INTRODUCTION

Diabetes Mellitus was initially associated with hyperglycemia but subsequently linked as a great risk for all manifestation of atherosclerotic vascular disease, including stroke when compared with non diabetic subjects.\(^1\)\(^-\)\(^3\) This increased risk is only partly explained by the adverse effects of NIDDM on classic risk factors or risk factors clustering like hyperinsulinemia, elevated levels of total triglycerides, decreased HDL cholesterol, hypertension and glucose intolerance.\(^1\)\(^-\)\(^6\) There are more new risk markers which have been introduced like Serum uric acid (SUA).

Serum Uric acid or more correctly, its monoanion uric acid at physiological pH values had always been thought of as a metabolically inert end product of purine metabolism without physiological significance except gouty diathesis. However, it has been recently associated with insulin resistance and also considered as a risk marker for the development of diseases like diabetes, coronary heart disease.\(^7\) It has been reported in some other research work that serum uric acid is also a novel risk factor for development of diabetes itself.\(^8\) Furthermore, in non-diabetic subjects an elevated level of uric acid has been shown to be an independent predictor of coronary heart disease and total mortality.\(^9\)\(^-\)\(^11\) At the same time studies done to determine the distribution of SUA in population of several countries have suggested that its levels are determined by both genetic and biological factors like gender, age and body mass.\(^12\)\(^-\)\(^14\)

This means that SUA a risk factor (may be independent or dependent) of cardiovascular disease in diabetic individual is also genetically governed. So detection of this factor in
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Indian population and its relation with other risk factors becomes important. Since there is a higher incidence of diabetes and cardiovascular disease in Indian population which is thought to be genetically related and no significant study has been done in this direction to determine the level of uric acid in the Indian population and see how this risk factor is in turn related with the other risk factors.

Hence this study was planned out with a focus to determine if there was an incidence of hyperuricemia in Indian population and if yes, was there any correlation of it with other risk factors of cardiovascular disease among the diabetic Indian population.

**MATERIAL AND METHODS**

a) Subject selection:

The study enrolled 120 subjects admitted at Dhiraj Hospital, Piparia, Vadodara, Gujarat, India. The control group comprising of 60 subjects (non diabetic and not suffering from any major illness) who were sex and age matched and test group comprised of 60 subjects diagnosed as type II DM. They were known cases of diabetes and were treated only by oral anti-diabetic drugs (sulfonylurea and metformin). Patients with complications like history of stroke, myocardial infarction or any other cardiovascular disease were excluded. The known cases of hypertension were also excluded. The study protocol was approved by the Ethics Committee of the Institution. All ethical norms were followed during the entire course of the study.

b) Collection of specimen:

Venous blood sample was collected under aseptic conditions after 12 hrs of fast, in plain bulb for estimation of serum Uric acid, lipid profile while blood was collected in the fluoride bulb for blood sugar estimation both fasting and post prandial. The fasting blood sample was used for measurement of serum total cholesterol, HDL, TG, SUA while both fasting and post prandial sample was obtained to determine blood sugar level. These parameters were determined by using enzymatic reagent kits while parameters like LDL and VLDL was calculated using the formula (LDL= TC- (HDL+TG/5). Smoking, alcohol intake status were determined using standard questionnaires.

**Statistical analysis**

All results are expressed as mean ±S.D. Students “t” test was used to compare the continuous variables between groups. Pearson’s correlation was employed to calculate the ‘r’ value. Statistical significance was defined as p<0.05. The statistical analysis was done using SPSS version 14.0

**RESULTS**

The study group was divided into two - group I (diabetic individuals) while the group II (control). Table I summarizes the demographic data of participants in both the groups. There was no significant difference between the participants of both the groups with regards to age, sex, educational status, occupation, history of smoking and alcohol consumption.

All values are mean ±SD. Total number of patients was 60 in each group. p<0.0001* was considered to be highly significant.

The SUA levels observed in the test group could not be labeled as ‘Hyperuricemic’ but were definitely towards the higher side in the subjects than control group. The statistical analysis of the various parameters revealed that there existed a very highly significant correlation between the various parameters (BSL fasting, BSL post prandial, TC, TG, LDL, VLDL, UA) in test and control group (Table 2).

But when the correlation of serum uric acid with the other parameters was studied it was observed that a significant relation could not be established. Serum uric acid and fasting blood sugar showed a negative correlation. Two

<p>| Table 1: Demographic data of the diabetic individuals (Group I) and healthy controls (Group II) |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>DM (group I)</th>
<th>Healthy controls (group II)</th>
<th>p value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age( years)</td>
<td>55.7±9.7</td>
<td>55.1±9</td>
<td>p&lt;0.0001*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender ( M:F)</td>
<td>36:24</td>
<td>33:27</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smoking status</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Never smoked</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>21</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ex- smoker</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>22</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current smoker</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>17</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alcoholism</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Never consumed</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>28</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ex- consumer</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>13</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Currently alcoholic</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>19</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<p>| Table 2: Levels of biochemical parameters in Group I and Group II |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sr. No.</th>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Group I</th>
<th>Group II</th>
<th>p value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Blood sugar (F) (mg%)</td>
<td>129±53.2</td>
<td>78±12.6</td>
<td>p&lt;0.0001*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Blood sugar (pp) (mg%)</td>
<td>210±76.5</td>
<td>107±11.1</td>
<td>p&lt;0.0001*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Uric Acid (mg%)</td>
<td>6±1</td>
<td>3±0.6</td>
<td>p&lt;0.0001*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Total Cholesterol (mg%)</td>
<td>223±52.1</td>
<td>165±27.4</td>
<td>p&lt;0.0001*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Triglycerides (mg%)</td>
<td>182±35.6</td>
<td>114±32.5</td>
<td>p&lt;0.0001*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>HDL (mg%)</td>
<td>24±12.4</td>
<td>44±12.9</td>
<td>p&lt;0.0001*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>LDL (mg%)</td>
<td>158±51.6</td>
<td>98±28.1</td>
<td>p&lt;0.0001*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>VLDL (mg%)</td>
<td>36±10.8</td>
<td>23±6.5</td>
<td>p&lt;0.0001*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

All values are mean ±SD. Total number of patients was 60 in each group. p<0.0001* was considered to be highly significant.
of the lipid profile parameters i.e total cholesterol and triglycerides showed a significant positive correlation in the test group (Table 3).

**DISCUSSION**

Diabetes is called a “Silent Killer” and with every new risk factor added, it becomes more difficult to understand the pathophysiology of this disease which seems to be so very interlinked with various other factors. The role of serum uric acid in development of cardiovascular disease in diabetic individuals is controversial. There are studies which have reported that serum uric acid is an independent risk factor for cardiovascular disease.\(^{15,16}\) While there are also some studies that found that it was not an independent risk factor but it acted so due to its association with other risk factors.\(^{17,18}\) It has been observed in these studies that this is because high body mass index (BMI), hypertension, lipid disorders (especially raised triglycerides level and low high density lipoprotein cholesterol HDL-C level), increased creatinine or insulin levels can lead to hyperuricemia.

In the present study also highly significant (\(p<0.0001\)) increase in the serum uric acid level was observed when compared with the control, which is very similar to the results of the other studies reported earlier.\(^{19,21}\) Hence we need to re think about Uric acid, as it no more looks to be a waste product but seems to have some more roles to play in the pathology of the disease. In fact the Finnish Diabetes Prevention Study states that serum uric acid level within the top tertile (\(\geq 6.4\) mg/dl) is associated with a twofold increase in the risk compared to the lowest tertile (<5.2 mg/dl).\(^{21}\)

Disturbed lipid metabolism another risk factor of CVD when studied revealed a highly significant positive (\(p<0.0001\)) increase which was also indicated in another study.\(^{22}\) Uric acid was always considered to be an antioxidant with protective effect but with the recent clinical evidence suggesting that it also has toxic effects indicates that this paradox may be because of this compound becoming a pro- oxidant compound, particularly when it is present in blood at supranormal levels. The oxidative stress plays a significant role in the pathophysiology of the disease.\(^{23}\) The critical enzyme in the degradation to purine i.e Xanthine oxidase has been shown to be an important source of superoxide free radicals and its activity increases during ischemia.\(^{24,25}\) Hence an increased level of uric acid leads to endothelial dysfunction, oxidative metabolism, platelet adhesiveness, hemorrhage, aggregation and nitric oxide inhibition thus setting the ball rolling for episodes of cardiovascular disease.\(^{26}\)

Serum uric acid and fasting blood sugar showed a negative correlation thus indicating that UA could be used as a potential biomarker to find the deterioration in glucose metabolism.

**CONCLUSION**

It can be concluded from this study that, though it cannot be stated that there exists incidence of hyperuricemia but definitely the values obtained of SUA are towards the higher side in the studied Indian population and it also correlation with some of the established risk factors of cardiovascular disease among the diabetic Indian population. The normal range has to be redefined in the Indian Population for serum Uric acid. Since, these findings provide prospective evidence that individual with though normal limits of serum uric acid have a risk to develop cardiovascular disease if the serum uric acid is towards the higher side of the normal range and hence it gets overlooked. It also seems that uric acid has an inextricably linkage with the dyslipidemia, deranged glucose metabolism thus contribute to the causal role in the pathogenesis of CVD. This study could be significant for the Indian population as they are at a higher risk of developing cardiovascular disease.
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**Table 3: Correlation analysis of serum uric acid with other parameters in Group I and group II**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sr. No.</th>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>Correlation coefficient(r)</th>
<th>Group I</th>
<th>Group II</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Blood sugar (F)(mg%)</td>
<td>-0.045</td>
<td>0.096</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Blood sugar (pp) (mg%)</td>
<td>0.082</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Total Cholesterol (mg%)</td>
<td>0.44*</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Triglycerides (mg%)</td>
<td>0.39*</td>
<td>-0.081</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>HDL (mg%)</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>LDL (mg%)</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>VLDL (mg%)</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>-0.074</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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