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Large-scale plantations of pine species were done in the bare hills of the Middle Mountain region of Nepal during the early 1980s. There is a growing concern on the sustainability of the planted pine forests in the country due to the presence of invasive alien plant species (IAPS). Invasive alien plant species are considered as one of the drivers of forest degradation and deforestation. *Ageratina adenophora* is one of the problematic IAPS found in the planted pine forests throughout the country. In this study, we employed different treatments to control the invasion of *A. adenophora* in the planted pine (*Pinus patula*) forest. The research design included four different treatments, viz., (i) control, (ii) stem felling, (iii) floor clearance, and (iv) stem felling cum floor clearance in one block (Block I), which was replicated in another block (Block II). The data were collected using circular sample plots with 2m radius. The ANOVA and TukeyHSD Tests were applied during the analysis process so as to determine the effects of treatments on invasion of *A. adenophora*. The “floor clearance treatment” was found to be significantly effective to reduce the presence of *A. adenophora* in the planted pine forest. On the contrary, the “opening of forest cover treatment” was found to be conducive to this invasive species to invade the area. The “stem felling cum floor clearance treatment” could be an effective strategy to control invasion of *A. adenophora* in planted forest, but as it demands a high cost, it is likely to be appropriate for small forest areas where promotion of regeneration is of high priority.
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Large-scale plantation program was initiated in the Middle Mountain region of Nepal during the early 1980s (Gilmour *et al.*, 1990). More than 370,000 hectares of plantations in the bare hills were successfully established with different pine species including native *Pinus roxburghii*, *P. wallichiana* and alien *P. patula* (DoF, 2012) as these species can survive and grow well on the areas with very poor soil (Jackson, 1994).

According to the FAO (2015), World’s planted forest has increased by over 105 million ha since 1990 resulting in seven percent of the total forests. According to the then Nepal Australia Community Resource Management and Livelihood Project (NACRMLP), a total of 23,404 hectares of plantations had been established in the Sindhupalchowk and Kabhrepalanchok districts of Nepal since the late 1970s (NACRMLP, 2006), mostly dominated by pine species (Hunt *et al.*, 2001) among which *P. patula* covers almost 75% of the total pine plantations (ERI, 2011).

Planted forests share many ecosystem services produced by native mixed forests, but the extent
of sharing is determined by its management (Vihervaana et al., 2012). Both the plantations and natural forests have advantages and disadvantages. However, there is a growing concern on the sustainability of planted forests (Powers, 1999), and some of the issues associated with the stands of pure and mixed species have been still undetermined (Jactel et al., 2002).

According to the latest forest resource assessment in Nepal, almost 45% of land is occupied with forest cover, including planted and natural forest (DFRS, 2015). However, forest degradation and deforestation has undergone due to many reasons. Invasive alien plant species (IAPS) are considered as one of the drivers of forest degradation (MFSC, 2009), threat to biodiversity conservation (MFSC, 2014) and has adverse effect on forest regeneration (Shrestha, 2019a).

Invasive alien species have negatively affected forest ecosystems, wetlands, protected areas and agro-ecosystems by threatening both biodiversity and people’s livelihood (MFSC, 2014). The impact of invasive alien species is further worsened by ongoing climate change resulting in increase of frequency and intensity of biological invasion (Simberloff, 2000) and severe impact on high altitude forest (Wang et al., 2019). Limited studies have presented the ranges of impacts of IAPS from habitat degradation of endangered wildlife, e.g., one-horned rhinoceros (Murphy et al. 2013) to problems in the livelihood of rural communities (Rai et al., 2012; Shrestha et al., 2019b). It has been considered globally as the second major cause of biodiversity loss after habitat degradation (Glowka et al., 1994; Bellard et al., 2016).

There are, altogether, 26 IAPS in Nepal, and A. adenophora is one of them. It is commonly found in forest, shrub land, grassland and agro-ecosystem at the altitudinal range of 400–2600m (Shrestha, 2019). The invasion of A. adenophora is more severe at the edges of the forest and agricultural lands and also the wetlands (Baral et al., 2013). In forest and shrub lands, A. adenophora is considered as one of the major problematic species including Chromolaena odorata, Lantana camara and Mikania micrantha (Shrestha, 2019a).

Management of invasive species involves three basic strategies i.e. prevention, eradication and control (Radocevich et al., 2009). Effects of invasion and initiatives to manage IAPS and studies on their dynamics have been very limited (Bhattarai et al., 2014). Therefore, this study focused on assessing different treatments which help control invasion of A. adenophora in the planted pine forest.

Methods and materials

Study area

The study was carried out at two sites within the Sangaswoti Deurali Lauri Community Forest (SDLCF) of Kabhrepalanchok district (Bagmati Province) situated in the middle-hill region of Nepal (Figure 1).

Figure 1: Location of study sites in Kabhrepalanchok district of Nepal

The SDLCF is located at an altitude of over 2200m from the sea level, and is dominated by P. patula associated with a few other tree species. The study sites consist of mainly the pine species planted in the early eighties. The mature stocks of P. patula and P. wallichiana were present in the study sites; around 95% of the stems were of P. patula. The practice of open grazing before the study had led the area prone to biological invasion. The forest grounds
of the study sites were invaded mostly by invasive *A. adenophora*. In Nepal, distribution of *A. adenophora* is mostly absent in the Terai region (i.e. a wide belt of flat land along the southern border), and it occurs occasionally in the Siwalik region, particularly in western Nepal. The species is widespread in the Middle Mountain region (Shrestha, 2019a).

Two blocks (Block I and Block II), each with the area of 2 ha, were established within the SDLCF for the purpose of the study. Each block was further divided into four treatment-plots, each with the size of 0.5 ha (100m × 50m) at the spacing of 5m as buffer (Figure 2) so as to apply four different treatments, viz., (i) control, (ii) stem felling, (iii) floor clearance, and (iv) stem felling cum floor clearance (abbreviated with T₀, T₁, T₂ and T₃, respectively).

![Figure 2: Establishment of treatment-plots within a block in the study sites](image)

**Note:** T₀=Control, T₁=Floor clearance, T₂=Stem felling (10%), T₃=Stem felling (10%) cum floor clearance.

### Data collection

After establishing the treatment-plots in the study sites, the aforementioned four treatments (T₀, T₁, T₂ and T₃) were applied every year for three consecutive years (FY 2073/074–2075/076). Circular sample plots of 2m radius were laid out systematically at the spacing of 10m × 5m (length × breadth) inside each treatment-plot to collect the coverage of *A. adenophora*. Altogether, 39 sample plots were established within the four treatment-plots to collect the necessary data. All the circular sample plots were divided into four parts at cardinal directions to record the cover percentage of *A. adenophora*. In between the treatment-plots, buffers of 5m width were created so as to neutralize the buffer effects on the plantations within the treatment-plots. The presence of *A. adenophora* in the treatment-plots was recorded on the basis of ocular estimation.

### Data analysis

The data collected from the field were analyzed separately for each block. The coverage of the IAPS in each sample plot within each treatment-plot are highlighted in Figure 3. The mean and standard deviation of the coverage percent of the IAPS were calculated for all the treatment-plots. The significant effects of treatments in each block was determined by applying the ANOVA test. Besides, the TukeyHSD test was also applied to compare the means of the target variables. All the statistical analyses were conducted in R program (R Core Team, 2019). Similarly, the distribution of the IAPS, whether homogenous or heterogeneous in each treatment-plots, was analyzed in graphs (Figures 3 and 4). Moreover, the cost incurred for applying treatments in the blocks was also calculated using the number of labors and their per day rate as per the government norms; however, only the labor cost was considered in the analysis.

### Results

#### Number of pine stems

In the establishment year, the number of pine stems in both the blocks were recorded. Every year, 10 percent of the stems were removed from the treatment-plots T₂ and T₃ within both the blocks in course of the application of treatments (Table 1).
Table 1: Number of pine stems after the treatments in different years

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>Before treatment</th>
<th>2015 Block I</th>
<th>2015 Block II</th>
<th>2016 Block I</th>
<th>2016 Block II</th>
<th>2017 Block I</th>
<th>2017 Block II</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Control (T₀)</td>
<td>205</td>
<td>212</td>
<td>205</td>
<td>212</td>
<td>205</td>
<td>212</td>
<td>205</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Floor clearance (T₁)</td>
<td>192</td>
<td>159</td>
<td>192</td>
<td>159</td>
<td>192</td>
<td>159</td>
<td>192</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stem felling (10% per year) denoted by T₂</td>
<td>161</td>
<td>170</td>
<td>145</td>
<td>153</td>
<td>129</td>
<td>136</td>
<td>113</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stem felling (10% per year) cum floor clearance denoted by T₃</td>
<td>154</td>
<td>174</td>
<td>139</td>
<td>157</td>
<td>124</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>109</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Distribution of A. adenophora

The distribution of A. adenophora was not similar in all the treatment-plots. The effects of treatment can be easily noticed in Figure 3 and Figure 4. The treatment-plots T₁ and T₃ followed some linear pattern as compared to the treatment-plots T₀ and T₂ with the lower level of the presence of A. adenophora in both the blocks. The results showed that the floor clearance work had important role in reducing the presence of A. adenophora.

Figure 3: Distribution of A. adenophora in Block I
Coverage of *A. adenophora*

In the case of Block I, the mean coverage percent of *A. adenophora* was found to be the highest (59.61%) in the controlled plot T₀ followed by the treatment-plots T₂ (44.23%), T₃ (30.76 %), and T₁ (26.28%), respectively (Table 2). Similarly, the standard deviation of the coverage of *A. adenophora* was found to be the highest (20.24) in the treatment-plot T₂, followed by the controlled plot T₀ (18.68), and the treatment-plots T₃ (10.67) and T₁ (5.58), respectively within the same block.

Table 2: Mean and standard deviation of the IAPS coverage

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Block</th>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>Mean cover (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>T₀</td>
<td>59.61 (18.68)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>T₁</td>
<td>26.28 (5.58)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>T₂</td>
<td>44.23 (20.24)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>T₃</td>
<td>30.76 (10.67)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II</td>
<td>T₀</td>
<td>72.43 (22.79)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>T₁</td>
<td>29.48 (9.71)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>T₂</td>
<td>83.97 (14.60)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>T₃</td>
<td>30.12 (14.74)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Note: Standard Deviations in parentheses*

In the case of Block II, the mean coverage of the IAPS was found to be the highest (83.97%) in the treatment-plot T₂, followed by the controlled plot T₀ (72.43%) and the treatment-plots T₃ (30.12%) and T₁ (29.48%), respectively. Similarly, the standard deviation of the IAPS coverage was found to be the highest (22.79) in the controlled plot T₀, followed by the treatment plots T₃ (14.74), T₂ (14.60) and T₁ (9.71), respectively (Table 2).

The result showed that the mean coverage of *A. adenophora* was higher in the treatment-plots where floor clearance had not been performed. The effect of floor clearance work (either solely or accompanied with stem felling) had reduced the presence of *A. adenophora* in the study sites. The trend of the presence of *A. adenophora* in both the blocks was more or less similar.

The ANOVA Test indicated that there was significant effect of the "floor clearance treatment" on the presence of *A. adenophora* in both the blocks- Block I (f<2e-16) and Block II (f< 2e-16). It confirms that the floor clearance on the pine plantation forest helps reduce the presence of this invasive species significantly. On the other hand, the TukeyHSD Test in R Program (which was performed to test the multiple comparison of
the means) showed that all the treatments were significant except $T_3$ and $T_1$ in both the blocks (Table 3). The treatment-plots $T_1$ and $T_3$ with the "floor clearance treatment" showed similar results.

Table 3: Comparison of the means of different treatments based on TukeyHSD Test

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatments</th>
<th>Block I</th>
<th>Block II</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$T_3-T_0$</td>
<td>$-33.33$</td>
<td>$-51.51$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$T_2-T_0$</td>
<td>$-15.38$</td>
<td>$11.53$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$T_3-T_0$</td>
<td>$-28.84$</td>
<td>$-41.51$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$T_2-T_1$</td>
<td>$17.94$</td>
<td>$54.48$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$T_3-T_1$</td>
<td>$4.48$</td>
<td>$1.43$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$T_3-T_2$</td>
<td>$-13.46$</td>
<td>$-53.05$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatments</th>
<th>Block I</th>
<th>Block II</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$T_1-T_0$</td>
<td>$-42.98$</td>
<td>$11.53$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$T_2-T_0$</td>
<td>$2.02$</td>
<td>$-65.03$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$T_3-T_0$</td>
<td>$-51.09$</td>
<td>$-41.51$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$T_2-T_1$</td>
<td>$44.97$</td>
<td>$54.48$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$T_3-T_1$</td>
<td>$-8.14$</td>
<td>$1.43$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$T_3-T_2$</td>
<td>$-62.62$</td>
<td>$-53.05$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Cost associated with removal of IAPS

The uprooting of *A. adenophora* including the removal of pine needles during floor clearance in the treatment-plots required significant labor cost. The cost incurred during the floor clearance treatment was NRs 13,800.00 (~ US $120) per ha per year. A total of 6 ha area was cleared in the treatment-plots in course of floor clearance during the study period of three years resulting expenditure of NRs 82,800.00 (~ US $720). The cost incurred in the removal of *A. adenophora* had, no doubt, contributed in lowering the presence of *A. adenophora* in the study sites (Table 4).

Table 4: Cost of treatment (floor clearance) for 3 years in the study sites

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Block</th>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>Cost/ha/yr. (NRs.)</th>
<th>Total area (ha)</th>
<th>Cost (NRs.)</th>
<th>Total area (ha)</th>
<th>Cost (NRs.)</th>
<th>A. Adenophora coverage (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>$T_0$</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>59.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$T_1$</td>
<td>13,800 (~ US $120)</td>
<td>0.5 $\times$ 3 = 1.5</td>
<td>20,700 (~ US $180)</td>
<td>26.28</td>
<td>26.28</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$T_2$</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>44.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$T_3$</td>
<td>13,800 (~ US $120)</td>
<td>0.5 $\times$ 3 = 1.5</td>
<td>20,700 (~ US $180)</td>
<td>29.76</td>
<td>26.28</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II</td>
<td>$T_0$</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>72.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$T_1$</td>
<td>13,800 (~ US $120)</td>
<td>0.5 $\times$ 3 = 1.5</td>
<td>20,700 (~ US $180)</td>
<td>29.48</td>
<td>29.48</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$T_2$</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>83.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$T_3$</td>
<td>13,800 (~ US $120)</td>
<td>0.5 $\times$ 3 = 1.5</td>
<td>20,700 (~ US $180)</td>
<td>30.12</td>
<td>29.48</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>82,800.00 (~ US $720)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Discussion

Both anthropogenic and natural factors are responsible for the introduction and spread of IAPS (Rai et al., 2012). In order to control population of *A. adenophora*, one of the problematic IAPS, different control measures (i.e. biological, chemical and mechanical) have been in practice (Poudel et al, 2019). Manual removal of *A. adenophora* from the forest helps control its population to certain level than leaving the forest as it is. In the large area, it may not be feasible as it demands more budget. However, it can be financially viable for a small forest area that needs regeneration of native tree species.

Impact of invasive alien plant species on forest regeneration has been reported in many studies. It is shown that invasive species has stronger inhibitory effects on tree seedling establishment and growth compared to native understory species (Nilsson et al. 2000; Wallstedt et al. 2005). High abundance of *A. adenophora* inhibits the growth of seedlings of native canopy trees (Denggao et al., 2018), detrimental impact on local biodiversity and negative impact on local communities (Baral et al., 2013). Thus, it is important to maintain the population of *A. adenophora* to minimize its detrimental effect on native tree regeneration.

Invasion of *A. adenophora* mostly occurs in open land such as grass land, agriculture land, open wood land, forest margins, etc. (Baral et. al., 2013) has reported that open areas are conducive for the establishment of *A. adenophora*, and it is the first species to colonize the degraded areas preventing other plants to grow. Our result also showed that the invasion of *A. Adenophora* had positive relation with the opening of forest. It was noticed that the opening of the forest by felling the stems triggered the IAPS to invade the area.

Floor clearance work is associated with the removal of *A. adenophora* and other pine needles in a pine plantation forest assuming that it controls the population of IAPS. Our results showed that the floor clearance work had significant effect on maintaining the population of *A. adenophora* at minimum level as compared to the other treatments. The floor clearance accompanied by stem felling did not produce better result than the floor clearance alone. Opening of forest (canopy gap) makes the environment favorable for IAPS to dominate other vegetation (Vargas et al., 2013). On the contrary, increasing tree canopy closure in the forest suppress growth of IAPS (Khania & Shrestha, 2020). The best option to control the population of *A. adenophora* in pine plantation forest could be a periodic removal of this invasive species from the forest ground with least disturbance to canopy cover of the forest.

The cost associated with the removal of *A. adenophora* could be costly for large area in terms of labor cost. However, in the context of Nepal, most of the forests (47.5%) are managed by the communities belonging to 4.2 million households (DFRS, 2015; DoF, 2017). So, mobilization of communities could be a better option for rejuvenation of small degraded forest areas from IAPS. In this regard, Khania & Shrestha (2020) have also suggested to control IAPS through participatory way.

Conclusion

Invasion of IAPS in the forest area is a problem for the existence of native species. In the planted pine forest, invasion of *A. adenophora* is common in Nepal. The condition is further exacerbated when it gets conducive environment to spread all over. Invasion of *A. adenophora* has positive relation with the opening of forest cover. Creating gaps by stem felling in the planted pine forest offers IAPS to invade the area. In order to control its population, human intervention is vital. Periodic removal of this invasive species from the forest floor helps reduce its intensity of invasion. Floor clearance along with maintaining forest cover is one of the best means to control the population of *A. adenophora* at minimum level in the planted pine forest. The cost for floor clearance periodically in planted pine forest is high for the developing countries like Nepal. However, it could be applicable to small forest areas where the regeneration of desired species is of high priority.
Acknowledgements

This article has been prepared on the basis of the data collected from the research plots established by the then Department of Forest Research and Survey (now the Forest Research and Training Centre, FRTC) within the SDLCF of Kabhrepalanchok district in 2014. We are thankful to the FRTC for providing the necessary data as well as financial support to accomplish the study. Besides, we would like to thank all the technical staff involved in the fieldwork.

References


DoF (2012). Community Forest Data Base. Community Forestry Division, Department of Forest, Ministry of Forest and Soil Conservation, Kathmandu, Nepal.


Malla et al.  


