ASIAN JOURNAL OF MEDICAL SCIENCES

DOI:10.3126/ajms.v1i1.2927

Factors associated with nutritional status of the under five children

Amita Pradhan^{a*}

Department of Community Medicine, KIST Medical College, Lalitpur Nepal

Abstract

Objective: To identify factors associated to the nutritional status of under five children.

Method: The data used in this analysis are from Demographic and Health Survey 2006 conducted in Nepal. Total 5262 cases are included in the present study. A multinomial logistic regression model is used to study the relation between various factors and nutritional status.

Results: Increasing body mass index of mothers and wealth index shows decreasing likelihood of malnutrition among children. Rural children show insignificant higher likelihood of different forms of underweight and wasting as compared to urban area. Frequency of listening radio does not show significant association in case of mild and moderate wasting and shown very high unusual odds ratio in case of severe wasting. Similarly, lower frequency of watching television also does not show significantly higher likeliness of different form of stunting, underweight and wasting. Female children are more likely to be stunted, underweight and wasted as compared to male. Female headed households are more likely to have moderately and mildly stunted children and mixed results are observed for underweight and wasting. The likelihood for all forms of malnutrition is higher among children with smaller than average size at birth as compared to average or bigger size at birth. Mixed results are observed regarding likelihood of different forms of malnutrition among children with mothers having different educational level.

Conclusion: Body mass index of mothers is found significant variable while explaining children's nutritional status. Similarly, Size at birth is significantly associated with nutrition during the childhood.

Keywords: Stunting; wasting; under weight; under five children

1. Introduction

Nepalese children show evidences of under nutrition as indicated by their stunting, wasting or wasting and stunting combined along with the features of various micronutrient deficiency disorders.¹ National surveys on nutritional status from 1975 to 1990, showed the worse picture of nutrition in the country. National Family Health Survey (NFHS), 1996 in a nationally representative sample of children (6-36 months) showed that overall, 54.8% were stunted, 12.7% showed wasting and 54.2% were underweight. Unpublished findings in 1998 indicate for the same age group 50.5% were stunted, 8.5% showed wasting and 48.8% were underweight. Nepal Micronutrient Status Survey, 1998 showed that 54% of children in Nepal were stunted and 47% underweight. The first national nutritional survey in 1975 also showed similar findings of 48.1% stunted, 2.8% wasted and 50% underweight. The data suggest that there is no improvement in the nutritional status in the country during these two decades.²

If we look at data from Nepal Demographic and Health Survey (NDHS) 2001, the percent prevalence for underweight and wasted children of under five years of age are 48.3 and 9.6%. Around 50% of the under five children are stunted.³ Similarly, NDHS 2006 reveals that the percent prevalence for underweight and wasted children of under five years of age are 39% and 13%. Forty nine percent of the under five children are stunted.⁴ Looking at these figures we can say that rapid decline is not observed in stunting and slight decline in underweight can be seen. However percentage of wasted children shows increased trend.

Hence understanding the factors that affect the nutrition of children is a need. Various factors may play the role of predictor variables while explaining the state of malnutrition. This analysis tries to analyze the factors associated with nutritional status among children of under five years of age. This analysis focus on applying statistical methods to study the relation between outcome variables namely malnutrition in the form of stunting, wasting and underweight with predictor variables namely education and body mass index of the mothers, area and region of residence, sex of the child, sex of the household head, frequency of listening radio and watching television, size of the child at birth as described by the respondents.

(OR: 3.625; CI 1.876, 7.006) stunting as compared to average or bigger size at birth.

Table no. 2 also shows similar pattern with higher BMI accompanying decreasing odds ratios for mild (OR :.890; CI: .863, .918), moderate (OR: .757; CI: .728, .788) and severe (OR: .673; CI: .605, .748) underweight and smaller size at birth with increasing odds ratios for mild (OR: 1.444; CI: 1.131, 1.843), moderate (OR:3.120; CI: 2.417, 4.027) and severe (OR: 4.363; CI: 2.740, 6.946) underweight.

However, table no. 3 shows that BMI does not follow similar pattern of decreasing odds ratios in case of mild (OR: .844; CI: .822, .867), moderate (OR: .726; CI: .686, .769) and severe (OR: .859; CI: .708, 1.041) wasting. But smaller size at birth follows similar pattern with odds ratios for mild (OR: 1.760; CI: 1.513, 2.047), moderate (OR: 2.318; CI: 1.787, 3.006) and severe (OR: 2.906; CI: 1.200, 7.037).

3. Discussion

Increasing body mass index (BMI) of mothers shows decreasing likelihood of all forms of stunting, underweight and wasting however in case of severe wasting the relationship is insignificant. Similarly, increasing wealth index of household shows decreasing likelihood except in case of severe stunting and wasting however the association is significant only in case of moderate stunting, moderate and severe underweight and moderate wasting. Mountain region children are found significantly higher for all forms of stunting whereas hill region children have significantly more likelihood in moderate and mild stunting as compared to terai. But no significant likelihood is observed in case of wasting and underweight. However moderately wasted children are significantly less likely in mountain and hill as compared to terai. Frequency of listening to radio has shown significant association in moderate stunting but in severe and mild stunting, it is not found significant. Similarly, less exposure to listening to radio has shown significantly higher odds for moderate and severe underweight. But frequency of listening radio does not show significant association in case of mild and moderate wasting and shown very high unusual odds ratio in case of severe wasting due to less number of cases who are severely wasted. Similarly, lower frequency of watching television also does not show significantly higher likeliness of different form of stunting, underweight and wasting.

2. Materials and Methods

The data used in this analysis are from the Demographic and Health Survey (DHS), 2006 conducted in Nepal. A total of 5262 cases are included in the present study.

2.1. Statistics

A multinomial logistic regression model is used to study the relation between various factors and nutritional status. Normal children are used as reference category. SPSS 13 is used to analyze the data.

3. Results

Table no. 1 shows that increasing body mass index (BMI) of mothers shows decreasing trend of odds ratios for mild (OR: .934; CI: .911, .958), moderate (OR: .924; CI: .886, .963) and severe (OR: .789; CI: .674, .923) stunting. Smaller size at birth shows increasing trend of odds ratios for mild (OR: 1.234; CI: 1.046, 1.455), moderate (OR: 1.823; CI: 1.457, 2.282) and severe

*Corresponding Author

Amita Pradhan, Associate professor, Department of Community Medicine, KIST Medical College, Lalitpur Nepal, Ph. No. 9841302137 Email: amiseason@yahoo.com Rural children are less likely to be severely, mildly and moderately stunted as compared to urban children, however the association is not significant. Rural children show insignificant higher likelihood of different forms of underweight and wasting as compared to urban area. In a study carried out by Saadah F. et. al. in 1999 in Indonesia, 32% of rural children are malnourished against 27% of urban.⁵ This analysis shows similar results for underweight but mixed results for stunting and wasting.

Female children are more likely to be stunted as compared to male but the association is significant only in case of moderate stunting. Similarly, female are significantly more likely to be underweight and wasted as compared to male but association is significant only in case of moderate impairment.

Female headed households are more likely to have moderately and mildly stunted children but odds ratios are significant only in mild impairment. However odds ratios show mixed and insignificant results in case of different forms of underweight and higher insignificant likelihood are observed for wasting. However, a study from Botswana conducted by Gobotswang K.

Stunting	Background variables	В	Odds Ratio	95% CI for Odds Ratio		
				Lower	Upper	
	Intercept	.250				
	BMI of mother	068	.934	.911	.958	
	Wealth index factor score	084	.919	.823	1.028	
Mildly impaired	Ecological region Mountain Hill Terai *	.345 .187	1.412 1.205	1.155 1.047	1.727 1.387	
	Do not listen radio Listen less than once a week Listen at least once a week Listen almost every day*	042 .058 .026	.959 1.060 1.026	.745 .903 .865	1.233 1.244 1.217	
	Do not watch television Watch less than once a week Watch at least once a week Watch almost every day*	.118 .061 120	1.125 1.063 .887	.895 .862 .689	1.413 1.310 1.141	
	Area of residence Rural Urban *	.057	1.058	.896	1.250	
	Sex of child Female Male *	.031	1.032	.912	1.167	
	Size at birth Smaller than average Average and bigger*	.021	1.234	1.046	1.455	
	Sex of household head Female Male*	.177	1.193	1.023	1.393	
	Education of mother No education Primary Secondary Higher*	1.479 1.346 .806	4.387 3.841 2.239	2.808 2.450 1.455	6.852 6.021 3.445	
	Intercept	-2.070				
	BMI of mother	079	.924	.886	.963	
	Wealth index factor score	316	.729	.581	.915	
Moder- ately impaired	Ecological region Mountain Hill Terai *	.525 .420	1.690 1.522	1.263 1.219	2.263 1.900	
	Do not listen radio Listen less than once a week Listen at least once a week Listen almost every day*	.687 .490 .455	1.987 1.633 1.576	1.369 1.248 1.179	2.883 2.137 2.107	
	Do not watch television Watch less than once a week Watch at least once a week Watch almost every day*	.376 .362 070	1.456 1.437 .932	.963 .970 .567	2.200 2.128 1.533	
	Area of residence Rural Urban *	094	.910	.698	1.188	
	Sex of child Female Male *	.191	1.211	1.003	1.462	
	Size at birth Smaller than average Average and bigger*	.601	1.823	1.457	2.282	
	Sex of household head Female Male*	.183	1.200	.954	1.511	
	Education of mother No education Primary Secondary Higher*	1.799 1.389 .401	6.041 4.012 1.493	1.817 1.200 .444	20.086 13.413 5.019	
	Intercept	.018				
	BMI of mother	237	.789	.674	.923	
	Wealth index factor score	.063	1.065	.508	2.234	
Severely impaired	Ecological region Mountain Hill Terai *	.966 .073	2.629 .929	1.127 .405	6.132 2.134	
	Do not listen radio Listen less than once a week Listen at least once a week Listen almost every day*	.666 .210 .451	1.946 .811 1.570	.660 .308 .594	5.738 2.135 4.149	
	Do not watch television Watch less than once a week Watch at least once a week Watch almost every day*	1.112 .351 703	3.041 1.420 .495	.687 .327 .050	13.463 6.177 4.914	
	Area of residence Rural Urban *	330	.719	.297	1.737	
	Sex of child Female Male *	.337	1.401	.736	2.667	
		1			-	

Table 1: Stunting among under five children according to various vari-

ables

Table 2:	Underweight	among	under	five	children	according to) various
variables							

Ist Mild malnu- tritionIntercept2.855LowerBMI of mother116.890.863Wealth index factor score105.901.790Ecological region Mountain.0651.067.826Hill Terai *.0741.076.899Do not listen radio Listen less than once a week Listen almost every day*.2141.239.873Do not watch television Watch less than once a week Listen almost every day*.1511.163.867Watch al least once a week Listen almost every day*.190.827.610Area of residence Rural.0611.063.862	r Upper .918 1.027 1.378	
Mild malnu- trition BMI of mother 116 .890 .863 Wealth index factor score 105 .901 .790 Ecological region Mountain .065 1.067 .826 Hill .074 1.076 .899 Terai * .001 .700 .790 Do not listen radio .214 1.239 .873 Listen less than once a week .103 1.109 .905 Listen at least once a week .215 1.240 .999 Listen almost every day* .151 1.163 .867 Watch less than once a week .068 1.070 .824 Watch at least once a week .190 .827 .610 Watch almost every day* .190 .827 .610	1.027	
trition Drift of finder 1.110 1.050 1.005 Wealth index factor score 105 .901 .790 Ecological region Mountain .065 1.067 .826 Hill .074 1.076 .899 Terai * Do not listen radio .214 1.239 .873 Listen alless than once a week .103 1.109 .905 Listen allmost every day* Do not watch television .151 1.163 .867 Watch less than once a week .068 1.070 .824 Watch alleast once a week .190 .827 .610 Watch allmost every day* .190 .827 .610	1.027	
Ecological region Mountain.0651.067.826Hill Terai *.0741.076.899Do not listen radio Listen less than once a week Listen at least once a week Listen almost every day*.2141.239.873Do not watch television Watch less than once a week Listen almost every day*.1511.163.867Watch all least once a week Listen almost every day*.1511.63.867Match all least once a week Watch at least once a week Watch almost every day*.190.827.610		
Mountain .065 1.067 .826 Hill .074 1.076 .899 Terai * .074 1.076 .899 Do not listen radio .214 1.239 .873 Listen less than once a week .103 1.109 .905 Listen almost every day* .215 1.240 .999 Do not watch television .151 1.163 .867 Watch less than once a week .068 1.070 .824 Watch allmost every day* .190 .827 .610 Match almost every day* .190 .827 .610	1 378	
Terai *.2141.239.873Do not listen radio.2141.109.905Listen less than once a week.1031.109.905Listen at least once a week.2151.240.999Listen almost every day*.1511.163.867Watch less than once a week.0681.070.824Watch at least once a week.190.827.610Watch almost every day*.190.827.610		
Listen less than once a week Listen at least once a week Listen almost every day*.1031.109.905Do not watch television Watch less than once a week Watch at least once a week Watch almost every day*.1511.163.867Watch at least once a week Watch almost every day*.0681.070.824Area of residence.190.827.610	1.289	
Listen at least once a week Listen almost every day*.2151.240.999Do not watch television Watch less than once a week Watch at least once a week Watch almost every day*.1511.163.867Watch almost every day*.0681.070.824Area of residence.190.827.610	1.759	
Listen almost every day*.1511.163.867Do not watch television.1511.163.824Watch less than once a week.0681.070.824Watch at least once a week190.827.610Watch almost every day*.190.827.610	1.359 1.540	
Watch less than once a week Watch at least once a week Watch almost every day*.068 1901.070 		
Watch at least once a week Watch almost every day*190.827.610Area of residence	1.560 1.391	
Area of residence	1.120	
	1.311	
Urban *		
Sex of child Female .004 1.004 .855	1.178	
Male *		
Size at birthSmaller than average.3671.4441.131	1.843	
Average and bigger*		
Sex of household head Female .079 1.082 .888	1.318	
Male*		
Education of motherNo education.5151.6731.060	2.642	
Primary .362 1.436 .905 Secondary .111 1.117 .728	2.279	
Secondary .111 1.117 .728 Higher*	1.713	
2nd degree Intercept 4.755		
Moderate BMI of mother278 .757 .728 malnutrition	.788	
Wealth index factor score 313 .732 .612 Ecological region Image: Cological region <th cologic<="" image:="" td=""><td>.875</td></th>	<td>.875</td>	.875
Mountain125 .883 .659	1.182	
Hill .029 1.029 .834 Terai *	1.270	
Do not listen radio .556 1.743 1.187	2.560	
Listen less than once a week .278 1.321 1.041 Listen at least once a week .242 1.274 .984	1.677 1.651	
Listen almost every day*	1.001	
Do not watch television .305 1.357 .956	1.925	
Watch less than once a week.1731.189.863Watch at least once a week198.820.557	1.639 1.208	
Watch almost every day*		
Area of residence1.1741.190.927	1.528	
Urban *		
Sex of child 229 1.257 1.046	1.511	
Male * Size at birth		
Smaller than average 1.138 3.120 2.417	4.027	
Average and bigger* Sex of household head		
Female .142 1.153 .920	1.445	
Male*		
Education of motherNo education.6982.0091.003	4.025	
Primary .255 1.290 .639	2.604	
Secondary040 .961 .489 Higher*	1.891	
3rd degree Intercept 4.772		
Severe mal- nutrition BMI of mother397 .673 .605	.748	
Wealth index factor score623.536.298	.964	
Ecological regionMountain336.714.374	1.363	
Hill568 .567 .333	.963	
Terai *Do not listen radio1.0752.9291.224	7.007	
Listen less than once a week .854 2.350 1.177	4.691	
Listen at least once a week 1.117 3.055 1.493 Listen almost every day*	6.250	
Do not watch television017 .983 .427	2.262	
Watch less than once a week261.770.345Watch at least once a week871.418.137	1.719 1.281	
Watch almost every day*		
Area of residenceRural.3151.370.731	2.566	
Urban * Sex of child		
Female .227 1.255 .829	1.900	
Male * Size at birth		
Smaller than average1.4734.3632.740	6.946	
Average and bigger* Sex of household head		
Female180 .835 .477	1.461	
Male* Education of mother		
No education .146 1.157 .133	10.045	
Primary 684 .505 .055 Secondary 790 .454 .051	4.601 4.017	
Higher*		

Male *				
Size at birth Smaller than average Average and bigger*	1.288	3.625	1.876	7.006
Sex of household head Female Male*	936	.392	.119	1.292
Education of mother No education Primary Secondary Higher*	.152 -1.153 507	1.164 .316 .602	.113 .023 .062	12.023 4.334 5.811

* Reference category

found that female headed households are 1.5 times (p=.016) more likely to be underweight.⁶ Size at birth is observed with significant association with different forms of stunting, underweight and wasting. The likelihood for all forms of malnutrition is higher among children with smaller than average size at birth as compared to average or bigger size at birth. The association of size at birth with nutritional status is also supported by the study carried out in Malawi by Madise N. J. and Mpoma Mabel.⁷

Level of education of mothers is found significantly associated with mild stunting however in case of moderate stunting mixed results are observed and Mixed results are observed regarding likelihood of different forms of in case of severe stunting association is insignificant.

*Reference category

underweight among children with mothers having different level of education.

Wasting	Background variables	B	Odds Ratio	95% CI for Odds Ratio		
			Katio	Lowe Up-		
Mildly	Intercept	2.715		r	per	
impaired	BMI of mother	169	.844	.822	.867	
-	Wealth index factor score	051	.950	.845	1.067	
	Ecological region					
	Mountain Hill	529 398	.589 .672	.488 .586	.712 .771	
	Terai *	396	.072	.380	.//1	
	Do not listen radio	.165	1.180	.929	1.497	
	Listen less than once a week	.071	1.074	.917	1.258	
	Listen at least once a week Listen almost every day*	.044	1.045	.881	1.240	
	Do not watch television	.057	1.059	.841	1.333	
	Watch less than once a week	.041	1.042	.842	1.291	
	Watch at least once a week	.057	1.058	.815	1.374	
	Watch almost every day*					
	Area of residence Rural	.148	1.160	.984	1.368	
	Urban *	.148	1.100	.984	1.308	
	Sex of child					
	Female	.118	1.126	.999	1.269	
	Male *					
	Size at birth	1				
	Smaller than average	.565	1.760	1.513	2.047	
	Average and bigger*					
	Sex of household head	012	1.013	.874	1 1 7 4	
	Female Male*	.013	1.015	.8/4	1.174	
	Education of mother					
	No education	.154	1.166	.737	1.846	
	Primary Secondary	028 .256	.972 1.292	.611 .829	1.545 2.013	
	Higher*	.230	1.292	.029	2.015	
Moderately	Intercept	4.219				
impaired	BMI of mother	320	.726	.686	.769	
	Wealth index factor score	313	.731	.560	.954	
	Ecological region	075	277	252	5(1	
	Mountain Hill	975 736	.377 .479	.253 .365	.561 .629	
	Terai *	.750	,	.505	.029	
	Do not listen radio	.014	1.014	.656	1.568	
	Listen less than once a week	062	.940	.690	1.280	
	Listen at least once a week Listen almost every day*	.067	1.069	.771	1.484	
	Do not watch television	.08	1.008	.651	1.559	
	Watch less than once a week Watch at least once a week	147 .094	.864 1.098	.570 .671	1.309 1.797	
	Watch almost every day*	.074	1.070	.071	1.///	
	Area of residence					
	Rural	.319	1.375	.992	1.907	
	Urban *		ļ			
	Sex of child Female	.235	1.266	1.008	1.588	
	Male *	.222	1.200	1.000	1.300	
	Size at birth					
	Smaller than average	.841	2.318	1.787	3.006	
	Average and bigger* Sex of household head					
	Sex of household head Female	.005	1.005	.756	1.338	
	Male*		1.000		1.550	
	Education of mother					
	No education	167	.846	.307	2.332	
	Primary Secondary	485 005	.616 .995	.220 .369	1.725 2.681	
	Higher*	005	.,,,,	.507	2.001	
Severely	Intercept	-19.707				
impaired	BMI of mother	152	.859	.708	1.041	
	Wealth index factor score	.080	1.083	.450	2.607	
	Ecological region	0.27	422	114	1 (4 4	
	Mountain Hill	837 -1.269	.433 .281	.114 .089	1.644 .886	
	Terai *	-1.209	.201	.007	.000	
	Do not listen radio	19.372	+	+	+	
	Listen less than once a week	20.340				
	Listen at least once a week	19.499				
	Listen almost every day*					
	Do not watch television	783	457	104	2 013	

Table 3: Wasting	among	under	five	children	according	to	various	vari-
ables								

Malawi by Madise N. J. and Mpoma Mabel5,7 the educational effect is found to be statistically significant.

5. Conclusion

Wealth index of household shows mixed results while examining the relation with different forms of malnutrition. So along with the economic status of household, the food pattern may need to be examined because irrespective of the economic condition, the food of choice for the children may have different nutritional value. Body mass index of mothers is found significant variable while explaining children's nutritional status. Similarly, Size at birth is significantly associated with nutrition during the childhood. So more emphasis shall be given to improve the nutritional status of women during pregnancy and lactation.

6. References

- 1. Adhikari RK, Krantz ME. Child Nutrition and Health. III ed., HLMC, IOM, Nepal, 2001,pp 21-22.
- 2. World Health Organization. Nutrition in South East Asia. WHO Regional Office for South East Asia. New Delhi, 2000.
- 3. Ministry of Health and Population, New Era, ORC Macro. Nepal Demographic and Health Survey. 2001.
- 4. Ministry of Health and Population, New Era, ORC Macro. Nepal Demographic and Health Survey. 2006.
- 5. Saadah F,Waters H and Heywood P. Indonesia: Under nutrition in Young Children. East Asia and the Pacific Region Watching Brief 1999; (1).
- Gobotswang K. Determinants of the Nutritional Status of Children in a Rural African Setting: The Case of Chobe District, Botswana. (Cited in June 2009). Available from: URL: www.Unu.edu/unupress/food/v191e/ cho9.htm
- Madise NJ and Mpoma M. Child Malnutrition and Feeding Practices in Malawi. (Cited in June 2009). Available from: URL: www.Unu.edu/ unupress/food/v182e/begin.htm

Watch less th Watch at least Watch almost		-1.191	.471	.032	2.882
Area of resid Rural Urban *	ence	.621	1.861	.512	6.760
Sex of child Female Male *		.112	1.119	.487	2.570
Size at birth Smaller than a Average and b	0	1.067	2.906	1.200	7.037
Sex of house Female Male*	old head	913	.401	.092	1.744
Education of No education Primary Secondary Higher*	mother	-1.058 -1.854 -2.369	.347 .157 .094	.021 .008 .004	5.763 3.091 2.028

-.783

.457

.104

2.013

Do not watch television

* Reference category; + very high unusual odds observed

But in case of moderate and severe wasting lower educational level of mothers is found associated with less likelihood however statistical significance is not seen. In studies carried out in Indonesia by Saadah F. et. Al. in 1999 and in