Indications for destructive ocular surgeries in Nigeria
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Abstract

Introduction: Destructive ocular surgery (DOS) means eye loss. An audit of its indications would be useful in reducing its incidence. Objective: To determine indications for destructive ocular surgeries. Materials and methods: The case records (files) of all the patients who had DOS in a tertiary health facility in Nigeria from January 2004 to December 2011 were reviewed retrospectively. The information extracted include the bio data, indication for DOS, type of surgery performed and history of the use of traditional eye medications (TEM) and willingness to use an artificial eye (AE). Results: Thirty-seven patients had DOS. The mean age of the patients was 35.51years (SD 21.6) and the male to female ratio was 2.1:1. Evisceration was the commonest DOS performed, in 30 eyes (81.1%). The most common indication for DOS was intraocular infection, in 15 eyes (40.5%), followed, among others, by trauma in 13 (35.1%) and malignant ocular tumours in 4 (10.8%). There was association between age and indication for DOS ($P = 0.032$). Many patients, 15 (40.5%), used TEM and most, 34 (91.9%), refused an artificial eye (AE) after surgery. Conclusions: The most common indication for DOS in this study was intraocular infection. Evisceration was the commonest destructive eye surgery offered.
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view that it would be useful in planning strategies (including public health education) to reduce avoidable eye loses and associated challenges following DOS.

**Materials and methods**

This was a retrospective study over a period of eight years (January 2004 - December 2011) carried out in the eye unit of the Federal Medical Centre, Birnin Kebbi, Nigeria. The case records (files) of all the patients who had undergone DOS within this period were retrieved. The following information was extracted: patient’s demographic data, ocular examination findings, causes of DOS, type of surgery performed, history of the use of Traditional Eye Medications (TEM) and the willingness to use ocular prosthesis. **Statistics:** Data was double entered and analyzed by SPSS 16.0 (2006 Statistical Package for the Social Sciences, Chicago, Illinois, USA). Analysis was done using simple frequency proportions and Chi square was used to test the association between age and indication for DOS, occupation and indication for DOS. A $P<0.05$ was considered as statistically significant. The ethical approval to carry out this study was granted by Research Ethics Committee, Federal Medical Centre, Birnin Kebbi, Nigeria.

**Results**

A total of 37 patients had had DOS within the period under review. There were 27 males and 10 females with the M: F of 2:1:1. The distribution of patients by DOS included 30 (81.1%) evisceration, 4 (10.8%) enucleation and 3 (8.1%) exenteration. A major challenge following the DOS was an anophthamic socket.

The DOS cut across different age groups with the highest occurrence (9, 24.3%) in the first decade of life (Table 1). The indications for DOS included trauma (Figure 2), the commonest, (6, 16.5 %) in the first decade of life, and intraocular infection, the major cause in (7, 18.9 %) in the fifth decade of life (41 - 50 years) (Table 1). The DOS cut across different age groups with the highest occurrence (9, 24.3%) in the first decade of life. The indications for DOS included trauma (Figure 2), the commonest, (6, 16.5 %) in the first decade of life, and intraocular infection, the major cause in (7, 18.9 %) in the fifth decade of life (41 - 50 years) (Table 1).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age (years)</th>
<th>Indication of ocular destructive surgery</th>
<th>Total (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Trauma</td>
<td>IT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0-10</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11-20</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21-30</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31-40</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41-50</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51-60</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>61-70</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>71-80</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total (%)</td>
<td>13 (35.1)</td>
<td>3 (8.1)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

IT, intraocular tumour; ET, extraocular tumour; II, intraocular infection; PBE, painful blind eye

CS, civil servants; HW, housewives; PBE, painful blind eye

Intraocular infections were found more in farmers followed by housewives (Figure 1). Histopathological evaluation was not routinely performed except in cases with suspected neoplasm. There was a histological confirmation of the cases of retinoblastoma who underwent surgery (n = 2). The histopathology report of two cases of suspected squamous cell carcinoma in an adult was not found in the case notes. There was an association between age and indications for DOS ($P = 0.032$).
Many patients (15, 40.5%) used traditional eye medication (TEM) before presenting at the hospital and most (34, 91.9%) of them refused to use artificial eye (AE) after the DOS.

Discussion
The mean age of our patients was 36.69 years, which is similar to the previous studies in Africa on DOS (Etebu and Adio, 2008; André et al, 2011; Epee et al., 2008; Gyasi et al, 2009). However, Bodunde et al (2005) in Nigeria reported the mean age of 29.69 ± 16.93 years among their patients. The male preponderance in our study was similar to that of other studies (Etebu and Adio, 2008; André et al, 2011; Epee et al, 2008; Gyasi et al, 2009; Bodunde et al, 2005). The most affected age group was 0 - 10 years similar to that of other studies in Cameroon (André et al, 2011) and China (Cheng et al, 2008). While the age group 0 - 10 years as the most affected (André et al, 2011; Cheng et al, 2008; Adeoye and Onakpoya, 2007) can be regarded as the first peak for DOS, a second peak among the middle-aged group or among the elderly is not rare (André et al, 2011; Cheng et al, 2008).

The commonest cause of DOS in our study was intraocular infections followed by trauma. Epee et al (2008) in their study on 32 cases of ocular mutilating surgery in Yaoundé, as well as Gyasi et al (2009) in a series of 337 eyes, reported the leading roles of infection and trauma. Trauma (43.4%) and orbito-ocular tumour (30.4%) were the leading causes of 92 DOS in Ile-Ife. In Nigeria, Bodunde et al (2005) reported trauma in 14 (48.28 %) followed by panophthalmitis in 6 (20.69 %) and malignant tumours in 4 (13.79 %) to be the most common indications for eye removal, while Chindal et al (2011) reported tumours to be responsible for the majority of DOS in their eye unit (31%) followed by endophthalmitis/panophthalmitis (30%). The high prevalence of intraocular infections as indication for DOS could be related to the practice of using harmful ocular traditional eye medication (TEM) by the rural population and self-medication among city dwellers (André et al, 2011). Intraocular infection as an indication of DOS was common among farmers. This might be due to the use of TEM among this group. The use of TEM by the farmers has also been reported to influence the incidence of infection-related destructive procedures (Nwosu, 2005). Evisceration was the most performed destructive eye surgery in our study, which is similar to other studies (Etebu and Adio, 2008; André et al, 2011; Chindal et al, 2011; Epee et al, 2008; Bodunde et al, 2005; Nwosu, 2005).

Intraocular infections were more common in farmers but there was no association between occupation and indication for destructive eye surgery (P= 0.079). However; other factors apart from the use of TEM may predispose farmers to intraocular infections. The majority (91.9%) of the patients refused AE after surgery, indicating poor acceptance among the populace. This might be due to the religious and socio-cultural beliefs. However, this should be a subject of another study.

Conclusion
Intraocular infection and trauma were common indications for destructive ocular surgery in our study. Many patients use TEM before presenting at the hospital. We recommend supervision of children at play and public health education on harmful effect of TEM.
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