INTRODUCTION

Temporomandibular joint (TMJ) ankylosis is defined as bony or fibrous adhesion of the anatomic joint components accompanied by a limitation in opening the mouth. Airway management is a grueling task and has confronted anesthesiologists ever since. The challenge is even more exaggerated when it is a pediatric patient. We present a case series of five pediatric patients with TMJ ankylosis with age 7 years or less, who were posted for its corrective surgery. Although all patients belonged to the pediatric age group, the challenges faced and techniques employed to overcome them were different partly because of different age groups and partly the nature of the airway, with tailoring of each technique accordingly. Pediatric airway management is no less than waging a war as all necessary skills and expertise are put to the test. It combines fortuity with skills. We have to be prepared for the worst in any unforeseen scenario.
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CASE PRESENTATION

We present a case series of five pediatric patients with TMJ ankylosis who were posted for its corrective surgery (Table 1). Written informed consent was taken from the patients for the publication of data. Although all patients belonged to the pediatric age group, the challenges faced and methods employed to overcome them were different partly because of different age groups and partly the degree of mouth opening, with tailoring of each technique accordingly. Appropriate consent as well as preparation for the “cannot intubate cannot ventilate” situation in the form of front-of-neck access was done before all cases.

Case 1

In the first case, a preanesthetic checkup revealed a mouth opening of 2.2 cm. No other comorbidity is present. Birth history was normal. There was a history of fall...
from height at the age of 1 year. Gap arthroplasty was planned. Videolaryngoscopy using McGrath was planned as the patient had adequate mouth opening for video laryngoscopy and anticipated difficult intubation. Adequate nasal preparation with xylometazoline nasal drops was done preoperatively. The patient was premedicated with injection glycopyrrolate 0.005 mg/kg iv, injection fentanyl 2 mcg/kg iv, and injection midazolam 0.02 mg/kg iv along with sevoflurane inhalation. Anesthesia was induced with sevoflurane to maintain spontaneous ventilation and after attaining a minimum alveolar concentration 1.3 video laryngoscopy was done. After identifying the cords, ETT no. 5.5 was inserted through a more patent nostril and guided through a video laryngoscope under its vision. Intubation was successful in the first attempt.

**Case 2**

The preoperative examination was significant in terms of mouth opening <2 mm. The oral hygiene was poor. The birth history was normal. There was a history of suppurative otitis media in the past. The patient was quite agitated preoperatively. Sevoflurane induction was started and the iv cannula had to be resecured, as the child had displaced the cannula in situ. Injection glycopyrrolate 0.005 mg/kg iv was given. Sternal retraction were observed because of inadequate ventilation. Therefore, a small-size-cut endotracheal tube nasal airway was inserted through a less patent nostril. Immediately, adequate ventilation was achieved. Injection fentanyl 1 mcg/kg iv was given. Pediatric fibroscope Karl Storz 3.7 mm with ETT 4.5 railroaded was inserted through other nostril, and intubation was done.

**Case 3**

The patient was a diagnosed case of Goldenhar syndrome with microtia and multiple mandibular cysts, without any other associated abnormality. The patient was taken to the operating theater table after adequate nasal preparation with xylometazoline drops. After securing an intravenous cannula, injection glycopyrrolate 0.005 mg/kg iv, injection ketamine 0.5 mg/kg iv, and injection midazolam 0.02 mg/kg IV were given. Oxygenation started with a face mask. The patient was breathing spontaneously with adequate chest rise and bag movements (Figures 1 and 2). The bilateral superior laryngeal nerve block was given with 1cc of 2% lignocaine. The face mask was removed and cut endotracheal tube nasal airway was inserted through a less patent nostril and attached to the circuit. Fiberoptic intubation with endotracheal tube no 5.5 was done through the other nostril.

**Case 4**

There was a history of complications at birth with forceps delivery. Mouth opening was <4 mm. After adequate nasal preparation with xylometazoline drops in the preoperative area, the patient was brought to the operation theater table and sevoflurane induction was started. Injection glycopyrrolate 0.005 mg/kg and injection fentanyl 2 mcg/kg were given iv. After the adequate depth of anesthesia with spontaneous ventilation, endotracheal tube no. 4 was inserted through a more patent nostril, the circuit was attached and inhalational agent delivery was continued through it maintaining spontaneous ventilation and adequate depth of anesthesia. A nasal pediatric fibroscope 3.7 mm was inserted through the other nostril and after an adequate view of vocal cords, the tube in other nostril was guided under its vision. Intubation was successful in the first attempt.

**Case 5**

The patient had a history of previous surgery for the same 2 years back. No other comorbidity was present. After nasal preparation with xylometazoline drops, nebulization was started with 2 cc of 4% lignocaine in the preoperative area. The patient was taken on the operation theater table and premedicated with injection glycopyrrolate 0.005 mg/kg iv, fentanyl 1 mcg/kg iv. A bilateral superior laryngeal nerve block was given with 2 cc of 2% lignocaine. Endotracheal tube no. 5.5 was inserted through patent nostril and attached to the circuit with monitoring of bag movements. The tube was slowly advanced and the patient was asked to vocalize intermittently taking deep breaths. At a stage

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Case 1</th>
<th>Case 2</th>
<th>Case 3</th>
<th>Case 4</th>
<th>Case 5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Associated abnormality</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Suppurative otitis media</td>
<td>Goldenhar syndrome</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Probable etiology</td>
<td>Trauma (fall)</td>
<td>Infection</td>
<td>Congenital</td>
<td>Trauma (forceps delivery)</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Airway</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mouth opening</td>
<td>2.2 cm</td>
<td>&lt;2 mm</td>
<td>&lt;2 mm</td>
<td>&lt;4 mm</td>
<td>&lt;5 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neck movements</td>
<td>n</td>
<td>n</td>
<td>Flexion restricted</td>
<td>n</td>
<td>n</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mode of intubation</td>
<td>Video laryngoscope</td>
<td>FOB with an inhalational agent</td>
<td>FOB with IV agent</td>
<td>FOB through 1 nostril and ETT through the other nostril with an inhalational agent</td>
<td>Blind nasal with topical anesthesia</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

FOB: Fiberoptic bronchoscope, ETT: Endotracheal tube

| Table 1: Patient details along with management |
when bag movements increased injection of lignocaine 1cc was put in ETT, after waiting for 30 sec tube was advanced with continuous monitoring of bag movements.

The intubation was successful in the second attempt. Tracheal intubation was confirmed with ETCO\textsubscript{2} tracing and injection of propofol 2 mg/kg iv was given followed by a muscle relaxant.

**DISCUSSION**

Among the various options available for intubation in TMJ ankylosis patients are intubation using a flexible fibroscope, retrograde intubation, and blind nasal intubation and more recently the use of videolaryngoscope has been advocated in children. Myriad of options and procedure details have been eloquently covered in the literature to handle such cases but not at such a juvenile age. The assessment of the airway in these patients is possible only on the basis of external features such as limited mouth opening, facial dysmorphism, and retrognathia leading to limited submandibular space. Even the bag-mask ventilation can be arduous because chin lift and jaw thrust which specifically involve movement around TMJ, are not possible in such cases. Among our patients, bag-mask ventilation was difficult in Case 4.

Taking into account the degree of TMJ mobility limitation, Sawhney divided TMJ ankylosis into 4 types:

- **Type I:** The head of the condylar process is visible but significantly deformed, with the fibroadhesions making TMJ movement impossible
- **Type II:** Consolidation of the deformed head of the condylar process and articular surface occurs mostly at the edges and in the anterior and posterior parts of the structures, and the medial part of the surface of the condylar head remains undamaged
- **Type III:** The ankylosic mass involves the mandibular ramus and zygomatic arch; an atrophic and displaced fragment of the anterior part of the condylar head is in a medial location.
• Type IV: TMJ is completely obliterated by a bony ankylocytic mass growing between the mandibular ramus and cranial base.

The most predictable treatment for the ankylosed TMJ patient includes the release of the ankylosed joint; removal of the heterotopic and reactive bone with thorough debridement of the TMJ and adjacent areas; reconstruction of the TMJ with a patient-fitted total joint prosthesis (TMJ Concepts system) (Figure 3); ipsilateral coronoidotomy or coronoidecctomy if the ramus is significantly advanced or vertically lengthened with the prosthesis.³,⁴

In our first case, videolaryngoscopy was opted in view of adequate mouth opening but anticipated difficult visualization of vocal cords, due to retrognathia and pseudo macroGLOSSIA that narrows down the pharyngeal space. In an article by Sinha et al., they have demonstrated the successful use of video laryngoscope C Mac in the pediatric patient with a simulated cervical spine injury.⁵ The successful use of Mc Grath has been demonstrated by Ross and Baxter in a 36-week-old neonate.⁶ Disparity in the exchange of gases leads to difficulty in ventilation and hypoxemia. This is more common in patients with a history of OSA but the present patient did not had this history. The suprhyoid muscle length and tone along with associated jaw deformities makes the ventilation difficult and risky. Direct laryngoscopy is impossible because of limited mouth opening, if possible visualization of vocal cords is inexpedient. Hence, videolaryngoscope as in Case 1.

Awake fiberoptic intubation is not possible in pediatric patients and hence for Case 2, Sevoflurane induction was used followed by watchful chest rise. There were sternal retractions during spontaneous breathing for which nasopharyngeal airway was introduced. The minimum size ETT that could be threaded through the size 3.7 mm fiberscope was 4.5 (ID) which just passed snugly through the glottis. We were fortunate in this regard as we had only this size fibroscope as the smallest size available. Intravenous sedation in 8–18 years has been described with fentanyl/midazolam along with a cocktail infiltration of the anesthetic agent along the surgical site.⁷ A colossal cooperation is required from the patient end. The point of the anesthetic agent along the surgical site.

Limitations of the case series are obvious being less sample size, the superiority of one technique over the other could not be decided.

CONCLUSION

Pediatric airway management is no less than waging a war as all necessary skills and expertise are put to the test. It combines fortuity with skills. We have to be prepared for the worst in any unforeseen scenario. Pediatric airway with TMJ ankylosis is a path less treaded and further workup with better equipment and preparation is required, especially in age <2 years. Great minds and our learned readers are expected to contribute more toward this end.
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