Detection rate of ureteric stones with ultrasonography and relationship with grade of hydronephrosis
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ABSTRACT

Background: Ultrasound scanning can serve as reliable, basic first line mode of imaging techniques in detecting ureteric stone in patients with hydronephrosis and grade them accordingly with or without need of other imaging techniques. Aims and Objective: To evaluate the relationship between the degree of hydronephrosis and the detection rates of ureteral stones with ultrasonography in computed tomography or intravenous urography proven cases of ureteric calculi. Materials and Methods: This was a prospective observational study involving 75 patients with computed tomography or intravenous urography diagnosis of ureteric calculi. Both kidneys were observed by ultrasonography to evaluate the intrarenal collecting systems for hydronephrosis. Thereafter, the ureters were evaluated in four different locations to find any stone. The hydronephrosis was graded as mild, moderate and severe according to the dilatation of the pelvic calyceal system with or without stones. Detection rate of ureteric calculi was then estimated. Results: A total of 75 cases were evaluated. Stones were clearly observed in 51 of 59 (86.4%) patients with calyceal dilatation, whereas stones were detected in 9 of 16 (56.3%) patients without dilatation (p<0.05). Out of 75 patients, 38 (50.7%) had stones in the ureterovesical junction, 12 (16%) had stones in the proximal ureter, 5 (6.7%) in the iliac cross, 5 (6.7%) in distal ureter and 15 (20%) were undetected. Out of 15 undetected stones, 8 (13.6%) had calyceal dilatation and 7 (43.8%) without calyceal dilatation. Conclusion: The ultrasonography detection rate of ureteral stones was high in patients with calyceal dilatation. The whole ureter should be scanned in patients with calyceal dilatation for detection of ureteral stones. Even when patients have no calyceal dilatation, it is still considered to be useful to scan the ureterovesical junction.
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INTRODUCTION

Ureteral colic is a common complaint of patients presenting at emergency room and outpatient clinics. Obtaining a comprehensive medical history and performing a careful physical examination are valuable for making an accurate diagnosis of ureterolithiasis. However, imaging studies are required to differentiate this condition from other diseases and to determine the optimal initial management strategy. For this purpose, plain-film kidney–ureter–bladder (KUB) radiography, ultrasonography (US), and intravenous urography (IVU) are used as diagnostic modalities. Recent years the preferred diagnostic modality for the patients with suspect of ureteral stone was IVU. But, as intravenous contrast medium is used and patients are exposed to radiation, search for new diagnostic modalities has continued and spiral CT with its nearly 100 % sensitivity for ureteric calculi detection and ability to also detect extrarenal pathologies, has been accepted as the gold standard modality. However
patients are exposed to more ionizing radiation in CT than in IVU.4

US is radiation free and doesn’t require contrast medium, it is the modality of choice for the initial evaluation, especially for children and pregnant women.1,5 Furthermore, it is inexpensive, universally available, has acceptable sensitivity and specificity and is not affected by the renal functions.2,6 US is a safe imaging technique and can be performed at the patient’s bedside. It has been mainly used to evaluate the presence and degree of hydronephrosis in patients suspected of having uraterolithiasis.8

However, the sensitivity of US for detection of ureteric stones has been reported to be from 58% to 95% when the presence of hydronephrosis has been used as the diagnostic criterion and hydronephrosis does not always show the presence of a ureteral stone.1,7,9-14 Recently, detection of a ureteral stone with US has been reported to be useful for diagnosing uraterolithiasis.12,13 When a ureteral stone is clearly observed on US, then the diagnosis is confirmed.1,2,11,13

There is wide variation in the detection rate of ureteric calculi in various parts of the world. There is no such study done till date in Nepal on the relationship between the degree of hydronephrosis with US detection rates of ureteric stones.

Therefore, the purpose of our study was to evaluate the ultrasonographic detection of ureteric calculi and compare the relationships with grade of hydronephrosis in patients with CT or IVU proven ureteric calculi.

METHODS AND MATERIAL

This was a prospective cross sectional study involving 75 patients (49 men, 26 women; age: 15-78 years) who were diagnosed with ureteric calculus by CT or IVU with no other medical problems. There were 73 cases confirmed by IVU and 2 cases confirmed by CT urogram. These patients were further evaluated by US to detect ureteric calculi with relationship to grade of hydronephrosis.

There were no false (+) and true (-) groups and only the detection rates with relation to the grade of hydronephrosis was calculated.

The US examinations were performed on a Samsung R7 system using a 3.5 MHz abdominal probe. During the examination, the patient reclined supinely, with some rotation either to the right or left side to facilitate the evaluation as described elsewhere.

The localizations of ureteral stones are classified as; proximal ureter if between the ureteropelvic (UP) junction and iliac cross, iliac cross localized, distal ureter if between the iliac cross and ureterovesical (UV) junction and UV junction localized.

The degree of hydronephrosis is a continuum, although somewhat arbitrary designations of mild, moderate, and severe hydronephrosis are commonly used.16,17 The grade of hydronephrosis is classified as follows; mild pelvicalyceal system (PCS) dilatation: grade 1, moderate PCS dilatation without parenchymal loss: grade 2, severe PCS dilatation with parenchymal thinning: grade 3 hydronephrosis.17

The statistical analysis was carried out by using SPSS software package version 13.0 (SPSS Inc.,Chicago, IL, USA). Chi square test (continuity correction and Exact) used. P-value < 0.05 was considered statistically significant. Patients with or without ureteric stones as detected on USG were plotted against the grade of hydronephrosis and Percentage charts were obtained.

RESULTS

Total 75 patients were included in the study with the documented history of ureteric stones. The age group included in the study was from 17-78 years. The detection of ureteral stones was found highest in the age group of 35-45 years, followed by 25-35 years. The detection rate of ureteric stones depending on their location was found to be highest in the VUJ (50.7%) region, followed by proximal (16%) region and iliac cross (6.7%) and distal (6.7%) location respectively.

DISCUSSION

The sensitivity of US for ureteric stones has been reported to be 37- 64 % in different articles, but it has also been reported that these rates rises to 74- 95 % in obstructed collecting systems.1,7,18,21

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Locations</th>
<th>Grade Of Hydronephrosis</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Proximal</td>
<td>No HDN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iliac Cross</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Distal</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VUJ</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Undetected Stones</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1: The difference in grade of hydronephrosis in relation to location of ureteric stone. Majority of ureteric calculus were detected at VUJ with severe hydronephrosis.
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Sommer et al reported that they had high success rates for detecting ureteral stones by US when there is minimal hydronephrosis and that the false (-) rates are higher if there is no hydronephrosis.22 So one can mention that as the grade of hydronephrosis rises, the detection rate of ureteral stones with US also rises.

In our study, 60 out of 75 patients with ureteral stones were detected by US, giving a detection rate of 80%. Detection rate of US raised from 63.6% for mild hydronephrosis (HDN) to 96.8% for severe HDN with ureteric stones. Detection rate for ureteric stones was found to be only 40% when there was no hydronephrosis. Our results are similar to those obtained by various other authors.23,24

In the study done by Kameda T.et.al, the ureteric stone detection rates were 73% with calyceal dilatation and 44% without dilatation.23 In another study by Özden E.et. al the detection rate of ureteric stones by USG in grade 1 hydronephrosis was 65.9 %, those with grade 2 hydronephrosis group was 78 % and those with grade 3 hydronephrosis were 95 %. Such that detection of ureteric stone by USG was 73.9 %.24

Our results showed more sensitivity of ultrasonography for the detection of ureteric calculi as compared to the studies done by Aslaksen et al. and Dalla et al.10,25

In a study conducted by Patlas et al showed 93% detection rate of ureteric stone and the detection rate of HDN was reported 100%.2 The results were satisfactory with the present study showing 80% detection rate of ureteric stone and 93.3% detection rate of HDN.

Higher detection rate of ureteric calculi in our study could be due to advance in ultrasonographic technology or the awareness of the observer about the IVU or CT findings.

Saita et al determined the success rates of US according to the localization of the stone and they reported success rates of 82.2 % in the proximal and 68 % in the distal ureter.26

In the present study the detection rates reported were 20% in the proximal ureter, 8.3% in the iliac cross and distal ureter and 63.3% in the VUJ region. The detection rate was highest in the VUJ region compared to the previous studies. This may be because the observer was aware of the CT and IVU findings.

There was a large difference in the detection rate of hydronephrosis with US in the previous studies reported by Aslaksen et al, Dalla et al, Yilmaz et al and Sheafor et al where the detection rates were lower,74%, 73%,73% and 65% respectively.10,25,11 However in studies reported by Patlas et al and Ripolles et al detection rates of hydronephrosis was 100%, which was higher than that reported in the present study (93%).2,13

Yilmaz et al reported that CT was found to be the best modality for depicting ureteral stones with an accuracy of 95 %, while IVU had 66 % and US 45 % accuracy values.11 But Patlas et al found US and spiral CT equally sensitive in detection of ureteral calculi with 93 % and 91% sensitivity respectively.2 Our results are also comparable to that of CT findings when there is severe hydronephrosis.
CONCLUSION

Ultrasonography has high detection rate of ureteric calculi. The detection rate is comparable to CT when there is presence of severe hydronephrosis. Even when patients have no calyceal dilatation, it is still considered to be useful to scan the VUJ.

US, as a noninvasive modality, should be the first imaging choice especially when there is hydronephrosis. Spiral CT can be reserved only for cases where US fails to provide adequate information. However, our results should be validated with further study involving large sample size.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

Radiology team of Purwanchal hospital.

REFERENCES


Authors Contribution:
PK-Concept and design of study, manuscript preparation, statistically analysed and interpreted with critical revision of manuscript.

Work attributed to:
Department of Radiology, Purwanchal university of medicine and allied science, Gothgaun, Morang, Nepal.

Orcid ID:
Dr. Pankaj Kafle- @ http://orcid.org/0000-0001-7776-6555

Source of Support: Not Applicable., Conflict of Interest: None declared.