Hydropower Potential of Montenegro

Mitrović Ratko



Mitrović Ratko

Abstract: As the existing total hydropower potential of Montenegro is outdated, an attempt is made to re estimate the potential and also to assess the possibility of the utilization of the total hydropower potential in Montenegro in newly created conditions and opportunities. The methodology used is based on energy potential for each watercourse individually taking account of the total amount of water from precipitation and transit waters at the territory of Montenegro. This paper for the first time integrally analyses hydropower potential in both gauged and ungauged major watercourses and their tributaries. It provides insight into the total hydropower potential and technically exploitable hydropower potential for both Run-of-River and storage projects, which may serve as a good basis for strategic planning of exploitation of this resource. It bears specific importance since hydropower potential is assessed as 9840 GWh in a year.

Key words: Hydropower potential, Electricity, Theoretical model, Hydropower plants, Montenegro

Introduction

Montenegro covers an area of about 13,812 km². There are several important watercourses that drain into two directions: towards Black Sea and Adriatic Sea. The total area of Black Sea part of the catchment area amounts to 7454 km². From this basin, through Zapadna Morava River, the river Ibar drains, while in the direction of River Drina, drain River Lim and River Cehotina, followed by Tara and Piva Rivers.

The total catchment area of Adriatic Basin is about 6275 km². River Moraca drains towards the Adriatic Sea with its tributaries Rivers Zeta and Cijevna, as well as Rivers Rijeka Crnojevica and Orahovstica. All three watercourses are actually drained in the Skadar Lake, where from they flow to Adriatic Sea via River Bojana. Except for River Bojana, several torrential flows also drain in the Adriatic Sea, which are not permanently monitored or hydrologic regime parameters on them measured.

The hydropower potential (here in after: HEP) based on discharge parameter (Q) directly depends on the total water potential. According to existing hydrological studies of the surface watercourses network, we may determine that we have large number of watercourses, with large discharge compared to relatively small area of Montenegrin territory. These watercourses and large discharge of surface waters results in availability of respective water potential, which may be transformed into the hydropower potential. In this regard, based on determined hydrological balance of surface water streams in Water Management Plan of Montenegro (WMPM 2001) we may verify the conclusion about the large discharge of surface waters and availability of the total water potential at the territory of Montenegro.

Analysis of Hydropower Potential (HEP) Hydropower potential definition model

To get more realistic insight into HEP, we need to start with the basic postulate: that water potential or the existing water as physical category is determined with matrix trio:-

- Three attributes: location (L), amount (Q) and quality (K).
- On the other hand, *water resource* (Vr) is a social, economic and ecological category,
- The fourth one, which is extremely important: *existence of conditions for water exploitation* (Uk).

This is why, while defining the water resources, matrix structure "existing waters" needs to be extended with attribute (Uk). The task to plan water management systems is then reduced to logistic structure $V_p: \underbrace{v_k} \to VS \xrightarrow{v} V_z$, which marks that present water (existing water) V_p , through conditions for exploitation (Uk), enables development of water management system (VS), through which, by applying adequate management (U), we transform it to the matrix structure *necessary* – *demanded water* (V_z) (Dordevic 1997a; Dordevic 1997b) . Conditions for exploitation (Uk) are multidimensional vector size, since they comprise of several components, from which possibility to utilize water depend. For example, in case of utilization of HEP the important components Uk are as follows:

- geotechnical conditions (GU),
- hydro-construction conditions (HGU),
- economic conditions (EU),
- interaction with social and urban surrounding (SU) and with surrounding of cultural-historical goods (KUID),
- environment protection conditions (EKU),
- conditions stemming from inter-country obligations (MDU).

In respect to catchment area, the total runoff volume from Adriatic basin amounts to 11.814 billion m³(internal waters 11.353 billion m³), and from the Black Sea Basin

the total runoff volume is 7.85 billion m³ (internal waters 7.401 billion m³). The total amount of water from precipitations and transit waters at the territory of Montenegro is about 26 billion m³, out of which 6.32 billion m³ evaporates. The very high loss is due to Southern part of Montenegro is being a karst area. Further more, the total drain from Montenegrin territory in volume amounts to19.7 billion m³, while from internal waters it amounts to 18.75 billion m³ or 595 m³/sec.

Figure 1. Illustrates the main watercourses in Montenegro and the position of the major planned hydropower plants (HPP) that need to be constructed in the near future.



Figure 1. Main watercourses and the position of major projected hydropower plants in Montenegro

Value Vp represents the drain of the watercourses in the Black Sea catchment area and drain in the Adriatic Sea for water courses: Moraca River, Zeta River and River Cijevna and others. On the other hand, the total water potential (Vp) or existing water as physical category is determined by matrix trio i.e. location (L), amount (Q) and quality (K): V $_{p} = \{L, Q, K\}$. In respect to the preceding paragraph, the estimated volume value of the existing water Vp = 18.75 billion m³ or total water potential, is believed to be only a physical category, and not a water resource (V_r). Namely, only with the existence of the conditions for exploitation (Uk), Vp may be transformed to the partial HE potential. On the other hand, the total Vp is decreased due to various physical water losses (Đorđević 1997a). Losses are mainly due to geological and hydrological features of the terrain, then water that directly fall to the surface of the Skadar Lake and the outflow of the river Bojana in the Adriatic sea. The height difference between lake Skader and the Adriatic Sea is

> very small. In this regard, the volume value of the existing water (Vp = 18.75 billion m³) is decreased by the estimated value of those losses to the amount: $V_{\rm ps}$ = 13.3 billion m³. The adopted value $V_{\rm ps}$ is the total water potential, which with existence of conditions for exploitation (Uk) may be transformed to the partial HP potential. Volume value V_{m} = 13.34 billion m³ results in the secondary discharge to the amount of Q = 423 m³/s, or 71.1% of internal surface waters of Montenegro. The value V_{ps} represents the drain in the catchment areas of the water courses of Black Sea basin plus drain into the Adriatic Sea catchment area for water courses of River Moraca and Zeta with their tributaries (Figure 1), without voluminous part of the drain towards Boka Kotorska bay, River Trebisnjica, City of Ulcinj and Budva (5.41 billion m³).

Theoretical hydropower potential of the main water courses (Et)

Energy potentials may be calculated for each version of the exploitation of the total potential of the water potential of the catchment area. In this regard, as the first step in hydropower planning, we have estimated the hydropower potential of a watercourse, catchment area or specific territory. Each profile of a watercourse is defined by



Figure2. Review of the total Water potential per water course and summary for HP-exploitation



Figure 3. Review of the remaining technically exploitable E_{ti} (GWh) along major watercourses in natural flow direction, according to Version-1 and Version-2

height datum (average head) H and average annual water discharge Q(m³/s). This enables us make *Q*-*H* diagram for some reach framed between two profiles, **then the aver**age power between the two considered profiles has been defined with relation: \overline{N}_{t} (1/2) = 9.81. Q.H.Theoretic HP potential of the reach on an annual basis (8760 hours) is defined by relation: $E_{t} = 8760\overline{N}$ (kWh). With the help of Q-H diagram we may show available average potential of watercourses without limitations in regard of usability of the average head and discharge. In **the profiles of po**tential hydropower plants in ungauged water courses, we have used analogue (comparable analyses of discharge on the multi-annual gauge profile and on the profile we want to estimate discharge at) method to determine flow at specific profiles in this study.

According to Water Management Plan of Montenegro (2001) in form of the line potential with step of five kilometers along nine water courses, we have calculated the average theoretical HEP (Figure 2).

Technically exploitable potential (Eti)

Technically exploitable potential represents the part of

the hydropower potential for which we have determined with adequate technical documentation that it could be technically implemented. To determine this potential, the following conditions need to be met:

- The study level and project documentation need to be such that they enable reliable proof that the considered hydropower facilities are technically feasible.

- That the facilities and the equipment have been examined to the level at which we may reliably determine the average possible annual production.

Generally speaking, in the current time of technological advances, it is possible to technologically perform the most complex hydropower systems. Technically available potential is equal to the hydro potential of the river, decreased by losses, which at today's level of development, may be generally considered to be about 13%, so that technically exploitable power is expressed with relation: $N_{ti} = 8.5 \times Q_p \times H_n$ (kW), where: Q_p - average multiannual discharge available for energy generation (m³/s), H_n - net head of the facility in (m). Corresponding energy during the year amounts to: $E_{ti} = 8760 N_{ti}$ (GWh).



Figure 4. Review of technically exploitable Eti (GWh) along major watercourses with partial transfer of water from Tara River into Morača River (Q=22m3/s), according to Version-1 and Version-2



Figure 5. Review of the exstimate of the technically exploitable at tributaries in the basin of major surfaces water courses Montenegro

According to the current studies and project documentation, technically exploitable potential (E_{ti}) will be expressed based on two versions of HEP usage.

Version 1- exists in numerous developmental programs, plans and project documentation for hydropower plants of Montenegrin Electricity Authority that manages the overall electricity system of Montenegro.

Version 2 – exists in the newest water management plans of Montenegro (along with ver-

sion 1), (Figure 4). Versions 1 and 2 consider using HEP in natural water flow directions and transferring partial flow of the River Tara into the River Moraca (Q =15.2 i, Q= 22m3/s) (Energoproject 2000; MASA 2004) (Figure 5). (With version 1 backwater elevation of reservoir Andrijevo at River Moraca is 285 m.n.m. elevation above sea level, and with version 2 – 250 m.n.m). Figures 3 and 4 illustrate the remaining available technically exploitable potential. We have considered only HEP that could be implemented with HE facilities within the borders of the territory of Montenegro.

Hydropower Potential of Tributaries

Technically exploitable potential of tributaries surveyed within studies for development of small hydropower plants (SHP)

Up-to-now research of HEP potential of tributaries were at the level of preliminary designs, mainly originating from period 1980-1986. These studies analyze electroenergetic values of hydro potential at the specific number of small watercourses, through construction of small hydropower plants under the then existing concepts and technical solutions. The table below illustrates the revi-

Name of River/Watercourses	Power	Annual Electricity Generation	No. of small Hydro Project
River Piva	74.055 MW	198.21 GWh	23 SHP
River Lim/part Zlorecica – Ljesnica	67.835 MW	175.34 GWh	19 SHP
Plav region	45.485 MW	135.59 GWh	15 SHP
Bijelo Polje region	4.125 MW	26.723 GWh	1 SHP
River Morača	23.35 MW	47.5 GWh	6 SHP
River Zeta	7.43 MW	33.6 GWh	3 SHP
Ćehotina	3.95 MW	12.7 GWh	1 SHP
Old Project and Otiloviča	2.961 MW	11.52 GWh	1 SHP
Total	226.23 MW	638.05 GWh	68 SHP

ew of the number of SHP which may be constructed in Montenegro, their installed power as well as annual power generation.

Totally projected 68 SHP with installed power 226.23 MW and annual electricity generation of 638.05 GWh. On the other hand, technically exploitable potential of tributaries in main water courses, according to VOCG solutions, forecast construction of hydropower plants at 10 tributaries of Lim with total Ni=175 MW and E=344 GWh, and seven tributaries in the catchment area of River Morača with total Ni= 293MW and E=584 GWh. Installed power of hydropower plant ranges from 10 to 26 MW in tributaries of the catchment area of River Lim and range 9 to 28 MW in tributaries of catchment area of River Moraca. Since these are hydropower facilities that do not belong to the category of SHP up to 10 MWh or near to this limit, according to study »Guidelines to development and construction of small hydropower plants (SHP) in Montenegro", presents separately the technically exploitable potential for three main tributaries of River Moraca: Mala Rijeka, Cijevna and Mrtvica. Of course, HEP tributaries cover the domain of small hydropower plants (SHP) and large hydropower plants (HEP)

Technically exploitable potential of non-gauged tributaries

Starting from the fact that up to now researches do not consider the overall HEP potential of tributaries in the catchment area of River Tara, or the specific number of tributaries in other catchment areas, the estimate of the available and technically exploitable potential has been made in an indirect way. In this regard, the estimate has been made as the product of surface net potential (ε_{\star}) and the surface of the catchment area of specific tributary (A km²): $E_i = \varepsilon_i \cdot A$, where: ε_i - available average annual HE potential (GWh), \mathcal{E}_{μ} - specific available surface potential (GWh/km²). Value ϵ_{i} was calculated based on technically exploitable surface potential of River Lim tributaries (A=1164.8km²) which have been discussed in up to now studies on SHP in catchment area of River Lim, $\epsilon_{ii} = 337/1164.80 = 0.355 \text{ GWh/km}^2$. For the territory of former Yugoslavia y $\mathcal{E}_{\mathfrak{f}} = 0.36 \text{ GWh/km}^2$.

Estimates of the technically exploitable potential of tributaries

Based on the results of the estimate of the exploitable potential within the up-to-now studied tributaries at the level of studies "Guidelines to development and construction of small hydropower plants (SHP) in Montenegro" (MEA 2001). Water Management Plan of Montenegro (2001), and indirect calculations of the up-to-now of un-gauged tributaries, the technically exploitable hydropower potential of tributaries amounting to 995.81 GWh per year has been calculated (Figure 5).

Remaining technically exploitable hydropower potential (E_{ti}) in main watercourses and tributaries in Montenegro.

Based on the exposed study of the technically exploitable E_{ti} .god.(GWh) for main watercourses and review of technically exploitable E_{ti} .god.(GWh) for tributaries based on the utilization version in natural direction and transfer of the part of Tara river waters in (Q=22m³/s) Morača at Figure 6 we have illustrated the total amount of the remaining technically exploitable hydropower potential (Đorđević 1997a; Đorđević 1997b; Perović, Marković et al 2004; MEA 2000;MASA 2004). E_{ti} (GWh) relates to the average hydrologic year, which may be realized with construction of hydropower plants within the borders at the territory of Montenegro.

Conclusion

Based on previous considerations, we have presented the numerical values of the indices of hydropower potential (HEP). The reliability of the estimated HEP may be examined or corrected through improvement of the elaboration of technical documentation for hydropower facilities and its foundation in direct and quality measurement of Q-H parameters. More realistic consideration of the HEP is expected through planned development of Energetic Basis (Strategy) of the Republic of Montenegro, which, according to our opinion, needs to become priority of the governmental activities, since the existing one is not offering good basis for exploitation of the existing hydropower potentials. The estimated theoretical hydropower potential in Montenegoro is 13342.1 GWh. The remaining potential which needs to be exploited by construction



Figure 6. Review of the total remaining technically exploitable hydrpower potential (Eti) in major water courses and tributaries according to version 1 and version 2, which may be implemented in HE-facilities.

of new hydro power plants is 6209.0 GWh per annum.

As the hydropower potential of Montenegro has not been estimated well enough, this research presented in this paper is very useful. Only 18% of this resource has been exploited so far compared to realistic possibilities. We wish to exploit this remaining potential in the near future. This paper represents the basis for the future analyses of the hydropower potential of the main watercourses individually and also tributaries, in all with the effort to integrally utilize this resource. In this way, the possible mistakes that often appear when hydropower potential of one watercourse is not analyzed as a whole, but in separate segments, would be avoided. Generally, the study of the hydropower potential, also presents basis for planning and development of electricity sector as a whole. For this reason, the research of this type gains special importance. It is especially important for areas were grids are underdeveloped, and where the significant energy potential exists, as is the case with north part of Montenegro.

Prof. Ratko Mitrović, Ph.d. teaches technology construction hydro power plants, construction management and technology, project management, construction estimating and property surveying. He has made designs and was superintendent, consultant for many different kinds of objects: hydro power, residential buildings, railroads, roads, antenna, towers and stores. He undertook feasibility studies: plant for production of reinforced concrete piers for low and high voltage, plant for repairs of transformers and breakers and small hydro power plants Crnja, Ljubastica, Crni potok, and Trepacka Rivers. He has made original designs for more than 30 small hydro power plants. He has published widely both in Montenegro and abroad, and has also taken part in a number of conferences and symposia worldwide. He currently chairs the Department of Construction Management and Technology at the University of Montenegro. He is a member of technical committee Montenegrin Academy of Science and Art. Corresponding address: ratkom@ac.me

References

- Đorđević, B., 1997a, Water Management, "Građevinska knjiga", Tehničar 6, Belgrade.
- Đorđević, B., 1997b, Hydropower facilities, "Građevinska knjiga", Tehničar 6, Belgrade.
- MASA (Montenegrin Academy of Science and Art),2004, New Energetic Resources in Montenegro, MASA.
- MEA (Montenegrin Electricity Authority), 2000, Study of distribution of Hydropower plant-Trebinje potential between the Republic of Montenegro and Republika Srpska, Energoprojekt, MEA, Belgrade.
- MEA (Montenegrin Electricity Authority), 2001, Guidelines to Development and Construction of Small Hydropower Plants (SHP) in Montenegro, Energoproject, MEA, Belgrade, Niksic.
- Perović, M., M. Marković, S. Vujadinovića, R. Gredić, R. Milović, N. Jablan,
- M. Meletijević and D. Divc, 2004, Hydropower Potential of Montenegro (Proceedings), Montenegro's Chamber of Commerce, Podgorica.
- WMPM (Water Management Plan of Montenegro), 2001, Institute Jaroslav Cerni, Government of Montenegro, Belgrade, URL: http://www.gov.met.



Deals in Construction Chemical, Equipment, Clamps, Safetynet, Electricals, Plywood and Hardwares.