A comparative study of the efficacy of intravenous paracetamol and dexmedetomidine alone and in combination on perioperative hemodynamics and post-operative analgesia for patients undergoing laparoscopic cholecystectomy under general anesthesia
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Background: With increase in incidence of gallbladder stone disease, the laparoscopic cholecystectomy is most preferred surgical technique. Laryngoscopy and peritoneal gas insufflation leads to hemodynamic stress response and pain during and after the procedure. Multimodal analgesia is recommended to reduce the stress response and prevent the post laparoscopic pain. Intravenous paracetamol and intravenous dexmedetomidine are both effective components in respect of multimodal analgesia. Aims and Objectives: The aim of this study was to compare and evaluate the effects of intravenous paracetamol and dexmedetomidine alone and in combination as part of multimodal analgesia on perioperative hemodynamics and post-operative analgesia.

Materials and Methods: One hundred and five patients between the age of 18–60 years posted for laparoscopic cholecystectomy were randomly assigned to three groups (n=35). Before induction patients in Group D received dexmedetomidine 0.5 µg/kg/h and patients in Group P and Group P+D received 1 g paracetamol infusion over 15 min. Intraoperatively as continuous infusion patients in Group D and Group P+D received dexmedetomidine 0.2 µg/kg/h and Group P received 0.2 mL/kg NS until the removal of gallbladder. Perioperative hemodynamic variables, postoperatively, the need for rescue analgesia and any complications or side effects were recorded, compared, and analyzed.

Results: Intraoperative hemodynamic variables were significantly higher in Group P compared to Group D and Group P+D (P<0.05). Prolonged rescue analgesia found in Group P+D (9.06 ± 1.16 h) then Group D (5.52 ± 1.22 h) and Group P (4.35 ± 0.99 h), respectively, which was strongly significant among the groups (P<0.0001). Conclusion: Our study concluded that the combination of paracetamol and dexmedetomidine maintains the better hemodynamic stability and prolonged the duration of analgesia, with the benefit of high patient satisfaction. In addition, there was significantly less post-operative bradycardia and shivering.
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INTRODUCTION

Laparoscopic cholecystectomy being a minimally invasive procedure has become the standard technique to remove the gallbladder in symptomatic gallbladder illnesses. Although laparoscopic surgery has many advantages, it also has side effects that can disrupt cardiovascular...
circulation, respiratory status, stress response, and acid-base homeostasis in the patients body.\(^2\)

Although laparoscopy provides a significant reduction in post-operative pain, there may be pain as a result of diaphragmatic irritation.\(^3\) Hence, effective perioperative pain control may contribute to less morbidity and complications and also improve surgical outcomes, shorter hospital stays, and a decrease risk of developing chronic pain.\(^3,5\)

Multimodal analgesia is now recommended to prevent and treat the post-laparoscopic pain.\(^1,5\) IV paracetamol and IV dexmedetomidine are both effective components in respect of multimodal analgesia.\(^11\)

Paracetamol is an analgesic which is most commonly used drugs for treatment of mild-to-moderate pain and is also used for treatment of acute pain in perioperative period.\(^1,3,12,13\)

Dexmedetomidine a highly selective \(\alpha-2\)-adrenergic agonist with centrally sympatholytic effect has sedative, analgesic, amnestic, anxiolytic, and opioid sparing properties without causing respiratory depression.\(^4,6,14,15\)

Although intravenous paracetamol and intravenous dexmedetomidine have independently been studied to see their potential in lessening post-operative narcotic requirement and other side effects of laparoscopic cholecystectomy, only few studies have compared them with each other.

**Aims and objectives**

The primary objective of study was comparing the analgesic effect of IV paracetamol and IV dexmedetomidine alone and in combination in post-operative period.

The secondary objective of the study is to study the efficacy of paracetamol and dexmedetomidine alone and in combination in terms of perioperative hemodynamic changes and any side effects or complications.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

The present prospective, double-blinded, and randomized controlled study was conducted after obtaining approval from the Institutional Ethical Committee (No:69/IEC-GRMC/2020). A total of 105 ASA grade I and II patients aged between 20 and 60 years undergoing laparoscopic cholecystectomy were enrolled in the study.

**Sample size**

\[
n = \left( \frac{S_1^2 + S_2^2}{\left( Z_{\alpha/2} + Z_{1-\beta} \right)^2} \right) \frac{\mu_1 - \mu_2}{\mu_1 - \mu_2}
\]

From the study done Swaika et al.,\(^3\) it was observed that mean VAS score at 16 h in paracetamol group was 1.53±0.93 and for the group dexmedetomidine was 2.03±0.50.

Where \(\mu_1=1.53, \mu_2=2.03, S_1=0.93, S_2=0.5, Z_{\alpha/2}=1.96, Z_{1-\beta}=0.84\), at 5% level of significance, and 80% power of test.

Putting all these values in the formula, we obtained \(n=33\), that is, approximate to 35. Hence, 35 patients were assigned under each group, so total sample size required for the study was 105.

Two investigators participated in the study, first investigator prepared the drugs and second investigator did monitoring and data collection and was blinded to the study. Patients were subsequently randomized into three groups of 35 each by close envelope method.

i. Group P (n=35) patients received loading dose of IV paracetamol 1 g in 100 mL over 15 min before induction of anesthesia and 0.2 mL/kg/h 0.9% normal saline as continuous infusion during intraoperative period

ii. Group D (n=35) patients received loading dose of IV dexmedetomidine 0.5 \(\mu\)g/kg in 100 mL NS over 15 min before induction of anesthesia and 0.2 \(\mu\)g/kg/h by continuous infusion during intraoperative period

iii. Group P+D (n=35) patients received I/V paracetamol 1 g in 100 mL over 15 min before induction of anesthesia and IV dexmedetomidine 0.2 \(\mu\)g/kg/h by continuous infusion during intraoperative period.

**Inclusion criteria**

Patients giving consent, between age 20 and 60 years and belonging to ASA grade I and 2, were included in the study.

**Exclusion criteria**

Patients who are uncooperative or not able to understand pain assessment test, pregnant and lactating women, or any significant pulmonary, cardiovascular, neurological, hepatorenal, psychiatric, metabolic diseases, bleeding disorders, and with history of any previous allergy to paracetamol or dexmedetomidine were excluded from the study.

Pre-anesthetic assessment was done; informed consent was obtained from all patients included in the study. All patients were kept nil orally for at least 8 h before the procedure.

On the day of the surgery, after arrival of the patient in operation theatre, routine monitors were attached. An intravenous access of 18G or 20G cannula was achieved.
and premedicated with Inj. Glycopyrrolate 0.2 mg IV and Inj. Midazolam 1 mg IV. After recording base line readings of heart rate (HR), systolic blood pressure (SBP) diastolic blood pressure (DBP) and mean arterial pressure (MAP) blood pressures, and oxygen saturation, loading dose of study drug Inj. Paracetamol 1 g infusion over 15 min for Group P and Group P+D was given and Inj. Dexmedetomidine 0.5 µg/kg in 100 mL NS over 15 min for Group D was given. General anesthetic induction was done by preoxygenation with 100% oxygen, Inj. Pentazocine 0.5 mg/kg and Inj. Propofol 2 mg/kg followed by Inj. Succinylcholine 2 mg/kg IV which facilitates tracheal intubation after 1 min using appropriate size cuffed endotracheal tube and connected to anesthesia work station. Maintenance of anesthesia was achieved with nitrous oxide+Oxygen (67:33) along with intermittent inhalation anesthetic agent (isoflurane) and muscle relaxant (Inj. Atracurium) on body weight basis for loading (0.25 mg/kg) and maintenance (0.1 mg/kg) doses and controlled ventilation was done to maintain normocapnia (ETCO2 between 35-45 mmhg). During intraoperative period, study drug Inj. Dexmedetomidine 0.2 µg/kg/h infusion for Group D and Group P+D was given and infusion was stopped after the removal of gallbladder. After completion of procedure, patients were completely reversed with Inj. Neostigmine 0.05 mg/kg+Inj. Glycopyrrolate 0.01 mg/kg and extubated (once extubation criteria were met) and oxygenated for 10 min. Hemodynamic variables were noted after intravenous infusion of study drugs, after induction, intubation, and then at 15, 30, 60, 90, and 120 min. Postoperatively, patients were monitored and hemodynamic variables and side effects were recorded at 15, 30, 60, 90, 2 h, 3 h, 4 h, 6 h, 8 h, 10 h, and 12 h. Rescue analgesia Inj. Tramadol 100 mg IV was given when the patient complains of pain and the time for rescue analgesia (TRA1) was noted in all the groups.

FOLLOW-UP: Up to 12 h.

Statistical analysis
- The statistical analysis was done using IBM SPSS 22.0 software
- One-way analysis of variance test is used to test the significance of difference in hemodynamic variables in all the three groups
- Where P<0.05 is statistically significant and P>0.05 is statistically insignificant and P<0.01 is statistically highly significant.

RESULTS
As shown in Table 1, age, weight, gender, and ASA grading were comparable between the groups (P>0.05) which were statistically insignificant. Moreover, female patients were predominantly higher than male’s in all the groups.

Intraoperative parameters
Figure 1 shows that intraoperative pulse rate was higher in Group P from induction to 120 min as compared to Group D and Group P+D (P<0.0001).

Figure 2 shows statistically significant increase in intraoperative MAP in Group P from induction to 120 min compared to Group D and Group P+D (P<0.0001).

Post-operative parameters
Figure 3 shows significant decrease in post-operative pulse rate in Group P+D until 12 h compared to Group P and Group D (P<0.0001).

Figure 4 shows statistically significant decrease in post-operative MAP in Group P+D until 12 h as compared to Group P and Group D (P<0.0001).

As shown in Table 2, there was prolonged TRA1 in Group P+D (9.06±1.16 h) as compared to Group D (5.52±1.22 h) and Group P (4.35±0.99 h) and also shows prolonged TRA1 in Group D as compared to Group P.
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Side effects among the three groups

Side effects such as shivering were noticed in three patients in Group P. We found bradycardia in 4 and sedation (Ramsay sedation scale 3) in three patients in Group D. We also found bradycardia and hypotension in one patient each in Group P+D. On statistical comparison of side effects, we found no statistically significant difference among three groups.

DISCUSSION

The use of laparoscope for the removal of gallbladder becomes gold standard, acceptable, and safe treatment in patients with gallbladder disease.1 Laparoscopy has benefits like faster return to normal activity, early onset of nutrition, post-operative mobility, and less post-operative complications. Labile hemodynamics are brought on by changes in the patient’s position during laparoscopic surgery due to surgical stress, particularly after pneumoperitoneum. For upper abdominal laparoscopic surgery, general anesthesia with muscle relaxation, tracheal intubation, and intermittent positive pressure ventilation is the technique of choice.

One of the major concerns of anesthesiologists is finding an appropriate drug combination to control and maintain exaggerated intraoperative hemodynamic responses and for relieving post-operative pain in laparoscopic cholecystectomy patients. Poorly controlled post-operative pain may lead to anxiety, depression, and dissatisfaction in patients.3,4 The ideal post-operative analgesic treatment should also have a low incidence of side effects, minimal impact on major organ systems, and no significant interaction with other pharmacologic agents. It should also offer quick and efficient pain relief. Multimodal post-operative pain management with synergic and additive drugs aims to provide adequate analgesia with less side effects. As a part of multimodal analgesia IV paracetamol a cyclooxygenase inhibitor with analgesic as well as anti-inflammatory effects and an α2 adrenoceptor agonist dexmedetomidine with sedative, sympatholytic, anxiolytic, and analgesic effects were used in this study to minimize the known adverse effects of opioids.

In the present study, demographic parameters such as age, weight, gender, and ASA grading were comparable between the three groups and were statistically insignificant, P>0.05 (Table 1) and found that female patients were predominantly higher than male in all the groups.

In the present study, intraoperative hemodynamic variables (HR, SBP, DBP, and MAP) (Figures 1 and 2) were found to be considerably more with the use of paracetamol than with the use of dexmedetomidine and paracetamol-dexmedetomidine combination at all-time points starting from induction to 120 min in the perioperative period (P<0.05).

In accordance with our study, intraoperative hemodynamic variables (HR, SBP, DBP, and MAP) were also higher in paracetamol group in studies done by Swaika et al.,3 and Kumar.9 Study done by Sharma et al.,4 also confirms the findings of our study, where patients received infusion with intravenous PCM 1g and dexmedetomidine 1µg/kg as bolus followed by infusion of 0.5µg/kg/h, respectively, in two groups. Their study observed stable hemodynamics in both the groups and did not found any considerable difference between the groups.

Another study Talke et al.,10 who used continuous infusion of dexmedetomidine at variable rates (targeting plasma concentration in the range of 0.15 ng/mL–0.45 ng/mL) found that dexmedetomidine is effective in attenuating the rise in HR and plasma norepinephrine concentrations during emergence from anesthesia which supports the hemodynamic findings in our study.

Dexmedetomidine is capable of decreasing HR and blood pressure by a gradual decrease in sympathetic outflow and circulating catecholamine levels by a vagal mimetic effect.16-19 An initial loading dose of dexmedetomidine can result in hypotension immediately after tracheal intubation and can be avoided by a slower infusion rate over 20 min. The observations in our study correlate with available literature on the hemodynamic effects of dexmedetomidine.3,20

In the present study, demographic parameters such as age, weight, gender, and ASA grading were comparable between the three groups and were statistically insignificant, P>0.05 (Table 1) and found that female patients were predominantly higher than male in all the groups.

In the present study, intraoperative hemodynamic variables (HR, SBP, DBP, and MAP) (Figures 1 and 2) were found to be considerably more with the use of paracetamol than with the use of dexmedetomidine and paracetamol-dexmedetomidine combination at all-time points starting from induction to 120 min in the perioperative period (P<0.05).

In the present study, intraoperative hemodynamic variables (HR, SBP, DBP, and MAP) were also higher in paracetamol group in studies done by Swaika et al.,3 and Kumar.9 Study done by Sharma et al.,4 also confirms the findings of our study, where patients received infusion with intravenous PCM 1g and dexmedetomidine 1µg/kg as bolus followed by infusion of 0.5µg/kg/h, respectively, in two groups. Their study observed stable hemodynamics in both the groups and did not found any considerable difference between the groups.

Another study Talke et al.,10 who used continuous infusion of dexmedetomidine at variable rates (targeting plasma concentration in the range of 0.15 ng/mL–0.45 ng/mL) found that dexmedetomidine is effective in attenuating the rise in HR and plasma norepinephrine concentrations during emergence from anesthesia which supports the hemodynamic findings in our study.

Dexmedetomidine is capable of decreasing HR and blood pressure by a gradual decrease in sympathetic outflow and circulating catecholamine levels by a vagal mimetic effect.16-19 An initial loading dose of dexmedetomidine can result in hypotension immediately after tracheal intubation and can be avoided by a slower infusion rate over 20 min. The observations in our study correlate with available literature on the hemodynamic effects of dexmedetomidine.3,20
The present study observation shows that there was considerable reduction of all post-operative hemodynamic variables (HR, SBP, DBP, and MAP) (Figures 3 and 4) with the use of combination of paracetamol-dexmedetomidine than the use of either the drugs alone. Our study was supported by the studies done by Swaika S et al.,3 Sharma R et al.,4 Sarkar M et al.,7 and Guru K et al.8 The study done by Kamali et al.,2 concluded that there was no significant difference in mean blood pressure of patients during the pre- and post-operative periods in both the apotel (paracetamol) and dexmedetomidine groups (P>0.05).

In the present study, considerable prolongation was observed regarding the “TRA1” (Table 2) with the use of dexmedetomidine-paracetamol combination compared with dexmedetomidine or paracetamol alone (about 9 h, 5.5 h, and 4 h, respectively. Our findings are in concordance with study done by Kumar9, in which the time to administration of the first dose of rescue analgesia for the PCM group was 79.25±50.85 min, while it was 143.63±137.17 min for dexmedetomidine group.

In the present study, side effects like shivering were noticed in three patients in Group P. Bradycardia in 4 and sedation in three patients in Group D. We also noticed bradycardia and hypotension in each patient in Group P+D. There was no statistically significant difference among three groups. Sharma et al.,4 have found that incidence of nausea and vomiting and other side effects such as hypotension and bradycardia were comparable in both the groups.

Limitations of our study
The present study has certain limitations. First, formal sample size calculation was not performed and instead a convenient sample was considered by recruiting 35 patients in each group for study. Second, pregnant and lactating mothers and patients with significant compromise in cardiovascular, pulmonary and hepatorenal functions were not included. Furthermore, the patients who were suffering from any bleeding diathesis or psychiatric illness were not considered for the present study. Hence, caution should be exercised during generalization of the present study findings.

Several studies tried to investigate the perioperative hemodynamic variables of PCM alone compared to dexmedetomidine alone, but, in our study, we added the combination group of PCM and dexmedetomidine as part of multimodal analgesia.

CONCLUSION
The combination of dexmedetomidine and paracetamol has better hemodynamic stability and prolonged the duration of analgesia with benefit of high patient satisfaction and minimum postoperative side effects when compared to paracetamol and dexmedetomidine used alone. In addition, there was a significant decline in the incidence of post-operative bradycardia and shivering in the combination group.
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