Anatomic variations of the extrathoracic course of the intercostobrachial nerve and its clinical significance
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ABSTRACT

Background: The intercostobrachial nerve (ICBN) can present anatomic alterations in its course, but in general it originates as a lateral branch of the second intercostal nerve and penetrates the axilla, in the mid axillary line. Its communication with brachial plexus (BP) is of clinical importance. Neurotization and nerve grafting procedures have renewed interest in the communications of peripheral nerves, like that of between BP and ICBN.

Aims and Objective: The current study was conducted with an aim to observe the variation in the origin of extra thoracic course of the ICBN and its connection with the components of BP.

Materials and Methods: One hundred thirty hemi-thoraxes of 65 adult cadavers (35 male & 30 female) of Indian origin were dissected. After removal of the skin and superficial fascia, the ICBN was identified from its origin. The point of emergence from the intercostal space and its communication with BP was noted and photographed. Results: Extrathoracically, ICBN originated from the 2nd intercostals space in 100% specimens. Additionally ICBN originated from the 1st intercostals space in 3.8% specimens, from 3rd intercostals space in 20.7% cases. The ICBN communicated more frequently with medial cutaneous nerve of the arm (MCN) in 63% cases and with other BP branches in 44.6% cases.

Conclusion: In the present study we observed ICBN and BP are coherently linked anatomically. The anatomical knowledge of ICBN origin and its variable communication with BP branches significant in the event of surgical treatment of breast cancers, lymph node clearance, anaesthetic nerve blocks and traction injuries to the brachial plexus.
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INTRODUCTION

Intercostobrachial nerve (ICBN) can present anatomic alterations in its course, but in general it originates as a lateral branch of the second intercostal nerve (T2) and, when perforating the anterior and intercostal serratus muscles, it penetrates the axilla at approximately 1–2 cm before the track of the long thoracic nerve, in the mid axillary line. After passing through the base of the axilla, it is divided in two or three branches until it reaches the medial side of the superior part of the arm and axilla. Axillary dissection during breast carcinoma surgeries poses risk to ICBN from stretching as well as from frank transaction. So it becomes necessary to have a sound knowledge of its anatomical variations.² Damage to ICBN is a common complication of axillary node dissection during mastectomy. The medial portion of ICBN is encountered during exposition of the long thoracic and thoracodorsal nerves. Identification of this nerve is therefore essential to its preservation.³ According to Toressan et al. (2003), preservation of ICBN is feasible and leads to a significant decrease in the alteration of pain sensitivity of the arm without interfering with the total time of the surgery, the number of dissected nodes or local relapse rates.⁶
Loukas et al. (2007) reported that ICBN usually carries a T2 contribution to the brachial plexus and its preservation increases with the knowledge of its union with various branches of brachial plexus. Furthermore they opined, damage to this nerve may therefore have additional consequences beyond the deficits described for the axillary and pectoral regions. For example, if the T2 communication contained motor fibers, there could be some degree of weakness associated with its transaction.

In the present study we carried out dissection in the axilla of 65 adult cadavers of both sexes to determine the anatomical variations in the origin of the ICBN and its communication with medial cutaneous nerve of arm (MCN) and medial cord of brachial plexus (BP).

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

The ICBN was examined in 65 adult cadavers of Indian origin. Of these, 35 were male and 30 were female and ranged in age from 56 to 83 years; mean age of 67. All the cadavers were fixated in formalin–phenol–alcohol solution. None of the cadavers revealed any evidence of previous surgical procedures or traumatic lesions to the axillary regions. After removal of the skin and superficial fascia around the axilla, the ICBN was identified from its origin. The point of emergence from the intercostal space and its communication with BP branches were noted and photographed.

**RESULTS**

**Occurrence rate and source of ICBN**

Among the 130 axilla (35 male & 30 female) dissected, there were 130 (100%) cases we observed ICBN originated from the second intercostal nerve (T2) (Figure 1). In addition to the former; In 5 cases (3.8%) ICBN originated from the first intercostal nerve (T1) (Figure 2) and in 27 cases (20.7%) ICBN originated from the third intercostal nerve (T3) (Figure 3) (Table 1). Among 130 cases, there were 98 cases of single trunk (Fig.1), 24 cases of double trunks (Figure 3) and in 8 cases of multiple trunks (Figure 2) were observed.

**DISTRIBUTION OF ICBN**

After originating from the respective intercostal spaces the ICBN mainly supplied the skin of the posteroideal aspect of the arm, bottom of the axilla and nearby thoracic walls (Figure 4). Five cases ICBN originated from T1 in combination with T2 or T3 roots or both (Figure 2). In 45 cases multiple nerve roots of ICBN originated from the second (T2), third (T3) and first (T1) intercostal nerves.
and supplied to the medial side of arm along with the MCN (Figure 5) and in 7 cases the branches from ICBN, innervated pectoralis major muscle (Fig 3, 4). ICBN was found to be attached with the MCN in 82 cases (63%) and with the medial cord BP in 58 cases (44.6%) (Figure 2, 3) (Table 1).

**DISCUSSION**

The lateral cutaneous branch of the T2 divides into a thinner or absent anterior branch and a thicker posterior branch that is generally considered as the ICBN. In rare conditions, the ICBN combines with the lateral cutaneous branch of the first and/or intercostal nerve. Zhu et al. (2014) observed ICBN was present in 97.4% cases and absent in 2.5% populations they studied. Similar to our finding, Loukas et al. (2007) reported ICBN was observed in 100% subjects they studied.

Anilkumar P (2014) reported that one ICBN on each side in 29 cadavers (72.5%), then two ICBN on each side in 8 cadavers (20%), then three ICBN on each side in 2 cadavers (5%) and Five ICBN on each side in 1 cadaver (2.5%) out of the 40 cadavers they dissected. They also observed that the ICBN was having connections to the BP on each side in 11 cases (27.5%). In the present study we observed ICBN communicated with MCN in 82 cases (63%) and ICBN communicated with BP in 58 cases (44.6%). Loukas et al. (2007) observed ICBN had a 100% communication with the BP. Loukas et al. (2007) also observed ICBN communicated with the medial cord of BP in 35.6% cases and with MCN in 25.5%. O’Rourke et al. (1999) reported that ICBN communicated either with BP or MCN in 15 out of 28 dissections (53.5%).

Zhu et al. (2014) reported a single trunk of the ICBN that originated from the T2 accounted for 120 cases, 23 cases of double trunks and 9 cases of multiple trunks out of 152 surgeries. In present study we observed 98 cases (75.3%) of single trunks, 24 cases (18.4%) of double trunks and in 8 cases (6.1%) multiple trunks respectively out of 130 cases. Benton et al. (2014) observed approximately 80% cases the T1 had no lateral cutaneous branch, but they reported 30% cases ICBN originated from the T3.

**Table 1: Variable origin of ICBN branches from the various intercostalspaces in the axilla and its communication with the MCN (Medial cutaneous nerve of arm) and BP (brachial plexus)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source of ICBN arising from the intercostal space and its communication to medial cutaneous nerve of arm (MCN) and brachial plexus (BP)</th>
<th>Total number of axilla dissected (130)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Male (70)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Right side (35)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Source of ICBN arising from the T1</td>
<td>5 (3.8%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Source of ICBN arising from the T2</td>
<td>130 (100%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Source of ICBN arising from the T3</td>
<td>27 (20.7%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Communication of ICBN with MCN</td>
<td>82 (63%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Communication of ICBN with BP</td>
<td>58 (44.6%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
In the present study we observed the ICBN originated from the T3 in 20.7% cases, in comparison to the 30% cases reported by Benton et al. (2014)\(^1\). Further, we observed ICBN originated in 3.8% cases from the T1 and 100% cases from the T2 respectively.

Loukas et al. (2010) mentioned that the knowledge and variation of T2 contributions to the brachial plexus is significant in the event of surgical treatment of breast cancers, lymph node clearance, anaesthetic nerve blocks, avulsion and traction injuries to the brachial plexus\(^1\). Loukas et al. (2007) reported an unusual union between ICBN and medial pectoral nerve and opined that potential muscular and sensory innervations may be derived from the above mentioned variant\(^1\). Loukas et al. (2006) reported a variation in which, ICBN gave rise to a medial pectoral branch, which partially innervated both the pectoralis minor and pectoralis major muscles\(^1\). In the present study we observed ICBN gave a motor branch to pectoralis major muscle in 7 out of 130 cases. Above observations strengthens the current trend of preservation of ICBN during axillary surgical procedures\(^6\)\(^1\).

**CONCLUSION**

ICBN origin and its communication with the brachial plexus varies a great deal among various literature reported till date, this may happen due to the studies carried out among various ethnic group and population size. Present study indicates the potential variations of ICBN and its communication to the BP, which is both sensory and motor in nature. The knowledge obtained from the present study can be utilized in mastectomy and axillary surgeries to preserve the ICBN and its various communicating branches for proper risk management post surgery and also to protect the sensory and motor loses.
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