC	212A	2.58A	2.08BC	123A	98BC	29.75B	22.3A	22.68B	22.00A
7	Mulch 4t/ha fb Bispyribac fb one hw	213BC	197B	2.49A	2.04BC	109B	90BCDE	30.00B	24.8A	22.03B	21.9A
8	Stale seedbed fb mulch4t/ha fb Bispyribac	180E	164D	2.43A	1.86BC	107B	87DE	32.25B	32.3A	21.76B	21.6A
9	Pendimethalin and Sesbania co-culture fb2,4-D Na salt fb one hw	224BCE	0179CD	2.50A	1.89BC	108B	96BCD	30.00B	26.8A	21.89AB	21.8A
10	Pendimethalin fb 2,4- D fb one hw	240B	221A	2.71A	2.27AB	124A	112A	24.75A	20.3A	22.96B	22.1A
	Mean	205.93	183.67	2.48	2.06	111.42	96.18	29.87	29.93	22.17	21.89
	CV%	7.02	5.79	10.23	13.59	3.8	6.31	17.91	112.8	2.57	1.89
	F-test	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	NS	**	NS
	LSD at 0.05	20.98	15.42	0.369	8.809	6.16	8.809	7.769		0.8271	

The highest grain yield (5305 in 2010& 6369 kg/ha in 2011) was recorded at weed free treatment in both years whereas, use of Pendimethalin fb 2,4-D fb one hand weeding which also showed statistically at par with weed free. This was perhaps due to high weed control efficiency of the treatment (Pendimethalin fb 2, 4-D fb one hand weeding). It was closely followed by the use of Pendimethalin fb two hand weeding and stale seed bed fb Pendimethalin fb Bispyribac. In each case, the involvement of Pendimethalin followed by manual weeding or other herbicide indicates that Pendimethalin seems to be an effective pre-emergence herbicide for weed control in direct seeded rice. The efficacy of Pendimethalin alone is high reported by several authors (Moody,1991; and Valverde *et al;* 2001) or in combination with hand weeding was reported so effective in controlling weeds in dry direct seeded rice (Ramamoorthy *et al;* 1998 and Singh *et al;* 2005). Rainfall pattern of monsoon in the second year was well distributed during crop growth period resulted better crop performance. The experimental plots were heavily infested with rice Gundhi bug (*Leptocorisa varicornis*) which subsequently reduced yield in 2010.

Economics

A perusal of data on economic analysis of integrated weed management practices in dry direct seeded rice suggested that cost of cultivation of weed free treatment was almost same in both years due to this low net return. However, net returns observed almost double in the year 2011 than 2010 (Table-4) due to more production. The highest yield resulted in weed free check followed by Pendimethalin followed by two hand weeding and Pendimethalin followed by 2,4-D followed by one hand weeding. However, the net return per unit investment resulted highest in Pendimethalin followed by 2,4-D followed by one hand weeding. The net return per unit investment exceed by stale seed bed followed by Bispyribac and stale seed bed followed by Pendimethalin followed by Bispyribac than weed free check. This revealed that amid increasing wage rate and labour scarcity integrated weed management through Pendimethalin 30 EC (stomp) @ 1 kg a. i./ha as pre-emergence herbicide application followed by 2, 4-D sodium salt 80 WP @ 0.5 Kg a. i. /ha followed by one hand weeding or stale seed bed followed by Pendimethalin 30 EC (stomp) @1 kg a. i./ha followed by one hand weeding or stale seed bed followed by Pendimethalin 30 EC (stomp) @1 kg a. i./ha followed by one hand weeding or stale seed bed followed by Pendimethalin 30 EC (stomp) @1 kg a. i./ha followed by Bispyribac (nominee gold) @ 25 g a. i./ha 10 % @ 200 ml/ha at 20 days of seeding resulted best alternative for manual hand weeding practices giving higher net return per unit investment.

 Table 4.
 Grain yield and net return as influenced by different weed management treatments at RARS, Parwanipur

SNo	Treatments	Grain Yie	ld (kg/ha)		it Cost tio	Net Return/Rs Investment	
		2010	2011	2010	2011	2010	2011
1	Weedy	537F	2065G	0.30	0.95	-0.70	-0.05
2	Weed free	5305A	6369A	1.64	2.04	0.64	1.04
3	Pendimethalin fb Bispyribac	3938DE	5232E	1.15	1.83	0.15	0.83
4	Pendimethalin fb two hw	4997ABC	6160AB	1.64	2.16	0.64	1.16
5	Stale seedbed fb Bispyribac	4525BCD	5871BCD	1.56	2.11	0.56	1.11
6	Stale seedbed fb Pendimethalin fb Bispyribac	4971ABC	6134ABC	1.64	2.12	0.64	1.12
7	Mulch 4 t/ha fb Bispyribac fb 0ne hw	4513BCD	5883CD	1.34	1.82	0.34	0.82
8	Stale seedbed fb mulch 4 t/ha fb Bispyribac	3485E	4719F	1.07	1.55	0.07	0.55
9	Pendimethalin and Sesbania co-culture fb2,4-D Na salt fb one hw	4385CD	5671D	1.35	2.02	0.35	1.02
10	Pendimethalin fb 2,4-D fb one hw	5161AB	6351A	1.77	2.22	0.77	1.22
	Mean	4181.65	5440.55				
	CV%	10.86	3.66				
	F-test	**	**				
	LSD at 0.05	659	290.2				

Note. fb = followed by & hw = hand weeding

References

- Ampong-nyarko, K and SK Dc Datta. 1991. A Handbook for Weed Control in Rice. International Rice Research Institute, Los Banos, Philippines, p.113.
- Belder P, BAM Bouman, JHJ Spiertz, Peng S, AR Castaneda and RM Visperas. 2005. Crop performance, nitrogen and water use in flooded and aerobic rice. Plant soil 273. 167-182.
- De Datta SK and AM Beltazar. 1996. Weed control technology as a component of rice production systems. In. Auld, B.A; Kim, K.U.(Eds.), Weed management in Rice FAO plant production and protection paper 139, FAO, Rome. pp 27-52.
- Elliot PC, DC Navarez, DB Estario and K Moody. 1984. Determining suitable weed control practices for dry-seeded rice. Philipp. J. Weed Sci. 11,70-82.
- Fujisaka S, K Moody and Ingram K 1993. A descriptive study of farming practices for dry seeded rainfed lowland rice in India, Indonesia and Myanmar. Agric. Ecosyst. Environ. 45,115-128.
- Giri, GS, 1988. Effects of rice and wheat establishment techniques on wheat grain yield. In. Hobbs, P.R; Bhandari, R. (Eds.). Proceedings of Rice-wheat Research End of Project Workshop, India,pp. 65-68.
- Hobbs PR, Y Singh, GS Giri, JG Lauran and JM Duxbery. 2002. Direct-seeding and reduced tillage options in the rice-wheat systems of the Indo-gangetic plains of South Asia. In. Pandey, S; Mortimer, M; Wade, L; Tuong, T.P; Hardy, B. (Eds.). Direct-seeding in Asian Rice systems. Strategic Research Issues and Opportunities. International Rice Research Institute, Philippines, pp.201-215.
- Ladha, JK, D Dawe, H Pathak, AT Padre, RL Yadav, B Singh, Y Singh, P Singh, AL Kundu, R akal, N Ram, AP Regmi, SK Gami, L Bhandari, R Amin, CR Yadav, EM Bhattarai, S Das, HP Agrawal, RK Gupta and PR Hobbs. 2003. How extensive are yield declines in long term rice-wheat experiments in Asia. Field crops Res. 81, 159-180.
- MOAC. 2009. Statistical Information Nepalese Agriculture, Agri-Business, Promotion and Statistics Division, Ministry of Agriculture
- Moody K. 1991. Weed management in rice. In pimental, D (Ed). Hand book of pest management in agriculture, 2nd Ed. CRC Press, Boca Raton. FL. USA, pp 301-328.
- Ramamoorthy, K, A Arokiaraj and A Balasubramanian. 1998. Effect of irrigation and chemical weed control on crop yield and nutrient uptake by upland rice and associated weeds under rice-blackgram intercropping system. Oryza 33, 264-268
- Ranjit, JD, KP Bhurer, KP Koirala, Y Thakur and DN Chaudhary. 1989. Screening of herbicides in upland and transplanted rice. pp. 129-139. In proc. 14th summer crops workshop, Parwanipur, Nepal.
- Rao AN, DE Johnsson, B Siva Prasad, JK Ladha and AM Mortimer. 2007. Weed management in direct –seeded rice. Adv. Agron.93, 153-255.
- Rodder W. 2001. Slash and burn rice systems in the hills of Northern Lao PDR. In. Description, challenges and opportunities IRRI, LOS Banos, Philippines, 201 pp.

- Singh, S, L Bhusan, KK Ladha, RK Gupta, AN Rao, and B Sivprasad. 2005. Weed management in dry seeded rice (*Oryza sativa*) cultivated in the furrow-irrigated raised-bed planting system. www. elsevier.com/locate/cropo.
- Singh, S, L Bhusan, KK Ladha, RK Gupta, AN Rao, and B Sivprasad, 2006. Weed management in Dry-seeded rice (Oryza Sativa) cultivated on furrow irrigated raised bed Planting System. Crop Prot. 25, 487 - 495.
- Singh, YG, VP Singh, P Singh, RSI Shrivastava, A Saxena, M Mortimer, DE Johnson, and JL White. 2002. Effect of different establishment methods on rice-wheat and the implication of weed management in Indo-Gangetic Plains. In. proceedings of the International workshop on Herbicide Resistance management and zero tillage in Rice-wheat cropping system, 4-6 March 2002. Department of Agronomy, CCS Hryana Agricultural University, Hisar, India, pp.188-192.
- Tuong TP and BAM Bouman. 2003. Rice production in water scare environments. In. Kijne, J.W; Barker, R; Molden, D. (Eds.). Water productivity in Agriculture. Limits and Opportunities for improvement. CABI Publishing, pp. 53-67.
- Valverde BE and J Gressel. 2005. Implication and containment of gene flow from herbicideresistant rice (*Oryza sativa*). In "Proceeding of 20th Asian Pacific weed sciences society" pp 63-84. Ho Chi Minh City, Vietnan.