

Seasonal variation of PM_{2.5} and PM₁₀ in urban and remote regions of Nepal

<https://doi.org/10.3126/hp.v12i1.82150>

Ramesh Chalise¹, Surendra Sharma^{2*}, Baburam Chalise³, Laba Prakash Chalise⁴, Shiv Narayan Yadav¹

1 Central Department of Physics, Tribhuvan University, Kathmandu, Nepal

2 Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry, Texas Tech University, Lubbock, TX 79409, USA

3 Department of Chemistry, University of Connecticut, USA

4 Central Department of Chemistry, Tribhuvan University, Kathmandu, Nepal

Abstract: Air pollution is a serious environmental problem that affects the health of people, animals, and the natural environment. It is mainly caused by human activities such as vehicle emissions, industrial work, waste burning, and construction dust. Breathing polluted air can lead to asthma, lung problems, heart disease, and other serious health issues. In cities like Kathmandu, air pollution is increasing due to rapid urban growth and traffic, while remote areas often have cleaner air. This study compares air quality between an urban site (Ratnapark, Kathmandu) and a remote site (Rara Lake, Mugu) in Nepal using PM_{2.5} and PM₁₀ measurements for 2023. Ratnapark exhibited much higher pollution, with peak PM_{2.5} of 97.97 $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ and PM₁₀ of 137.33 $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ in February, while Rara Lake remained relatively clean, with most PM_{2.5} and PM₁₀ values below 20 $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$. The lowest pollution at both sites occurred during the rainy season. The PM_{2.5}/PM₁₀ ratio analysis revealed that finer particles were more dominant at the urban site, whereas coarser particles prevailed in the remote area. These results highlight the significant contrast in air quality between urban and remote regions and the influence of seasonal weather and human activities on pollution levels.

Keywords: Air pollution • Air quality index • PM_{2.5} • PM₁₀ • Nepal

Received: 2025-07-23

Revised: 2025-09-04

Published: 2025-09-17

I. Introduction

Air pollution is a serious environmental issue that humans face worldwide, especially in rapidly growing urban areas of developing countries. This problem is mainly caused by increasing population, urbanization, industrialization, and rising vehicle use [1]. According to the World Health Organization (WHO), around seven million people die each year due to air pollution-related diseases, and 9 out of 10 people globally breathe air that contains high levels of harmful pollutants [2]. Ambient air pollution is

* Corresponding Author: chalisesurendra77@gmail.com

responsible for an estimated 4.2 million deaths annually due to health problems such as stroke, heart disease, lung cancer, and chronic respiratory conditions [3].

Continuous air quality monitoring is essential to understanding and controlling air pollution. Many countries have started air monitoring programs that record the concentration of pollutants such as Suspended Particulate Matter (SPM), Carbon Monoxide (CO), Nitrogen Oxides (NO_x), Sulfur Dioxide (SO₂), and others [2]. According to the 2024 EPI report, Nepal ranked 165th out of 180 countries, scoring 33.1, among the lowest-performing nations globally in terms of Environmental Performance Index [4]. Nepal's low Environmental Performance Index (EPI) score reflects its poor environmental performance. Kathmandu, the capital city, is one of the most polluted cities in South Asia due to high population density (32,978 people per km²), a growing number of vehicles, road construction, brick kilns, and poorly managed urban infrastructure [5]. For example, according to the Department of Transport Management, Nepal, 203,552 new vehicles were registered in 2024. Many of these and many older and diesel-powered vehicles already on the roads contribute significantly to the country's worsening air pollution [6].

The Air Quality Index (AQI) is a numerical scale designed to describe the level of air pollution and its potential impact on human health. It simplifies complex air pollution data into a single value that indicates whether the air is clean or harmful to breathe. The AQI is essential because it focuses on short-term health effects, often experienced within hours or days of exposure to poor air quality [7]. According to the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), the key pollutants used in calculating AQI include ground-level ozone (O₃), particulate matter (PM_{2.5} and PM₁₀), carbon monoxide (CO), sulfur dioxide (SO₂), and nitrogen dioxide (NO₂).

AQI values are categorized into six levels based on the potential health effects:

- Good (0–50): Air quality is considered satisfactory, and air pollution poses little or no risk to health.
- Moderate (51–100): Air quality is acceptable; however, there may be a concern for some people who are unusually sensitive to air pollution.
- Unhealthy for Sensitive Groups (101–150): People with lung or heart diseases, older adults, and children are more likely to be affected.
- Unhealthy (151–200): Everyone may begin to experience health effects, while sensitive groups may experience more serious effects.
- Very Unhealthy (201–300): This level triggers health alerts. Serious health effects may affect the entire population.
- Hazardous (301 and above): This represents emergency conditions. The air is very dangerous, and serious health effects are likely for everyone [8, 9].

Among all air pollutants, particulate matter (PM) is considered especially dangerous due to its ability to penetrate deep into the respiratory system. PM consists of a complex mixture of tiny solid particles and liquid droplets suspended in the air [10]. These particles can react with other atmospheric substances and vary in size and origin. PM is usually classified into two main categories:

- Fine particles ($PM_{2.5}$): Particles with diameters less than or equal to 2.5 micrometers. Because of their small size can be inhaled deeply into the lungs and even enter the bloodstream. Familiar sources include vehicle exhaust, power plants, residential burning, forest fires, and industrial processes.
- Coarse particles (PM_{10}): These particles range in size from 2.5 to 10 micrometers and are often generated by mechanical processes like construction, mining, agricultural activities, road dust, and crushing operations [3, 11].

Earlier studies have shown that air quality in Kathmandu is poor, especially during the winter and spring seasons [12, 13]. Karki et al. found that NO_2 , CO, and $PM_{2.5}$ concentrations were highest in winter and lowest during the rainy season [14]. Also, Kharel et al. reported that in Kathmandu Valley, mean TVOC and formaldehyde (HCHO) levels in high-risk indoor workplaces ranged from 1.5–8 mg/m^3 and <0.01–5.5 mg/m^3 , respectively, with indoor-to-outdoor (I/O) ratios often exceeding 1.5 [15]. Shrestha et al. noted that vehicular emissions, particularly from in-use diesel vehicles with prolonged service life, were Kathmandu's dominant source of urban air pollution [16]. Likewise, Gurung and Bell highlighted a steady rise in ambient air pollution, with PM_{10} levels frequently surpassing national and WHO standards in major urban areas [17].

Most of these studies focus on urban and industrial regions. However, few studies have compared air quality between Nepal's urban and remote natural areas. Therefore, in this study, we analyze and compare the AQI of $PM_{2.5}$ and PM_{10} in Ratnapark (Kathmandu) and Rara Lake (Mugu), using data from 2023. This comparison helps us understand the differences in pollution levels and seasonal trends between an urban center and a clean, remote region. The results can support future policies and actions to control air pollution and protect public health.

II. Methodology

Data source, equipment, and parameters

The data used in this study were obtained from the Government of Nepal, Department of Environment website (<http://doenv.gov.np/>). The ministry operates 11 air quality monitoring stations nationwide, each with the Grimm Electronic Dust Monitoring (EDM 180) device. This instrument employs semiconductor laser-based light-scattering technology to detect and count airborne particulate matter in

real time. It can simultaneously measure PM₁₀ and PM_{2.5} while also providing particle number concentrations across 31 size channels [18]. The system is fully automated and energy-efficient, requiring no consumables or radioactive sources, which makes it highly cost-effective and safe for long-term operation. Certified by US-EPA, UK-MCERTS, and CN-CMA, and recognized as equivalent in over 20 countries, it ensures reliable and comparable data for regulatory and scientific applications. Advanced features such as purge air to protect the laser and detector, built-in temperature and humidity sensors, and self-testing optical and pneumatic components guarantee consistent accuracy even under challenging environmental conditions. In addition, the instrument supports remote data access and GSM-based communication, enabling seamless integration into national and regional air quality monitoring networks. Its robust design, insensitivity to vibrations, and high reproducibility at low and high dust concentrations make it suitable for fixed stations and mobile monitoring platforms [19, 20]. It measures particle concentration directly in counts per liter and estimates mass concentrations through extrapolation.



Figure 1. Study area map showing air quality monitoring sites at Ratnapark (Kathmandu) and Rara Lake (Mugu).

The study specifically focused on two particulate pollutants:

- PM_{2.5} (particles smaller than 2.5 micrometers) can penetrate the lungs deeply.
- PM₁₀ (particles smaller than 10 micrometers) also poses health risks.

Data were recorded on the 28th day of each month throughout 2023. This study centers on two contrasting locations in Nepal (Fig. 1):

- Ratnapark, Kathmandu (27.7061° N, 85.3148° E; elevation 1324 m)
- Rara Lake, Mugu (29.5239° N, 82.0788° E; elevation 2975 m)

The primary objective was to compare the Air Quality Index (AQI) between these two sites using the PM_{2.5} and PM₁₀ values recorded on the 28th of each month in 2023. The analysis aimed to identify which site had more favorable air quality and to observe how pollutant levels varied throughout the year.

Date (2023)	Ratnapark, Kathmandu		Rara Lake, Mugu	
	PM _{2.5} ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)	PM ₁₀ ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)	PM _{2.5} ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)	PM ₁₀ ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)
Jan 28	35.9917	49.7792	4.3732	4.6619
Feb 28	97.9708	137.325	12.0742	16.2583
Mar 28	63.0917	91.3667	5.5843	7.8638
Apr 28	86.5667	118.9208	17.0934	21.9179
May 28	28.0458	37.625	13.4505	18.4334
Jun 28	11.0750	15.1083	6.3009	7.6278
Jul 28	13.3458	15.0333	4.6328	5.6596
Aug 28	12.1833	14.2083	14.8108	19.9538
Sep 28	16.3875	18.9500	6.2025	7.5861
Oct 28	11.4333	11.8333	7.5169	9.4501
Nov 28	28.8417	30.3250	4.7341	8.9692
Dec 28	63.10	115.4375	7.88069	12.2105

Table 1. Monthly PM_{2.5} and PM₁₀ concentrations on the 28th day of each month in 2023 for Ratnapark, Kathmandu, and Rara Lake, Mugu.

III. Results and Discussion

The data for PM_{2.5} and PM₁₀ concentrations at Ratnapark throughout 2023 show distinct monthly variations with notable trends (Fig. 2). Both PM_{2.5} and PM₁₀ levels are relatively low during the summer months of June, July, and August, with concentrations generally below 20 $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$, reflecting the cleansing effect of monsoon rains. The highest pollution peaks occur in February, where PM_{2.5} reaches around 98 $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ and PM₁₀ peaks near 137 $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$, likely due to wintertime temperature inversions and increased emissions from heating and vehicular activities. Elevated concentrations are also observed in March and April, with PM_{2.5} around 63–87 $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ and PM₁₀ near 91–119 $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$, indicating ongoing pollution before the monsoon onset. After May, particulate levels drop sharply but rise again in November and December, with December showing a notable increase—PM_{2.5} at approximately 63 $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ and PM₁₀ at 116 $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ —suggesting seasonal factors like biomass burning and colder weather contribute to pollution buildup. The

PM_{2.5} at Ratnapark is $39.0 \pm 31.0 \mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$, and for PM₁₀ it is $54.7 \pm 47.5 \mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$, reflecting substantial monthly variability. The PM_{2.5}/PM₁₀ ratio ranges from 0.547 to 0.966 (mean 0.78 ± 0.12), indicating a high proportion of fine particulate pollution typical of urban combustion sources. Furthermore, PM_{2.5} and PM₁₀ concentrations are strongly correlated ($r = 0.98$), showing that increases in fine particles are closely associated with rises in coarser particulate matter. Overall, these results demonstrate that particulate pollution at Ratnapark is strongly influenced by seasonal meteorology and human activities, with low levels during the monsoon and pronounced peaks during dry, cold periods.




Figure 2. Particulate concentration (PM_{2.5} and PM₁₀) vs month at Ratnapark, Kathmandu.

Fig. 3 shows the monthly variation of two types of particulate matter—PM_{2.5} and PM₁₀—at Rara Lake, Mugu. It illustrates that PM₁₀ concentrations are consistently higher than PM_{2.5} throughout the year. The highest levels of both pollutants are observed in April and August, with PM₁₀ peaking around $22 \mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ and $20 \mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$, respectively. The lowest concentrations occur in January and July, where PM_{2.5} and PM₁₀ remain below $6 \mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$. Overall, PM_{2.5} at Rara Lake is $8.7 \pm 4.4 \mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$, and for PM₁₀ it is $11.7 \pm 5.9 \mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$, indicating generally low particulate pollution with moderate monthly variability. PM_{2.5} and PM₁₀ concentrations are strongly correlated ($r = 0.98$), demonstrating that increases in fine particulate matter are closely associated with rises in coarser particles. Independent t-tests comparing Ratnapark with Rara Lake confirmed that the differences in both PM_{2.5} ($t = 3.35$, $p = 0.0029$) and PM₁₀ ($t = 3.11$, $p = 0.0051$) were statistically significant. This indicates that the elevated particulate levels observed in Kathmandu are real and not due to random variation. Overall, these results highlight the significant impact of urban activities and seasonal meteorology on air quality, with Ratnapark experiencing much higher pollution levels and greater health risks compared to the relatively clean environment of Rara Lake. This pattern suggests that particulate pollution varies seasonally, with higher levels in spring and

late summer, possibly due to environmental or human factors.




Figure 3. Particulate concentration (PM_{2.5} and PM₁₀) vs month at Rara Lake, Mugu.




Figure 4. Comparison of particulate concentration (a) PM_{2.5} and (b) PM₁₀ at Rara Lake, Mugu, and Ratnapark, Kathmandu.

The comparison of PM_{2.5} and PM₁₀ concentrations between Ratnapark in Kathmandu and the remote Rara Lake in Mugu throughout 2023 reveals clear differences alongside some shared seasonal trends. Both locations show increased particulate levels in the early months (January to April) and late year (November to December), with a noticeable dip during the monsoon months of June and July due to rainfall reducing airborne particles. However, Ratnapark consistently experiences much higher

pollutant levels, PM_{2.5} peaks at approximately 98 $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ in February, and PM₁₀ reaches around 137 $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ —compared to Rara Lake’s much lower peaks of about 12 $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ for PM_{2.5} and 16 $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ for PM₁₀ in the same period. Meanwhile, Rara Lake maintains a low and stable pollution baseline typical of clean mountainous regions. Fig. 4 shows these trends and the magnitude differences between the two sites. These patterns highlight the significant impact of urban activities and seasonal weather on air quality, with Ratnapark’s elevated PM levels posing greater health risks. In contrast, Rara Lake benefits from its remote, less polluted environment.




Figure 5. Monthly variation of PM_{2.5}/PM₁₀ ratio at urban (Ratnapark, Kathmandu) and remote (Rara Lake, Mugu) sites in 2023.

The PM_{2.5}/PM₁₀ ratio is a useful indicator of particulate pollution sources, where higher values (> 0.7) typically suggest dominance of fine particles from combustion-related urban sources, and lower values (< 0.5) indicate a greater presence of coarse particles, such as dust and soil [21, 22]. Fig. 5 shows the monthly variation of PM_{2.5}/PM₁₀ ratio values for Kathmandu (Ratnapark) and Mugu (Rara Lake) in 2023. The PM_{2.5}/PM₁₀ ratios calculated for Nepal show that Ratnapark, Kathmandu, ranges from 0.547 to 0.966, with a mean of 0.803 ± 0.126 , indicating a high proportion of fine particulate pollution. In contrast, Rara Lake, Mugu, ranges from 0.528 to 0.826, with a mean of 0.795 ± 0.125 , reflecting a mix of fine and coarse particles. Compared to India, where urban sites show seasonal mean ratios of 0.31–0.65 [23], China, with national ratios of 0.54–0.57, and Saudi Arabia, with ratios around 0.64–0.69 [24], Ratnapark exhibits higher fine particle dominance, likely due to urban activities. In contrast, Rara Lake’s ratios are closer to rural or less urbanized conditions in these countries. Overall, Nepal’s urban site shows more severe fine particulate pollution than comparable sites in India, China, and Saudi Arabia, while the rural site shows moderate pollution levels.

IV. Conclusions

The data show that air pollution levels in Ratnapark, Kathmandu, are much higher than in the remote Rara Lake, Mugu. Both places experience changes throughout the year, with cleaner air during the rainy monsoon season and more pollution in the colder, drier months. The high pollution in Kathmandu, especially during winter and late autumn, is mainly due to city-related activities and weather conditions. In contrast, Rara Lake stays relatively clean because it is far from urban pollution. This highlights how city life and the seasons affect air quality and health risks differently in urban versus rural areas. However, one limitation of this study is that sampling was conducted only on the 28th of each month. This approach may miss short-term pollution events such as sudden traffic congestion, festival activities, industrial emissions, or unusual weather patterns, which could influence the overall representativeness of the results. Collecting samples more often, such as weekly or even daily, or using continuous monitoring stations, would be better for improving future work. This would help capture sudden spikes in pollution and give a more complete picture of how air quality changes over time. Adding real-time weather data and satellite information would also make the results more reliable and easier to apply to different places.

References

- [1] Holman C. Sources of Air Pollution. In: Holgate ST, Samet JM, Koren HS, Maynard RL, editors. Air Pollution and Health. London: Academic Press; 1999. p. 115-48.
- [2] World Health Organization. WHO ambient air quality database, 2022 update: status report. World Health Organization; 2023.
- [3] de Bont J, Jaganathan S, Dahlquist M, Persson A, Stafoggia M, Ljungman P. Ambient air pollution and cardiovascular diseases: An umbrella review of systematic reviews and meta-analyses. *Journal of Internal Medicine*. 2022;291:779-800.
- [4] Environmental Performance Index 2024; 2024. Accessed: 2025-07-07. <https://epi.yale.edu/country/2024/NPL>.
- [5] National Statistics Office;. Accessed: 2025-07-07. <https://nsonepal.gov.np/pages/76831566/>.
- [6] Ghimire KP, Shrestha SR. Estimating vehicular emission in Kathmandu Valley, Nepal. *International Journal of Environment*. 2014;3:133-46.
- [7] Horn SA, Dasgupta PK. The Air Quality Index (AQI) in historical and analytical perspective: a tutorial review. *Talanta*. 2024;267:125260.
- [8] Kelly FJ, Fussell JC. Air pollution and public health: emerging hazards and improved understanding of risk. *Environmental Geochemistry and Health*. 2015;37:631-49.
- [9] Mirabelli MC, Ebelt S, Damon SA. Air quality index and air quality awareness among adults in the

- United States. Environmental Research. 2020;183:109185.
- [10] Dominici F, Greenstone M, Sunstein CR. Particulate Matter Matters. *Science*. 2014;344:257-9.
- [11] Pope CA, Dockery DW. Health effects of fine particulate air pollution: lines that connect. *Journal of the Air & Waste Management Association*. 2006;56:709-42.
- [12] Hildebrandt ML, Pokhrel S. Climate and air quality: A case study of PM₁₀ pollution in Kathmandu, Nepal;. Unpublished.
- [13] Aryal RK, Lee BK, Karki R, Gurung A, Kandasamy J, Pathak BK, et al. Seasonal PM₁₀ dynamics in Kathmandu Valley. *Atmospheric Environment*. 2008;42:8623-33.
- [14] Karki KB, Dhakal P, Shrestha SL, Joshi HD, Aryal KK, Poudyal A, et al. Situation analysis of ambient air pollution and respiratory health effects in Kathmandu valley. Nepal Health Research Council; 2016.
- [15] Kharel M, Chalise S, Chalise B, Sharma KR, Gyawali D, Paudyal H, et al. Assessing volatile organic compound level in selected workplaces of Kathmandu Valley. *Heliyon*. 2021;7.
- [16] Shrestha A, Dhital NB. In-vehicle air quality in public buses during real-world trips in Kathmandu Valley, Nepal. *Environmental Challenges*. 2024;17:101054.
- [17] Gurung A, Bell ML. Exposure to airborne particulate matter in Kathmandu Valley, Nepal. *Journal of Exposure Science and Environmental Epidemiology*. 2012;22:235-42.
- [18] Durag AG. Approved PM₁₀ & PM_{2.5} environmental dust monitor EDM 180;. Accessed: 2025-09-01. <https://www.durag.com/en/product-filter-837.htm?productID=EDM%20180>.
- [19] Chacón-Mateos M, García-Salamero H, Laquai B, Vogt U. Calibration and performance evaluation of PM_{2.5} and NO₂ air quality sensors for environmental epidemiology. *Atmospheric Measurement Techniques*. 2025;18:4061-85.
- [20] Wang WCV, Lung SCC, Liu CH, Shui CK. Laboratory evaluations of correction equations with multiple choices for seed low-cost particle sensing devices in sensor networks. *Sensors*. 2020;20:3661.
- [21] Querol X, Alastuey A, Ruiz CR, Artiñano B, Hansson HC, Harrison RM, et al. Speciation and origin of PM₁₀ and PM_{2.5} in selected European cities. *Atmospheric Environment*. 2004;38:6547-55.
- [22] Belis CA, Karagulian F, Larsen BR, Hopke PK. Critical review and meta-analysis of ambient particulate matter source apportionment using receptor models in Europe. *Atmospheric Environment*. 2013;69:94-108.
- [23] Spandana B, Rao SS, Upadhyay AR, Kulkarni P, Sreekanth V. PM_{2.5}/PM₁₀ ratio characteristics over urban sites of India. *Advances in Space Research*. 2021;67:3134-46.
- [24] Fan H, Zhao C, Yang Y, Yang X. Spatio-temporal variations of the PM_{2.5}/PM₁₀ ratios and its application to air pollution type classification in China. *Frontiers in Environmental Science*. 2021;9.