ABSTRACT

BACKGROUND: Trigger finger is caused by formation of nodule or thickening of A1 pulley by its fibrocartilage metaplasia resulting in entrapment of the flexor tendon. Conservative treatment of this condition consists of NSAIDs, splint immobilization and steroid injection into the tendon sheath. Failure of the conservative treatment is the indication of an open release. Percutaneous release of trigger finger is advised by several authors. The purpose of this prospective study is to evaluate the results of percutaneous release of trigger finger with 18 gauge needle.

METHODS: Fifty one patients with 58 trigger digits were treated by percutaneous release using 18 gauge needle under local anaesthesia. Patients were followed up for an average of 12 months.

RESULTS: Overall, 97% achieved an excellent or good result. Two digits experienced recurrent symptoms and required an open release. There was no clinical evidence of digital nerve injury or tendon bowstringing.

CONCLUSIONS: We recommend this technique as a safe and effective outpatient procedure for releasing trigger finger.
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INTRODUCTION

Trigger finger, or stenosing tenosynovitis is characterized by symptomatic locking of clicking flexion and extension of a finger or the thumb1,2. It was first described by Notta in 18501,2. There is mismatch between the size of the tendon sheath and the tendon which passes through it3,4,5. It is caused by nodule formation or thickening of A1 pulley by its fibrocartilage metaplasia resulting in entrapment of the flexor tendon, thus forming a triggering mechanism6,7.

Mostly trigger fingers are idiopathic. It is reported most commonly in middle-age woman and has also been associated with number of conditions, including direct tendon trauma, diabetes mellitus, carpal tunnel syndrome, de Quervain's tenosynovitis, rheumatoid arthritis, hypothyroidism, mucopolysachharidosis, amyloidosis, gout, hypertension, various tumors and neoplasms8,9,10.

Several types of conservative treatment have been recommended, including splint immobilization, nonsteroid anti-inflammatory medication, steroid injection with good results in single digit involvement and in early cases4,11,12. Surgical release of A1 pulley is indicated when conservative treatment fails13.

Complications such as infection, stiffness, scar tenderness, bowstringing and digital nerve injury especially radial digital nerve injury can occur1,14,15.

In 1958 Lorthioir first described a technique of percutaneous release of trigger digit using a fine tenotome16. Percutaneous release using needle was introduced by Eastwood et. al.17 in 1992 with a high success rate. The radial nerve of the thumb was found very close (2 – 3 mm) to the A1 pulley18,19,20. This has prompted some authors to recommend that thumb should not be treated by percutaneous release17,19,20. However others have safely used percutaneous release of trigger thumb1,3,5,7,9,13,14,21,22,23,24,25.

In this study we aimed to evaluate the results of percutaneous release of trigger fingers using 18G hypodermic needle.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

This is a prospective study performed at Kathmandu Medical College Teaching Hospital from February 2007 to December 2008 on 58 digits in 51 patients. There were 37 female and 14 males with mean age of 52 (26 – 65) years. 39 thumbs, 11 ring fingers, 6
middle fingers, 1 index and 1 little finger were involved. Duration of triggering was with average of 6 months (range 2 months – 10 years). Associated medical illness were diabetes mellitus in 12 patients, history of carpal tunnel syndrome in 5 patients and rheumatoid arthritis in 3 patients.

Triggering of the digits was graded according to the severity of symptoms as devised by previous study.  

**LEGEND-1**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grade</th>
<th>Symptoms</th>
<th>No of cases</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>No triggering, but uneven finger movement</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II</td>
<td>Actively correctable triggering</td>
<td>40 (69%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Triggering usually correctable by the other hand</td>
<td>14 (14%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III</td>
<td>Locked digit</td>
<td>4 (7%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Patients with grade 2, 3 and 4 who had not responded to conservative treatment or recurrence of trigger digit after injection of steroid at least for two episodes were included in the study.

As explained by Bain et.al. and Cihantimur et.al., the procedure was performed under local anaesthesia. Precise location of the A1 pulley is important. The point of triggering was located easily by palpation. The surface landmarks of the proximal edge of A1 pulley were marked. These are the metacarpophalangeal crease of the thumb, the proximal palmar crease of the index finger, halfway between the proximal and distal palmar creases of middle and the distal palmar creases of the ring and little fingers.

The finger was held firmly and hyper-extended at the metacarpophalangeal joint. Hyperextension is essential, as it causes the flexor tendon sheath to lie directly under skin and allows the digital neurovascular bundles to displace to either side. A 18 G hypodermic needle was inserted into the flexor tendon sheath or nodule proximally, with the bevel of the needle oriented along the line of the finger. Position of the needle in the tendon sheath was confirmed by actively flexing the digit and observing the motion of the needle. The needle was then withdrawn slightly until it ceases to move with flexion of the finger tip. The A1 pulley was cut by moving bevel of the needle longitudinally from proximal to distal. A grating sensation could be felt by the operator as the needle tip cut through the transverse fibres of the A1 pulley. Loss of the grating sensation indicated completion of the release, the patient was asked to flex and extend the finger to verify the success of the procedure. Adequate release of the pulley was shown by disappearance of the triggering on active movement of the digit. If a patient demonstrated continued triggering the needle was reinserted more distally and additional release was performed. A small dressing was used for a day and the patient was allowed to return to normal activities immediately post-operatively. Analgesic was prescribed for two days and then if needed.
All the patients were followed up for an average of 12 months (6 – 18 months) after operation in outpatient or by telephone. Outcome grading was done at 3 month according to Quinell’s criteria (Table 2) 24.

LEGEND-2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grade</th>
<th>Symptoms</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>Normal movement, no pain</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II</td>
<td>Normal movement, occasional pain</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III</td>
<td>Uneven movement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV</td>
<td>Intermediate locking, actively correctable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V</td>
<td>Locking, only passively correctable</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Grade I: Excellent
Grade II: Good
Grade III – V: Poor

RESULTS

A successful percutaneous release was achieved in 56 of the 58 trigger digits (97%). According to Quinell grading system (Table 2), excellent result was found in 42 digits (73%), good in 14 (24%). In two digits (3%) percutaneous method failed to relieve the triggering completely. These included middle and ring fingers. 14 digits with good result had normal finger movement, but had occasional pain. In all these cases symptoms resolved within 6 months with simple analgesic when required. None of the patients who had an excellent or good result experienced any long term pain or discomfort. Two digits with persistent triggering underwent open release with complete resolution of symptoms. Both of these digits were found to have had an incomplete release of the distal portion of the A1 pulley.

None of the patients had complications such as infection, digital nerve injury, vascular injury and tendon bowstringing.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Digits</th>
<th>No. of cases</th>
<th>Excellent</th>
<th>Results Good</th>
<th>Poor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Thumb</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>30 (77%)</td>
<td>9 (23%)</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Index</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1 (100%)</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Middle</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>4 (66%)</td>
<td>1 (17%)</td>
<td>1 (17%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ring</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>8 (73%)</td>
<td>2 (18%)</td>
<td>1 (9%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Little</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1 (100%)</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overall</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>42 (73%)</td>
<td>14 (24%)</td>
<td>2 (3%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

DISCUSSION

Stenosing tenosynovitis, trigger digit, is a condition for which many treatment modalities have been recommended. NSAIDs, splinting and injection of steroid have been advocated by number of authors. These conservative modalities have been successful in 57% to 97% of cases8,10,12,26,27,28. Marks and Gunther28 in their series of 108 trigger digits found 92% of trigger thumb were cured with single dose of steroid injection and 97% cured after repeated injections. Corticosteroid injection therapy appeared to be more successful in a semi-acute setting and less useful in more advanced cases. The success rate when triggering had been first noticed less than a month before diagnosis was higher than in patients who had been symptomatic for 6 months or more (88% vs 65%)29. Other studies demonstrated even lower success rate (55% Newport et.al.26, 45% Rhodes et. al.11) when symptoms had been present for more than 6 months. Failure of these methods has resulted in the need for surgical release of the A1 pulley.

Satisfactory results of 60% to 100 % have been reported after open release with 7% to 28% of complications, such as digital nerve injury, infection, stiffness, weakness, scar tenderness and bowstringing of the flexor tendons2,4,9,19,20,30.

Percutaneous release of the trigger digit was first described by Lorthior in 1958 using a fine tenotome16. He reported good results in all 52 patients with no neurovascular complications. Tanala et. al.34 reported 64.3% excellent, 9.5% good, 8.1% fair and 18.1% poor results following subcutaneous release of 210 trigger...
digits with a fine scalpel (Bain 1995). Lyu in 1992 performed a closed tenotomy with a curved knife blade in 16 trigger thumb and had high success rate without damaging the digital nerve. Ha et al. in 2001 used a special blade with a hook end and reported 92% (72 of 79) satisfactory result. Park et al. in 2004 used similar blade with a hook (HAKI knife) for percutaneous release of locked trigger digit with 91% (107 of 118) success result. Jongjirasiri (2007) used 15° full handle knife for percutaneous release of trigger digits with success rate of 92.9% (314 of 334).

Percutaneous division of the A1 pulley using a 21 gauge needle was first reported by Eastwood et al. in 1992 with success rate of 94% in 35 trigger digits. The average distance from A1 pulley to the digital nerves in the thumb is 2.9 mm at the metacarpophalangeal crease. This proximity of the digital nerve to the A1 pulley has prompted some authors to recommend that this should not be treated by percutaneous release. However other authors have safely used percutaneous release technique for trigger thumb. Maneerit et al. recommended that in order to prevent digital nerve damage the needle should be held above the tendon in the midline of the thumb and radial approach should be avoided. Secondly, the needle should be inserted a few millimetres distal to the metacarpophalangeal flexion crease. Thirdly, the thumb should be held in full extension during the procedure as this will move the tendon and A1 pulley anterior to the neurovascular bundle. Fourthly, the forearm should be placed in hypersupination to place the palmar surface of thumb in a horizontal plane for good orientation. Several authors performed percutaneous release of trigger digits by needle with success rate ranging from 91% to 96%. Cihantimur et al. and Gilberts et al. claimed success rate of 100% with this method of treating trigger digits.

None of the patients in the above studies had digital nerve injury after percutaneous release of trigger digits, including thumb.

In our study, successful percutaneous release was achieved in 97% (56 of 58) trigger digits. In 3% (2 of 58); middle and one ring finger; this method failed to relieve the triggering. Both of the digits were found to have an incomplete release of the distal portion of the A1 pulley. In our study also none of the patients had digital nerve injury or tendon bowstringing.

CONCLUSIONS

We conclude that percutaneous release of trigger fingers can safely and effectively be performed with 18 gauge needle, even of the thumb. This technique can be performed as an outpatient procedure.
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