A cross-sectional study on the etiological spectrum and morbidity profile among geriatric patients: A hospital-based study

Tiash Samanta¹, Satyendra Nath Saha², Moumita Sen³, Arunima Chaudhuri⁴, Badal Kumar Sahu⁵

¹Senior Resident, ²Assistant Professor, Department of Medicine, ⁴Professor, Department of Physiology, Burdwan Medical College, Burdwan, 5Assistant Professor, Department of Medicine, NRS Medical College, Kolkata, West Bengal, ³Junior Resident, Department of Medicine, Assam Medical College, Dibrugarh, Assam, India

Submission: 10-10-2023

ABSTRACT

Dr. Arunima Chaudhuri, Professor, Department of Physiology, Burdwan Medical College, Burdwan - 713 104, West Bengal, India.

Background: Elderly people are now the most rapidly growing population group worldwide, and the challenge ahead for the health-care system is to ensure the quality of life and provide adequate health care for a large group the of geriatric population. Aims and Objectives: The objective of the study was to determine the most common causes of emergency hospital admission of geriatric patients and to evaluate their morbidity profile. Materials and Methods: This present study was carried out on 208 geriatric patients (age >60 years) in a medical college and teaching hospital, in eastern India between May 2019 and October 2020 after taking institutional ethical clearance and informed consent of the participants. Results: Nearly 38.9% of the participants belonged to the age group of 60-70 years. 73.7% of the participants presented with respiratory diseases (chronic obstructive pulmonary disease and respiratory failure), 21.0% had pulmonary tuberculosis, and 5.3% had cancer lung. Nearly 88.46% had endocrinal morbidity, followed by cardiovascular morbidity (74.03%) and respiratory morbidity (62.50%). 81.25% of the participants had diabetes, and 60.58% suffered from musculoskeletal disorder. 36.53% had osteoarthritis and 22.59% had rheumatoid arthritis. Conclusion: The present study result showed the most common presentation in hospital admissions during the emergency in the elderly were cardiovascular, respiratory diseases,

Key words: Geriatric population; Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease; Hypertension;

INTRODUCTION

Diabetes; Morbidity profile

Address for Correspondence:

Geriatric population includes persons who are 60 years and above. They are categorized into young old (60-75 years), old-old (76-85 years), and very old (>85 years).¹ The World Health Organization (WHO) estimates² by 2050, the world's population aged 60 years and older is expected to total 2 billion, and among them, 434 million people will be aged 80 years and above.

Aging refers to the inevitable, irreversible decline in organ function that occurs over time even in the absence

Mobile: 9531638559. E-mail: arunimachaudhuri4u@gmail.com

of injury, illness, environmental risks, or poor lifestyle choices.³ According to the population census 2011, there are nearly 104 million elderly persons (aged 60 years or above) in India; 53 million females and 51 million males.⁴ Longevity contributes to the increased prevalence of chronic disease, the burden of disease, and increased health service use.5-12

Aging is a universal process and is regarded as a normal biological phenomenon.^{3,4} Geriatric health problem is a growing concern due to the increase in the absolute number of geriatric people and sociodemographic

Publication: 01-01-2024

Access this article online Website:

http://nepjol.info/index.php/AJMS DOI: 10.3126/ajms.v15i1.59192

E-ISSN: 2091-0576 P-ISSN: 2467-9100

Copyright (c) 2024 Asian Journal of **Medical Sciences**

Commons Attribution-NonCommercial neurological causes, and gastrointestinal disorders. The majority of cases had three or more 4.0 International License complications necessitating admission contributing to a higher disease burden in the elderly.

This work is licensed under a Creative



ASIAN JOURNAL OF MEDICAL SCIENCES



Revision: 27-11-2023

changes in the community. Non-communicable diseases are already a major cause of morbidity as well as mortality throughout the world, and India is not untouched by that. As the elderly population is accumulating due to increased life expectancy so is the burden of these non-communicable diseases. In this modern era, after the successful development of various antibiotics and different vaccines, many life-threatening infectious diseases are controlled or eradicated. However, due to change of food habit, lifestyle, and various other factors, prevalence of non-communicable diseases becomes more than the infectious diseases. These diseases are more prone to develop among the persons who already have various comorbid conditions, such as diabetes, hypertension, hypothyroidism, dyslipidemia, chronic kidney disease (CKD), and chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD), which leads to various life-threatening conditions such as acute coronary syndrome or cerebrovascular accident (CVA) or atypical pneumonia.5-7 There is a rapid expansion of the geriatric age group due to increased longevity and so morbidities among them. Older age is usually characterized by the initiation of several complex health states that mainly occur only later in life and that do not fall into discrete disease categories and these are called as geriatric syndromes.² There is a close association between morbidities and disabilities. Census 2011 reports India to be home to 27 million people with severe disabilities.⁷ Around 5% of the elderly population in the country is affected by some kinds of disability, and the burden is predicted to increase due to increase in life expectancy and associated population aging.⁷ A major component of the burden of illness for the elderly derives from the prevalent chronic diseases. People age 65 and older are much more likely to suffer a heart attack, to have a stroke, or to develop coronary heart disease and heart failure. Heart disease is a major cause of disability, limiting activity, and diminishing the quality of life of millions of older people.8 Cataracts are a condition that commonly occurs in seniors.⁸⁻¹⁹ Diabetes mellitus prevalence is growing worldwide and is becoming an epidemic and endemic problem.¹⁵ However, its prevalence, comorbidities, and mortality are higher in the elderly than in young people.⁹ Musculoskeletal disorders are common problems affecting the elderly, loss of muscular strength, and fat redistribution decreasing the ability of the tissues to carry out their normal functions.¹⁰⁻¹²

Worldwide, the 60-plus population constitutes about 11.5% of the total population of 7 billion.^{13,14} The percentage of the elderly in India has been increasing at an increasing rate in recent years, and the trend is likely to continue in the coming decades.¹⁵⁻¹⁷ The share of the population over the age of 60 is projected to increase from 8% in 2015 to 19% in 2050.¹¹ By the end of the century, the elderly

will constitute nearly 34% of the total population in the country.¹⁶ Severe morbidities require hospitalization; the estimates of NSSO 71st Round, 2014 indicate that the rate of hospitalization among the elderly is much higher than the general population.¹¹ Chronic ailments are more prevalent among elderly women (674/1,000) than elderly men (619/1,000) and also higher in rural areas (658 out of 1,000) than urban (621 out of 1,000).¹⁴ Common chronic ailments such as arthritis, hypertension, cataracts, and diabetes are more prevalent among women, whereas ailments such as easthma and heart disease are more prevalent in men.¹¹

This cross-sectional study was conducted to determine the most common causes of emergency hospital admission of geriatric patients and to describe their morbidity profile so that early screening can be done to decrease ailments among these elderly populations and improve their quality of life.

Aims and objectives

To determine the most common causes of emergency hospital admission of geriatric patients and to describe their morbidity profile.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

This was a hospital-based observational descriptive epidemiological study; the design was cross-sectional design; the study setting was the inpatient Department of Medicine of Burdwan Medical College and Hospital in Purba Bardhaman District (West Bengal). The crosssectional study was conducted after obtaining institutional ethical clearance (BMC/605: dated December 15, 2019) and informed consent of the participants.

Inclusion criteria

- Age above 60 years.
- Admitted for the first time to the department of general medicine
- Participants were recruited within 24 h of admission.

Exclusion criteria

- Patients who died within 24 h of admission
- Patients who did not give consent for participation.

Sample size

Considering the prevalence (P) of systemic etiology and morbidity (genitourinary system) among inpatients admitted through the emergency department 42.5%, as per a study by Vasanth et al.¹⁸ (2018), the sample size was calculated.

The standard deviate at 95% confidence interval (Z) was 1.96 and taking 80% power of the study the absolute error

(l) was (0.425×0.2) . Considering a design effect of 1.5 for this study, the minimum required sample size (n) was $\{1.96^2 \times 0.425 \times (1-0.425)\}/(0.425 \times 0.2)^2 \approx 208$.

In the 9-month data collection period, a total of 36 weekly admission days + 6 rotational Sundays were available for data collection, i.e., 42 days. The required sample to be collected each day was set as $208/42=4.95\approx5$. From the hospital census, it was noted that every day on average 15 elderly patients were admitted to the emergency. Hence, a sampling interval of 15/5=3 was considered. On each day of data collection, the first patient was chosen randomly from the first three eligible patients. When a patient selected through sampling was found ineligible for the study, the immediate next patient was considered based on inclusion and exclusion criteria.

Study tools

Schedule development

Pre-designed and pre-tested schedule designed as a booklet was developed for data collection. It contained structured and open-ended questions on sociodemographic profile and the clinical including laboratory parameters of the participants.

Pretesting

Before the study, the questionnaire was pre-tested on 15 eligible elderly individuals through interview and subsequent recording of the relevant laboratory and clinical parameter by the researcher. Pretesting questions/components that were found to be irrelevant, ambiguous, and incomprehensive were deleted and questions required to be added for revealing necessary information according to stated objectives were noted. Then, the schedule was finalized.

The schedule contained two domains:

- 1. Sociodemographic information: Age, gender, education, occupation, religion, residence, and socioeconomic status
- 2. Etiology and morbidity profile of the participants: Etiology behind emergency admission, morbidities identified.

Instruments used

Bathroom-type weighing machine, cardiophonic stethoscope, digital sphygmomanometer, capillary blood glucose machine, blood collection sample with requisition format, ultrasonography format for imaging study, echocardiograph; electrocardiograph (electrocardiography machine).

Data analysis

Data (from the questionnaire and schedule) were entered into a spreadsheet class/section, serial no wise and exported

to Statistical Package for the Social Sciences[®] (SPSS) version 21.0 software for analysis.

Descriptive statistics were used to describe the sociodemographic characteristics and etiologic and morbidity profile of the participants. Chi-squared tests were done to determine difference between the observed proportions of etiology and morbidities among different age groups, genders, and addiction habits.

The risk factors were again separately examined based on the sociodemographic variables which showed statistically significant difference among urban and rural populations. The proportions of the risk factors in these different groups were statistically tested for any difference by the Chi-squared test.

All tests were two-tailed, and P<0.05 was considered statistically significant.

RESULTS

In the present study, 38.9% of the participants belonged to the age group 60–70 years (Table 1), followed by 71–80 years and more than 80 years. 49.5% of the participants were male and 50.5% were female. 29.3% had smoking habit and 17.8% had chronic alcoholism history. The clinical profile of the participants in the present study revealed that 48.6% of the participants were conscious, 28.8% were drowsy, and 22.6% unconscious. 30.3% had pallor, 7.2% had cyanosis, 4.3% had jaundice, 9.1% had clubbing, and 15.4% had edema. Overall, 59.6% of the participants were obese.

Etiological profile showed that 10.6% of the participants had cardiovascular diseases (CVDs) (Table 2). 90.9% of the participants with CVD had acute coronary syndrome and 9.1% had cardiomyopathy and heart failure. 17.8% of the participants had neurological diseases, of which 59.5% had ischemic CVA, 29.7% had hemorrhagic CVA, and 10.8% had meningitis. 73.7% of the participants with respiratory diseases had COPD and respiratory failure, 21.0% had pulmonary tuberculosis (TB), and 5.3% had cancer (CA) lung. 10.1% of the participants had genitourinary diseases, of which 81.0% of the participants had CKD and 19.0% had urinary tract infection (UTI) and pyelonephritis. 9.1% of the participants had gastrointestinal (GI) diseases. 63.2% of the participants with GI diseases had chronic liver disease (CLD), and 21.0% had intestinal TB and 15.8% had GI malignancy. 52.9% of the participants with endocrinal diseases had hyperglycemia and 47.1% had

Variables Number Percentage Age groups (years) 81 38.9 60-70 years 81 38.9 71-80 years 78 37.5 >80 years 49 23.6 Total 208 100.0 Gender	participants (n=208)			
Age groups (years) 81 38.9 60-70 years 81 38.9 71-80 years 78 37.5 >80 years 49 23.6 Total 208 100.0 Gender	Variables	Number	Percentage	
60-70 years 81 38.9 71-80 years 78 37.5 >80 years 49 23.6 Total 208 100.0 Gender	Age groups (years)			
71-80 years 78 37.5 >80 years 49 23.6 Total 208 100.0 Gender	60–70 years	81	38.9	
>80 years 49 23.6 Total 208 100.0 Gender	71–80 years	78	37.5	
Total 208 100.0 Gender	>80 years	49	23.6	
Gender Male 103 49.5 Female 105 50.5 Total 208 100.0 Religion 170 81.7 Islam 38 18.3 Total 208 100.0 Place of residence 173 35.1 Urban 135 64.9 Rural 73 35.1 Total 208 100.0 Type of family 14.9 Joint Nuclear 31 14.9 Joint 177 85.1 Total 208 100.0 Educational level 11 14.9 Illiterate 87 41.8 Below primary 6 2.9 Primary 76 36.5 Middle 19 9.1 Secondary 19 9.1 Higher secondary 1 0.5 Total 208 100.0 Socioeconomic class 11.0 0.5	Total	208	100.0	
Male 103 49.5 Female 105 50.5 Total 208 100.0 Religion Hinduism 170 81.7 Islam 38 18.3 Total 208 100.0 Place of residence Urban 135 64.9 Rural 73 35.1 Total 208 100.0 Type of family Nuclear 31 14.9 Joint 177 85.1 Total 208 100.0 Educational level Illiterate 87 41.8 Below primary 6 2.9 Primary 76 36.5 Middle 19 9.1 Secondary 19 9.1 Higher secondary 1 0.5 Total 208 100.0 Socioeconomic class	Gender			
Female 105 50.5 Total 208 100.0 Religion	Male	103	49.5	
Total 208 100.0 Religion	Female	105	50.5	
Religion Hinduism 170 81.7 Islam 38 18.3 Total 208 100.0 Place of residence Urban 135 64.9 Rural 73 35.1 100.0 Total 208 100.0 100.0 Type of family Vuclear 31 14.9 Joint 177 85.1 100.0 Educational level 100.0 Educational level 100.0 Illiterate 87 41.8 Below primary 6 2.9 Primary 76 36.5 Middle 19 9.1 Secondary 19 9.1 Secondary 10.0 0.0 Married 208 100.0 0 38.8 100.0 Married 146 70.2 Widowed 60 28.8 100.0 Socioeconomic class Unmarried 208 100.0 Socioeconomic class 11.1 Upper high 1 0.5	Total	208	100.0	
Hinduism 170 81.7 Islam 38 18.3 Total 208 100.0 Place of residence Urban 135 64.9 Rural 73 35.1 Total 208 100.0 Type of family 100.0 Type of family 100.0 Type of family 14.9 Nuclear 31 14.9 30.0 100.0 Educational level 111 Total 208 100.0 Educational level 111 76 36.5 36.5 Middle 19 9.1 Below primary 6 2.9 Primary 76 36.5 36.5 Middle 19 9.1 9.1 Higher secondary 1 0.5 50.5 50.5 50.5 50.5 100.0 51.5 52.5 50.5 50.5 50.5 50.5 50.5 50.5 50.5 50.5 50.5 50.5 50.5 50.5 50.5 50.5 50.5 50.5 50.5 </td <td>Religion</td> <td></td> <td></td>	Religion			
Islam 38 18.3 Total 208 100.0 Place of residence	Hinduism	170	81.7	
Total 208 100.0 Place of residence	Islam	38	18.3	
Place of residence Urban 135 64.9 Rural 73 35.1 Total 208 100.0 Type of family Nuclear 31 14.9 Joint 177 85.1 Total 208 100.0 Educational level 100.0 Educational level 100.0 Educational level 111 111 Illiterate 87 41.8 Below primary 6 2.9 9 Primary 76 36.5 36.5 36.5 36.5 36.5 Middle 19 9.1 Secondary 19 9.1 35.1 Secondary 19 9.1 36.5 36.5 36.5 37.1 Unmarried 208 100.0 36.5 37.5	Total	208	100.0	
Urban 135 64.9 Rural 73 35.1 Total 208 100.0 Type of family	Place of residence			
Rural 73 35.1 Total 208 100.0 Type of family 1 14.9 Nuclear 31 14.9 Joint 177 85.1 Total 208 100.0 Educational level 1 177 Illiterate 87 41.8 Below primary 6 2.9 Primary 76 36.5 Middle 19 9.1 Secondary 19 9.1 Higher secondary 1 0.5 Total 208 100.0 Marital status 0 100.0 Marital status 1 0.5 Upmarried 2 1.0 Married 146 70.2 Widowed 60 28.8 Total 208 100.0 Socioeconomic class 0 11.1 Upper high 1 0.5 High 23 11.1	Urban	135	64.9	
Total 208 100.0 Type of family	Rural	73	35.1	
Type of family 31 14.9 Joint 177 85.1 Total 208 100.0 Educational level 100.0 Illiterate 87 41.8 Below primary 6 2.9 Primary 76 36.5 Middle 19 9.1 Secondary 19 9.1 Higher secondary 1 0.5 Total 208 100.0 Marrial status Unmarried 2 1.0 Married 146 70.2 Widowed 60 28.8 Total 208 100.0 Socioeconomic class Upper high 1 0.5 High 23 11.1 Upper middle 54 26.0 Lower middle 78 37.5 Poor 52 25.0 Total 208 100.0 Smoking 147 70.7 Yes 61 29.3 20.3 100.0 20.3 100.0	Total	208	100.0	
Nuclear 31 14.9 Joint 177 85.1 Total 208 100.0 Educational level 100.0 Illiterate 87 41.8 Below primary 6 2.9 Primary 76 36.5 Middle 19 9.1 Secondary 19 9.1 Higher secondary 1 0.5 Total 208 100.0 Married 146 70.2 Widowed 60 28.8 Total 208 100.0 Socioeconomic class Upper high 1 0.5 High 23 11.1 Upper middle 54 26.0 Lower middle 78 37.5 900r 52 25.0 Total 208 100.0 Smoking 100.0 No 147 70.7 Yes 61 29.3 Total 208 100.0 0.0 0.0 <	Type of family			
Joint 177 85.1 Total 208 100.0 Educational level 111 111 Illiterate 87 41.8 Below primary 6 2.9 Primary 76 36.5 Middle 19 9.1 Secondary 19 9.1 Higher secondary 1 0.5 Total 208 100.0 Marital status Unmarried 2 1.0 Married 146 70.2 Widowed 60 28.8 Total 208 100.0 Socioeconomic class Upper high 1 0.5 Upper high 1 0.5 11.1 Upper middle 54 26.0 Lower middle 78 37.5 200 100.0 Smoking 100.0 No 147 70.7 Yes 61 29.3 100.0 Smoking UNO 147 70.7 Yes 37 17.8	Nuclear	31	14.9	
Iotal 208 100.0 Educational level	Joint	1//	85.1	
Educational level 87 41.8 Below primary 6 2.9 Primary 76 36.5 Middle 19 9.1 Secondary 19 9.1 Higher secondary 1 0.5 Total 208 100.0 Marital status Unmarried 2 1.0 Married 146 70.2 Widowed Vidowed 60 28.8 100.0 Socioeconomic class 100.0 Socioeconomic class 11.1 Upper high 1 0.5 141.1 Upper middle 54 26.0 26.0 Lower middle 78 37.5 200 Poor 52 25.0 17.5 Poor 52 25.0 100.0 Smoking Uno.0 147 70.7 Yes 61 29.3 17.4 29.3 Total 208 100.0 0.0 0.0 Chronic alcoho	Iotal	208	100.0	
Initerate 87 41.8 Below primary 6 2.9 Primary 76 36.5 Middle 19 9.1 Secondary 19 9.1 Higher secondary 1 0.5 Total 208 100.0 Marital status Unmarried 2 1.0 Married 146 70.2 Widowed 60 28.8 Total 208 100.0 Socioeconomic class 11.1 0.5 Upper high 1 0.5 11.1 0.5 High 23 11.1 0.5 11.1 Upper middle 54 26.0 Lower middle 78 37.5 20.0 100.0 20.0 100.0 Smoking V V 147 70.7 Yes 61 29.3 100.0 No 147 70.7 Yes 61 29.3 100.0 Chronic alcoholism V V 171	Educational level	07	44.0	
Below primary 6 2.9 Primary 76 36.5 Middle 19 9.1 Secondary 19 9.1 Higher secondary 1 0.5 Total 208 100.0 Married 146 70.2 Widowed 60 28.8 Total 208 100.0 Socioeconomic class 1 0.5 Upper high 1 0.5 High 23 11.1 Upper middle 54 26.0 Lower middle 78 37.5 Poor 52 25.0 Total 208 100.0 Smoking 147 70.7 Yes 61 29.3 Total 208 100.0 Chronic alcoholism Image: State	Illiterate	87	41.8	
Primary 76 36.5 Middle 19 9.1 Secondary 19 9.1 Higher secondary 1 0.5 Total 208 100.0 Marital status Unmarried 2 1.0 Married 146 70.2 Widowed 60 28.8 Total 208 100.0 Socioeconomic class Upper high 1 0.5 High 23 11.1 Upper middle 54 26.0 Lower middle 78 37.5 Poor 52 25.0 Total 208 100.0 Smoking No 147 70.7 Yes 61 29.3 Total 208 100.0 Chronic alcoholism No 171 82.2 Yes 37 <t< td=""><td>Below primary</td><td>6</td><td>2.9</td></t<>	Below primary	6	2.9	
Midule 19 9.1 Secondary 19 9.1 Higher secondary 1 0.5 Total 208 100.0 Marital status Unmarried 2 1.0 Married 146 70.2 Widowed 60 28.8 Total 208 100.0 Socioeconomic class Upper high 1 0.5 High 23 11.1 Upper middle 54 26.0 Lower middle 78 37.5 Poor 52 25.0 Total 208 100.0 Smoking No 147 70.7 Yes 61 29.3 Total 208 100.0 Chronic alcoholism No 171 82.2 Yes 37 17.8 Total 208 <td< td=""><td>Primary</td><td>76</td><td>30.5</td></td<>	Primary	76	30.5	
Secondary 19 9.1 Higher secondary 1 0.5 Total 208 100.0 Marital status 1 Unmarried 2 1.0 Married 146 70.2 Widowed 60 28.8 Total 208 100.0 Socioeconomic class 1 Upper high 1 0.5 High 23 11.1 Upper middle 54 26.0 Lower middle 78 37.5 Poor 52 25.0 Total 208 100.0 Smoking 1 No 147 70.7 Yes 61 29.3 Total 208 100.0 Chronic alcoholism 171 No 171 82.2 Yes 37 17.8 Total 208 100.0		19	9.1	
Initial secondary 1 0.5 Total 208 100.0 Marital status Unmarried 2 1.0 Married 146 70.2 Widowed 60 28.8 Total 208 100.0 Socioeconomic class Upper high 1 0.5 High 23 11.1 Upper middle 54 26.0 Lower middle 78 37.5 Poor 52 25.0 Total 208 100.0 Smoking No 147 70.7 Yes 61 29.3 Total 208 100.0 Chronic alcoholism No 171 82.2 Yes 37 17.8 Total 208 100.0	Secondary	19	9.1	
Initial 208 100.0 Marital status 100.0 Unmarried 2 1.0 Married 146 70.2 Widowed 60 28.8 Total 208 100.0 Socioeconomic class 100.0 Upper high 1 0.5 High 23 11.1 Upper middle 54 26.0 Lower middle 78 37.5 Poor 52 25.0 Total 208 100.0 Smoking 147 70.7 Yes 61 29.3 Total 208 100.0 Chronic alcoholism 171 82.2 Yes 37 17.8 Total 208 100.0	Total	200	100.0	
Unmarried 2 1.0 Married 146 70.2 Widowed 60 28.8 Total 208 100.0 Socioeconomic class Upper high 1 0.5 High 23 11.1 Upper middle 54 26.0 Lower middle 78 37.5 Poor 52 25.0 Total 208 100.0 Smoking No 147 70.7 Yes 61 29.3 Total 208 100.0 Chronic alcoholism No 171 82.2 Yes 37 17.8 Total 208 100.0	Norital status	200	100.0	
Married 146 70.2 Widowed 60 28.8 Total 208 100.0 Socioeconomic class 1 0.5 Upper high 1 0.5 High 23 11.1 Upper middle 54 26.0 Lower middle 78 37.5 Poor 52 25.0 Total 208 100.0 Smoking 1 29.3 No 147 70.7 Yes 61 29.3 Total 208 100.0 Chronic alcoholism 171 82.2 Yes 37 17.8 Total 208 100.0	Unmarried	2	1.0	
Winted 140 70.2 Widowed 60 28.8 Total 208 100.0 Socioeconomic class 1 Upper high 1 0.5 High 23 11.1 Upper middle 54 26.0 Lower middle 78 37.5 Poor 52 25.0 Total 208 100.0 Smoking No 147 70.7 Yes 61 29.3 Total 208 100.0 Chronic alcoholism No 171 82.2 Yes 37 17.8 Total 208 100.0	Married	1/6	70.2	
Total 208 100.0 Socioeconomic class Upper high 1 0.5 High 23 11.1 Upper middle 54 26.0 Lower middle 78 37.5 Poor 52 25.0 Total 208 100.0 Smoking No 147 70.7 Yes 61 29.3 Total 208 100.0 Chronic alcoholism No 171 82.2 Yes 37 17.8 Total 208 100.0	Widowed	60	28.8	
Socioeconomic class 1 0.5 Upper high 1 0.5 High 23 11.1 Upper middle 54 26.0 Lower middle 78 37.5 Poor 52 25.0 Total 208 100.0 Smoking No 147 70.7 Yes 61 29.3 Total 208 100.0 Chronic alcoholism No 171 82.2 Yes 37 17.8 Total 208 100.0	Total	208	100.0	
Upper high 1 0.5 High 23 11.1 Upper middle 54 26.0 Lower middle 78 37.5 Poor 52 25.0 Total 208 100.0 Smoking No 147 70.7 Yes 61 29.3 Total 208 100.0 Chronic alcoholism No 171 82.2 Yes 37 17.8 Total 208 100.0	Socioeconomic class	200	100.0	
High 23 11.1 Upper middle 54 26.0 Lower middle 78 37.5 Poor 52 25.0 Total 208 100.0 Smoking No 147 70.7 Yes 61 29.3 Total 208 100.0 Chronic alcoholism No 171 82.2 Yes 37 17.8 Total 208 100.0	Lipper high	1	0.5	
Upper middle 54 26.0 Lower middle 78 37.5 Poor 52 25.0 Total 208 100.0 Smoking No 147 70.7 Yes 61 29.3 Total 208 100.0 Chronic alcoholism No 171 82.2 Yes 37 17.8 Total 208 100.0	High	23	11 1	
Lower middle 78 37.5 Poor 52 25.0 Total 208 100.0 Smoking No 147 70.7 Yes 61 29.3 Total 208 100.0 Chronic alcoholism No 171 82.2 Yes 37 17.8 Total 208 100.0	Upper middle	54	26.0	
Poor 52 25.0 Total 208 100.0 Smoking No 147 70.7 Yes 61 29.3 Total 208 100.0 Chronic alcoholism No 171 82.2 Yes 37 17.8 Total 208 100.0	l ower middle	78	37.5	
Total 208 100.0 Smoking	Poor	52	25.0	
Smoking 70.7 No 147 70.7 Yes 61 29.3 Total 208 100.0 Chronic alcoholism 82.2 Yes 37 17.8 Total 208 100.0	Total	208	100.0	
No 147 70.7 Yes 61 29.3 Total 208 100.0 Chronic alcoholism No 171 82.2 Yes 37 17.8 Total 208 100.0	Smoking			
Yes 61 29.3 Total 208 100.0 Chronic alcoholism No 171 82.2 Yes 37 17.8 Total 208 100.0	No	147	70.7	
Total 208 100.0 Chronic alcoholism	Yes	61	29.3	
No 171 82.2 Yes 37 17.8 Total 208 100.0	Total	208	100.0	
No17182.2Yes3717.8Total208100.0	Chronic alcoholism	-		
Yes3717.8Total208100.0	No	171	82.2	
Total 208 100.0	Yes	37	17.8	
	Total	208	100.0	

Table 1: Sociodemographic profile of the

hypoglycemia. 4.3% of the participants had psychiatric diseases. 88.9% of the participants with psychiatric diseases had attempted suicide and 11.1% had other complications (Tables 2-6).

Majority of the participants (88.46%) had endocrinal morbidity, followed by cardiovascular morbidity (74.03%) and respiratory morbidity (62.50%). Psychological morbidity was least common (24.03%). Various morbidities which were found among the study participants were: 63.46% of the participants had ischemic heart disease, 40.38% with dilated cardiomyopathy, 27.40% with heart failure, and 9.13% with pericardial effusion. 58.65% of the participants had COPD, 19.71% with pulmonary TB, and 7.21% with lung CA. 43.75% of the participants had ischemic CVA, 31.25% with hemorrhagic CVA, and 18.75% with transient ischemic attack. 81.25% of the participants had diabetes, 75.96% with dyslipidemia, and 48.55% with hypothyroidism. 31.25% of the participants had CKD, 14.90% with UTI and pyelonephritis, and 13.46% with BHP. 54.80% of the participants had fibromyalgia, 36.53% with osteoarthritis, and 22.59% with rheumatoid arthritis. 83.71% of the participants had peptic ulcer, 35.09% with CLD, 15.38% with intestinal TB. 14.42% of the participants had depression, 19.71% with insomnia, and 7.21% with attempted suicide.

System-wise morbidities did not vary statistically among the participants of different age groups (Table 2). Among the elderly population (>80 years), endocrinal morbidities (93.9%) followed by gastrointestinal morbidities were the most common (91.8%). On the other hand, among the younger age group, i.e., 60–70-year psychiatric morbidities were least common (18.3%). Among the morbidities noted, central nervous system morbidities had a female preponderance, which was statistically significant. All the participants who were smokers, were diagnosed with endocrinal morbidities. While among non-smokers 83.7% had endocrinal morbidities. The difference was statistically significant (P<0.001) (Tables 4 and 5). All the participants, who were chronic alcoholics, were diagnosed with GI

Morbidities		Age groups		
	60–70 years (%)	71–80 years	>80 years	
CVS	54 (76.1)	59 (75.6)	34 (69.4)	0.668
Respiratory	45 (63.4)	49 (62.8)	28 (57.1)	0.757
CNS	41 (57.7)	40 (51.3)	26 (53.1)	0.722
Endocrinal	59 (83.1)	69 (88.5)	46 (93.9)	0.202
GU	31 (43.7)	36 (46.2)	19 (38.8)	0.716
GI	58 (81.7)	65 (83.3)	45 (91.8)	0.279
Musculoskeletal	45 (63.4)	48 (61.5)	28 (57.1)	0.785
Psychiatric	13 (18.3)	21 (26.9)	14 (28.6)	0.339

CVS: Cardiovascular, CNS: Central nervous system, GU: Genito urinary, GI: Gastro intestinal

Table 3: Distributions of morbidities according to gender

Morbidities	Ge	ender	P-value
	Male (%)	Female (%)	
CVS	74 (71.8)	80 (76.2)	0.475
Respiratory	61 (59.2)	69 (65.7)	0.334
CNS	48 (46.6)	66 (62.9)	0.019
Endocrinal	94 (91.3)	90 (85.7)	0.211
GU	43 (41.7)	49 (46.7)	0.475
GI	85 (82.5)	92 (87.6)	0.302
Musculoskeletal	63 (61.2)	63 (60.0)	0.864
Psychiatric	28 (27.2)	22 (21.0)	0.293

CVS: Cardiovascular, CNS: Central nervous system, GU: Genito urinary, GI: Gastro intestinal

Table 4: Distributions of morbidities accordingto smoking status

Morbidities	Smo	Smoking	
	No (%)	Yes (%)	
CVS	106 (72.1)	48 (78.7)	0.324
Respiratory	94 (63.9)	36 (59.0)	0.504
CNS	83 (56.5)	31 (50.8)	0.457
Endocrinal	123 (83.7)	61 (100.0)	<0.001**
GU	71 (48.3)	21 (34.4)	0.067
GI	128 (87.1)	49 (80.3)	0.214
Musculoskeletal	91 (61.9)	35 (57.4)	0.543
Psychiatric	31 (21.1)	19 (31.1)	0.122

CVS: Cardiovascular, CNS: Central nervous system, GU: Genitourinary, GI: Gastrointestinal. P value <0.01** is considered highly significant.

Table 5: Distributions of morbidities according to smoking status

.			
Morbidities	Smoking		P-value
	No (%)	Yes (%)	
CVS	106 (72.1)	48 (78.7)	0.324
Respiratory	94 (63.9)	36 (59.0)	0.504
CNS	83 (56.5)	31 (50.8)	0.457
Endocrinal	123 (83.7)	61 (100.0)	<0.001**
Genitourinary (GU)	71 (48.3)	21 (34.4)	0.067
Gastrointestinal (GI)	128 (87.1)	49 (80.3)	0.214
Musculoskeletal	91 (61.9)	35 (57.4)	0.543
Psychiatric	31 (21.1)	19 (31.1)	0.122
CVC Cardianaandar CNC Card	CVC Cardianaandar CNC Castral services waters CUL Casitanniaan		

CVS: Cardiovascular, CNS: Central nervous system, GU: Genitourinary, GI: Gastrointestinal. P value <0.01** is considered highly significant.

morbidities. While among non-alcoholics, 81.9% had endocrinal morbidities. The difference was statistically significant (P=0.002) (Table 6).

DISCUSSION

Geriatric populations include persons aged 60 years and above. WHO estimates the worlds' population aged

Table 6: Distributions of morbidities according to chronic alcoholism

Morbidities	Chronic a	lcoholism	P-value
	No (%)	Yes (%)	
CVS	129 (75.4)	25 (67.6)	0.322
Respiratory	110 (64.3)	20 (54.1)	0.242
CNS	93 (54.4)	21 (56.8)	0.793
Endocrinal	148 (86.5)	26 (97.3)	0.064
GU	80 (46.8)	12 (32.4)	0.111
GI	140 (81.9)	37 (100.0)	0.002**
Musculo-skeletal	103 (60.2)	23 (62.2)	0.828
Psychiatric	42 (24.6)	8 (21.6)	0.704

CVS: Cardiovascular, CNS: Central nervous system, GU: Genitourinary, GI: Gastrointestinal. P value <0.01** is considered highly significant

above 60 years is expected to total 2 billion by 2050; up from 900 million in 2015. By 2050, 80% of all older people will be living in low- and middle-income countries. Different epidemiological studies have been shown that various comorbid conditions prevail among geriatric population, which causes fatal non-communicable as well as communicable diseases.

In the present study, majority (38.9%) of the participants belonged to the age group of 60-70 years, followed by 71-80 years and more than 80 years. Jamkhandi DM et al. (2015)²⁰ stated the 70.2% of participants belonged to young-old age group. Mean age of the participants in the current study was 74.44 years (± 9.56). In the study by Sharma et al.,¹⁷ the mean age of the sample studied was 69.01 years. Vasanth¹⁸ stated that in their study that 14% of patients had a history of active alcohol consumption, and 27% of patients were active smokers. Grover¹⁹ reported in their study that about one-third (31%) of the participants had tobacco dependence, and one-fifth (19.8%) of patients had alcohol dependence syndrome currently. The clinical profile of the participants in the present study revealed that 48.6% of the participants were conscious, 28.8% were drowsy, and 22.6% unconscious. 30.3% had pallor, 7.2% had cyanosis, 4.3% had jaundice, 9.1% had clubbing, and 15.4% had edema. Overall, 59.6% of the participants were normal, 35.6% were overweight, and 4.8% were obese. Comparison of the current study findings with existing literature is given in Table 7.

Limitations of the study

This study was conducted over a period of 1.5 years with various limitations such as lack of economic support, manpower, and the chance to follow up. These morbidity conditions can be easily prevented by taking proper measures. If social support, government and economic support, and proper medical care for the elderly people can be assured; the morbidity factors can be reduced or 100 C

1 (1 11

Table 7. Companson of current study multigs with the existing include				
Study	Findings	Explanation		
Current study	 73.7% of the participants presented with respiratory diseases had COPD and respiratory failure, 21.0% had pulmonary TB and 5.3% had CA lung. Etiological profile as presented in the emergency medical ward showed that 10.6% of the participants had CVDs, 9.1% 	-		
	of the participants had GI diseases, 18.3% of the participants had respiratory etiology.			
Jamkhandi and Bhattacharji ²⁰	 Three participants presented with acute- on-chronic illness while 12 presented with acute symptoms in outpatient clinic. In those who presented with acute or subacute symptoms, 	The difference in findings is because the current study findings were of the patients admitted through the medical emergency but the literature being		
Sehgal et al. ²¹	 15% were due to exacerbation of COPD or fever. The maximum cases (64.45%) presented with involvement of eyes, followed by musculoskeletal disorders (30.23%), CVDs (26.58%), respiratory system diseases (24.58%), GIT diseases (19.93%), and ear diseases (11.30%) in that order. 	compared was from the outpatient clinic. Percentage of GI disease presentation was comparable with the current study. But CVDs and respiratory diseases were not comparable with the current study finding. The possible explanation can be due to the literature being compared was from the outreach clinic in the rural area.		
Vasanth ¹⁸	• The presenting symptoms in the inpatient ward at tertiary hospital were: cardiorespiratory symptoms (65.5%), neuropsychiatric symptoms (63%), genitourinary symptoms (42.5%), and GI symptoms (26%).	The difference in findings from the current study can be due to regional difference as the literature being compared was done in North-East region.		
Current study	 Psychiatric etiology were present among 4.3%. 88.9% of the participants with psychiatric diseases had attempted suicide and 11.1% had other complications. 	-		
Grover ¹⁹	 Among participants attending medical emergency department, around half of the patients (47.4%) fulfilled at least one axis-I psychiatric diagnosis. 	The discrepancy in findings in current study and the literature being compared can be explained by the regional differences.		
Current study	 Majority of the participants (88.46%) had endocrinal morbidity, followed by cardiovascular morbidity (74.03%) and respiratory morbidity (62.50%). 81.25% of the participants had diabetes, 60.58% with musculoskeletal disorder. 36.53% with osteoarthritis and 22.59% with rheumatoid arthritis. 	-		
Sudarshan Pai and Harsha Kumar ²²	• The common morbidities found were diabetes mellitus (54.5), CVDs (50.3), locomotive disorder (45.5).	The findings were not completely comparable with the current study findings due to difference in settings of the study being conducted. The literature being compared was done in private setting, but the current study was conducted in government setting.		
Jennifer et al. ²³	 Common morbidities found to be arthritis (75%), followed by hypertension (63.9%), low back pain and other pain (52.8%), diabetes (33.3%). 	The findings were not comparable with the current study findings because of the difference in study setting.		
Vanitha et al. ²⁴	• Musculoskeletal problems were the most common which was 71.5% followed by ocular problems 60.3%, cardiovascular problem 26.7% and endocrine problem 19.8%.	 Musculoskeletal problems were almost comparable with the current study finding showing musculoskeletal problems prevalence to be 60.58%. Cardio vascular and endocrine problems prevalence were not comparable which can be due to different study setting. 		
Current study	 Among the morbidities noted, CNS morbidities had a female preponderance, which was statistically significant. Among male respondents, endocrinal abnormalities were the most common (91.3%). Female respondents had GI morbidities most commonly (87.6%). 	-		
Sharma et al.17	A significantly higher proportion of women suffered from musculoskeletal problems, hypertension, diabetes	The findings were not comparable with the current study findings because of the difference in study setting		
Sehgal et al. ²¹	 Musculoskeletal diseases and GIT disorders were higher among the women, whereas CVS and respiratory group of diseases exhibited a higher prevalence among the men. 	 In the current study all morbidities were higher among female except endocrinal, musculo-skeletal and psychiatric which were common among male. This difference in findings might be explained by the difference in study setting and area of the literature being compared. 		
Current study	 System-wise morbidities did not vary statistically among the participants of different age groups. Among the elderly population (>80 years) endocrinal morbidities (93.9%) followed by GI morbidities were the commonest (91.8%). On the other hand, among the younger age group, i.e., 60–70 years psychiatric morbidities were least common (18.3%) 	-		
Dabade et al. ²⁵	 In higher age, presence of any morbidities also increased and this difference was statistically significant. 	 The discrepancy in findings could be explained as in the current study the setting was different as compared to the literature being compared. 		

COPD: Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, CA: Cancer, TB: Tuberculosis, CVDs: Cardiovascular diseases, GI: Gastrointestinal, GIT: Gastrointestinal tract

eliminated and the fatal clinical outcome can be prevented. Thus, the overall disease burden of the society can be reduced.

CONCLUSION

The present study result showed the most common presentation in hospital admissions during the emergency in the elderly were cardiovascular, respiratory diseases, neurological causes, and gastrointestinal disorders. The majority of cases had three or more complications necessitating admission contributing to a higher disease burden in the elderly.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

We thank all patients who gave consent to participate in this study.

REFERENCES

- Sunder L. Textbook of Community Medicine: Preventive and Social Medicine, with Recent Update. Place of Publication not Identified. United States: CBS Publ & Dist Pvt. Ltd.; 2018. p. 677-678.
- WHO. Ageing and Health; 2018. Available from: https://www. who.int/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/ageing-and-health [Last accessed on 2020 Aug 10].
- Besdine RW. Introduction to Geriatrics Geriatrics. MSD Man. Prof. Ed; 2019. Available from: https://www.msdmanuals. com/professional/geriatrics/approach-to-the-geriatric-patient/ introduction-to-geriatrics [Last accessed on 2020 Aug 10].
- George LS, Deshpande S, Krishna Kumar MK and Patil RS. Morbidity pattern and its sociodemographic determinants among elderly population of Raichur district, Karnataka, India. J Family Med Prim Care. 2017;6(2):340-344.
 - https://doi.org/10.4103/2249-4863.220025
- Park K. Park's Textbook of Preventive and Social Medicine. 25th ed. Jabalpur: M/S Banarasidas Bhanot Publishers; 2019. p. 650-652.
- World Health Organization and Regional Office for South-East Asia. Noncommunicable Diseases in South-East Asia Region: A Profile. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2002. Available from: https://apps.who.int/iris/handle/10665/205577 [Last accessed on 2020 Aug 10].
- Parmar MC and Saikia N. Chronic morbidity and reported disability among older persons from the India Human Development Survey. BMC Geriatr. 2018;18:299. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12877-018-0979-9
- National Institute of Aging. Heart Health and Aging. National Institute of Aging. Available from: https://www.nia.nih.gov/health/ heart-health-and-aging [Last accessed on 2020 Aug 12].
- Chentli F, Azzoug S and Mahgoun S. Diabetes mellitus in elderly. Indian J Endocrinol Metab. 2015;19(6):744-752. https://doi.org/10.4103/2230-8210.167553
- Gheno R, Cepparo JM, Rosca CE and Cotten A. Musculoskeletal disorders in the elderly. J Clin Imaging Sci. 2012;2:39. https://doi.org/10.4103/2156-7514.99151

Asian Journal of Medical Sciences | Jan 2024 | Vol 15 | Issue 1

- India Ageing Report, UNFPA. India Ageing Report 2017 (Final Version). Caring Our Elders Early Responses; 2017. Available from: https://india.unfpa.org/sites/default/files/pub-pdf/india%20 ageing%20report%20-%202017%20%28final%20version%29. pdf [Last accessed on 2020 Aug 12].
- United Nations. Ageing; 2016. Available from: https://www. un.org/en/sections/issues-depth/ageing [Last accessed on 2020 Aug 15].
- WHO. Diabetes; 2020. Available from: https://www.who.int/ news-room/fact-sheets/detail/diabetes [Last accessed on 2020 Aug 15].
- Stauder R, Valent P and Theurl I. Anemia at older age: Etiologies, clinical implications, and management. Blood. 2018;131(5): 505-514.

https://doi.org/10.1182/blood-2017-07-746446

- WHO. WHO Launches First World Report on Vision; 2019. Available from: https://www.who.int/news-room/detail/08-10-2019-who-launches-first-world-report-on-vision [Last accessed on 2020 Aug 15].
- Jadhav VS. A study of morbidity profile of geriatric population in the field practice area of rural health training centre, Paithan of govt. medical college, Aurangabad. IOSR J Pharm. 2012;2(2):184-188.
- Sharma D, Mazta SR and Parashar A. Morbidity pattern and health-seeking behavior of aged population residing in Shimla Hills of North India: A cross-sectional study. J Family Med Prim Care. 2013;2(2):188-193.

https://doi.org/10.4103/2249-4863.117421

- Vasanth P. A Study of Clinical Profile of Elderly Patients Admitted in Medicine Wards of a Tertiary Care Hospital in Northeast India; 2018. Available from: http://www.jmedsoc. org/article.asp?issn=0972-4958;year=2018;volume=32;issue =3;spage=205;epage=209;aulast=vasanth [Last accessed on 2020 Aug 14].
- Grover S. Psychiatric Morbidity among Elderly Presenting to Emergency Medical Department: A Study from Tertiary Hospital in North India; 2018. Available from: https://www.jgmh.org/ article.asp?issn=2348-9995;year=2018;volume=5;issue=1;spag e=49;epage=54;aulast=Grover [Last accessed on 2020 Aug 15].
- Jamkhandi DM and Bhattacharji S. Profile of elderly attending a general practice clinic in a poor urban area: A cross-sectional study from South India. J Family Med Prim Care. 2016;5(4): 792-797.

https://doi.org/10.4103/2249-4863.201172

- 21. Sehgal R, Garg R, Anand S, Dhot P and Singhal P. A study of the morbidity profile of geriatric patients in rural areas of Ghaziabad, Uttar Pradesh. Int J Med Sci Public Health. 2016;5:1.
- Sudarshan Pai U and Harsha Kumar HN. Morbidity profile, functional assessment and satisfaction among elderly receiving health care services from private health care providers of Mangalore City: A cross sectional study. Int J Med Public Health. 2017;7(2):116-121.
- Jennifer HG, Lakshmi PA, Vidya DC and Das B. A study on morbidity status of geriatric population in the field practice area of Karpaga Vinayaga Institute of Medical Sciences, Tamil Nadu, India. Int J Community Med Public Health. 2016;3(9): 2575-2578.
- Vanitha SS, Shubha DB and Sujatha MG. Study of morbidity pattern among elderly in Anaji, field practice area of J.J.M. Medical College, Davangere. Natl J Res Community Med. 2018;7:18.
- Dabade K, Kalasker P and Gududur A. Prevalence of morbidity profile among geriatric population in urban field practice area, Manikeshwari. Natl J Community Med. 2020;11(5):1.

Authors Contribution:

All authors have contributed substantially to the present study (concept, design, data collection, data analysis, manuscript writing, and editing). Approval of all authors about the submitted version of the article has been taken. Approval of the Ethics Committee of the respective institution, and within the provisions of the Declaration of Helsinki (current version) has been obtained.

Work attributed to:

Burdwan Medical College, Burdwan, West Bengal, India.

Orcid ID:

- Dr. Tiash Samanta () https://orcid.org/0009-0009-7707-6349
- Dr. Satyendra Nath Saha O https://orcid.org/0009-0008-3466-1372 Dr. Moumita Sen O https://orcid.org/0009-0000-9337-0438
- Dr. Arunima Chaudhuri 0 https://orcid.org/0000-0001-9884-4436

Source of Support: Nil, Conflicts of Interest: None declared.