Correlation of biofilm production with antibiotic susceptibility pattern of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* from various clinical specimens
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ABSTRACT

Background: *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* is one of the most prevalent nosocomial pathogens that cause a life-threatening infection. One of the important characteristics of *P. aeruginosa* is biofilm formation which leads to antibiotic resistance. Aims and Objectives: The aim of the study was to study the antibiotic resistance pattern of *P. aeruginosa* isolates and correlation with their biofilm-production. Materials and Methods: A total of 87 *P. aeruginosa* isolates from different clinical specimens were processed and confirmed by conventional microbiological methods as per standard methodology. Antibiotic sensitivity testing was done for all isolates. Biofilm producing isolates were identified by the microtiter plate method (MTPM). Results: Of 87 *P. aeruginosa* isolates, majority were from pus 33 (38%), followed by urine 26 (30%), sputum 19 (22%), body fluids 7 (8%), and blood 2 (2%). Biofilm producing isolates showed more resistance in comparison to non-biofilm producers. The observed difference between biofilm formation for multidrug resistant and susceptible isolates was found to be statistically significant. Conclusion: MTPM method was an effective test for detection of biofilm formation and was also able to verify biofilm production by *P. aeruginosa*. This indicated a higher propensity among the clinical isolates of *P. aeruginosa* to form biofilm and revealed a positive correlation between biofilm formation and antibiotic resistance. This indicates the need for testing of even susceptible isolates for virulence factors such as biofilm production.
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INTRODUCTION

*Pseudomonas aeruginosa* is ubiquitous Gram-negative bacilli, aerobic, and non-fermentative bacterium belonging to the family *Pseudomonadaceae*, *Pseudomonas* that is able to survive in a wide range of environments.¹ *Pseudomonas* species, most especially the opportunistic pathogen *P. aeruginosa*, are known to exhibit large intrinsic resistance to multiple antibiotics across most classes including aminoglycoside, fluoroquinolones, and β-lactams (third and fourth generation cephalosporins, carbapenem, and monobactam). Multidrug-resistant (MDR) *P. aeruginosa* strains have been implicated in urinary tract infections, bacteremia, respiratory tract infections, and wound infections.² Other essential infections caused by the organism are pneumonia, endocarditis, endophthalmitis, meningitis, septicemia, and conjunctivitis. The frequency of *P. aeruginosa* was more in surgical and burn wound infections.³

*P. aeruginosa* is one of the most adaptive prevalent nosocomial pathogens. It has been implicated in serious and life-threatening infections.⁴ Infections caused by *P. aeruginosa* are associated with a higher death rate.
particularly in clinical settings. P. aeruginosa is an important etiological agent associated with healthcare-related infections and it has been shown to increase the rate of mortality and morbidity in patients. It can potentially become MDR due to its ability to acquire different antimicrobial resistance mechanisms.

The pathogen shows the ability to produce biofilms, which are an important factor for virulence and bacterial resistance, and can have a strong impact on the health of the host. The dense polysaccharide matrix of the biofilm contributes to the persistence of infection, the ineffective action of antimicrobials, and the escape from the phagocytic actions of the cells of the immune system of the host, these effects result in chronic infections. Biofilms are micro-colonies composed of multiple microbial species formed during harsh conditions helping the survival of the microorganisms.

This study was undertaken to investigate the antimicrobial resistance profile among various clinical isolates. In addition, in vitro biofilm-forming capabilities of P. aeruginosa isolated from clinical specimens were identified by microtiter plate method for biofilm production.

**Aims and objectives**

The aim of the study was to study the antibiotic resistance pattern of P. aeruginosa isolates and correlation with their biofilm-production.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Specimen collection**

This prospective study was carried out in department of microbiology, in a tertiary care hospital in Kancheepuram district. The samples were collected for a period of 6 months from January 2021 to June 2021. Informed consent was obtained from the patients before collecting the samples. A total of 311 clinical samples were collected from patients admitted in various wards of the hospital, among which 87 P. aeruginosa were isolated from pus, urine, sputum, body fluids, and blood samples. Seventy were MDR P. aeruginosa and 17 isolates were susceptible to various antibiotics. Ethical clearance was obtained from the institutional ethical committee.

**Bacterial identification**

All samples were cultured on multiple media (Nutrient agar, Blood agar, MacConkey agar, and Cetrimide agar). To identify the bacteria, pure colonies were processed for appropriate phenotypic characterization based on morphology, culture and further tested by conventional biochemical tests including catalase test, oxidase test, lactose fermentation test, hemolysin production test, and pigment production test and growth at 42°C leading to identification as P. aeruginosa.

**Antibiotic susceptibility testing**

Antibiotic sensitivity was tested by Kirby-Bauer disk diffusion method on Mueller-Hinton agar using antibiotic discs from Hi Media Laboratories (India) and the results were interpreted according to the criteria prescribed by Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute. Antibiotics tested were: Amikacin (30 μg), Cefuroxime (30 μg), Cefazidime (30 μg), Cefepime (30 μg), Gentamicin (10 μg), Ciprofloxacin (5 μg), Norfloxacin (10 μg), Netilmicin (30 μg), Piperacillin–Tazobactum (100/10 μg), and Imipenem (10 μg). P. aeruginosa ATCC 27853 was used as a control strain.

**Detection of biofilm formation**

All bacterial isolates were tested by microtiter plate method (MTPM) for detection of biofilm formation.

**MTPM (quantitative assay)**

P. aeruginosa biofilm was measured by MTPM to determine biofilm production. In this method, P. aeruginosa isolates were grown overnight at 37°C in Mueller-Hinton Broth containing 1% glucose. Then, microtiter plates were inoculated with 125 μl bacterial suspension and adjusted to 0.5 McFarland. Microtiter plates were incubated for 24 h at 37°C. Biofilms formed on the walls of microtiter plate were stained with 150 μl of 0.1% crystal violet for 10 min. Then, plates were washed twice with phosphate-buffered saline (pH 7.2) to discharge crystal violet stain. After air drying, microplate was re-solubilized by 150 μl of 95% ethanol. Then, plate was measured at 570 nm by a microtiter plate reader.

**Statistical analysis between MDR and susceptible isolates for biofilm formation**

Chi-square test was applied to find out the association between MDR and susceptible isolates for biofilm production. The observed difference between biofilm formation and MDR and susceptible isolates were found to be statistically significant. P<0.05 was considered as statistically significant.

**RESULTS**

During the 6 months period of study from January 2021 to June 2021, 87 clinical isolates of P. aeruginosa were collected from various clinical samples. The phenotypic identification of the P. aeruginosa isolates was performed by bacteriological methods (Grams staining, colony morphology, and biochemical tests) using standard methodology. Of 87 sample, 49 (56%) were from males and 38 (44%) were from females (Table 1, Figures 1 and 2).
The maximum number of isolates was obtained from pus 33 (38%), followed by urine 26 (30%), sputum 19 (22%), body fluids 7 (8%), and blood 2 (2%). In the present study, *P. aeruginosa* showed resistance against most of the commonly used antibiotics (Table 2). Out of 87 isolates, 70 (80%) of *P. aeruginosa* isolates were identified as MDR and 17 (20%) of isolates were susceptible to most commonly used antibiotics (Table 3).

All 87 isolates were tested for biofilm production by MTPM. Among the MDR isolates, 68 (97%) were biofilm producers and 2 (3%) were biofilm non-producers. MDR isolates showed maximum positivity for biofilm formation in MTPM. There was a significant relationship between biofilm production and MDR. In addition, biofilm formation was verified for 17 antibiotic susceptible isolates and the results of MTPM showed 4 (24%) were biofilm producers and 13 (76%) were biofilm non-producers. There was a significant difference between biofilm production and susceptible isolates. Biofilm producing isolates showed more resistance in comparison to non-biofilm producers (Tables 4 and 5, Figure 3).

This study shows the need for testing of susceptible isolates for presence of virulence factors such as biofilm production which will help in choosing appropriate antibiotics to treat patients with *P. aeruginosa* infections.

**DISCUSSION**

The World Health Organization (WHO) declared *P. aeruginosa* as a priority among the present pathogens urgently in need

---

**Table 1: Gender-wise distribution of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa***

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Clinical samples</th>
<th>No. of Isolates</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pus</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urine</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sputum</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body fluids</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blood</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 2: Distribution of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* from various clinical specimens (n=87)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gender</th>
<th>No of isolates</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>44</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 3: Antibiotic susceptibility pattern of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* isolates**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Susceptibility pattern</th>
<th>No. of isolates</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sensitive</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MDR</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

MDR: Multidrug-resistant

**Table 4: Correlation between multiple drug resistance and biofilm formation in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* by MTPM (n=70)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MTPM</th>
<th>Biofilm producer</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
<th>Non-biofilm producer</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>68</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

MTPM: Microtiter plate method

**Table 5: Correlation between susceptible *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* and biofilm formation by MTPM method (n=17)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MTPM</th>
<th>Biofilm producer</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
<th>Non-biofilm producer</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>76</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

MTPM: Microtiter plate method

---
of new effective antibiotics (the WHO, 2020). In this study, of a total of 87 isolates, the antibiotic susceptibility pattern of *P. aeruginosa* revealed that isolates were predominantly resistant showing MDR pattern 70 (80%). Only 17 (20%) were sensitive to commonly used antibiotics. These results are similar with the study conducted by Ijaz et al., who showed resistance pattern 119 (58.6%).

Gender distribution shows male (58%) predominance over female (42%) in this study. Similar observation was made in other studies that reported a slight male preponderance, whereas Anil and Shahid have reported slight predominance of female patients 80 (55.17%) over males 65 (44.83%).

In the present study, 87 *P. aeruginosa* were isolated from various clinical samples. About 33 (38%) isolates were from pus samples, followed by urine 26 (30%), sputum 19 (22%), 7 (8%) from body fluids, and 2 (2%) blood samples. Similarly in another study by Golia et al., majority of isolates were from pus samples 67 (55.83%). In contrast, Rodrigues et al. reported that maximum isolation rate of *P. aeruginosa* from blood (33.3%), tracheal secretion (23.8%), and urine (23.8%) was the most prevalent sources of *P. aeruginosa*.

There was a high prevalence of biofilm production in these isolates. Furthermore, Haji reported that, out of 96, 84 (87.5) isolates were biofilm producers by MTPM method. MTPM method is also reported as gold standard by other researchers Karthie and Gopinath, Haji. Hence, MTPM method was considered as standard method for additional interpretation of results. The major problem attributed with infections formed by biofilm producer bacteria is abundance of resistance to various antibiotics.

The ability all isolates of *P. aeruginosa* to produce biofilm was detected using standard microtiter plates. All *P. aeruginosa* isolates had the ability of biofilm production. The association between biofilm formation and antibiotic resistance revealed that biofilm production was statistically significant among MDR and susceptible *P. aeruginosa* isolates this agreement with Ismail and Altaai.

In the present study, among a total of 87 isolates of *P. aeruginosa*, 68 (97%) were biofilm-producers and this finding is comparable with a study done by Neopane et al., who showed (83.33%), but in contrast with others who showed lower rate of biofilm production (33%) and 22 (26.3%). For confirmation of virulence factors produced by both MDR and susceptible isolates of *P. aeruginosa*, molecular techniques will be helpful. Hence, in future, such studies can be done using molecular techniques, which will help in proper antibiotic treatment.

**Limitations of the study**
The study period only for six months, so the number of samples were less. Further study needs to be conducted in the same line to establish the facts.

**CONCLUSION**
Most of the *P. aeruginosa* isolates showed resistance to a wide range of antibiotics. This study shows a high incidence of biofilm productions among *P. aeruginosa* isolates. MTPM method was considered as effective test for detection of biofilm formation by *P. aeruginosa*. Importantly, *P. aeruginosa* isolates were observed to be resistant to most commonly used antimicrobials. This indicated a higher propensity among the clinical isolates of *P. aeruginosa* to form biofilm and there was a positive correlation between biofilm formation and antibiotic resistance. Even susceptible strains were shown to produce biofilm. This study shows the need for testing of even susceptible isolates for the presence of virulence factors which will help in choosing appropriate antibiotics to treat patients with *P. aeruginosa* infections. Based on findings of this study, it is recommended that MTPM method can be used as a screening method. Furthermore, this study indicates need for further molecular support for virulence testing of all isolates of *P. aeruginosa*.
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