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Abstract: Millions of Nepali inhabit in a far-flung hamlet of Nepal, where the extension of national grid is unlikely even in the new future. Isolated Micro-hydro power plants are extensively used in these areas. This paper addresses the problem of deficient electricity during peak period in existing conventional micro-hydro plants by the introduction of battery storage in the plant. Micro-hydro plant with battery bank storages surplus power, which would be wasted in case of conventional micro-hydro plant, during off- peak period and supplies during peak period. The control strategies for Electronic Load Controller (ELC), three - phase ac/dc converter and buck converter are realized in Matlab Simulink environment. The simulated system was able to store surplus power into battery bank when load demand was less than power generated by Synchronous Generator (SG) and supply when the load demand was higher than generator’s generation. This model can be implemented in the already existing micro-hydro plants where the load demand for a short time has increased beyond generation capacity of generator.
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Introduction

Electricity is pre-requisite for development and quality of life. While the developed countries take it as granted, access to electricity is a privilege in third world countries like Nepal. As of 2015, Nepal’s per capita electricity consumption is 0.14 MWh while that of the United States is 12.83 MWh (International Energy Agency, n.d.). This startling gap has exacerbated poverty in an already impoverished life of country people. Seventy-eight per cent of total households rely on traditional biomass for cooking (CBS, NepalLivingStandardSurvey, 2011) and more than eighty per cent of the country’s households are in rural areas (CBS, 2012).

Electricity generated from non-renewable sources cause climate change and affects the human lives, especially people under poverty line. Renewable sources of energy like hydro, solar and wind are the most sought-after resources these days. Given the intermittent nature of solar and wind energy, hydropower remains the most consistent renewable source of energy. Nepal has hydro potential of 83,000 MW (Shrestha, 1966). Steep mountainous terrain and continuous flow in more than 6000 rivers/rivulets makes hydropower widely available throughout the hilly region of Nepal (Sharma & Awal, 2013).

It needs massive amount of investment to tap the enormous potential of hydropower. Besides, the diversely scattered localities in the complex terrain of hills and mountains made the extension of national grid prohibitively expensive. Micro hydro with Electronic Load Controller (ELC) is reliable and cost-friendly to the people living in rural areas as water current doesn’t cost and technology employed is simple to install, operate and maintain. The peak demand may increase after a certain time span as the use of electricity is not limited to lighting proposes. In order to manage peak demand, the plant is left with two options - either installs a new generator or adds another source to support for a short time of peak. As the installation of new generator is expensive, battery bank is best suited for peak demand management. Battery can be charged during off peak from the surplus power generated from the micro hydro and can be used during the peak time.

Proposed System

The conventional Micro Hydro Plant (MHP) consists of a synchronous generator and dump loads. Our proposed scheme aims to add storage battery scheme to the existing MHP for peak demand management. The system consists of a Synchronous Generator (SG) of capacity 60 kVA, dump loads and a battery bank. The consumer load is expected to vary from zero to seventy kilowatts. The capacity of dump load is chosen in such a way that it can dissipate maximum power output of MHP. The capacity of storage battery chosen-200 Ah, 600 V lithium ion - is enough to provide 10 kW of deficient power for 4 hours without exceeding fifty per cent depth of discharge. A bidirectional three phase ac/dc convertor is used to convert power in a suitable form. Battery is charged through buck convertor which regulates charging according to the State of Charge (SOC).
The load is assumed to draw active power only. Sinusoidal voltage waveforms are chopped when the antiparallelly connected thyristors are fired. Due to chopping, dump load though resistive in nature draws lagging current. The synchronous generator provides the reactive power demanded by dump load. The analysis of reactive power is not carried out in this paper.

The parameters used during modelling of the system are summarized in the following table 1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Symbol</th>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$C_b$</td>
<td>10 mF</td>
<td>Battery Side Capacitor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$L_b$</td>
<td>20 mH</td>
<td>Buck Inductor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$C_{dc}$</td>
<td>10 mF</td>
<td>DC link Capacitor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$L_{sa}$</td>
<td>10 mH</td>
<td>Coupling Inductor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$V_B$</td>
<td>600 V</td>
<td>Nominal Battery Voltage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$R_{dump}$</td>
<td>2.22 ohm</td>
<td>Dump load Resistor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$P_{gen}$</td>
<td>60 kW</td>
<td>Capacity of SG</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1: System Parameter

**Modes of Operation**

The generator should feed the power on the priority basis - first to the consumer load then to the battery depending on the SOC and finally to the dump load. Constant current charging (40A) and constant voltage charging (615V) methods are used for SOC<80 % and SOC>80 % respectively. Power demanded by battery is the power to be consumed by battery during these charging methods.

Excess power = Generated power - Load demand
Deficient power = Load Demand - Generated power

**Mode 1:** Excess Power > Power Demanded by Battery
Here surplus power, power left after consumed by load and battery system, is dissipated to dump load.

**Mode 2:** Excess Power < Power Demanded by Battery
In this loading condition, total excess power is used to charge battery without dissipating to dump load.

**Mode 3:** Load > Power Generation
In this loading condition, battery system supplies deficient power to load. Here, no power is dissipated to dump load.

**Controllers**

**Electronic Load Controller**
The frequency of EMF generated changes whenever the consumer load varies; the frequency decreases when the load increases and increases when the load decreases. The error signal obtained by comparing actual frequency and reference frequency (50 Hz in this case) is passed through PI controller which then generates firing angle. The pulse generator generates pulse to fire thyristors which are in series with ballast load at given firing angle. RMS value of voltage across ballast load is controlled by chopping 3-phase voltage signal.

![Electronic Load Controller](image)

The value of resistance of dump load is chosen such that it can dissipate total power generated by MHP when no power is demanded by consumer and battery together.

The resistance per phase of dump load is given by,

$$R_{Dump} = \frac{V_s^2}{K \times P_{gen}}$$

Where, $V_s$= line voltage

$P_{gen}$ = Power generated by MHP

$K$ = secondary load multiplication factor and generally considered as 1.2 (Gyawali, Paudel, & Subedi, 2015).

Power dissipated to dump load at any firing angle alpha ($\alpha$) is given by,

$$P_{Dump} = \frac{V_s^2}{R_{Dump}} \left[ \frac{1}{\pi} \left( \pi - \alpha \right) + \frac{\sin(2\alpha)}{2} \right]$$

At mode 2 and mode 3 of operation, no power is dissipated on ballast load. This is done by applying direct 1800 firing angle to thyristors.

**Controller for 3-phase dc/ac Convertor**

In this paper, we use the hysteresis band current control method to control the power flow through ac/dc convertor. The Hysteresis Band Pulse with Modulated (HBPWM) convertor is basically an instantaneous feedback current control method of PWM where actual current continuously tracks the reference current within predefined hysteresis band limit (Ranganadh, Prasad, & Sreedhar, 2013) Fig 3 shows the control block diagram of HBPWM.

![H-Band Comparator](image)
The control circuit generates the 3-phase reference current of desired magnitude and frequency and is compared with actual phase current wave. H-Band comparator receives error signal obtained after scaling by certain factor and gives the output pulse according to the following rule (Ranganadh, Prasad, & Sreedhar, 2013).

If, $|i_{m,ref} - i_m| < e$, keeps the output pulse in the same state
If, $i_{m,ref} - i_m > e$, let output pulse=1 (high)
If, $i_{m,ref} - i_m < -e$, let output pulse=0 (low)

Where $m=a,b,c$ phases and $e$ is the hysteresis band.

The HBPWM invertor control method is shown in Fig 4. If current exceeds prescribed hysteresis band i.e., $i_{m,ref} - i_m < -e$, the H-Band comparator generates low (0) output pulse. The gate drive of upper switch of convertor gets low pulse which turns off the switch. At the same time gate drive of lower switch gets high (1) pulse which turns on the switch. As a result, output voltage transition occurs from $+V_{dc}$ to $-V_{dc}$ and current starts to decay. As current crosses the lower band limit i.e., $i_{m,ref} - i_m > e$, the H-Band comparator generates high (1) output pulse. The gate drive of upper switch gets high pulse and simultaneously lower switch gets low pulse. As a result, output voltage changes from $-V_{dc}$ to $+V_{dc}$ and current starts to rise. The actual current thus tracks the reference current within prescribed hysteresis band by back and forth switching of upper and lower switches. Fig 5 shows the operation of H-Band convertor.

The algorithm for this scheme is,

$$i_{m,ref}(t)=I_{m,ref}\sin(\omega t)$$

Upper band, $i_u = i_{m,ref}(t)+\Delta i$

Lower band, $i_l = i_{m,ref}(t)-\Delta i$

Where, $\Delta i=\text{hysteresis band limit}$

If $i_u > i_m$, $V_m = -V_{dc}$

If $i_l < i_m$, $V_m = +V_{dc}$

The control diagram for reference current generation is shown in Fig 6. Mode 1 as battery draws varying power through buck converter, dc link voltage tends to fluctuate. The error signal obtained by comparing actual dc link voltage with reference dc link voltage (800V) passes through PI controller which gives reference d-axis current. Reference d-axis current is converted to equivalent abc frame to get 3-phase reference currents. As we are concerned only with active power, q and 0 components are kept zero.

If d-axis current is positive, abc reference currents are in phase with generated voltages. Thus, power flow occurs from dc side to ac side. And if d-axis current is negative, abc reference current are out of phase with generated voltages. At this condition, power flows from ac side to dc side. To draw power from ac side to dc side, we need negative d-axis current which is obtained by multiplying output of PI controller by -1 as shown in Fig 6.

Mode 2

AC/DC convertor draws excess power to maintain
frequency at reference value (50 Hz). DC voltage is not controlled here thus it drops to value just above battery voltage. Frequency fluctuates with the change in consumer load. The error signal - difference of reference frequency and actual frequency - passed through PI controller gives reference d-axis current which is converted to abc frame to obtain 3-phase reference current.

**Mode 3**
The control scheme here is same as that of mode 2. When the decrease in frequency is detected, PI controller generates positive d-axis reference current. The abc reference current is in phase with generator voltage. Thus, the power flows from dc side to load. The ac/dc convertor supplies deficient power to power demand and maintains frequency at reference value.

Let, $I_m$ be the reference d-axis current obtained from PI controller. After dq0 to abc transformation, obtained 3 phase reference current are,

$$
\begin{align*}
    i_a &= I_m \sin(wt) \\
    i_b &= I_m \sin(wt-120) \\
    i_c &= I_m \sin(wt+120)
\end{align*}
$$

And Power through ac/dc convertor is,

$$
P_{ac/dc} = \frac{3}{2} \times V_s \times I_m
$$

Where, $V_s$ = Line voltage across generator

**Controller for DC/DC convertor**

Storage battery cannot be charged at an arbitrarily high rate. Internal resistance of battery produces heat and the excessive temperature rise may lead to degradation of its capacity or even death. Also, recharging at a very slow rate increases the charging duration which is also undesirable as energy stored may be insufficient to reuse after finite duration of time. At lower SOC, battery can be recharged at relatively higher rate than higher SOC. Continuous recharging with same current even after full charge also leads to degradation of its capacity. Thus, at this state, slow rate charging, sufficient only to overcome self-discharging, is welcomed. Here, buck convertor controls the power flowing to battery to safely charge it. Controller for buck convertor is shown in Fig 7. (Abusara & Guerrero, 2014).

**Mode 1:**
For SOC<80%, constant current charging method is employed in which battery draws almost constant power. And for SOC>80 %, constant voltage charging method is deployed. For this charging scheme, current drawn by battery continuously decreases as SOC increases and becomes zero at fully charged state. Here battery draws reduced power at higher SOC.

For constant current charging, PI controller gives duty cycles when error signal - difference of reference current (40A) and actual current - is passed through it. Gate signal generator generates PWM pulses of respective duty cycle which is passed to the gate drive of buck IGBT that limits current to battery at reference value.

For constant voltage charging, PI controller generates reference current when error signal - difference of reference voltage (615V) and actual battery voltage - is passed through it. The inner current control loop is same as in constant current control method that generates appropriate duty cycle such that actual voltage tracks reference voltage.

**Mode 2 and Mode 3**
In mode 2, DC link voltage drops and settles to a value slightly above battery voltage. To charge battery with available power, buck IGBT is always kept ON providing duty cycle 1. The arrangement works for mode 3 too. Here, as ac/dc convertor supplies power to load from dc link, battery supplies power to dc link seamlessly through diode. In this mode dc link voltage is just less than battery voltage.

**Mode Transition**
The mode at which system must operate depends on the surplus or deficient power and power demanded by battery. Detecting such parameters, modes are switched. For mode 1, controllers are different than mode 2 or mode 3 but controllers for mode 2 and mode 3 are same. By observing frequency and dc link voltage, mode at which system should operate is determined and controllers are switched accordingly. Following state diagram shows the algorithm for mode transition.

![Figure 7: Controller for DC/DC convertor](image)

![Figure 8: Figure for Mode transition](image)
Algorithm for mode transition:
If $f > 45$ and $V_{dc\text{-}link} < 850$ V, output remains in the same state
If $f < 45$ Hz, Output=$0$;
If $V_{dc\text{-}link} > 850$ V, output =$1$;
Here, Output 0 means mode 2 or mode 3 of operation and output 1 means mode 1 of operation.

To understand how operation is switched to different modes following scenario is considered.

A 60 kw generator generates power of 60 kw and supplies power to a load of 20 kw. In this case, the system is operated in mode 1 and ac/dc convertor is operated to maintain dc link voltage at 800 V. Battery at SOC 70 % consumes power form dc link at constant current of 40 A i.e., around $40600=24000$ W. Ballast load consumes excess power of $60 - (20+24) = 16$ kw thus maintaining frequency at 50 Hz.

**What Happens If Consumer Load is Increased to 50 kW?**

Battery draws 24 kw power from dc link and tries to continue drawing. And ac/dc convertor also tries to draw 24 kw power to maintain dc link voltage at 800 V. But 60 kW generator cannot supply total $24 + 50 = 74$ kw power. So, frequency starts to decrease. As frequency goes below 45 Hz, controllers are switched to mode 2. Here thyristors are fired at 1800 to ensure no power dissipation in dump load. Ac/dc convertor maintains frequency at 50 Hz by supplying only excess power i.e. $60 - 50 = 10$ kw to dc link. Buck convertor is operated at duty cycle 1 so that it consumes whole excess power coming from ac/dc convertor. During transition as battery tries to draw 24 kw power but ac/dc convertor cannot supply such power, dc link voltage reduces to voltage slightly greater than battery voltage.

Now, suppose load is increased to 70 kw from 50 kw. Here to maintain frequency ac/dc convertor reverses the direction of power flow automatically supplying deficient power i.e. 10 kw to load. As there is no controller for discharging, battery voltage after some voltage drop in diode and circuit directly appears in dc link. Here dc link voltage is slightly below battery voltage.

Now let us assume the system is operated in mode 2 or mode 3 and load is decreased to 20 kw. Here initially dump load doesn’t dissipate any power. To maintain frequency, ac/dc convertor draws excess power i.e. $40$ kw to dc link. Buck convertor is operated at duty cycle 1, so current starts to increase beyond 40 A. As it starts to increase beyond 40 A, buck convertor is switched to constant current mode thereby drawing only 24 kw power. DC link voltage starts to increase as ac/dc convertor draws greater power than power consumed by battery. As soon as dc link voltage is increased beyond 850 V, controllers are switched to mode 1.

By this way, transition occurs from on mode to another with the change in consumer load.

**Simulation Result**

System is designed and simulated in the matlab environment. To check the validity of the system developed, matlab simulink model was run at different loading conditions at different SOC.

Simulation result for SOC<80 % at different loading condition is given in following figures.

Figure 9 (a): Power Generated from Synchronous Generator

Figure 9 (b): Power consumed by load

Figure 9 (c): Power consumed by Battery System

Figure 9 (d): Power dissipated on dump load
Table 2: Simulation Result

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0-5 sec</th>
<th>5-10 sec</th>
<th>10-15 sec</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Generated Power</td>
<td>56.5 kW</td>
<td>56.5 kW</td>
<td>56.5 kW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Power Consumed by load</td>
<td>69.5 kW</td>
<td>49.6 kW</td>
<td>23.2 kW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Power Consumed by battery</td>
<td>-13 kW</td>
<td>6.9 kW</td>
<td>24.7 kW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Power dissipated on dump load</td>
<td>0 kW</td>
<td>0 kW</td>
<td>8.6 kW</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Here, -ve sign put on the power consumed by battery means battery system is supplying the power to the load.

For 5-10 seconds, battery is charged with all excess power and for 10-15 seconds, battery is charged in maximum charging current i.e. 40 A.

To confirm whether the battery is charged with constant voltage or not when SOC > 80 %, the model is simulated with load of 20 kW and SOC > 80 %.
Conclusions
Battery storage system is incorporated in a conventional ELC based micro-hydro plant. Control strategy for the battery storage system is successfully demonstrated in a Matlab simulation. The battery storage system was able to supply deficient power to the load during peak demand period. Frequency is constantly maintained as 50Hz without significant change in voltage level. Hence, power was shared among consumer load, dummy load and battery bank as per the requirement.
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