INTRODUCTION

Infertility is a disease of the male or female reproductive system defined by the failure to achieve pregnancy within 12 months or more of regular unprotected sexual intercourse. Infertility may be primary or secondary. Primary infertility has never conceived and secondary infertility indicates previous pregnancy irrespective of the outcome (abortion or live birth) but failure to conceive subsequently. Infertility is a global problem with frequency ranging from 5% to 15% in different communities. The United States sees a prevalence of 7.4–15.5% depending on the methodological approach used. India has a frequency of infertility of more than 10% approximately.2

Aims and Objectives: This study aimed to assess the clinical profile and hysterosalpingographic findings of women with infertility who visited the department of radiodiagnosis for HSG. Materials and Methods: This is a cross-sectional study, where consecutive convenience-based sampling was used, with ethical clearance in place. Sixty-three infertile women of reproductive age were recruited for the study and were referred for HSG by the Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology. Results: The majority of the participants had primary infertility (71.88%) and was in the 31–35-year age group with the majority having 3–6 years of infertility and endometriosis. On HSG uterine pathology was found in 9.37% of participants and unilateral and bilateral tubal blocks were seen in 18.75% and 14.06% of cases approximately. Conclusion: HSG is a minimally invasive procedure, secure, and plays a crucial role in diagnosing uterine and tubal factors contributing to infertility. Key words: Infertility; Hysterosalpingography; Clinical profile
for selected cases to recognize endometriosis, pelvic adhesions, hydrosalpinx, and other peritoneal factors. As part of the infertility evaluation, adhering to guidelines the hysterosalpingography (HSG) becomes inevitable. Dyes are more appropriate in women with a history of pelvic inflammatory disease, previous ectopic pregnancy, or endometriosis. This allows assessment of both tubal and other pelvic pathology. Limited research on this subject has been done in our tertiary care hospital so far. Hence, it becomes imperative to conduct such a study in our hospital which caters to the most population of the rural strata.

Aims and Objectives
The aim and objective is to study the clinical profile of patients referred for HSG as a diagnostic tool in unexplained infertility.

MATERIALS AND METHODS
The present study was done in the Department of Radiology in collaboration with the Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology SKIMS MCH, Bemina, where 63 participants were recruited in the current study. After obtaining ethical clearance, well-informed consent was taken from the participants. Privacy and confidentiality were maintained. After a detailed history, clinical examination, and laparoscopic procedure, patients were referred for hysterosalpingography as part of the infertility evaluation protocol.

A consecutive convenience-based sampling technique was used for the current study. After a thorough pre-invasive procedure, informed consent was taken from the patients. Patients were made to understand the procedure, advantages, complications, and need for HSG. After conducting a thorough history, initial assessment, and necessary investigations, they were asked to report post-menstrual in the proliferative phase for HSG as a part evaluation for infertility.

Inclusion criteria
- Patients having unexplained infertility in the reproductive age group
- Patients having a history of primary as well as secondary infertility.

Exclusion criteria
- Patients having any contraindication to HSG procedures such as previous major surgeries, peritonitis, hernia large pelvic mass, or any medical comorbidity
- Patients having contraindications to general anesthesia
- Major male infertility.

Procedure
Patients were advised to take a mild pain reliever before the procedure and were informed about any allergies, particularly to iodine or contrast dye. The procedure was scheduled during the 1st week after the menstrual period to minimize the risk of interference with a possible pregnancy. Patients were asked to lie on an examination table, usually on their back with their feet in stirrups, similar to a pelvic examination. The cervix is visualized by inserting a speculum inside the vagina. The cervix was cleaned with an antiseptic solution to reduce the risk of infection. A thin, flexible catheter was then gently inserted through the cervix and into the uterine cavity. A radiopaque contrast dye was injected through the catheter into the uterus. The dye helps to outline the uterine cavity and fallopian tubes, making them visible on X-ray images. The radiologist evaluated the images to identify any abnormalities, such as uterine abnormalities, blockages in the fallopian tubes, or other issues that may contribute to infertility. Once the procedure was complete, the catheter was removed. The patient was monitored for a short period to ensure that there were no immediate complications. Patients were provided with instructions regarding post-procedure care, including restrictions on activities or medications. Mild cramping or discomfort during or after the procedure was explained. Vaginal spotting or light bleeding after the HSG is common. Any signs of infection (fever, severe pain, etc.) were asked to be reported to the health-care provider.

Statistical analysis
All the collected data were collected replicated in Microsoft Excel and analyzed using the IBM SPSS 24.0. Frequencies and percentages were calculated.

RESULTS
Table 1 indicates that in our study, primary infertility was found in 45 (71.42%) of the 64 patients, and secondary infertility was found in 18 patients 28.12%.

Table 2 indicates that most patients in the primary infertility group were in the 31–35 years age group and understandably 34.3% from 26 to 30 years followed by 7.8% from the age group of 36–40 years.

Table 3 indicates that the majority of patients 65.07% had 3–6 years of duration of illness, followed by 25.39% who had a duration of fewer than 2 years and 9.52% had >6 years of duration.

Table 4 and Figures 1-3 indicates that the majority of patients having primary infertility had endometriosis (51.51%), pelvic adhesions 18.18%, tubal block 12.12%, myoma 9.09%, and hydrosalpinx 9.09%, whereas in secondary infertility, majority 50.00% had endometriosis, 37.5% had pelvic adhesions, and
Table 1: Type of infertility (n=63)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Primary infertility</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>71.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Secondary infertility</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>28.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2: Age distribution of patients (n=63)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S. No</th>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>21–25 years</td>
<td>04</td>
<td>6.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>26–30 years</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>34.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>31–35 years</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>50.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>36–40 years</td>
<td>05</td>
<td>7.93</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3: Duration of infertility (n=63)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S. No.</th>
<th>Duration</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>≤2 years</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>25.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>3–6 years</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>65.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>&gt;6 years</td>
<td>06</td>
<td>9.52</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

the tubal block was seen in 12.5%. The overall majority of patients had endometriosis 51.21%.

Table 5 and above HSG images indicate that a unicornuate uterus was found in 3.12%, an irregular shape of uterine cavity was found in 9.37%, unilateral tubal block was seen in 18.75%, and bilateral in 12.50%.

DISCUSSION

The study was done to ascertain the clinical profile of infertility patients undergoing HSG. The study was done from June to October 2023. Our study was a cross-sectional hospital-based study. A total of 63 infertile patients were evaluated. The majority of patients were from the age group of 26 to 35 years in our study which was done by study. Our study documented a little higher percentage of primary infertility than the study done by Khan et al.9 The likely reason could be influenced by different characteristics of the study population and the definition of abnormalities. The majority of patients had a duration of infertility between 2 and 5 years which...
Table 4: Statistics of laparoscopic findings (n=63)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S. No.</th>
<th>Laparoscopic findings</th>
<th>Primary infertility n/percentage</th>
<th>Secondary infertility n/percentage</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Pelvic adhesions</td>
<td>6 (18.18)</td>
<td>3 (37.5)</td>
<td>9 (21.95)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Endometriosis</td>
<td>17 (51.51)</td>
<td>4 (50.00)</td>
<td>21 (51.21)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Tubal block</td>
<td>4 (12.12)</td>
<td>1 (12.5)</td>
<td>5 (12.19)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Myoma uterus</td>
<td>3 (9.09)</td>
<td>00</td>
<td>3 (7.31)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Hydrosalpinx</td>
<td>3 (9.09)</td>
<td>00</td>
<td>3 (7.31)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td></td>
<td>33</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>41</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 5: Findings of hysterosalpingography (n=63)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>HSG finding</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Unicornuate uterus</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The irregular shape of the cavity</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>9.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unilateral tubal block</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>18.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bilateral tubal block</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>14.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Both tubes normal</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>54.68</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

HSG: Hysterosalpingography

was in line with the international study by Haider et al., where the mean duration of infertility was >5 years.10

Our study documented that the majority of patients had endometriosis in laparoscopic findings which was in concordance with the study.11,12 In our study, the results showed that a significant number of participants had a unilateral and bilateral tubal block, similar findings have been explained in studies by Bello, Tvarijonaviciene, Tsuji et al.12,13,14 Different procedures are adopted to assess and evaluate the infertile population of reproductive age but still, HSG remains a good choice to investigate the uterine and tubal cause of infertility.

What this study adds?
- This study may provide diagnostic insight and treatment planning
- Improvement initiatives in health-care settings, encourage the refinement of diagnostic protocols and the enhancement of patient care for infertile individuals
- May foster collaboration between different medical specialties involved in infertility management, promoting a comprehensive and multidisciplinary approach to patient care.

Limitations of the study
1. The smaller sample size limits it to make it generalized
2. The sample was taken from a single tertiary care hospital
3. The study has recruited from a sample from similar ethnic or cultural populations
4. Need for a follow-up study to understand the long-term outcomes of infertile patients after HSG.

CONCLUSION

Our study provides an important overview of the clinical profile of infertile patients undergoing hysterosalpingography (HSG). Our findings reveal that a major proportion of these patients present with tubal blockages unilateral or bilateral, uterine abnormalities, and endometriosis. Our results highlight the importance of HSG in diagnosing and understanding the underlying causes of infertility. Extensive research is needed to explore the impact of HSG findings on treatment outcomes and to optimize management strategies for the infertile population.
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