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ABSTRACT

Background

The ability of good glottis visualization during direct laryngoscopy is major determinant of easy tracheal intubation. Sniffing position for laryngoscopy is considered as gold standard and ideal position. Several studies have questioned the validation of sniffing position.

Objectives

This study aims to compare relative efficacy of sniffing position and simple head extension for visualization of glottis during direct laryngoscopy.

Methods

Four hundred patients undergoing elective surgery under general anesthesia requiring endotracheal intubation were randomized into two groups and study was concluded. Glottic visualization was assessed using modified Cormack and Lehane classification. After laryngoscopy, tracheal intubation was performed and intubation difficulty scale was noted.

Results

Both the groups were comparable regarding glottis visualization. Both the groups were comparable in demographic profiles. All the intubation difficulty scale variables were comparable in both the groups except N3. Total Intubation Difficulty Score was better in sniffing position than in simple head extension group.

Conclusion

Glottic visualization and intubation difficulty score was better in sniffing position as compared to simple head extension.
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INTRODUCTION

The ability to maintain glottis visualization during direct laryngoscopy is the major determinant of easy tracheal intubation. Correct positioning of the patient appears to be the main determining factor for obtaining good glottis visualization. Since Bannister and MacBeth introduced the ‘three-axe rule’ in 1944, this position, as they proposed, might be obtained by slight flexion of the neck on the chest, whereas the optimal head position is extension at the plane of the face from the horizontal. This head position resembles a person “sniffing the morning air.” Thus, the very common anesthesiologist’s expression, “sniffing position,” was born. The concept has been widely accepted as the foundation for understanding and teaching of direct laryngoscopy. The sniffing position for tracheal intubation is usually obtained by elevating the head with a blanket or pillow before induction. This maneuver is currently universally recommended, taught, and used throughout the anesthesia community. The sniffing position has been used for all these years. The first study of optimal patient positioning for orotracheal intubation was published in 1913 by Jackson stressing the importance of anterior flexion of the lower cervical spine, in addition to the more obvious extension of the atlanto-occipital joint. In 1999 Adnet et al after evaluating a radiograph obtained during intubation in sniffing position, concluded that although the sniffing position may provide the best laryngeal view, this is not due to the alignment of the three axes. This is an error perpetuated since 1944 that deserves
METHODS

After approval by the institutional review committee of Dhulikhel Hospital - Kathmandu University Hospital and written informed consent from patients, 400 adult patients scheduled for elective surgeries under general anesthesia and endotracheal intubation were included in the study.

Randomization was performed by placing index cards labeled Group I- sniffing position and Group II- simple head extension into 400 sealed envelopes placed in random order, each group representing one method of intubation. At the time of a patient’s enrollment, the next available envelope was placed with the patient’s chart. Just before induction, the envelope was opened and the sequence thus determined.

All patients requiring general anesthesia with endotracheal intubation, aged between 20 to 60 years and ASA grades 1 and 2 were included. All patients with body mass index more than 30 kg/m², bucked teeth, restricted neck movement, inter-incisor gap less than 35 mm, thyro- mental distance less than 65mm, with risk of regurgitation and aspiration, pharyngeal pathology and limitation of anterior and posterior movement of mandible were excluded.

Preoperative airway assessment was performed by an attending anesthesiologist. Inter-incisor gap was measured in millimeters with the mouth fully open. Thyro- mental distance was measured along a straight line from the thyroid notch to the lower border of the mandibular mentum with the head in full extension. Modified Mallampati classification was performed without phonation. Body mass index (BMI) was calculated as the weight in kilograms and divided by height in meters squared. Amplitude of neck and head movements was measured as described by Wilson et al: the patient’s head and neck are fully extended, a pencil is placed on the forehead in alignment with the vertical axis and the patient is asked to fully flex while the anesthesiologist gauges the change in angle in reference to a fixed point. The angle is then classified according to two levels: less than 80° and 80° or more. Pathologic conditions associated with difficulties in laryngoscopy, such as malformation of the face, cervical spondylosis, tumors of the airway, long-standing diabetes, sleep apnea syndrome, limitation of mandibular anterior-posterior movement, and loose teeth, were also recorded.

Preoperative investigations were done based on surgical procedure, physical status and age of the patients. Patients were kept nil per oral for eight hours prior to the surgery and were given Diazepam, ten mg at night and five mg in the morning of the surgery.

After arrival in the operation theatre pre induction monitors, including noninvasive blood pressure monitoring, electrocardiography, and pulse oximetry were connected after securing the intravenous line. Before the induction of anesthesia all the Group I (Sniffing position) patients were placed supine and a cushioned wooden block of 8 cm height was placed under the head. At the time of laryngoscopy, the head was extended on the atlanto- occipital joint maximally. Group II (simple head extension) patients were placed supine without the wooden block. The head was extended maximally on the atlanto-occipital joint at the time of laryngoscopy.

Following pre oxygenation for three minutes, the standard induction technique was applied to all the patients which included Midazolam 0.03mg/kg, Fentanyl 2µg/kg, and Propofol titrated to loss of response to verbal commands. Neuromuscular blocker included 0.1mg/kg of Vecuronium after ventilation with oxygen for three minutes; an independent anesthesiologist did a laryngoscopy in all the patients using three sized Macintosh laryngoscope blade to ensure the consistency of the technique. Glottic visualization during laryngoscopy was assessed by the same observer using modified Cormark and Lehane classification (without optimal external laryngeal manipulation). External laryngeal manipulation was permitted after evaluation in order to facilitate endotracheal intubation.

The “intubation difficulty scale” based on the seven parameters recorded by an independent observer was used to assess difficulty in intubation.

Intubation difficulty Scale(IDS) N1
0 - no supplementary attempt required
1 - any supplementary attempt required
N2  
0 - no supplementary operator required  
1 - Any supplementary operator required  

N3  
0 - no alternative intubation technique used  
1 - any alternative intubation technique used  

N4  
0 - Cormack & Lehane Grade I  
1 - Cormack & Lehane Grade II  
2 - Cormack & Lehane Grade III  
3 - Cormack & Lehane Grade IV  

N5 Lifting Force During Laryngoscopy  
0 - no subjectively increased lifting force required during laryngoscopy  
1 - Subjectively increased lifting force required during laryngoscopy  

N6 External Laryngeal pressure for improved glottis visualization  
0 - no optimal external laryngeal manipulation required  
1 - Optimal external laryngeal manipulation required  

N7 Position of Vocal cords at intubation  
0 - vocal cords are abducted  
1 - Vocal cords are adducted blocking the tube passage  
2 Vocal cords not visualized  

IDS is the sum of N1 to N7. Score 0 = no difficulty at all., Score 1-5 = mild difficulty, Score >5 = moderate to severe difficulty.  

After noting the grade of laryngoscopy, tracheal intubation was performed and intubation difficulty score was recorded.  

Rest of the anesthesia was continued as per standard protocol. Post induction monitors included end tidal carbon dioxide and temperature. Anesthesia was maintained with Isoflurane and air in oxygen. At the end of procedure residual neuromuscular blocker was reversed with Neostigmine and Glycopyrolate. All the patients were extubated and shifted to post anesthesia care unit. Complications, like fall of peripheral oxygen saturation and dysrhythmias during laryngoscopy, were also noted.  

Statistical analysis was done suing SPSS version 16. Unpaired t test was used for the age, sex and body mass index, mouth opening, hyo- mental distance, thyro- mental distance and sterno- mental distance. Chi square test was applied for assessing statistical significance of modified Mallampati grade, glottis visualization grade and intubation difficulty score. A P value of <0.05 was taken as significant.  

Results.  

Demographic Profile  
Group I and II were comparable in demographic profile with respect to age, sex, height, weight and body mass index.  

### Table 1. Demographic profile in both the group of patients. Both the groups were comparable in demographic profiles.(p>0.05)  

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristics</th>
<th>Group I</th>
<th>Group II</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total Patients</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>33.5±15</td>
<td>34.7±13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex M/F</td>
<td>135:65</td>
<td>145:55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height</td>
<td>1.60±0.05</td>
<td>1.61±0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight</td>
<td>60.44±9.86</td>
<td>64.89±11.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body Mass Index</td>
<td>22.28±4.42</td>
<td>23.05±3.51</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Unpaired t test P>0.05  

A total of four hundred patients were evaluated out of which 280 (70%) were male and 120 (30%) were female.  

### Table 2. Modified Mallampati Classification  

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mallampati Classification</th>
<th>Group I</th>
<th>Group II</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Grade I</td>
<td>130 (65%)</td>
<td>135 (67.5%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grade II</td>
<td>45 (22.5%)</td>
<td>35 (17.5%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grade III</td>
<td>20 (10%)</td>
<td>26 (13%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grade IV</td>
<td>5 (2.5%)</td>
<td>4 (2%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total (N)</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>200</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3. Interincisor Gap/Hyomental Distance /Thyromental Distance and Sternomental Distance. Both the groups were comparable in all these factors.(p>0.05)  

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Distance(mm)</th>
<th>Group I</th>
<th>Group II</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Inter Incisor Gap</td>
<td>48.4±0.74</td>
<td>47.9±0.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hyomental Distance</td>
<td>53.54±3.75</td>
<td>53.56±3.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sternomental Distance</td>
<td>168.90±14.82</td>
<td>168.80±15.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thyromental Distance</td>
<td>83.50±8.05</td>
<td>83.68±6.09</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Unpaired t test, p>0.05  

Table 3 shows Interincisor Gap/Hyomental Distance /Thyromental Distance and Sternomental Distance. Both the groups were comparable in all these factors.(p>0.05)  

### Table 4. Laryngoscopic difficulty based on Cormack and Lehane classification.  

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cormack and Lehane Grade</th>
<th>Group I</th>
<th>Group II</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Grade 1</td>
<td>133</td>
<td>119</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grade 2</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grade 3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grade 4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>200</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Laryngoscopy was possible in all the patients. Glottic visualization in both the groups of patients was statistically comparable in two groups. (p>0.05)
INTUBATION DIFFICULTY SCALE

Intubation difficulty scale variable N1 to N7 was statistically comparable in two groups. The percentage of intubation with and IDS score greater than 5 was 2% in simple head extension and 1% in sniffing position group.

Comparison of intubation difficulty scale between two groups demonstrated no statistically significant differences except in N3 which implies alternative technique required for intubation. However, more patients in group II had N3 score of one (n=44) as compared to group I (n=20) (p<0.05). Similarly, there were fewer patients in Group II (n=156) than group I (n=180) with N3 score of zero (p<0.05). The total IDS determining the ease of tracheal intubation was better in group I than group II (p<0.05).

IDS of 0 corresponding easy intubation was observed in 116 patients in sniffing position as compared to 82 patients in simple head extension position (p<0.05). IDS of 1-5 corresponding to mild difficulty was seen in 82 patients in sniffing position and 114 in simple head extension (p<0.05). Intubation difficulty score corresponding to moderate to severe difficulty was noted in 2 patients in sniffing position and 4 patients in simple head extension (p>0.05).

This implies that although the glottis visualization improved with the use of sniffing position, none of the two positions were advantageous over other for endotracheal intubation.

DISCUSSION

The sniffing position is universally recommended for oro-tracheal intubation in the operating room. Gillespie provided the first analysis of anatomical factors involved in laryngoscopy. According to him, the solution to the ease of intubation was to attain adequate depth of anesthesia and muscle relaxation conventional laryngoscopy and intubation requires a direct view of structures of larynx.

Jackson was first to emphasize the importance of position of head for laryngoscopy and intubation. The classical rationale for sniffing position is that the alignment of the mandibular axis, pharyngeal axis, and laryngeal axis is facilitated, permitting successful direct laryngoscopy. This alignment may be hypothetically obtained by flexing the neck on the chest and by elevating the head approximately 7–10 cm with a pad under the occiput (shoulders ordinarily remaining on the table). According to the theory, to bring the mandibular axis in line with both the pharyngeal and laryngeal axis, the head must also be extended on the neck (extension of the junction of the spine and skull (atlanto-occipital joint). This maneuver appears to be the fundamental first step before direct laryngoscopy. The article by Bannister and MacBeth is the only published experimental study to our knowledge that has attempted to provide an anatomic explanation and justification for use of this position. Nonetheless, the concept of three-axis alignment has been almost universally accepted.

Simple head extension position is attained by extending the head on the neck at the atlanto-occipital joint without placing a head ring under the head.

On evaluation of the radiographs taken during endotracheal intubation, Adnet et al concluded that there was no alignment of all three axes. He also investigated in healthy individuals with MRI and concluded that it was impossible to achieve the alignment of the entire three axes in sniffing position. Chow HC further investigated the concepts of three axes and concluded that there is only involvement of two axes “oral and pharyngeal” and “the tongue”. All these studies however pointed out that the angle between laryngeal axis and the line of vision was decreased in sniffing as well as simple head extension position. Thus these positions are comparable among themselves but better than neutral position.

This study was done to validate the benefit of the systemic use of sniffing position as compared to simple head extension for patients undergoing elective surgeries under general anesthesia with endotracheal intubation. The blade size was standardized for consistency. Modified Mallampatti grade as suggested by S. Rao Mallampatti and modified by Samson has been the cornerstone in preoperative assessment of the airway. In our study both the groups were comparable regarding Mallampatti grade distribution.
Table 5. Intubation difficulty scale variables in both the group of patients.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>N1</th>
<th>N2</th>
<th>N3</th>
<th>N4</th>
<th>N5</th>
<th>N6</th>
<th>N7</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Group I</td>
<td>194</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>196</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>116</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group II</td>
<td>186</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>196</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>156</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>102</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

P value: >0.05 >0.05 <0.05* >0.05 >0.05 >0.05 >0.05

Mouth opening of less than two finger breadth or 35mm is associated with difficult laryngoscopy and intubation. In the present study, mouth opening in both the groups was comparable. Our finding correlates well with the study by Singhalet al.12 Difficult laryngoscopy should be considered if hyo-mental distance is less than 45 mm and thyro-mental distance is less than 60 mm. In the present study all the patients had distance more than minimal values.

Although both groups were comparable regarding glottis visualization, the sniffing position was clinically better as compared to the simple head extension. Our results were similar to that of Adnet et al and Singhal et al.

The present study has tried to assess a quantitative score—“The intubation difficulty scale” that can be used to evaluate the complexities of intubation.17 It is an objective scoring system, which is a function of seven parameters. An increased number of attempts N1 is the parameter most frequently described as being associated with difficult intubation. Introduction of second operator N2 or abandoning one technique for another N3 suggests a difficulty, perhaps more so than a simple additional attempt. As such changing operator or techniques implies two additional points: one for the change and one for the additional attempt.

The quality of laryngoscopic attempt is quantified using Cormack and Lehane classification.16 Intubation difficulty score is partly influenced by glottis exposure N4. However poor visualization of glottis is not always associated with a difficult intubation, thus the laryngoscopic quality alone is not an adequate measure of difficulty, but forms an important component of the intubation difficulty score.

Increasing lifting force and external laryngeal pressure are frequently used to improve the glottis exposure (N5 and N6). This score considers these two factors. Which tend to further emphasize the importance of quality of glottis visualization. Finally the status of the glottis exposure N7 will be affected by laryngospasm and cough, both of which have been identified as increasing the difficulty of intubation.

CONCLUSION

The results of the present study suggest that there is no significant difference between sniffing position and simple head extension with regards to incidence of better glottis visualization during direct laryngoscopy. However, the universal practice of sniffing position in anesthesiology teaching cannot be abandoned. Large multi-centric studies involving large group of patients may draw better conclusion than single center study with small group of patients.
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