INTRODUCTION

Sepsis is a serious condition and still a common cause of morbidity and mortality worldwide. Bacteremia is identified only in a proportion of patients who present with sepsis and bloodstream infections even when microbiological diagnostic methods are available.1 Isolation, identification, and antimicrobial susceptibility testing of the bacteria causing bacteremia are achieved by performing blood cultures, which is the gold standard for confirmation of bacteremia. However, the inadvertent delay of blood culture reports emphasizes the need for an earlier diagnosis of sepsis.2 All patients who appear septic do not demonstrate an infection, and the widespread administration of antibiotics to all these patients carries problems of developing antibiotic resistance, drug toxicity, and increased medical cost. Hence, there is a need for an effective and accurate biochemical marker to support or exclude the diagnosis of infection.3

Procalcitonin (PCT), a precursor molecule of calcitonin, was found to be a valuable and reliable biomarker for sepsis, especially in critical care patients for whom early recognition and prompt treatment could reduce mortality. Aims and Objectives: This study was aimed at correlating the levels of PCT as diagnostic marker for sepsis in relation to the culture positivity of various samples from blood, respiratory, urine, and exudates from patients admitted in a tertiary care hospital.

Materials and Methods: Results of PCT level along with bacterial culture results of blood, respiratory, urine, and exudates were analyzed from 780 patients for a period of 1 year.

Results: High PCT values ranging from 0.52 to 200 ng/ml were found in 331 patients admitted with suspected sepsis. Out of 135 cases of sepsis, 85 had blood culture positivity alone and 50 had culture positivity in blood and in other sites with the same organism. Among the 85 cases of bloodstream infections, in which no localized infections were identified, the median PCT was 33 for Gram-negative bacteremia, which was significantly higher as compared with a median of 16 for Gram-positive cocci. In UTI with bacteremia, the median PCT was 45.34 and in UTI without bacteremia, it was 5. Conclusion: From this study, we concluded that PCT values may be useful to distinguish Gram-negative and Gram-positive bacteremia, and furthermore, a high PCT value for patients with UTI may be helpful in predicting bacteremia.
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serum is very low (0.05 ng/mL). During inflammation, the release of PCT is independent of the above regulation and is produced mainly by two alternative mechanisms; direct pathway induced by lipopolysaccharide (LPS) or other toxic metabolite from microbes and indirect pathway induced by various inflammatory mediators such as IL-6 and tumor necrosis factor-alpha.\(^5\)

The levels of PCT in sputum and serum elevate after 3–6 h of inflammation and reach to its highest peak at <6–8 h, following a pattern similar to that of acute bacterial infection.\(^6\)

This study was designed as an observational study with the objective of correlating the levels of PCT as a diagnostic marker of sepsis in relation with culture positivity of various samples such as blood, respiratory, urine, and exudates from patients admitted in a tertiary care hospital.

**Aims and objectives**
To correlate the levels of PCT as a diagnostic marker of sepsis in relation with culture positivity of various samples like blood, respiratory, urine and exudates from patients admitted in a tertiary care hospital.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

This was a cross-sectional study conducted in the department of microbiology in a tertiary care hospital in South India.

Ethical approval for the study was obtained from the Institutional Ethics Committee (IEC No:/2020/02/124).

**Inclusion criteria**
All adult (>18 years) patients admitted in the intensive care unit of our hospital who had suspected sepsis or infection in other sites were included in this study.

**Exclusion criteria**
Patients with a history of trauma, surgical procedures, and with medullary carcinoma of thyroid were excluded from this study.

**Methodology**
A total number of 780 patients were studied during a period of 1 year (January 1, 2019–December 31, 2019).

PCT level was assayed along with bacterial cultures of blood, respiratory, urine, and exudates according to the indication.

**Sample collection and processing**
Paired blood culture samples (5–10 ml each) were collected in Bac-T/Alert bottles from two noncontinuous veins with strict aseptic precautions in all suspected cases of sepsis.

Respiratory samples such as sputum, bronchoalveolar lavage, and endotracheal aspirate, exudate samples such as pus, pus swabs, tissue and aspirated body fluids, and urine samples were collected in appropriate sterile containers for bacterial culture depending on the clinical indication. Samples were processed in the microbiology laboratory without any delay, as per the standard procedure and identification of the bacterial isolates were carried out by conventional or Vitek-2 compact system.\(^7\) UTI with bloodstream infections was further divided into upper and lower urinary tract infections (UTIs) based on clinical presentation.

Two milliliters of clotted blood sample were collected in Gel tube for serum PCT assay. PCT level was determined by automated enzyme-linked fluorescent assay in VIDAS of BioMerieux. Any value of PCT above 0.5 ng/ml was considered as high.\(^8,9\) PCT levels in serum were tested within 72 h of the culture being sent to the microbiology laboratory.

Results of PCT and bacterial cultures of different samples obtained were analyzed to find out correlation between levels of PCT and culture positivity. Data collected through the Google Forms were exported into Google Sheets first and then transported to Microsoft Excel 2016 for further analysis of median calculation for each group. Results were expressed as median values.

**RESULTS**

The total number of patients included in this study was 780 where PCT was done along with cultures of various samples. High PCT values ranging from 0.52 to 200 ng/ml were found in 331 patients admitted with suspected sepsis.

Blood culture was done in 650 patients, of which 135 (20.76%) patients had bacteremia and 515 (79.23%) were without bacteremia. Out of 135 cases of sepsis, blood culture alone was positive in 85 cases and 50 had culture positivity in blood as well as from other sites with the same organism.

In 50 patients, bacteremia was associated with infections in other sites which included UTI, lower respiratory infections, and skin and soft-tissue infections with the same organism. Their numbers and the median PCT values are given in Table 1.

The type of microorganism isolated in bloodstream infections along with the median PCT value is shown in Table 2.
Table 1: Median PCT values in bacteremia associated with infections of other sites

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Infections</th>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Median PCT value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bacteremia alone</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>28.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urinary tract infections with bacteremia</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>45.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lower respiratory infections with bacteremia</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>40.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Skin and soft-tissue infections with bacteremia</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>106</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>135</strong></td>
<td><strong>135</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2: Isolates in bloodstream infections alone

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of bacteria</th>
<th>Number</th>
<th>PCT median</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gram-negative bacilli</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>33.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fermentative bacilli</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>41.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-fermentative bacilli</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>22.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gram-positive bacteria</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>16.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Candida species</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>8.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>85</strong></td>
<td><strong>85</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3: Isolates from bloodstream infections with UTI

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Isolate</th>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Median PCT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><em>Escherichia coli</em></td>
<td>24</td>
<td>61.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Gram-negative bacilli</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>23.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- <em>Klebsiella</em> (8)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- <em>Enterobacter</em> (1)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- <em>Serratia</em> (1)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gram-positive cocci</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>17.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- <em>MRSA</em> (2)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- <em>MSSA</em> (2)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- <em>Enterococci</em> (1)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4: Median PCT values in localized infections without bacteremia

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Infections</th>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Median PCT value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Urinary tract infections alone</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lower respiratory infections alone</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>8.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Skin and soft-tissue infections alone</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>1.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>96</strong></td>
<td><strong>96</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

DISCUSSION

The early detection of infections is still a challenge for clinicians. The general consensus among clinicians is to select appropriate antibiotic for every suspected infection because of emerging issues with bacterial drug resistance. Therefore, a marker specific for bacterial infection would be most helpful. PCT is a reliable biomarker that contributes to the early diagnosis of invasive bacterial infections and evaluation of their severity. PCT has been widely used for an early clinical diagnosis of sepsis, before the availability of blood culture results. However, bacteremia is confirmed through microbiological methods in only about 30% of patients with sepsis. At the same time, PCT alone has some limitations in discriminating infectious diseases as PCT levels may be elevated in non-infectious diseases also.

In the present study, out of 135 cases of sepsis, 85 had blood culture positivity alone and 50 had culture positivity in blood and in other sites with the same organism.

Among the 85 cases of bloodstream infections, in which no localized infections were identified, the median PCT was 33 for Gram-negative bacteremia, which was significantly higher as compared with a median of 16 for Gram-positive cocci. The range of PCT level is found to be influenced by the type of bacterial isolation in our study which was in line with other studies. In comparison to Gram-positive infections, elevated levels of PCT are seen in Gram-negative bacterial infections due to higher level of PCT induction which may reflect difference in interactions between Gram-positive and Gram-negative bacteria with the host cells which involves lipoteichoic acids or LPS. In Gram-positive bacterial infections, the toll-like receptor 2 pathway is activated whereas TLR4 pathway is activated in Gram-negative bacterial infections, resulting in different production of inflammatory cytokines, which ultimately stimulate ubiquitous transcription of calcitonin mRNA and release of PCT from multiple tissues other than C-cells of thyroid.

Our finding of a higher PCT median in fermentative Gram-negative bacteremia is consistent with a study conducted by Sheng et al., who demonstrated significant
differences in PCT levels between bloodstream infections caused by *E. coli* and non-fermentative Gram-negative bacilli. 19 Whereas in a study done by Leng et al., there were no significant differences in PCT values among different bacterial infections. 20

Among the 50 cases of bacteremia associated with localized sepsis with the same microorganism, UTI was seen in 40 cases in our study. A high median PCT value of 61.28 was seen when *E. coli* was the infecting organism when compared to a lower median PCT of 23.69 with other Gram-negative bacilli. Similar results were reported in a study by Watanabe et al., in bacteremia due to ESBL producers. 21

A significantly higher median PCT of 51 was observed in bacteremia associated with upper UTI (pyelonephritis) when compared to that of a lower UTI (cystitis) where median PCT was 21 in our study. This finding was in concurrence with other studies. 22,23

PCT values significantly varied in UTI, with and without bacteremia. In our study, in UTI with bacteremia, the median PCT was 45.34 and in UTI without bacteremia, it was 5. This finding was in concordance with other studies also. 24 Hence, a high PCT value in a patient with UTI may be helpful in predicting bacteremia.

However, PCT values assume considerable significance in suspecting bacteremia, more than that of lactate, CRP, and leukocyte estimations and therefore of great value in emergency situations. 25 It is said that PCT can accurately predict the presence of bacteremia in patients with a febrile UTI. 26 However, in many studies, it is shown that PCT levels may increase in non-infectious diseases conditions also. 27

**Limitations of the study**

The results from our study are limited by its cross-sectional design and deserve to be validated in prospective longitudinal studies. Large-scale studies are needed to better define the usefulness of PCT levels in various clinical settings.

**CONCLUSION**

From our study, we conclude that PCT values may be helpful to distinguish Gram-negative and Gram-positive bacteremia and a high PCT value in a patient with UTI may be helpful in predicting bacteremia. The application of assays for PCT along with clinical evaluation could guide treatment and reduce unnecessary antibiotic use.
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