INTRODUCTION

Pain is an unpleasant sensory and emotional experience associated with actual and potential tissue damage or described in terms of such damage.\(^1\)\(^,\)\(^2\) Nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs) are universally used to treat inflammatory, painful conditions by inhibiting Cyclooxygenase (COX-1 or non-selective or COX-2 selective) enzyme. NSAIDs are well accepted over-the-counter drugs used for acute, chronic non traumatic musculoskeletal conditions such as arthritis, backache, myalgia as well as in traumatic injury, fracture, wound, post operative pain.
Periodic evaluation of drug utilization template is advantageous to validate more suitable modifications in the prescription of drugs to increase the therapeutic benefit and decrease the adverse effects. Prescription pattern of NSAID has transformed in quick succession over a period of time as these are the most widely prescribed class of medications worldwide.

Drug utilization study define the intensity and characterization of recent drug usage trends, optimal quality of drugs and compliance with regional or national guidelines like generic drugs, essential drug formulations. It was observed in the majority of such studies that physicians do not adhere to the guidelines made by regulatory agencies; leading to irrational use of medicines, treatment failure, drug resistance, adverse effects and economic burden with poor patient compliance. Prescription monitoring studies ensure a bridge between rational drug usage, evidence based medicine, pharmaco-economics, pharmacovigilance, pharmacogenetics and eco-pharmacovigilance.

The optimum purpose of drug utilization studies are useful to assign the appropriate quality of drug therapy by identifying, documenting and analyzing problems in drug usage and monitoring the consequences of interventions. Prescriptions are a good source of information for determining some of the indicators of drug use recommended by WHO including the:

- Average number of drugs per prescription;
- Percentage of drugs prescribed by generic name;
- Percentage of encounters resulting in prescription of an antibiotic;
- Percentage of encounters resulting in prescription of an injection;
- Percentage of drugs prescribed from essential drugs list or formulary, and
- Average drug cost per encounter.

There were limited data on drug utilization practices in patients attending Pain Clinic. These knowledge’s are useful to evaluate the present state and future trends of drug usage, to estimate crudely disease prevalence, drug expenditures, appropriateness of prescriptions and adherence to evidence-based recommendations.

AIMS AND OBJECTIVE

The present study was undertaken to scrutinize the drug utilization pattern mainly to analgesics like Nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs) in urban and rural populations of Hapur. The study also inspected whether the physicians were abiding by standard WHO prescription indicators during prescribing drugs.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Study design
A prospective, observational study design was undertaken in the patients attending the Pain Clinic Out-patient Department in association with Pharmacology Department of a tertiary care teaching hospital, SIMS, Hapur, Uttar Pradesh.

Study area
The study was conducted in District Hapur (UP), India.

Study period
The duration of the study was of six months from September 2017 to February 2018.

Study setting
The study was initiated by the patients being referred to the Department of Pain Clinic, Saraswati Institute of Medical Sciences and Hospital, Hapur (Uttar Pradesh).

Study population
The present study included 340 cases attending out-patient of Pain Clinic. Before participation, the purpose of the study was explained to all the patients and their written informed consent was taken. In the clinic during consultation information obtained regarding demographic characteristics of the patient and detailed history of the disease were taken. Each prescription contained diagnosis of disease, drug name, dose, dosage form, strength, frequency of drug administration, drug route, duration of treatment, drug dispense quantity and patient drug knowledge.

In this study, random prescriptions were collected from the OPD and scanned for the record purpose. The data were archival in nature and scrutinized thoroughly as per WHO prescribing indicators that include accurate prescribing pattern, patient care and facility specific indicators by using defined methodology of WHO.

In Core health facility or Complementary indicators, availability of key drugs, availability of copy of National
Essential drug list, average consultation & dispensing time, percentage of drugs adequately dispensed & labeled at the pharmacy, patient’s knowledge of correct dosage could not be evaluated & determined accurately.

Statistically analysis
At the end of data collection, all data were tabulated in summary sheets and were analyzed by using computer software SPSS version 21. Descriptive statistics were used to describe data. No follow up of prescriptions done. P-value less than 0.05 was considered significant.

Ethical approval
Ethical Approval was taken from the Institutional Ethical Committee after explaining the aim and objectives of the Study.

Detailed procedure
All patients underwent a detailed clinical and laboratory evaluation during the study.

RESULTS
Total number of drugs prescribed in prescriptions of the total 340 patients was 780. Prescriptions of all 340 patients were analyzed, of whom 212 (62.35%) were males while 128 (37.65%) were females. Average number of drugs per prescription was 2.29 & had shown the preference towards poly-pharmacy.

Figure 1 showing three types of formulations that were prescribed to the patients - oral, parenteral, topical. The number of oral, parenteral and topical prescriptions in the study population was 714 (91.53%), 56 (07.20%), and 10 (1.30%) respectively.

Table 1 showing the types of medicines prescribed included nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs) 242 (31.03%), antacid or anti-ulcerants 195 (25%), antimicrobial agents 101 (12.95%), calcium and vitamin D tablets 117 (15%), corticosteroids 31 (3.97%) and other drugs 94 (12.05%). Among the other drugs polyvitamins, multimineral, ferrous sulfate, folic acid were advised.

Table 2 shows the percentage of encounters with an injection prescribed was 56 (7.20%) that is lesser than WHO ideal 10% injectable usage. So, it indicates rational use of injections but still should be needed proper guidance to minimize parenteral formulations. Dose frequency and duration of treatment was mentioned in 100% prescriptions. No diagnosis or provisional diagnosis was made in 18 prescriptions and investigations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Types of Medicines</th>
<th>Number of Drugs Prescribed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NSAIDs</td>
<td>31.03%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antacid/Anti-Ulcerant</td>
<td>25%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anti-Microbial agents</td>
<td>12.95%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calcium and Vit-D tablets</td>
<td>15%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corticosteroids</td>
<td>3.97%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Others</td>
<td>12.05%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2: Standard prescribing trends followed in SIMS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Prescribing Indicators</th>
<th>Result</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Average number of drugs per prescription</td>
<td>2.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percentage of drugs prescribed from Essential drug list</td>
<td>85.90%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percentage of drugs prescribed by Generic name</td>
<td>21.02%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percentage of drugs prescribed as NSAIDs</td>
<td>31.03%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percentage of encounter with an Injection prescribed</td>
<td>7.20%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percentage of Anti-Ulcerant drugs prescribed</td>
<td>25%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percentage of most frequently prescribed NSAID</td>
<td>75.21% (Ibuprofen Sodium)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percentage of encounter with an Antacid prescribed</td>
<td>12.95%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 1: Different types of formulations prescribed in pain clinic out-patient department
were advised for accurate detection of disease. Out of all the medicines, 670 (85.90%) were found written from Essential Drug List; that help to monitor whether National drug policy is being used or not according to National Essential Drug List. In the prescribing indicators the percentage of drugs prescribed by generic name was 164 (21.02%).

Figure 2 shows the most frequently prescribed NSAIDs were Diclofenac sodium 182 (75.21%), Paracetamol 36 (14.88%), Aceclofenac 8 (3.31%), Ibuprofen 6 (2.48%), Piroxicam 5 (2.06%), Rofecoxib 5 (2.06%).

DISCUSSION

A prescription based analysis is regarded as one of the most effective methods to assess and evaluate the prescribing attitude of the physicians. In this study, the average number of drugs per prescription was found to be 2.29, which is slightly more than the WHO recommendations. It has been endorsed that the limit of number of drugs written per prescription should be two as poly-pharmacy increase cost of medicines, drug-drug interactions, adverse drug reactions & poor patient compliance. A hospital based study in Bangladesh had reported a mean of two.6 The mean number of drugs was more than two in some other studies.7-9 Where the number was lesser than two in another study.10,11 The most common clinical indications for using NSAIDs were various joint pains, musculoskeletal pain, backache, arthritis, traumatic injury & infective conditions etc. In this study, NSAIDs were the most frequently prescribed medicine (31.03%). A study in a teaching hospital in Western Nepal was comparable with our recent data.10 Mostly the drugs were administered in the tablet or capsule form (91.53%) followed by injectables (7.20%) and with least use of gel/creams/ointments (1.30%). The use of injectable preparation was more (7.20%) as compared to a study from New Delhi (4.4%).12

During the study, the percentage of drugs prescribed from Essential Drug List were (85.90%) and it was not identical with the WHO Standard (100%) which serve as an ideal. In some other studies performed by B.K. Mohanty et al (57.70%) and NY Mirza et al (77.61%) the results were lower than our outcomes.13,14 The Percentage of drugs prescribed from Essential Drug List (85.90%), almost matches the mean from 8 different Studies of (71.70%).15 Drugs prescribed by Generic name was (21.02%) that is not identical with WHO Standard of (100%).16 The results of several other studies were (30.70%) more than our studies while some shows less use of Generic drugs (5.41%) & (5.75%) respectively.13,17,18 This study Spotlight the repeated use of NSAIDs (31.03%) in Pain clinic out patients as pain is a common symptoms over there. The most commonly encountered NSAID was Diclofenac Sodium (75.21%) followed by Paracetamol (14.88%), while other NSAIDs as Aceclofenac (03.31%), Ibuprofen (02.48%), Piroxicam (02.06%) & Rofecoxib (02.06%) were least prescribed drugs. This prescription pattern was much similar with some other studies.7,11,19

Most of the conditions in patients are degenerative in nature and also having a disease progressive course. So,
patients have to prescribe synergistic adjuvant drugs such as calcium & Vit-D tablets (15%), anti-ulcerants (25%), corticosteroids (3.97%) and other medications as folic acid, ferrous sulphate, multivitamins and minerals, rubefacients (12.05%) to refine overall health benefits. Even though GI toxicity is major limitation of traditional NSAIDs, there were no significant toxicity occurred during the study. Diclofenac, Paracetamol are relatively safe in short term use (<10 days) and we have also avoided prolonged usage of these drugs to decrease peptic ulcer. So, anti-ulcerant was prescribed only with (25%) patients. Another study from tertiary care hospital in Maharashtra by Madhuri Kulkarni showed resemble with our data (23.8%). This indicates that anti-ulcer drugs should be used in selective patients with NSAIDs and with other associated risk factors.20

Along with NSAIDS different other classes of drugs were also prescribed like antimicrobial agents (12.95%). In several other studies it was seen that percentage of encounters with antibiotic was 78.15%17 and 60%21 respectively. This study reveals that we are using antimicrobials with precaution to prevent antibiotic resistance. WHO also has been trying to control the emergence of resistance to antibiotics and also spread the message for rational use of antibiotics.

CONCLUSION

The prescribing course of NSAIDs point out some divergence from the WHO standard. The study showed some irrational practice mainly with non-generic drug usage and more inclination towards injectables but the percentage of analgesics prescribed from essential medicine list, use of less antimicrobial agents, anti-ulcerants and other drugs were found to be satisfactory. The average number of drugs per prescription was slightly more. So, the study highlights the need to minimize the average number of drugs per encounter and also to select cost effective drugs judiciously. Thus, we conclude revision of clinical policy is essential with better interventions to improve drug use and quality control at all possible levels for long term and better clinical outcome in the medical practice.
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