# ALLELOPATHIC INFLUENCE OF LEAF RESIDUE OF AMARANTHUS SPINOSUS ON GROWTH AND METABOLISM OF PARTHENIUM HYSTEROPHORUS L.

Riti Thapar and N.B. Singh Department of Botany University of Allahabad, Allahabad - 211002, India E-Mail: rt\_thapar22@sify.com

### ABSTRACT

The effect of leaf residue of Amaranthus spinosus L. on the growth and metabolism of Parthenium hysterophorus L. was studied in pot culture. The leaf residue of Amaranthus spinosus inhibited height of the plant, length of the leaves and number of branches, capitula and seeds per plant. The total sugar was decreased in different treatments with maximum reduction (25.37%) in leaf of Parthenium plants with  $T_2$  treatment. The accumulation of organic acids reveals that respiration was hampered in tested plants. Increase in the amino acids might be adaptation of plant in environmental stress. The altered metabolism results in inhibited growth of Parthenium. The leaf residue of Amaranthus spinosus may be used as potent bioherbicide.

Key words: Amaranthus spinosus, amino acid, chlorophyll, lipid, organic acid, Parthenium hysterophorus, protein, sugar.

# INTRODUCTION

Parthenium hysterophorus L. (family-Asteraceae) an exotic weed is believed to be native of north-east Mexico and was first reported in India from Pune but has spread alarmingly like a wild blaze to almost all the states in India and established as a naturalized weed. Parthenium hysterophorus L. has originated as a result of hybridization natural between Parthenium confertum and Parthenium bipinnatifidum (Nath 1988). It has wide physiological and ecological adaptations in varied climatic and soil conditions and grows round the year. Parthenium causes allergic contact dermatitis, asthma, hay fever in human-beings and is a menace to agricultural productivity due to its potent allelopathic effect (Kanchan 1975, Patil and Hegde 1988). A. spinosus contains several allelochemicals viz. phenolic acids, alkaloids and sesquiterpene lactones (Suma et al. 2002). Decoction of roots of

ECOPRINT VOL 12, 2005

*Amaranthus spinosus* is used in gonorrhoea. Leaves are used in curing piles, leprosy and in stomach troubles.

The overuse of synthetic agrochemicals often causes environmental hazards, an imbalance of soil microbes, nutrients deficiency and change of physico-chemical properties of soil resulting in decrease of crop productivity. The indiscriminate use of hazardous pesticides have eroded the ecological sustainability and deleterious effects on human health. Most of the reports deal with the allelopathic effects on the germination and growth of Parthenium hysterophorus but no work has been done on the effects of A. spinosus on the metabolic processes, i.e., sugar, organic acid, amino acid and lipid metabolism of the noxious weed. Therefore, this study aimed to investigate the allelopathic potential of leaf residue of Amaranthus spinosus on the metabolism of

77

*Parthenium* and for assessing their suitability as natural herbicide because these being bio-degradable may be used for the weed control.

# MATERIALS AND METHODS

#### Study Site

The pot studies were conducted during summer season of 2004 in the Roxburgh Botanical Garden, Department of Botany, University of Allahabad, Allahabad ( $24^{0}$  47' and  $50^{0}$  47' N latitude and  $81^{0}$  9' and  $82^{0}$  21' E longitude, 78 m above the sea level) India.

# Cultural Technique

The healthy plants of Amaranthus spinosus L. were collected at vegetative stage. The air dried leaves were finely powdered with a grinder and placed in sealed polythene bags to prevent it from moisture and contamination. The fire clay pots of 30 cm deep and 30 cm in diameter were filled with 15 kg of sandy loam soil and 100 g of DAP (Diammonium phosphate). Powder of dry leaves of Amaranthus spinosus at the rate of 100 and 200 g/pot was mixed thoroughly in the soil according to the treatments. Ten viable seeds of Parthenium per pot were sown at equal distance and uniform watering (500 ml/pot) was continued upto 60 DAS (days after sowing). The leaves and stems were dried for about 48 h at 80°C in an electric oven. Sugars, organic and amino acids were extracted in 80% (v/v) ethanol. Fresh samples of leaves and stems were kept for lipid estimation.

#### Analyses of Biochemical Constituents

**Chlorophyll and Sugar:** Chlorophyll from control and treated leaves were extracted with 80% acetone. The amount of chlorophyll was quantified by using the formulae of Arnon (1949). The qualitative analysis of sugars was done with paper chromatography. All samples were run three times in duplicate in solvent system, n-butanol: acetic acid: water (4:1:5). One paper was sprayed to

ECOPRINT VOL 12, 2005

detect the sugars and other was not sprayed. The sugars were detected by using Buchan and Savage's (1952) spraying reagent and unsprayed chromatograms were superimposed with spraved chromatograms and area with spot was marked on the paper. Unsprayed paper with marked area was cut and eluted in 50% ethanol. The ethanol was evaporated and pure sugars were used for quantitative estimation using anthrone reagent (Yemm and Willis 1954) freshly prepared by dissolving 0.4 g anthrone in 100 ml of concentrated sulphuric acid. After evaporation of alcohol, 3 ml of distilled water and 6ml of anthrone reagent were added to each tube. All the tubes were placed in a boiling water bath for 3 min and then placed in ice cold water and allowed to cool. The intensity of the colour was measured by spectrophotometer at 600 nm. Quantity of sugars was determined from the standard curve obtained from glucose.

Organic Acids: Lugg and Overall's (1947) of technique one dimentional paper chromatography was employed for the detection of organic acids. After running in solvent n-butanol: formic acid: water (10:2:5) chromatograms were subjected to drying at room temperature for two days to remove traces of formic acid. Lemon yellow spots of organic acids were detected against blue background by spraying with 0.04% (w/v) bromophenol blue in 90% (v/v) ethanol. The areas of different organic acid spots were measured with the help of leaf area meter. The values thus obtained were expressed quantitatively in terms of area occupied by known amount of respective organic acids from predetermined calibration curves.

Amino Acids and Protein: Two dimensional ascending chromatographic technique of Consdon *et al.* (1944) was employed for the complete resolution of diverse amino acids. Partridge's (1948) solvent system, phenol: water: ammonia (80:20:3) was used for the first run. After the first

78

run the papers were dried for 48 h, rotated at the right angle to the first run and hung in other chromatographic chamber containing the solvent system, n-butanol: acetic acid: water (4:1:5) for the second run. Ninhydrin (0.1% w/v) in n-butanol was used as spraying reagent. The spots were cut and eluted in 50% ethanol and colour intensity was measured at 600 nm. Proline was detected by one dimensional ascending paper chromatographic technique using solvent system, n-butanol: acetic acid: water (4:1:5 v/v) and the spots were detected by spraying isatin reagent of Saifer and Oreskes (1954). Protein content was determined following the method of Lowry et al. (1951). The amount of protein was calculated with reference to standard curve of lysozyme.

Lipids: Lipids were extracted and purified from fresh samples following Bligh and Dyer (1959). The neutral lipids were separated from iodine developed spots on TLC plates and estimated by Amenta's (1964) procedure using acid dichromate reagent. **Statistical Analysis:** The treatments in all experiments were laid out in randomized block design with three replicates and analysis of variance (ANOVA) and critical difference (CD at 5%) between means were determined.

#### RESULTS

**Growth Parameters:** Leaf residue of *Amaranthus spinosus* L. significantly inhibited the germination and growth parameters *viz.* number of leaves, branches and capitula/plant, length of the leaves and height of *Parthenium* plant. Maximum number of seedlings were observed in control pots. The number of seedlings/pot was reduced to 42.33 and 57.79% in  $T_1$  and  $T_2$  treatments, respectively. Maximum reduction was observed in plant height and branches/plant in  $T_2$  treatment at 60 DAS. A significant decrease of 12 and 24% in the number of seeds/five capitula was recorded in  $T_1$  and  $T_2$ treatments, respectively (Table 1).

nysterophorus L.						
Weed	Leaf residue of Amaranthus spinosus					
Treatments	С	T <sub>1</sub>	T2			
At 10 DAS						
Number of seedlings/pot	$8.67 \pm 0.27$	5 ± 0.00 (42.33)	3.66 ± 0.27 (57.79)			
At 30 DAS						
Number of leaves/plant	$12.66 \pm 0.27$	8.66 ± 0.72 (31.59)	$7.7 \pm 0.27$ (39.18)			
Length of the leaves (cm)	$6 \pm 0.47$	5.87 ± 0.23 (2.17)	4.73 ± 0.21 (21.17)			
Plant height (cm)	$11.59 \pm 0.59$	9.8 ± 0.38 (15.44)	8.2 ± 0.84 (29.25)			
At 60 DAS						
Number of leaves/plant	$28 \pm 0.88$	26.67 ± 0.68 (4.75)	22.69 ± 0.73 (18.96)			
Length of the leaves (cm)	$14.67 \pm 0.33$	12.73 ± 0.64 (13.22)	9.37 ± 0.35 (36.13)			
Plant height (cm)	$51.50 \pm 0.68$	45.3 ± 0.73 (12.04)	29.35 ± 0.85 (43.01)			
Branches/plant	$8.70 \pm 0.27$	7.66 ± 0.27 (11.95)	5 ± 0.47 (42.53)			
Number of capitula/plant	$290 \pm 0.94$	275 ± 0.00 (5.17)	171 ± 0.50 (41.03)			
Number of seeds/five capitula	$25 \pm 0.00$	22 ± 0.47 (12)	19 ± 0.50 (24)			

 Table 1. Effect of leaf residue of Amaranthus spinosus L. on growth parameters of Parthenium hysterophorus L.

C = Control,  $T_1$  = Leaf residue of A. spinosus 100 g/pot,  $T_2$  = Leaf residue of A. spinosus 200 g/pot.

Values are mean of three replicates  $\pm$  SE.

Figures in parenthesis indicate percent inhibition over control.

ECOPRINT VOL 12, 2005

**Chlorophyll and Sugar Contents:** In control total chlorophyll was 2.73 mg/g which significantly reduced in treatments. Maximum inhibition (35.16%) in total chlorophyll was observed in *Parthenium* leaves with  $T_2$  treatment. Glucose, sucrose and fructose sugars exhibited decreasing trends in treatments. The total sugars were, decreased in leaf and stem with maximum reduction (25.37%) in leaf of  $T_2$  treatment (Table 2).

**Organic Acid:** Citric acid was present in all the treatments except in leaf of  $T_1$  treatment. Minimum amount of malonic acid in leaf was increased in treatments with maximum (0.39%) in  $T_2$ . Fumaric acid was not detected in leaf of control but increased to highest level (0.39%) in leaf of  $T_1$  treatment. A significant accumulation of total organic acids in leaf and stem of all the treatments was observed. Maximum stimulation 15.07% of total organic acid was recorded in leaf of  $T_2$  treatment (Table 3).

 Table 2. Effect of leaf residue of Amaranthus spinosus L. on sugar composition of leaf and stem of Parthenium hysterophorus L. (mg/100 mg dry weight).

Sugars		Leaf residue of Amaranthus spinosus						
		Leaf			Stem			
	С	T <sub>1</sub>	T <sub>2</sub>	С	T <sub>1</sub>	T <sub>2</sub>		
Raffinose	$1.65 \pm 0.01$	$1.23 \pm 0.04$	$1.50\pm0.02$	$0.78 \pm 0.09$	$0.60\pm0.02$	$0.37\pm0.12$		
Sucrose	$1.80 \pm 0.04$	$1.15\pm0.01$	$0.97 \pm 0.04$	$0.95 \pm 0.03$	$0.90 \pm 0.01$	$0.79\pm0.02$		
Glucose	$1.20\pm0.10$	$0.97\pm0.08$	$0.70\pm0.16$	$0.95 \pm 0.05$	$0.65 \pm 0.03$	$0.58\pm0.01$		
Fructose	$1.15 \pm 0.01$	$0.95\pm0.10$	$0.60\pm0.03$	$0.83 \pm 0.14$	$0.50\pm0.28$	$0.45\pm0.07$		
Mannose	$1.42 \pm 0.03$	$1.45 \pm 0.22$	$1.65 \pm 0.48$	$0.48 \pm 0.02$	$0.55 \pm 0.01$	$0.60\pm0.02$		
Xylose	$1.45 \pm 0.02$	$1.85 \pm 0.14$	$1.30 \pm 0.01$	$0.65 \pm 0.32$	$0.60\pm0.02$	$0.55 \pm 0.02$		
Unidentified (U)	$1.50 \pm 0.11$	$0.92 \pm 0.01$	$0.87 \pm 0.05$	$0.80 \pm 0.05$	$0.71\pm0.04$	$0.65 \pm 0.01$		
Total	10.17	8.52	7.59	5.24	4.51	3.99		
C.D. at 5%		0.07		ALL TO SUCCESS	0.02			

C = Control,  $T_1$  = Leaf residue of A. spinosus 100 g/pot,  $T_2$  = Leaf residue of A. spinosus 200 g/pot.

Values are mean of three replicates  $\pm$  SE. Effect of treatments and sugars on leaf and stem are significantly different (P>0.05).

Table 3.	Effect of leaf residue of Amaranthus spinosus L. on organic acid composition of leaf and
-	stem of Parthenium hysterophorus L. (mg/100 mg dry weight).

Organic acids	Leaf residue of Amaranthus spinosus							
		Leaf			Stem			
	С	<b>T</b> <sub>1</sub>	T <sub>2</sub>	С	T <sub>1</sub>	T <sub>2</sub>		
Citric acid	$0.13 \pm 0.01$	-	$0.15 \pm 0.02$	$0.12 \pm 0.01$	$0.18 \pm 0.01$	$0.12 \pm 0.05$		
Unknown (U)	CARCELLED -		-	La tale	$0.16 \pm 0.04$	$0.29 \pm 0.03$		
Malic acid	$0.22 \pm 0.14$	$0.24 \pm 0.04$	$0.29 \pm 0.06$	$0.19 \pm 0.02$	$0.21 \pm 0.10$	$0.21 \pm 0.09$		
Malonic acid	$0.25 \pm 0.03$	$0.27 \pm 0.13$	$0.39 \pm 0.07$	$0.22 \pm 0.05$	-	$0.19 \pm 0.02$		
Succinic acid	$0.44 \pm 0.22$	$0.38 \pm 0.27$	$0.47 \pm 0.05$	$0.29 \pm 0.09$	(AD)_analabili	$0.28 \pm 0.16$		
Fumaric acid	-0.73 di 280 a.	$0.39 \pm 0.08$	$0.38 \pm 0.03$	$0.27 \pm 0.11$	$0.38 \pm 0.14$			
Oxalosuccinic acid	$0.42 \pm 0.28$	$0.37 \pm 0.12$		$0.33 \pm 0.23$	$0.51 \pm 0.18$	$0.38 \pm 0.09$		
Total	1.46	1.65	1.68	1.42	1.44	1.47		
C.D. at 5%		0.02			0.02			

C = Control,  $T_1$  = Leaf residue of A. spinosus 100 g/pot,  $T_2$  = Leaf residue of A. spinosus 200 g/pot.

Values are mean of three replicates  $\pm$  SE. Effect of treatments and organic acids on leaf and stem are significantly different (P>0.05).

ECOPRINT VOL 12, 2005

Amino Acid: The amino acid composition of *Parthenium* was variously affected under the influence of leaf residue of *Amaranthus spinosus*. Valine, tyrosine, proline,  $\alpha$ -alanine, threonine, cysteine and unknown amino acids were successively increased to maximum in T<sub>2</sub> treatment. Transport amino acids, i.e., glutamic acid, aspartic acid and asparagine were increased

in treatments. Total amino acids of free pool were increased in treatments with maximum in leaf and stem of  $T_2$  in comparison to respective control (Table 4). The highest amount 98 µg/ml protein in leaf of *Parthenium* in control was reduced to 94 µg/ml and 82 µg/ml in  $T_1$  and  $T_2$  treatments, respectively with maximum inhibition 16.33% in  $T_2$  treatment.

 Table 4. Effect of leaf residue of Amaranthus spinosus L. on amino acid composition of leaf and stem of Parthenium hysterophorus L. (mg/100 mg dry weight).

Free- Amino acids		Lea	af residue of A	maranthus spir	iosus	
		Leaf			Stem	
	С	T <sub>1</sub>	T <sub>2</sub>	С	<b>T</b> <sub>1</sub>	T <sub>2</sub>
Leucine + Isoleucine	$0.02 \pm 0.01$	$0.03 \pm 0.01$	$0.11 \pm 0.01$	$0.02 \pm 0.01$	$0.07 \pm 0.04$	$0.03 \pm 0.01$
Valine	$0.13 \pm 0.10$	$0.20 \pm 0.18$	$0.25 \pm 0.05$	$0.07 \pm 0.06$	$0.19 \pm 0.14$	$0.13 \pm 0.10$
γ-Aminobutyric acid	$0.11 \pm 0.09$	$0.16 \pm 0.02$	$0.22 \pm 0.10$	$0.06 \pm 0.05$	$0.07 \pm 0.02$	$0.09 \pm 0.02$
Tyrosine	$0.09 \pm 0.05$	$0.10 \pm 0.09$	$0.20 \pm 0.06$	$0.05 \pm 0.03$	$0.09 \pm 0.07$	$0.12 \pm 0.06$
Proline	$0.07 \pm 0.03$	$0.25 \pm 0.11$	$0.37 \pm 0.08$	$0.04 \pm 0.03$	$0.12 \pm 0.10$	$0.13 \pm 0.10$
α-alanine	$0.02 \pm 0.01$	$0.09 \pm 0.05$	$0.12 \pm 0.01$	$0.02 \pm 0.01$	$0.09 \pm 0.05$	$0.09 \pm 0.05$
β-alanine	$0.05 \pm 0.04$	$0.13 \pm 0.10$	$0.19 \pm 0.02$	$0.02 \pm 0.01$	$0.13 \pm 0.11$	$0.16 \pm 0.08$
Threonine	$0.12 \pm 0.11$	$0.35 \pm 0.23$	$0.49 \pm 0.20$	$0.06 \pm 0.02$	$0.11 \pm 0.02$	$0.15 \pm 0.08$
Arginine	$0.10 \pm 0.08$	$0.09 \pm 0.08$	$0.14 \pm 0.08$	$0.02 \pm 0.01$	$0.04 \pm 0.01$	$0.12 \pm 0.09$
Glutamine	$0.29 \pm 0.19$	$0.20 \pm 0.09$	$0.16 \pm 0.05$	$0.10 \pm 0.09$	$0.07 \pm 0.04$	$0.05 \pm 0.02$
Asparagine	$0.10 \pm 0.09$	$0.17 \pm 0.01$	$0.11 \pm 0.09$	$0.04 \pm 0.02$	$0.05 \pm 0.012$	$0.07 \pm 0.05$
Glycine + Serine	$0.07 \pm 0.05$	$0.07 \pm 0.03$	$0.10 \pm 0.05$	$0.05 \pm 0.04$	$0.04 \pm 0.03$	$0.12 \pm 0.06$
Glutamic acid	$0.05 \pm 0.03$	$0.10 \pm 0.08$	$0.15 \pm 0.10$	$0.03 \pm 0.01$	$0.05 \pm 0.01$	$0.07 \pm 0.02$
Aspartic acid	$0.11 \pm 0.08$	$0.15 \pm 0.06$		$0.01 \pm 0.01$	$0.08 \pm 0.05$	$0.13 \pm 0.04$
U	$0.01 \pm 0.01$	$0.15 \pm 0.12$	-seeds	$0.25 \pm 0.06$	$0.11 \pm 0.09$	$0.19 \pm 0.09$
Cysteine	$0.07 \pm 0.06$	$0.31 \pm 0.23$	-	$0.01 \pm 0.01$	$0.25 \pm 0.05$	$0.30 \pm 0.11$
Lysine + Histidine	$0.04 \pm 0.02$	$0.10 \pm 0.09$	$0.16 \pm 0.11$	$0.02 \pm 0.02$	-	$0.12 \pm 0.07$
U <sub>0</sub>	$0.06 \pm 0.04$	$0.12 \pm 0.06$	$0.14 \pm 0.09$	$0.03 \pm 0.01$	_	$0.10 \pm 0.05$
U <sub>1</sub>	$0.13 \pm 0.10$		$0.19 \pm 0.13$	$0.03 \pm 0.02$		
U <sub>2</sub>	$0.06 \pm 0.03$		de la constant	$0.05 \pm 0.04$	-	
U <sub>3</sub>	$0.13 \pm 0.11$	$0.12 \pm 0.03$	-	$0.01 \pm 0.01$	-	
U <sub>4</sub>	$0.06 \pm 0.04$		-	$0.01 \pm 0.01$		$0.14 \pm 0.05$
U <sub>5</sub>	$0.05 \pm 0.02$		$0.12 \pm 0.07$	$0.02 \pm 0.02$	$0.20 \pm 0.11$	-
U <sub>6</sub>	$0.29 \pm 0.17$		$0.13 \pm 0.10$	$0.06 \pm 0.05$	-	Alder base
Total	2.23	2.89	3.35	1.08	1.76	2.31
C.D. at 5%		0.01			0.002	

 $C = Control, T_1 = Leaf residue of A. spinosus 100 g/pot, T_2 = Leaf residue of A. spinosus 200 g/pot.$ 

Values are mean of three replicates  $\pm$  SE. Effect of treatments and amino acids on leaf and stem are significantly different (P<0.05) but on stem are significantly different (P>0.05).

ECOPRINT VOL 12, 2005

Lipids	Leaf residue of Amaranthus spinosus							
of pintan johns BR 19 of bracker and a		Leaf			Stem			
	С	T <sub>1</sub>	T <sub>2</sub>	С	T <sub>1</sub>	T <sub>2</sub>		
Phospholipids	$3.40 \pm 0.09$	$1.98 \pm 0.08$	$1.27 \pm 0.46$	$2.96 \pm 0.16$	$1.57 \pm 0.49$	1.19 ± 0.16		
Monoglycerides	$1.21 \pm 0.82$	$1.84 \pm 0.19$	$2.69\pm0.07$	$1.08 \pm 0.28$	$1.73 \pm 0.15$	$2.13 \pm 0.02$		
Sterol	$2.42 \pm 0.24$	$1.39 \pm 0.21$	$0.98 \pm 0.58$	$1.71 \pm 0.64$	$1.25 \pm 0.01$	$0.86 \pm 0.05$		
Diglycerides	$2.91 \pm 0.46$	$2.80 \pm 0.09$	$1.92 \pm 0.02$	$1.82 \pm 0.32$	$1.47 \pm 0.46$	0.88 ± 0.03		
Fatty acids	$2.59\pm0.95$	$2.13\pm0.27$	$1.94 \pm 0.38$	$1.94 \pm 0.06$	$1.23 \pm 0.28$	$0.83 \pm 0.24$		
Triglycerides	$2.80\pm0.01$	$2.31 \pm 0.06$	$1.09 \pm 0.47$	$1.75 \pm 0.45$	$1.28 \pm 0.02$	$0.94 \pm 0.32$		
Methyl ester	$0.89 \pm 0.05$	$1.36 \pm 0.12$	$2.10\pm0.92$	$0.78 \pm 0.02$	$1.05 \pm 0.19$	$1.23 \pm 0.04$		
Hydrocarbons	$2.50 \pm 0.17$	$2.90 \pm 0.27$	$3.43 \pm 0.01$	$1.10 \pm 0.92$	$1.40 \pm 0.05$	$1.96 \pm 0.01$		
Total	18.75	16.71	15.42	13.14	10.98	10.02		
C.D. at 5%		0.58			0.04			

Table 5. Effect of leaf residue of Amaranthus spinosus L. on lipid composition of leaf and stem of Parthenium hysterophorus L. (mg/100 mg dry weight).

 $C = Control, T_1 = Leaf residue of A. spinosus 100 g/pot, T_2 = Leaf residue of A. spinosus 200 g/pot.$ 

Values are mean of three replicates  $\pm$  SE. Effect of treatments and lipids on leaf and stem are significantly different (P<0.05).

**Lipid:** A significant increasing trend of monoglycerides, methyl esters and hydrocarbons was observed in leaf and stem of treatments. The higher amount of total lipids in leaf and stem of control was successively decreased in treatments. Maximum inhibition 23.74% in total lipids was observed in stem of T<sub>2</sub> treatment (Table 5).

#### DISCUSSION

Allelochemicals present in *Amaranthus* spinosus reduced the growth parameters and other metabolic processes of *Parthenium* (Epstein *et al.* 1967, Colton and Einhellig 1980). Germination is the resumption of metabolic activity and growth of seed tissues which starts with the imbibition of water and ends with the protrusion of embryonic roots. Allelochemicals present in leaf residue stimulated lignin biosynthesis which increases the rigidity of the cell wall to limit the cell growth. Carbohydrates are the cellular source of energy and are the starting materials for the synthesis of protein, lipid and other plant products. Glucose

ECOPRINT VOL 12, 2005

and fructose are hexoses which are precursors of transport sugars, i.e., sucrose and raffinose showed decreasing trends in leaf and stem of treatments under the influence of allelochemicals. The sugars synthesized in photosynthesis are transported from source to sink, i.e., growing plant parts or metabolic active organ or storage organ. Inhibition of photosynthesis leading to decreased amount of photosynthates might be due to decreased biosynthesis of chlorophyll or degradation of photosynthetic pigments (Pandey 1994) or inhibition of photosynthesis by allelochemicals resulting in decreased dry matter. i.e., photosynthates. Allelochemicals are known to impede the absorption of water (Rice 1984) and ions (Bhowmik and Doll 1984) from the soil which may cause the loss of turgidity of cell and affect the metabolic activity of cells. Citric acid cycle is amphibolic pathway, i.e., it plays role in both anabolic and catabolic processes. The total organic acids showed successive accumulation in leaf and stem of treatments. The deamination of amino

acids or inhibition of some respiratory reactions might have resulted in accumulation of total organic acids (Demos et al. 1975). According, to Moreland and Novitzky (1987) allelochemicals inhibit electron transport in mitochondria and impaired enzyme activity as a primary target of allelopathic activity which may result in reduced ability to metabolize reserve materials. Intermediates of citric acid cycle particularly aketoglutarate and oxaloacetate can be removed from the cycle and serve as precursors of amino acids. The resulting decrease in concentration of these intermediates would be expected to slow the flux of citric acid cycle however these intermediates can be replenished by anaplerotic reaction. Proline acts as osmolyte and is induced in stress. Allelochemicals are known to alter the rate of absorption of water resulting in stress which might have induced proline synthesis. Increased synthesis of asparagine may be a device to detoxify the effects of excess of ammonia produced in deamination process (Lam et al. 1995). Proteins play a pivotal role in biological processes. Protein synthesis regulates growth, development and reproduction of plant. The protein may serve as respiratory substrate if the supply of carbohydrates are inadequate because of decreased photosynthetic rate (Salisbury and Ross 1991). The lipid composition of Parthenium was modified by residue of Amaranthus spinosus. leaf Phospholipids are important component of plasmalemma. The reduction in the amount of total lipids was due to decrease in the storage lipids, i.e., triglycerides and building blocks, i.e., fatty acids or due to lipid peroxidation (Politycka 2002).

# ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The authors are grateful to Prof. S.S. Narwal, National Fellow (Allelopathy) Department of Agronomy, CCS Haryana Agricultural University, Hisar, India for critical review of the manuscript.

ECOPRINT VOL 12, 2005

# REFERENCES

- Amenta, J.S. 1964. A rapid chemical method for quantification of lipids separated by thin layer chromatography. J. Lipid Res. 5:270-272.
- Arnon, D.T. 1949. Copper enzymes in isolated chloroplasts polyphenoloxidase in Beta vulgaris. Plant Physiology 24:1-5.
- Bhowmik, P.C. and J.D. Doll. 1984. Allelopathic effects of annual weed residues on growth and nutrient uptake of corn and soybeans. *Agronomy Journal* **76:**383-388.
- Bligh, E.G. and W.J. Dyer. 1959. A rapid method of total lipid extraction and purification. *Can. J. Biochem. Physiol.* 37:911-917.
- Buchan, J.L. and R.J. Savage. 1952. Paper chromatography of some starch conversion products. *Analyst* 77:401.
- Colton, C.E. and E.A. Einhellig. 1980. Allelopathic mechanisms of velvetleaf (Abutilon theophrasti) on soybean. American Journal of Botany 67:1407-1413.
- Consdon, R., A.M. Gordon and A.J.P Martin. 1944. Quantitative analysis of proteins a partition chromatographic method using paper. *Biochem. Jour.* 38:224.
- Craig, C.E. and F. A. Einhellig. 1980. American Journal of Botany 67:1407-1413.
- Demos, E.K., M. Woolwine, R.H. Wilson and C. McMillan. 1975. The effect of ten phenolic compounds on hypocotyl growth and mitochondrial metabolism of mungbean. *American Journal of Botany* 62:97-102.
- Epstein, S.S., J. Andreae and H. Jaffe. 1967. Carcinogenicity of the herbicide, maleic hydrazide. *Nature* **215**:1388-1390.
- Kanchan, S.D. 1975. Growth inhibitors from Parthenium hysterophorus Linn. Current Science 44:358-359.

83

- Lam, H.M., K. Coschigano, C. Schultz, R. Melo-Oliveira, G. Tjaden, I. Oliveira, N. Ngai, M.H. Hrieh and G. Coruzzi. 1995. Use of Arabidopsis mutants and genes to study amide ammo acid biosynthesis. *Plant Cell* 7:887-898.
- Lowry, O.H., N.J. Rosebrough, A.L. Fan and R.J. Randal. 1951. Protein measurement with the Folin-phenol reagent. *Journal of Biological Chemistry* 193:265-275.
- Lugg, J.W.H. and B.T. Overall. 1947. Chromatography of organic acids on a paper sheet support. *Nature* 160:87.
- Moreland, D.E. and W.P. Novitzky. 1987. Effects of phenolicacids, coumarins and flavonoids on isolated chloroplasts and mitochondria, In: *Allelochemicals: Role in Agriculture and Forestry.* (ed.) Waller, G. R. ACS Symposium Series, 330. American Chemical Society, Washington, D.C. pp. 247-261.
- Nath, R. 1988. Parthenium hysterophorus L. A Review. Agricultural Review 9(4):171-179.
- Pandey, D.K. 1994. Inhibition of Salvinia by Parthenium II. Relative effect of flower, leaf, stem and root residue on Salvinia and paddy. Journal of Chemical Ecology 20:3123-3131.
- Partridge, S.M. 1948. Filter paper partition chromatography of sugars. General description and application to the qualitative analysis of

sugars in apple juice, egg white and foetal blood of sheep. *Biochem. Jour.* **42:**238-248.

- Patil, T.M. and B.A. Hegde. 1988. Isolation and purification of a sesquiterpene lactone from the leaves of *Parthenium hysterophorus* L. its allelopathic and phytotoxic effects. *Current Science* 57:1178-1181.
- Politycka, B. 2002. Physiological responses of cucumber to allelochemicals of phenolic compounds. Allelopathy Journal 10(2):85-104.
- Rice, E.L. 1984. *Allelopathy* (IInd edition). Academic Press, New York.
- Saifer, A. and I. Oreskes. 1954. Circular chromatography: Isatin as a colour reagent. *Science* 119:124-125.
- Salisbury, F.B. and C.W. Ross. 1991. *Plant Physiology* (IV<sup>th</sup> edition). Wadsworth Publishing Company. Belmont, California, Inc., USA.
- Suma, S., S.R. Ambika, G. Kazinczi and S.S. Narwal. 2002. Allelopathic plants. 6. Amaranthus spp. Allelopathy Journal 10(1):1-12.
- Yemm, E.W. and A.J. Willis. 1954. The extraction of carbohydrates in plant extracts by anthrone. *Biochem. Jour.* 57:508-514.