INTRODUCTION

Appendicitis is one of the common causes for which surgical consultations are sought. It usually presents with abdominal pain (periumbilical to begin with and followed by right lower quadrant pain), nausea, anorexia, and vomiting. On clinical examination these patients have classical right lower quadrant tenderness. It can reliable
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be diagnosed by ultrasound examination which is a quick and reliable imaging technique. In some cases where the diagnosis can't be established or ruled out on the basis of ultrasound a computerized tomography may be required for diagnosis. It is also useful for diagnosis in pediatric patients in whom it's difficult to demonstrate inflamed appendix particularly in children who remain non-cooperative during ultrasound scanning.

Once the diagnosis is established management is usually surgical and appendectomy has been the surgical procedure of choice once the diagnosis is established. Till recent past Open appendectomy has been the procedure of choice for appendicitis. With increasing expertise in laparoscopic surgeries more and more surgeons are utilizing the laparoscopic approach for appendectomy.

Laparoscopic surgeries have distinct advantages such as less surgical trauma, improved and quick postoperative recovery, and aesthetic results. Following laparoscopic appendectomy (LA), the patient is able to quickly return to performing everyday activities and there is a significant reduction in hospital stay following surgery as compared to patients who have undergone appendectomy by open surgery.

Minimally invasive surgical approaches used for appendectomy include traditional LA, Single-incision laparoscopic surgery (SILS), natural orifice transluminal endoscopic surgery (NOTES), and mini laparoscopy-assisted natural orifice surgery (MANOS). In conventional LA usually 3 incisions are taken whereas in SILS, as name suggests, only 1 incision is used to perform appendectomy. SILS is usually done by single transumbilical incision. Relatively newer techniques such as NOTES and minilaparoscopy-assisted natural orifice surgery (MANOS) utilizes natural orifices such as vagina to perform appendectomy in an effort to avoid any visible scar. It also does have advantages such as reduced surgical pain, reduced analgesic requirement, faster recovery, absence of risk of hernia formation, and reduced risk of surgical site infection.

SILS is rapidly gaining acceptability in young population because of its cosmetic advantages. Moreover, these surgeries also avoid risk of port-site hernias and the possibility of wound infection. In expert hands, the scar is practically hidden within the umbilicus leaving no visible scar mark of surgery. Although SILS has many advantages it definitely is a more challenging procedure as compared to open or even conventional LA. Moreover, the duration of surgery for SILS is longer as compared to conventional laparoscopic surgery and this needs to be carefully considered while selecting patients for SILS. Moreover, single incision surgery provides a compromised view and locomotive field for surgeon which is one of the biggest challenges for surgeons.

The purpose of this study is to present our initial experience with this surgery using a single incision LA using conventional instruments.

Aims and objectives
The aim of this study was to present a minimally invasive technique for appendectomy and to study the complications in patients undergoing single incision laparoscopic appendectomy (LA) using conventional instruments.

MATERIALS AND METHODS
This was a prospective cohort study conducted in the department of surgery of a tertiary care medical college situated in an urban area. The duration of the study was 2 years. All adult patients diagnosed to be having uncomplicated appendicitis and undergoing emergency as well as elective appendectomy by SILS were included in this study on the basis of a predefined inclusion and exclusion criteria. The institutional ethical committee approved the study and written informed consent was obtained from all the patients.

The study participants were interviewed and examined according to the preformed and pretested proforma and then operated as per the defined procedure. Preoperative data collected included age, sex, weight, duration of complaint, concomitant medical conditions (such as ischemic heart disease, chronic obstructive airway disease, diabetes mellitus, pancreatitis, liver cirrhosis), and previous upper or lower abdominal surgery. Routine laboratory investigations such as complete blood count, liver function tests, blood sugar, blood urea, and serum creatinine were done in all the cases. The diagnosis was made on the basis of history and clinical examination. The diagnosis was confirmed by ultrasound examination. In cases where there was significant probe tenderness and radiologist could not find inflamed appendix on ultrasound a computerized tomography was done for confirmation of diagnosis.

Appendectomy done was either emergency appendectomy or elective appendectomy. Patients in whom elective appendectomy was done Patients were managed by Oschner Sherren regime consisting of indoor management including intravenous antibiotics, intravenous fluids, nil oral status, and frequent clinical examination to rule out spreading peritonitis, which is an indication for abandoning the conservative treatment. In these cases, SILS appendectomy was done after 6 weeks. If converted
to conventional laparoscopic/open method, the causes of conversion, step at which converted, time after which conversion was done and the number of additional ports used were noted.

**Surgical procedure**
All patients were administered general anesthesia and were given supine position. A prophylactic dose of antibiotics (ciprofloxacin 200 mg and metronidazole 500 mg iv) was given at induction. The operating surgeon stood on the left side of the patient along with the assistant. A vertical incision around 1.5–2 cm was made through the umbilicus. Incision was deepened and the peritoneum was opened under direct vision (Hasson technique). A 10 mm port was introduced. CO2 insufflation was done and pneumoperitoneum was created (12–14 mm hg). A right-sided 5 mm and left-sided 3 mm working ports were introduced through the same incision on either side of the optical port (Mickey Mouse Technique). Ports were placed at different levels to maximize the working space and instrument range of motion within the peritoneal cavity. Table was placed in Trendelenburg position with left-sided tilt.

Mesoappendix was then cauterized using bipolar cautery. Two roeders knot was applied at the base of the appendix and one above it and the appendix was cut in between 2nd and 3rd roeders knots. Lateral peritoneal dissection with caecal mobilization was done in case of non-visualization of the appendix. The appendicular base was dissected first in case of non-visualization of the appendicular tip in some cases. Epidural needle was inserted in the right iliac fossa and prolene loop was made and inserted to suspend the appendix (Puppeteer technique) when required. The appendix was removed from the 10 mm port after hemostasis was confirmed. Suction and Irrigation were done when required to clear the remaining debris and collection.

Ports were removed, subcutaneous layer closed with port closure vicryl and Skin closed with nylon 3–0. All port sites were infiltrated with 2cc of 0.25% Bupivacaine, just before closure of port sites. All patients received an intra-operative dose of 75 mg Diclofenac. Cleaning and dressing were done.

Patients were kept nil by mouth until evening and were supplemented by intravenous fluids. Oral was allowed by evening unless contraindicated. Post-operative pain was measured using 0-10 Numerical Pain Rating Scale as described by Pasero. The pain scale involved asking the patient to estimate their pain severity as a number “0” being no pain and “10” being worst possible pain at post-operative time of 6 h.

All patients received injectable Diclofenac 75 mg post-operatively once at 8 h until the patients were allowed orally then oral diclofenac 50 mg for 3 days in bid dosage. Patient was discharged when he/she was suitable for discharge, which was evaluated clinically. Post-operative hospital stay was calculated in days.

Post-operative check dressing was done on day 3. Suture removal was done on day 7. Patients were followed up until 2 months with a regular OPD checkup once in 15 days. Patients were then assessed for post-operative complications like intra-abdominal collection, peritonitis, wound infection, seroma formation, wound gape, scar pain, scar hypertrophy, port site hernia, and any mortality if any. Statistical analysis was done using SSPS 21.0 software.

**Inclusion criteria**
1. All adult patients diagnosed to be having uncomplicated appendicitis and undergoing emergency as well as elective appendectomy by SILS
2. Those who gave informed written consent to be part of the study.

**Exclusion criteria**
1. Those who refused consent
2. Age less than 18 years
3. Appendicular perforation or abscess
4. Patients on analgesics for chronic pain likely to hinder assessment of postoperative pain.

**RESULTS**
This study was carried out in the Department of Surgery, of our institute. In this study, 30 patients of appendicitis were treated by Single Incision LA. Of 30 patients in this study, 26 patients were female and four patients were male. The male-to-female ratio was found to be 1:6.15 (Figure 1).

Most of the patients undergoing Single Incision LA were in the age group 30–39 years (33.33%), followed by 20–29-year age group (30%) and 10-19 age group (30%) each. The mean age of studied cases was found to be 26.2 years (Table 1). Single Incision LA was performed for Acute Appendicitis in two patients. Interval Appendectomy was performed in 28 patients (Table 1).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age in years</th>
<th>No of Patients</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10–19</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20–29</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30–39</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>33.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;39</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6.67</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1: Distribution of patients according to age group
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Operative time required for the first 15 cases in an average was 120.00 min however it was reduced for the next 15 cases was 73.73 min. The overall time required in an average was 96.86 min. The minimum time required to perform SILS was 40 min and the maximum time was 175 min (Table 2).

Of 30 cases, 16 cases were completed using Single-incision with 3 ports. In six cases a prolene loop was inserted with the help of an epidural needle in the right iliac fossa to suspend the appendix. In one case a 2 mm alligator grasper was used through the suprapubic region to suspend the appendix. In six cases an additional 5mm/3mm port was used during the procedure. In one case single incision LA was converted to open appendectomy due to non-visualization of the appendix. Of 30 cases, the procedure was completed with single-incision LA in 23 patients, i.e., 76.6 %. In the initial cases, we started with two 5 mm and one 10mm port. To reduce crowding we replaced the 5 mm port to 3 mm port. The 10 mm port was also replaced by 5 mm in the past few cases. The 10 mm just being finally used only for retrieval. This solved the problem of crowding at the umbilicus. However, it was observed that it was difficult to hold a turgid appendix with 3 mm instrument. In cases where an initial 10 mm port was used for dissection Appendix was retrieved from the 10 mm port. In cases where 10 mm port was not used to begin with, one 5mm port was replaced by 10 mm port at the end, and an appendix was retrieved from this port. We used in couple of cases, a technique where the long end of vicryl of 3rd roeders knot stays out of 5mm port and a thread was passed through 10mm port blindly and the free end of the thread is railroaded through the 5 mm port and tied and bought out of 10 mm port hence the specimen can be brought out from 10 mm port. This obviated the need of 5 mm telescope. In 16 cases appendix was retrocecal and hence lateral peritoneal dissection with caecal mobilization was done in these cases. In the remaining 14 cases inflamed appendix could be approached without caecal mobilization. Meso appendix was dissected with bipolar cautery in most cases 0.10 mm and 5 mm clips were used in few cases. Harmonic Scalpel was used in one case (Figure 2 and Table 3).

Of 30 cases, five patients had post-operative wound infection. One patient had post-operative Peritonitis for which re-exploration was done on post-operative day 2 and peritoneal suction and irrigation was done (Table 4). Out of 30 patients, 18 patients were discharged on day 2 which accounts for 60% of total patients. Nine patients were discharged on day 3, whereas 2 patients were discharged on day 4. One patient was discharged on day 14. The mean average of hospital stay is 2.83 days (Table 5).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 2: Operative time in studied cases</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No of cases</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In first 15 cases</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In next 15 cases</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overall average time in 30 cases</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 1: Gender distribution of studied cases

Figure 2: (a-d) Photographs showing (Clockwise from left upper corner) Two 5 mm and one 3 mm ports, Appendix with 1st Roeders Knot, Appendix with 2nd Roeders Knot and hardly visible post-operative scar

Figure 3: Severity of post-operative pain
Pain was measured at the end of 6 h post-operative time using 0–10 numerical pain rating scale (VAS score). Out of 30 cases, 7 patients (23.33%) were found to have mild pain (VAS score 1–3) whereas moderate pain (VAS score 4–6) was seen in 20 (66.66%) patients. Severe pain (VAS >6) was seen in 3 patients (10%) (Figure 3).

**DISCUSSION**

In this study, 30 patients of appendicitis were included. In our study there was a female preponderance with a M:F ratio of 1:6.15. Frutos et al. conducted a study of 73 patients with acute appendicitis treated by SILS. In this study, the authors found that None of the patients required conversion to conventional laparoscopy. The mean surgical time was 40 ± 14 (16–80) minutes. There were no complications during or after the surgery. The mean post-surgical pain score was 5 ± 1 (1–7) and the mean hospital stay was found to be 18 ± 7 (9–42) hours. The gender distribution of studied cases showed that there was a female preponderance with M: F ratio being 1:1.43. This female preponderance was similar to our study. Some other authors such as Addiss et al. reported a male preponderance in cases of acute appendicitis.

The mean age of studied cases in our study was found to be 26.2 years. Sonawane et al. conducted a study of 138 patients of acute appendicitis. The authors found that the mean age of studied cases was 27.41 years. Similarly, Resutra et al. in their study of 400 patients of acute appendicitis treated by open as well as LA found the mean age of studied cases to be 35 years.

In this study, the mean operative time for 30 patients is 96.86 min. In the first 15 cases the mean operative time was 120.00 min however in the next 15 cases it has come down to 73.73 min. This has been mainly attributed to the learning curve for single-incision laparoscopic procedure range (40–175 min). In Kim et al. study, the mean operative time was 61.3 min (range 24–120 min). In Chiu et al. study, the mean operative time was 58 min (33–107 min). The operating surgeon's experience is one of the important factors apart having a major impact on mean operative time in cases of laparoscopic surgeries.

In this study, 30 patients of appendicitis treated by Single Incision LA were included. In our study there was a female preponderance with a M:F ratio of 1:6.15. Frutos et al. conducted a study of 73 patients with acute appendicitis treated by SILS. In this study, the authors found that None of the patients required conversion to conventional laparoscopy. The mean surgical time was 40 ± 14 (16–80) minutes. There were no complications during or after the surgery. The mean post-surgical pain score was 5 ± 1 (1–7) and the mean hospital stay was found to be 18 ± 7 (9–42) hours. The gender distribution of studied cases showed that there was a female preponderance with M: F ratio being 1:1.43. This female preponderance was similar to our study. Some other authors such as Addiss et al. reported a male preponderance in cases of acute appendicitis.

The mean age of studied cases in our study was found to be 26.2 years. Sonawane et al. conducted a study of 138 patients of acute appendicitis. The authors found that the mean age of studied cases was 27.41 years. Similarly, Resutra et al. in their study of 400 patients of acute appendicitis treated by open as well as LA found the mean age of studied cases to be 35 years.

In this study, the mean operative time for 30 patients is 96.86 min. In the first 15 cases the mean operative time was 120.00 min however in the next 15 cases it has come down to 73.73 min. This has been mainly attributed to the learning curve for single-incision laparoscopic procedure range (40–175 min). In Kim et al. study, the mean operative time was 61.3 min (range 24–120 min). In Chiu et al. study, the mean operative time was 58 min (33–107 min). The operating surgeon's experience is one of the important factors apart having a major impact on mean operative time in cases of laparoscopic surgeries.

In this study, of out of 30 cases, 16 cases were completed by using 3 ports. In six cases a prolene loop was inserted with the help of epidural needle in the right iliac fossa to suspend the appendix. In one case a 2 mm alligator grasper was used through the suprapubic region to suspend the appendix. In 6 cases an additional 5 mm/3 mm port was used during the procedure. In one case Single incision LA was converted to open appendectomy due to non-visualization of the appendix. In Chiu et al. study, the mean operative time was 58 min (33–107 min). The operating surgeon's experience is one of the important factors apart having a major impact on mean operative time in cases of laparoscopic surgeries.

In this study, out of 30 cases, 16 cases were completed by using 3 ports. In six cases a prolene loop was inserted with the help of epidural needle in the right iliac fossa to suspend the appendix. In one case a 2 mm alligator grasper was used through the suprapubic region to suspend the appendix. In 6 cases an additional 5 mm/3 mm port was used during the procedure. In one case Single incision LA was converted to open appendectomy due to non-visualization of the appendix. In Chiu et al. study, the mean operative time was 58 min (33–107 min). The operating surgeon's experience is one of the important factors apart having a major impact on mean operative time in cases of laparoscopic surgeries.

In this study, out of 30 cases, 16 cases were completed by using 3 ports. In six cases a prolene loop was inserted with the help of epidural needle in the right iliac fossa to suspend the appendix. In one case a 2 mm alligator grasper was used through the suprapubic region to suspend the appendix. In 6 cases an additional 5 mm/3 mm port was used during the procedure. In one case Single incision LA was converted to open appendectomy due to non-visualization of the appendix. In Chiu et al. study, the mean operative time was 58 min (33–107 min). The operating surgeon's experience is one of the important factors apart having a major impact on mean operative time in cases of laparoscopic surgeries.

In this study, out of 30 cases, 16 cases were completed by using 3 ports. In six cases a prolene loop was inserted with the help of epidural needle in the right iliac fossa to suspend the appendix. In one case a 2 mm alligator grasper was used through the suprapubic region to suspend the appendix. In 6 cases an additional 5 mm/3 mm port was used during the procedure. In one case Single incision LA was converted to open appendectomy due to non-visualization of the appendix. In Chiu et al. study, the mean operative time was 58 min (33–107 min). The operating surgeon's experience is one of the important factors apart having a major impact on mean operative time in cases of laparoscopic surgeries.

In this study, out of 30 cases, 16 cases were completed by using 3 ports. In six cases a prolene loop was inserted with the help of epidural needle in the right iliac fossa to suspend the appendix. In one case a 2 mm alligator grasper was used through the suprapubic region to suspend the appendix. In 6 cases an additional 5 mm/3 mm port was used during the procedure. In one case Single incision LA was converted to open appendectomy due to non-visualization of the appendix. In Chiu et al. study, the mean operative time was 58 min (33–107 min). The operating surgeon's experience is one of the important factors apart having a major impact on mean operative time in cases of laparoscopic surgeries.
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