Heart rate variability: Response to graded head up tilt in healthy men
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Abstract

Background: Heart rate variability is actually a misnomer for R to R variability in cardiac cycle. Variation in successive cycle length is called the heart rate variability (HRV). Head-up tilt is a model of studying cardiovascular haemodynamics, which reflects in heart rate variability (HRV).

Objectives: To study the effect of 10° and 70° head-up tilt on HRV.

Materials and methods: The study was done in the Department of Physiology using graded head up tilt (passive orthostatism). HRV measurement was done at 10° and 70° tilt and compared with supine using standardised methods on 30 consenting healthy males (age 25.37±3.89 years). The HRV variables across postures were compared by ANOVA and Bonferroni test.

Results: The heart rate increased at 70° compared to 10° and supine (70.48±8.17 Vs 70.22±8.67 and 88.51±12.84 bpm, p<0.001). The 70° tilt decreased vagal HRV indicators compared to 10° and supine: SDNN (31.13±8.12 Vs 38.07±11.29 and 38.13±10.89 ms, p<0.05), RMSSD (20.06 ±8.47 Vs 34.23±14.22 and 36.16±12.22 ms, p<0.001), NN50 count (13.03±20.58 Vs 45.07±44.44 and 55.27±44.10, p<0.01), pNN50 (3.28±6.08 Vs 14.06±15.65 and 16.65±14.23, p<0.01), HF power (197.20±143.76 Vs 218.17±155.85 and 216.87±150.98 Hz, p<0.05), HFnu unit (24.28±14.16 Vs 45.48±16.34 and 47.67±19.89, p<0.001).

The 70° tilt increased LF power% (197.20±143.76 Vs 218.17±155.85 and 216.87±150.98, p<0.001). LFnu unit (75.72±14.76 Vs 54.52±16.34 and 52.32±19.89, p<0.001), LF: HF (4.96±4.08 Vs 1.53±1.138 and 1.69±1.67, p<0.001) compared to 10° and supine.

Conclusion: At 70° tilt, HRV measures, reflecting vagal contribution to cardiac-cycle length, decreased with reciprocal increase in sympathetic activity compared to 10° or supine leading to increase in sympathetic predominance. A 10° tilt, which is almost equivalent to lying down with pillow, did not change HRV from supine.
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It is commonly perceived that a regular heartbeat is a sign of good cardiac health. However, sinus rhythm, the rhythm of healthy heart, or the successive cardiac cycle length is characterised by significant variability¹. Therefore, normal heart rate is not characterised by clockwise regularity. In fact, preferably regular cardiac cycle length may signify disease condition²,³. Variation in successive cycle length is called the heart rate variability (HRV). The heart rate fluctuates with phase of respiration: cardio-acceleration during inspiration and cardio inhibition during expiration⁴. The relationship between heart rate (HR) and heart rate variability (HRV) are not simple but, both depend on autonomic nervous system; so they are not independent variables. The quantification of HRV is influenced by HR level⁵. So, heart rate and heart rate variability variables represent quite different characteristics of autonomic nervous activity⁶.

Measurement: Time domain and frequency domain analysis⁷.

Time domain analysis
In a continuous electrocardiograph (ECG) record, successive QRS complex (R peaks) detected and intervals between are called normal to normal (NN) intervals.
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Statistical methods: The most commonly used measure derived from interval differences include RMSSD (the square root of the mean squared differences of successive NN intervals), NN 50, the number of interval differences of successive NN intervals greater than 50 ms, and pNN50 (percentage NN50 count). Geometrical methods: The geometrical method uses the sequence of RR intervals to construct a certain geometrical forms and extract the assessment of HRV from this. e.g. Lorenz plot or Poincare plot SD1, which represents the short term HRV and SD2, which represents long term HRV⁹.

Frequency domain (Power spectrum) analysis
In the frequency domain measure, power spectral densities of R-R intervals are plotted and different frequency components are identified. It includes the high frequency (HF) components (0.15-0.40 Hz) its power, percentage and normalized unit value that represents vagal modulation of HRV; and low frequency (LF) components (0.03-0.15 Hz) and its power, parentage and normalised unit value that represents sympathetic modulation⁸. LF/HF ratio represents sympathovagal balance⁹.

Head up tilt
Head up tilt is one of the experimental models of orthostatic test commonly used for autonomic test including HRV. On moving from supine to erect position there is large gravitational shift of the blood away from the chest to the distensible venous capacitance system below the diaphragm. So during head up tilt, a hydrostatic venous pooling in the extremities occurs system below the diaphragm. During head up tilt, from the chest to the distensible venous capacitance there is large gravitational shift of the blood away including HRV. On moving from supine to erect position orthostatic test commonly used for autonomic test. Head up tilt is one of the experimental models of orthostatic stress. Additional adjustments are mediated by the autonomic nervous system. During prolonged adjustment to orthostatic stress is mediated exclusively by the hormonal limb of the neuroendocrine system¹. The static increase in skeletal muscle tone induced by the upright posture opposes the pooling of the blood in the limb veins even in the absence of movement of the subjects. However, this mechanism does not operate in head up tilt. Failure of above discussed compensatory adjustments to orthostatic stress is thought to play a predominant role in the large number of patients with syncope. This forms the basis for the use of tilt testing in the evaluation of patients with syncope. There is a large body of literature on the mechanism involved in vasovagal syncope induced by tilt testing. Yet, many unanswered questions remain regarding the multiple potential causes and underlined physiologies. In the normal subject, passive head up tilt virtually always leads a reduction in vagal and increase in sympathetic modulation to heart rate.

Most of literature report tilts between angles of 45° to 90°. However, tilt at 10° which is analogous to usual day to day activity, lying down with a pillow or back rest for patients is rare in the available literature. Therefore, this study was aimed at exploring effect on graded head up tilt.

Materials and methods
This self-controlled experimental study was conducted in 30 consenting healthy adult men (18-35 years) in the Human Physiology Lab of Department of Physiology, BPKIHS. The subjects were selected using convenient sampling techniques, according to following inclusion and exclusion criteria. Inclusion criteria: I. subjects with low nicotine¹³ and alcohol dependence¹⁴ (i.e. with nicotine dependence score ≤ 4 and alcohol disorder identification (AUDIT) <8. II. Mediation free subjects with the supine BP< 140/90 mmHg and the body mass index (BMI) ≤ 25. Exclusion criteria: subjects with past history of syncope and with the presence of diabetes mellitus, cardiovascular disorder, drug dependence and other diseases that is likely to affect autonomic function.

Recording procedure: The subjects were instructed not to take tea or coffee at least for 4 hours before test. Alcohol was forbidden 24 hours prior to test. The recording was done in morning hours between 8:00 to 12:00 hours after 15 min of supine rest. The resting ECG with spontaneous respiration at three positions first at supine followed by 10° and 70° were recorded for 5 minutes in the computer. The ECG signals for HRV were captured using Coulbourn Instrument and its software Windaq pro/pro+. From this software R-R intervals were obtained, which were manually checked and edited. Then from R-R intervals different parameters of time and frequency domain measure of HRV were calculated by using HRV analysis software 1.1¹⁵.
**Statistical analysis:** Descriptive and inferential statistics of all the variables of the time domain and frequency domain measure of HRV were done along with age, BMI, respiratory rate, blood pressure. Multiple comparisons among the variables were analyzed by one way ANOVA and Bonferroni tests of multiple comparisons using software SPSS version 10.2.

**Results**
The mean age of the subjects was 25.37±3.89 years and their BMI ranged from 18.11 to 24.72 kg/m² (mean= 20.77±2.1 kg/m²).

**Effect of graded head up tilt on cardiopulmonary variables**
No significant difference in respiratory rate and systolic blood pressure in response to 10º or 70º tilt were observed in the present study. The diastolic blood pressure was significantly increased in response to 70 degree tilt compared to 10 degree (81.07±6.94 vs. 75.87±8.10 mmHg, p< 0.05 ) tilt and supine (81.07±6.94 vs. 74.13±7.3 mmHg, p<0.01). The difference in heart rate was not significant in response to 10 degree head up tilt. Whereas, in response to 70 degree tilt as compared to supine, it increased significantly (88.51±12.84 vs. 70.48±8.17 bpm, p<0.001), the increases in heart rate at 70º was also significant compared to 10º tilt (88.51±12.84 vs. 70.22±8.67 bpm, p<0.001).

**Effect of graded head up tilt on HRV**
The 70º tilt significantly decreased the following vagal HRV indicators compared to 10º and supine: RMSSD, NN50, pNN50, SDNN. (Table 2)

The 70º tilt significantly decreased HF power, HF unit compared to 10º and supine where as the same 70º tilt increase LF power %, LF normalised unit, and LF: HF ratio compared to 10º and supine. (Table 3).

**Table 1: Cardiopulmonary variables**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Supine</th>
<th>At 10° tilt</th>
<th>At 70° tilt</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SBP, mmHg</td>
<td>118.60±8.98</td>
<td>118.07±9.50</td>
<td>121.20±9.46</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>p&lt;0.05 (70° vs. 10°) p&lt;0.01(70° vs. supine)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DBP, mmHg</td>
<td>74.13±7.30</td>
<td>75.87±8.10</td>
<td>81.07±6.94</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heart rate, bpm</td>
<td>70.48±8.17</td>
<td>70.22±8.67</td>
<td>88.51±12.84</td>
<td>NS (10° vs. supine ) p&lt;0.001 (70° vs. Supine)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Respiratory rate, per minute</td>
<td>18.03±2.04</td>
<td>18.27±2.58</td>
<td>19.20±3.17</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SBP: Systolic blood pressure, DBP: diastolic blood pressure, bpm: beats per minute.

![Fig 1: ECG graph showing R-R intervals](image-url)
### Table 2: Time domain variables

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Comparison among variables with mean ± SD</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mean RR (ms)</td>
<td>Supine = 831.23±162.30 At 10° tilt = 869.17±103.27 At 70° tilt = 687.07±94.81</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>At 10° tilt = 38.07 ± 11.29 At 70° tilt = 31.13 ± 8.12</td>
<td>p&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SD of RR (ms)</td>
<td>Supine = 38.13±10.89 At 10° tilt = 34.23±14.22 At 70° tilt = 20.06±8.47</td>
<td>p&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RMSSD (ms)</td>
<td>Supine = 36.16±12.22 At 10° tilt = 34.23±14.22 At 70° tilt = 20.06±8.47</td>
<td>p&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NN50 count</td>
<td>Supine = 55.27 ± 44.10 At 10° tilt = 45.07 ± 44.44 At 70° tilt = 13.03±20.58</td>
<td>p&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pNN50</td>
<td>Supine = 16.65 ± 14.23 At 10° tilt = 14.06±15.65 At 70° tilt = 3.28 ± 6.08</td>
<td>p&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SD1</td>
<td>Supine = 25.93±8.72 At 10° tilt = 24.55±10.14 At 70° tilt = 14.73±6.14</td>
<td>p&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SD2</td>
<td>Supine = 56.40±18.23 At 10° tilt = 57.33±18.34 At 70° tilt = 49.18±13.24</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Mean RR: Mean of RR intervals, SD of RR: Standard deviation of RR intervals, RMSSD: The root mean square of differences of successive RR intervals, NN50: No. of RR intervals that differ by more than 50 ms, pNN50: The percentage value of consecutive RR intervals that differ by more than 50 ms.

### Table 3: Frequency domain variables

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Comparison among variables with mean ± SD</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>VLF peak, Hz</td>
<td>Supine = 0.023 ± 0.008 At 10° tilt = 0.02±0.07 At 70° tilt = 0.023±0.006</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>At 10° tilt = 121.93±117.32 At 70° tilt = 67.9±50.36</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VLF power, ms²</td>
<td>Supine = 96.97 ± 81.38 At 10° tilt = 23.76±10.59 At 70° tilt = 21.25±11.13</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VLF power %</td>
<td>Supine = 18.88 ± 7.87 At 10° tilt = 21.55±10.14 At 70° tilt = 14.73±6.14</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LF peak, Hz</td>
<td>Supine = 0.09±0.33 At 10° tilt = 0.083±0.03 At 70° tilt = 0.082±0.15</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LF power, ms²</td>
<td>Supine = 216.87±150.98 At 10° tilt = 218.17±155.85 At 70° tilt = 197.20±143.76</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LF power %</td>
<td>Supine = 41.61±14.29 At 10° tilt = 54.52±16.34 At 70° tilt = 75.72±14.76</td>
<td>p&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LF nu</td>
<td>Supine = 52.32±19.89 At 10° tilt = 54.52±16.34 At 70° tilt = 75.72±14.76</td>
<td>p&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HF peak, Hz</td>
<td>Supine = 0.26 ± 0.72 At 10° tilt = 0.25±0.067 At 70° tilt = 0.24±0.69</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HF power, ms²</td>
<td>Supine = 207.67±181.22 At 10° tilt = 189.57±167.81 At 70° tilt = 72.70±101.17</td>
<td>p&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HF power %</td>
<td>Supine = 39.57±18.54 At 10° tilt = 35.49±15.75 At 70° tilt = 19.31±13.25</td>
<td>p&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HF nu</td>
<td>Supine = 47.67±19.89 At 10° tilt = 45.48±16.34 At 70° tilt = 24.28±14.16</td>
<td>1.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LF/HF</td>
<td>Supine = 1.69±1.67 At 10° tilt = 1.53±1.138 At 70° tilt = 4.96±4.08</td>
<td>p&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

VLF: very low frequency, LF: low frequency, HF: high frequency, nu: normalized unit
Discussion

Heart rate variability, which routinely used in the assessment of haemodynamics in the western countries is a tool established in 1980s for assessment of autonomic regulation of cardiac rhythm. It can delineate sympathetic and parasympathetic contribution to heart rate regulation, thus making it very sensitive to diagnose autonomic neuropathy in its sub-clinical stage in diabetes mellitus. The HRV has special significance in risk stratification of post-myocardial infarction. It is an independent predictor of sudden cardiac death.

Head up tilt (orthostatic test) is the experimental procedure of passive standing resulting in the gravitational shift of the blood to lower extremities, which results in reduced venous return to the heart and, thereby decreasing stroke volume.

This study attempts to explore the HRV response to graded 10° and 70° tilts from supine position. The study showed no significant change in cardiovascular parameters at 10° tilt of head up tilt compared to supine. So, cardiovascular response at supine and 10° tilt is statistically similar. But, cardiovascular parameters changed in response to 70° tilt compared to supine and 10°. It is also known from the literature that there is no change in systolic blood pressure in response to tilt. This is consistent with our study that we did not find significant difference in systolic blood pressure in response to 10° or 70° of head up tilt. The heart rate in case of higher degree of tilt involves an early rise due to vagal withdrawal and more delayed increase over first two minutes caused by enhanced sympathetic activity. The heart rate increased at 70° in our study.

Effect of graded head up tilt in time domain measure of HRV

There was corresponding decrease in HRV measure, believed to be vagal: SDNN, RMSSD, NN50, pNN50 and SD1. Among the time domain measure, mean RR interval was significantly decreased in response to 70° tilt as compared to 10° tilt or supine. Literature suggests non significant decrease in SDNN intervals with passive tilt. However, in our study the SDNN interval decreased significantly in response to 70° tilt as compared to 10° tilt or supine. The difference was probable due to method applied; most of the tilt tables used was of foot supporting type of tilt table compared to table with only support on the centre not the foot, which we have used. So, no skeletal muscles were involved to support venous return in our set up of passive standing and hence, the difference was much pronounced. The RMSSD is one of the most common parameters based on interval differences that correspond to short term HRV changes and are not dependant on day and night variations. It was significantly decreased in response to 70° of head up tilt as compared to 10° tilt or supine. The NN50 count decreased significantly in response to 70° tilt compared to 10° or supine. Similarly, significant decrease in pNN50 was observed in response to 70° of tilt compared to 10° or supine. This pNN50 is one of the most common parameters that correspond to short term HRV changes. Among the geometrical methods, Poincare plot SD1, that represents the short term HRV was significantly decreased in response to 70° tilt as compared to 10° or supine, whereas the Poincare plot SD2, that represents the long term HRV, remained unchanged among the different degree of tilt.

Effect of graded head up tilt on frequency domain measure of HRV

It is known that in normal subjects, head up tilt leads to decrease in high frequency components of HRV that represents the sympathetic modulation to heart. At the same time, it leads to increase in low frequency components that represent the sympathetic modulation to heart. So, head up tilt leads to vagal withdrawal. In our study, HF peak did not change in response to 10° or 70° tilt from supine but the HF power, its percentage value and the HF normalized unit decreased in response to 70° tilt as compared to 10° tilt and supine. However, there was no significant difference in LF peak, and its power in response to tilt to 70°, where as the LF power percentage increased in response to 70° as compared to 10° and supine. In the same way, the LF normalized unit also increased in response to 70° tilt as compared to 10° and supine. LF to HF ratio has been considered as sympathovagal balance, which changes in response to head up tilt angle above 30 degree. The LF: HR ratio increased significantly at 70° compared to 10° or supine. Very low frequency components remained statistically similar at supine, 10° and 70°. It was interesting to note that changes in HRV in response to tilt occurred without change in respiratory frequency.

Strength and limitation of the study

In cardiovascular evaluation, different degrees of tilt are applied. However, tilt at 10° has rarely been found in the available literature. Therefore, a 10° tilt is one of the frequent positions used in everyday practice. Hence, it was worth studying HRV changes in this position. But it was found to be similar to supine posture in terms of HRV.

This study has some limitations, the major of which is the limitation of time, due to which this study could not be carried out on a large sample size, besides this study was carried out only on males. So result of the study cannot be generalised on both sexes.

Conclusion

At 70° of head up tilt, HRV measures reflecting vagal contribution to cardiac-cycle length decreased with
reciprocal increase in sympathetic activity compared to 10° tilt or supine leading to increase in sympathetic predominance. LF to HF ratio, which reflects the sympathovagal balance, was found to be increased at 70° tilt. So with tilt of higher angle there is increase in sympathetic activity and decrease in parasympathetic activity. The 10° tilt, which is almost equivalent to lying down with a pillow, did not change HRV from supine. So, 10° tilt is physiologically similar to supine position. It can also be said that passive inclination to 10° tilt does not cause significant haemodynamics change to produce appreciable changes in blood pressure and HRV.
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