Comparison of hypertonic saline-sodium hydroxide method with modified Petroff's method for the decontamination and concentration of sputum samples
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ABSTRACT

INTRODUCTION: Tuberculosis is one of the major health problems particularly in developing countries. For definitive diagnosis of pulmonary tuberculosis identification of tubercle bacilli in sputum by microscopy and culture is essential. For decontamination and concentration of sputum, the commonly used method in the laboratory is Modified Petroff's method but the Hypertonic saline–sodium hydroxide (HS-SH) method is known to be better for detection of Mycobacterium tuberculosis by culture. This study was aimed to compare a novel method for the improvement of decontamination and concentration of sputum samples.

MATERIALS AND METHODS: A total of 50 confirmed smear positive sputum samples from pulmonary TB patients who visited at St. John's Medical College and Hospital during 2009 to 2010, were processed for the decontamination process. Each sample was decontaminated by Modified Petroff's and HS-SH method separately. Treated samples were cultured in Lowenstein-Jensen media in microbiology laboratory.

RESULTS: The culture positive percents of Mycobacterium tuberculosis in the L-J medium treated with HS-SH and Modified Petroff's method were 84.0% and 70.0%, respectively. A notable feature is that by HS-SH method more samples were positive by 4 th week, statistically significant (Chi-square value-11.26 with p-value < 0.05) compare to Modified Petroff’s method. The difference for 3+ grades of L-J growths found slightly higher by Modified Petroff’s method but at lower grades of growths HS-SH method performed better.

CONCLUSIONS: HS-SH method is better for the detection of Mycobacterium tuberculosis by culture when compared with the Modified Petroff’s method.
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INTRODUCTION

Tuberculosis is one of the major cause of death worldwide, especially in under developed countries. Currently about 10 million new cases of tuberculosis every year with 3 million deaths worldwide.\(^1\) Identification of tubercle bacilli in sputum by microscopy as well as in culture is essential for definitive diagnosis of pulmonary tuberculosis.\(^2\) In developing countries diagnosis of acid fast bacilli is performed by microscopic examination of Ziehl-Neelsen (Z-N) stained sputum smears, because it is simple, inexpensive and provide rapid results. But, this technique has a low sensitivity (22 – 43%) for single smear \(^3\) and up to 60% under optimal conditions\(^4\) when compared with cultures so that culture remains as gold standard to diagnose tuberculosis.

Modified Petroff’s method is a feasible method for decontamination and concentration of sputum but kills 60-70 % of the mycobacteria which could be due to higher concentration of NaOH (4%),\(^5\) while in Hypertonic saline sodium hydroxide (HS-SH) method only 1.3% NaOH (at final concentration w/v in 6ml) is used for decontamination.\(^6\) Ganoza et al reported that the HS-SH method has higher sensitivity for culture (95.2%) than NALC-NaOH method(76.2%) which is one of the most adapted method for automated culture technique.\(^6\) Hence there is a need to assess the efficiency of HS-SH method with Modified Petroff’s method for manual culture technique.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

A comparative study was carried out in the Microbiology Laboratory at St. John’s Medical College and Hospital, Bangalore, India during March 2009- April 2010. Total of 50 consecutive sputum samples collected from pulmonary tuberculosis patients showing Ziehl-Neelsen stained smear positive as well as not falling under exclusion criteria with any history of anti-tubercle drug treatment, inadequate sample less than 2ml, saliva only (without purulent material) were collected after a verbal consent from the patients who had visited our hospital for diagnosis and treatment. All samples were concentrated and decontaminated by Modified Petroff's Method\(^5\) and Hypertonic Saline-Sodium Hydroxide Method (2ml of sputum sample mixed with 2ml of 7% NaCl and 2ml of 4% NaOH, followed by homogenization, incubation, neutralization and centrifugation).\(^6\) Immediately after the decontamination and concentration process, 200µl each re-suspended pellet were inoculated into two L-J media and incubated at 37°C and growth in medium was observed weekly for eight weeks. *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* isolates were identified using the following criteria: time to visible growth, colony pigmentation and morphology, AFB smear (Kent & Kubica, 1985). Grading of the primary culture growth was done as per the guide line of National Tuberculosis Institute, India.\(^7\)

The collected data was collected and analyzed using statistical software, SPSS version 16.0. Frequencies and proportions were reported and Chi-square test was used to analyze the significance of the difference across the groups. p-value <0.05 were considered statistically significant.

RESULTS

Out of 50 samples that were decontaminated and concentrated by both the methods and cultured in L-J media, 35(70%) samples showed growth which was decontaminated by Modified Petroff’s method, whereas 42(84%) samples showed growth by HS-SH method. Though growth difference is 14% more in HS-SH method, there is no statistical significant (p-value 0.09).

From the 50 specimens graded for growth, Modified Petroff’s method showed 3+ grading in 11/35 (31%) which was slightly more than HS-SH method (11/42,24%) . Four specimens (4/42,11%) showed 2+ by Modified Petroff’s method and 8/42 specimens (19%) showed 2+ grade of growth by HS-SH method. Modified Petroff’s method showed 1+ grading of culture growth in 8/35 (23%), actual colony count in 12/35(34%) whereas by HS-SH method 13/42 (31%) cases found with 1+ growth & 10 (24%) with actual colony counts. Total 15/50 (30%) of cases showed no growth by Modified Petroff’s method and 8/50 (16%) cases showed no growth by HS-SH method.

After inoculation the L-J media were incubated for 8 weeks, which were observed and recorded for growth (i.e. appearance of visible colonies on the L-J slant). In this study by HS-SH method 12/42 (28.5%) cases were found culture positive after 3rd week, 11/42 (26.1%) after 4th week, 5/42 (11.9%) after 5th week, 4/42 (9.5%) after 6th week, 10/42 (23.8%) after 7th week, whereas in case of Modified Petroff’s method 2/35 (5.7%) after 3rd week, 18/35 (51.4%) after 4th week, 5/35 (14.2%) after 5th week, 6 (17.1%) after 6th week and 4/35 (11.4) were found culture positive after 7th week. The difference of growth rate after 3rd week 28.5%.
by HS-SH method and 5.7% by Modified Petroff's method is statistically significant. But the growth rate after 4th week is higher in case of Modified Petroff's method.

**DISCUSSION**

To date culture is the gold standard technique for diagnosis of tuberculosis.\(^6\)\(^,\)\(^8\) For isolation of the tubercle bacilli in culture medium, contamination should be minimized and killing of tubercle bacilli should be avoided.\(^7\) To overcome these problems there should be use of a very convenient method to decontaminate and concentrate the specimen. Higher concentration of NaOH is used in Modified Petroff's method which kills 60-70% mycobacteria\(^5\) whereas, in HS-SH method the concentration of NaOH used is low.\(^6\)

In this study the culture positivity of the HS-SH (84%) and Modified Petroff's method (70%) are compared. The difference between cultures positivity by both methods are statistically not significant though more culture positivity with HS-SH method was found. Christian A Ganoza et al. reported that the HS-SH method has higher sensitivity for culture (95.2%) than NALC-NaOH method(76.2%).\(^6\) this study support the current finding with higher culture positivity rate by HS-SH method. Similarly for the Modified Petroff's method, our result (70%) corresponds with previous report by Keilty 66%\(^9\) and Stewart 64%,\(^10,\)\(^11\)

In our study the overall contamination rates (8% by Modified Petroff’s 6% by HS-SH on total L-J cultures) was as similar to those observed by others, ranging from 1.5 to 13.3%\(^12\) and 6.1% by Christian C.G.\(^6\) We noticed, better digestion of thick mucoid sputum with hypertonic saline than that of only 4% NaOH used in Modified Petroff’s method, could be the reason for higher culture positive result by HS-SH method. While comparing the grading of culture growth, 3+ grade was found slightly more in number by Modified Petroff’s method whereas 2+ & 1+ grade were found more with HS-SH method. During weekly observation of inoculated L-J media, the growth rate by HS-SH method was more by 4th week in comparison to Modified Petroff’s method. This is may be due to the presence of hypertonic saline as a mucolytic agent\(^13\) which is responsible for better liquefaction of sputum samples by HS-SH method and the growth occurs faster in this method as compared to Modified Petroff’s method.

**Table 1.** Comparison of grading of growth and culture positivity on L-J media

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S.N.</th>
<th>Total growth on L-J media and it’s grading</th>
<th>Modified Petroff’s Method</th>
<th>HS-SH Method</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.</td>
<td>Total no. of samples processed</td>
<td>50 (100%)</td>
<td>50 (100%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.</td>
<td>Total no. of positive cultures*</td>
<td>35 (70%)</td>
<td>42 (84%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.a</td>
<td>3+</td>
<td>11/35 (31%)</td>
<td>11/42 (26%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.b</td>
<td>2+</td>
<td>4 /35 (11%)</td>
<td>8 /42(19%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.c</td>
<td>1+</td>
<td>8/35 (23%)</td>
<td>13/42 (31%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.d</td>
<td>Actual colony count</td>
<td>12/35 (34%)</td>
<td>10/42 (24%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.</td>
<td>Contamination</td>
<td>4 (8%)</td>
<td>3 (6%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.</td>
<td>No growth</td>
<td>11 (22%)</td>
<td>5 (10%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Difference of culture positivity between HS-SH and Modified Petroff’s method is statistically not significant (p-value 0.09).

**Table 2.** Comparisons of growth rate by modified Petroff’s and HS-SH method after inoculation of sputum on L-J media

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S.N.</th>
<th>Growth (week)</th>
<th>Modified Petroff’s Method</th>
<th>HS-SH Method</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>4th-week*</td>
<td>2 (5.7%)</td>
<td>12 (28.5%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>5th-week</td>
<td>18 (51.4%)</td>
<td>11 (26.1%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>6th-week</td>
<td>5 (14.2%)</td>
<td>5 (11.9%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>7th-week</td>
<td>6 (17.1%)</td>
<td>4 (9.5%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>8th-week</td>
<td>4 (11.4%)</td>
<td>10 (23.8%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>35 (100%)</td>
<td>42 (100%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*At 4th week the difference in growth rate is statistically significant (Chi-square value=11.26 with p-value less than 0.05).*
CONCLUSION

Culture remains the gold standard for the accurate diagnosis of pulmonary tuberculosis. Here, we found that HS-SH method gives the better result for early recovery of *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* on culture. To assess the exact efficiency of culture positivity, growth rate, grading of growth on L-J and rate of contamination by HS-SH method more number of samples should be analyzed.
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