

OPEN  ACCESS



International Journal of Applied Sciences and Biotechnology

A Rapid Publishing Journal

ISSN 2091-2609

Indexing and Abstracting

CrossRef, Google Scholar, Global Impact Factor, Genamics, Index Copernicus, Directory of Open Access Journals, WorldCat, Electronic Journals Library (EZB), Universitätsbibliothek Leipzig, Hamburg University, UTS (University of Technology, Sydney): Library, International Society of Universal Research in Sciences (EyeSource), Journal Seeker, WZB, Socolar, BioRes, Indian Science, Jadoun Science, Journal Informatics, Journal Directory, JournalTOCs, Academic Journals Database, Journal Quality Evaluation Report, PDOAJ, Science Central, Journal Impact Factor, NewJour, Open Science Directory, Directory of Research Journals Indexing, Open Access Library, International Impact Factor Services, SciSeek, Cabell's Directories, Scientific Indexing Services, CiteFactor, UniSA Library, InfoBase Index, Infomine, Getinfo, Open Academic Journals Index, HINARI, etc.

CODEN (Chemical Abstract Services, USA): IJASKD

Vol-3(1) March, 2015

Available online at:

<http://www.ijasbt.org>

&

<http://www.nepjol.info/index.php/IJASBT/index>



Impact factor*: **1.422**
Scientific Journal Impact factor#: **3.419**
Index Copernicus Value: **6.02**

*Impact factor is issued by Universal Impact Factor. Kindly note that this is not the IF of Journal Citation Report (JCR).

#Impact factor is issued by SJIF INNO SPACE.

For any type of query and/or feedback don't hesitate to email us at: editor.ijasbt@gmail.com



Research Article

HEAVY METAL ACCUMULATION AS PHYTOREMEDIATION POTENTIAL OF AQUATIC MACROPHYTE, *MONOCHORIA VAGINALIS* (BURM.F.) K. PRESL EX KUNTH

Tulika Talukdar¹ and Dibyendu Talukdar^{2*}

¹Department of Botany, APC Roy Govt. College, Siliguri, Darjeeling, West Bengal, India
^{2*} Department of Botany, R.P.M. College, Uttarpara, Hooghly, 712258, West Bengal, India

*Corresponding author's email: dibyendutalukdar9@gmail.com

Abstract

Bioaccumulation potential of six ecotypes, collected from six different industrial zones of lower Indo-Gangetic basin of West Bengal, India, of *Monochoria vaginalis*, commonly known as oval-leaved pondweed has been investigated based on chromium (Cr), cadmium (Cd) and Copper (Cu) accumulation pattern in different plant organs. Bioaccumulation potential was assessed by bioaccumulation factors (BFs-leaves metal concentration/soil metal concentration), bioconcentration factors (BCFs- roots metal/soil metal), transfer factors (TFs-leaves + rhizomes/roots) and enrichment factors (EFs-metals in edible parts/soil metal). Accumulation pattern significantly differed among ecotypes, and accumulation in plant organs was highly metal-specific. BFs for Cr and Cd were $\gg 1$ in most of the ecotypes while high TFs ($\gg 1$) were noticed in six ecotypes for Cr and Cu. BCFs was $\gg 1$ in all the ecotypes for Cd accumulation only. EFs values for the three metals hovered around 1 but it was > 1.0 for Cu in all the six ecotypes. The results suggested that Cr and Cu predominantly accumulated in leaves and rhizomes while Cd was predominantly sequestered in roots of *M. vaginalis* ecotypes. Cu, a redox active metal, showed higher capability than Cd and Cr to accumulate in edible parts. In the present study, potential plant parts in *M. vaginalis* have been identified as bioaccumulation organs without any apparent symptoms of toxicity which can be used as phytoremediation of heavy metal contamination in aquatic ecosystems of lower Indo-Gangetic basin of India.

Key words: Heavy metal; Bioaccumulation potential; Gangetic basin; *Monochoria vaginalis*

Introduction

Monochoria vaginalis, commonly known as pickerel-weed and belonging to the angiosperm family Pontederiaceae, is an aquatic herbaceous plant with its native range in temperate and tropical Asia. The plant has a short rhizome with dimorphic leaves, few to numerous blue flowers arranged in a raceme and capsule fruits, and has been enlisted as an invasive weed in rice fields (Juraimi *et al.*, 2012; Bhuyan *et al.*, 2014; Buragohain and Yasmin, 2014). Phytochemical work of the alcoholic extract of roots showed the presence of glycosides, flavonoids and tannins (Gupta *et al.*, 2008) and essential amino acids and minerals in leaves and flowers (Chandran and Parimelazhagan, 2012). The plant is medicinally useful as edible vegetables, antioxidant and analgesic while root stock and leaves have cooling, aromatic, alternant and diuretic properties. The leaf juice is used to treat cough and fever and that of roots is used to treat stomach and liver problems, boil, hepatitis, gastrostis, bronchosis, asthma, toothache and haemorrhages (Chandran and Parimelazhagan, 2012).

Heavy metal pollution originating from increased industrialization and urbanization and its contamination in

aquatic ecosystems due to discharge of industrial effluents pose a serious threat to human health through contaminated water and water-soil-plant chain (Ozdilek *et al.*, 2007; Roy *et al.*, 2010). In recent years, metal/metalloid contamination in major crop plants like cereals, legumes and vegetables has become a major ecological and economic problem throughout the world (Rai, 2008; Talukdar, 2012, 2013c, d, 2014a, d; Talukdar and Talukdar, 2013) and water pollution by metal (loids) have been identified the major threat to ecosystems in developing and underdeveloped countries (Shrestha *et al.*, 2014). Metal polluted aquatic ecosystems can decrease the quality of water, aquatic biodiversity and its associated terrestrial species, and can cause many species extinct. Instead of using costly and conventional physical and chemical technologies which may also have adverse effects on aquatic ecosystems, phytoremediation of metals by aquatic macrophytes and bacteria is economical and eco-friendly technology. This is based on the use of specially selected metal-accumulating plants to remove toxic metals from soils and water. Wetland plants are important tools for heavy metal removal (Rai, 2008; Mukherjee *et al.*, 2014).

Metal uptake by plant has three patterns: a) True exclusion in which metals are restricted from entering the plant, b) Shoot exclusion in which metals are accumulated in the root but translocation to the shoot is restricted, and c) Accumulation where metals are concentrated in the plant parts. Primary evidence pointed out that *Monochoria vaginalis* can accumulate substantial amount of lead (Pb), arsenic (As), and cadmium (Cd) in its plant parts (Liu *et al.*, 2007; Mahmud *et al.*, 2008; Hariyadi *et al.*, 2013). Hyperaccumulators can uptake, translocate and tolerate high levels of certain heavy metals that would be toxic to most other organisms. Plants whose leaves may contain $>100 \text{ mg kg}^{-1}$ of Cd and Cr, and $>1000 \text{ mg kg}^{-1}$ of Cu when grown in metal rich medium are considered as hyperaccumulators for these metals. Soils and water of lower Indo-Gangetic basin is heavily contaminated with different types of heavy metals/metalloid but the potential of *Monochoria vaginalis* in accumulation and tolerance of metals has been poorly understood in the region. The plant is widely found in the region as aquatic, semi-aquatic and marshy plant and grows well in heavy-contaminated industrial belts. Six ecotypes of *Monochoria vaginalis* have been collected from six heavy polluted industrial areas of West Bengal, India and their bioaccumulation as well as phytoremediation potential was assessed in the present investigation.

Materials and Methods

Plant materials and soil samples

Plant parts (leaves, rhizomes, roots and fruits) of six ecotypes (Accession 1-6) were collected from six different industrial belts of Gangetic West Bengal, India, namely as Acc-1 (Chakdaha, Nadia), Acc-2 (Kalyani, Nadia), Acc-3 (Khardaha, North 24 pgs), Acc-4 (Ichhapur, North 24 pgs), Acc-5 (Rishra, Hooghly), and Acc-6 (Kolkata). These sites are located within heavy-polluted Hooghly Industrial belt of Gangetic West Bengal (Biswas *et al.*, 2015), and thus were selected for the present study. Voucher specimens were identified, authenticated, tagged and deposited in herbaria of Department of Botany and has been digitized in departmental on-line phyto-informatics data bases (http://www.rpmcdigital_phytoinformatics.com/). Soil samples from respective areas (S1 to S6) were also collected and analyzed. Four replicates for each of the soil and plant samples were maintained.

Analysis of heavy metals in plants and soil

The plant samples were washed thoroughly in heavy metal free water to remove adhered soils and dusts, then rinsed in de-ionized water, immersed for 10 min in $10 \times 10^{-3} \text{ M}$ KH_2PO_4 solution (pH 6.0) to remove external metal contaminants from the root surface and blotted dry. The washing of the plant samples were finished as fast as possible to avoid any possible leakage of absorbed metals.

The leaves were carefully hand separated. Roots, leaves (frond + petiole), stems (rhizome), and fruits were then separated carefully, oven-dried at $105 \text{ }^\circ\text{C}$ for 24 h and were stored in airtight polyethylene bags at room temperature with proper labeling. Soil and plant (parts) samples were digested separately following heating block digestion procedure with slight modifications as detailed earlier (Talukdar, 2013a). Of the plant sample, 0.5–1.0 g was taken into clean, dry digestion tubes, and 5 ml of concentrated HNO_3 was added to it. The mixture was allowed to stand overnight under fume hood. In the following day, the digestion tubes were placed on a heating block and heated at $60 \text{ }^\circ\text{C}$ for 2 h. The tubes were then allowed to cool at room temperature. About 2 ml of concentrated HClO_4 was added to the plant samples. For the soil samples, 3 ml of concentrated H_2SO_4 was added in addition to 2 ml of concentrated HClO_4 . Then, the tubes were heated at $160 \text{ }^\circ\text{C}$ for about 4–5 h. The heating was stopped when the dense white fume of HClO_4 was emitted. The content was then cooled, diluted to 25 ml with de-ionized water, and filtered through Whatman No. 41 filter papers and finally stored in polyethylene bottles. Prior to sample digestion, all glass goods were washed with 2% HNO_3 followed by rinsing with de-ionized water and drying. Total Cr, Cd and Cu of samples were analyzed by flow injection hydride generation atomic absorption spectrophotometer (Perkin Elmer AA-400). For each sample of the digested soil and plant parts, four replicates were taken and the mean values were obtained on the basis of calculation of those replicates. All chemicals were of analytical grade, and distilled deionized water was used throughout the experiment. Standard Reference Materials (SRM) of tomato leaves (SRM 1573a) and of San Joaquin soil (SRM 2709a) from National Institute of Standards and Technology, USA were analyzed in the same procedure at the start, during and at the end of the measurement as part of the quality assurance/quality control protocol, as detailed earlier (Talukdar, 2013a).

Assessment of bioaccumulation of heavy metals

The bioaccumulation factors (BFs) represents the concentration of the same metal present in the shoots (leaves) divided by the concentration ($\text{mg kg}^{-1} \text{ DW}$) of that metal in the soil. The bioconcentration factor (BCFs) were calculated by dividing root (the first plant organ receiving metals) metal concentration with soil As concentrations. Transfer factors (TFs) were calculated from the metal concentration in aboveground parts (leaves) and rhizomes divided by metal concentration in roots. Enrichment factors (EFs) were calculated by dividing metal concentration in edible part of the plant grown in metal-contaminated soil with metal concentration in soil with little modifications (Singh *et al.*, 2010). In the present study, leaves were considered as shoot, while rhizomes and fruits were considered as edible part. The soil having extremely low concentration of above said metals has been considered as control soil.

Statistical analysis

The results presented here are the mean values \pm standard errors (SE) of at least four replicates. Multiple comparisons of means were performed by ANOVA (SPSS Inc. v. 10), and the means were separated by Duncan's Multiple Range Test considering significant differences at $P < 0.05$.

Result and Discussions

Cr accumulation in plant parts

Significant ($P < 0.05$) differences were observed between ecotypes regarding transport and bioaccumulation of Cr in different plant parts of *Monochoria vaginalis*. Considering all the six ecotypes, Cr accumulated in the highest amount in leaves, followed by rhizome, fruits and then roots. The leaves of Acc-5 the highest concentration of Cr, and it was distantly followed by Acc-4, Acc-3 and Acc-6 (Table 1). In rhizomes, highest accumulation was estimated in Acc-3, and it was followed by Acc-2, Acc-5, Acc-6, Acc-1 and Acc-4 (Table 1). In roots, highest Cr level was estimated in Acc-1, followed by Acc-3, Acc-5 and Acc-6. Lowest concentration was recorded in Acc-4 (Table 1). Root Cr level in Acc-2 was very close to Acc-6 and did not change significantly with Acc-5, also. Among the ecotypes, Cr accumulation in fruits was the highest in Acc-3 and the lowest in Acc-6 (Table 1). Rest of the ecotypes contained Cr level in between Acc-2 and Acc-1 (Table 1). Barring Acc-1, BFs were > 1.0 in rest of the five ecotypes with highest magnitude (>3.0) in Acc-4 and Acc-5, indicating significant bioaccumulation potential of Cr in photosynthetic parts of five ecotypes (Table 2). Contrastingly, BCFs value in all the six ecotypes with < 1.0 suggested little capability of roots to retain the Cr which was promptly transferred to leaves and rhizomes. This fact was further substantiated by high TFs (>1.0) of Cr in all the six ecotypes specially, Acc-4 and Acc-5 in which TFs values hovered between 20.0 and 30.0 (Table 2). Accumulation of Cr in edible parts was screened by EFs which was >1.0 in Acc-2 and Acc-3 but was <1.0 in rest four ecotypes. The results strongly indicated significant ecotype differences in accumulation pattern of Cr in different plant organs. Whereas roots were least capable to accumulate Cr, leaves and rhizomes were major storage organs of Cr. *Monochoria* leaves and rhizomes have been used as vegetables in many countries (Chandran and Parimelazhagan, 2012). However, High EFs in the present study indicated non-suitability of edible parts to be taken as vegetables grown in Cr-contaminated soil/water. Conflicting reports are available in Cr accumulation pattern in different parts of plants. Cr, the 17th most abundant element in the Earth's mantle and a non-essential element in plant growth, is reportedly accumulate predominantly in roots, followed by stems, leaves and roots in legumes and vegetables (Panda and Choudhury, 2005; Dey et al., 2009; Gopal et al., 2009) while higher root accumulation has been reported in *Lolium perenne* (Verney, 2007). Among aquatic plants, Cr

accumulation has been reported in *Salvinia minima*, *Vallisneria spiralis*, *Hydrilla verticillata*, *Pistia*, *Eichhornia*, and *Lemna* (Mishra et al., 2009; Gupta et al., 2010; Prado et al., 2010). In the present study, leaves of Acc-3, Acc-4, Acc-5 and Acc-6 accumulated Cr > 100 mg kg⁻¹, and therefore, primarily screened as Cr-hyperaccumulator.

Cd accumulation in plant parts

Cd accumulation was significantly ($P < 0.05$) higher in roots of the ecotypes than the other plant parts. Lowest accumulation was noticed in fruits. Cd accumulation in roots was the highest in Acc-5 which did not change significantly in Acc-4 but significantly higher than Acc-1, Acc-2 and Acc-3. Lowest level was observed in Acc-6 (Table 1). In the leaves, highest Cd accumulation was recorded in Acc-5 (and the value did not change significantly ($P > 0.05$) in Acc-4. In comparison, Cd accumulation in roots was significantly lower in rest of the four ecotypes with lowest accumulation was recorded in Acc-2 (10.39 mg kg⁻¹) and the values did not change significantly in Acc-1, Acc-3 and Acc-6 (Table 1). On the other hand, significant differences were observed among the ecotypes in Cd accumulation in rhizomes. Cd accumulation in rhizome was the highest in Acc-1 and it was distantly followed by Acc-5, Acc-4, Acc-6, Acc-3 and Acc-2 (Table 1). Cd concentration in fruits was the highest in Acc-1 but the lowest in Acc-4 and varied significantly among the six ecotypes (Table 1). BFs were >1.0 in Acc-1, Acc-2, Acc-3 and Acc-6 and crossed 3.0 mark in Acc-4 and Acc-5 while BCFs were >1.0 in Acc-1, Acc-2, Acc-3 and Acc-6 but considerably enhanced (>8.0) in Acc-4 and crossed 10.0 mark in Acc-5 (Table 2). The results pointed out major sequestration of soil Cd in roots and leaves of *Monochoria* ecotypes which prevented Cd to be further uploaded in edible parts. The fact was supported by the <1.0 TFs as well as EFs in all the six ecotypes (Table 2). The EFs = >1.0 in Acc-5 was due to significantly higher accumulation of Cd in its rhizomatous part and also in fruits compared to other ecotypes (Table 1, 2). Cd is a non-essential non-redox-active metal element for plant metabolism even though it is rapidly taken up by plant roots and can be loaded into the xylem for its transport into the leaves (Mobin and Khan, 2007; Talukdar, 2014b). The ecotypes differed significantly ($P < 0.05$) in all the four bioaccumulation factors considered here (Table 2). The toxicity of Cd accumulation is manifested in Cd-sensitive plants by poor growth, leaf injury and low biomass accumulation through interference in several metabolic processes (Ortega-Villasante et al., 2005; Hasan et al., 2009; Siddiqui et al., 2012; Talukdar, 2014b). No external injury was visible in any of the ecotypes of present *Monochoria* sp, indicating apparent tolerance and phytoremediation potential of *Monochoria vaginalis* in Cd-contaminated soils and water.

Table 1: Chromium (Cr), cadmium (Cd) and copper (Cu) concentrations (mg kg⁻¹) in six ecotypes of *Monochoria vaginalis*

Sample	Cr	Cd	Cu
S1	79.32 ± 0. 21a	9.48 ± 0. 17a	30.86 ± 0. 14a
S2	48.28 ± 0. 17c	6.95 ± 0. 09c	27.31 ± 0. 12a
S3	64.05 ± 0. 26b	8.61 ± 0. 07b	27.68 ± 0. 13a
S4	56.07 ± 0. 19c	6.50 ± 0. 06c	23.51 ± 0. 10a
S5	50.67 ± 0. 20c	5.43 ± 0. 02d	25.96 ± 0. 13a
S6	62.34 ± 0. 22 b	9.63 ± 0. 06a	22.92 ± 0. 18a
Leaves			
Acc-1	74.74 ± 0. 18e	11.34 ± 0. 06b	13.40 ± 0. 10b
Acc-2	82.90 ± 0. 21d	10.39 ± 0. 05b	11.68 ± 0. 03c
Acc-3	108.19 ± 0. 24c	11.25 ± 0. 04b	19.07 ± 0. 08b
Acc-4	169.23 ± 0. 27b	23.85 ± 0. 11a	35.27 ± 0. 10a
Acc-5	205.56 ± 0. 31a	25.28 ± 0. 12a	47.64 ± 0. 16a
Acc-6	104.93 ± 0. 22c	11.93 ± 0. 07b	14.12 ± 0. 08c
Rhizome			
Acc-1	15.23 ± 0. 09d	7.48 ± 0. 07a	31.44 ± 0. 12c
Acc-2	42.70 ± 0. 09b	0.39 ± 0. 01e	43.22 ± 0. 18b
Acc-3	54.19 ± 0. 12a	1.31 ± 0. 04d	29.17 ± 0. 11c
Acc-4	9.89 ± 0. 07e	3.18 ± 0. 11c	41.19 ± 0. 14b
Acc-5	29.19 ± 0. 13c	5.37 ± 0. 12b	58.64 ± 0. 22a
Acc-6	17.22 ± 0. 10d	1.93 ± 0. 07d	28.12 ± 0. 18c
Roots			
Acc-1	20.48 ± 0.16a	29.11 ± 0. 07b	10.41 ± 0.09a
Acc-2	8.19 ± 0.09b	32.21 ± 0. 08b	3.51 ± 0. 11c
Acc-3	18.19 ± 0.13a	31.23 ± 0. 14b	3.35 ± 0. 07c
Acc-4	5.81 ± 0. 05c	53.85 ± 0. 18a	6.18 ± 0. 08b
Acc-5	10.98 ± 0. 08b	55.28 ± 0. 19a	0.37 ± 0. 02e
Acc-6	8.88 ± 0. 27b	19.26 ± 0. 07c	1.17 ± 0. 07d
Fruits			
Acc-1	11.23 ± 0. 07a	0.48 ± 0. 01a	0.33 ± 0. 01c
Acc-2	9.37 ± 0. 03b	0.41 ± 0. 01a	0.67 ± 0. 07a
Acc-3	14.19 ± 0. 12a	0.29 ± 0. 04b	0.19 ± 0. 04d
Acc-4	9.91 ± 0. 07b	0.18 ± 0. 01c	0.22 ± 0. 05d
Acc-5	13.10 ± 0. 03a	0.37 ± 0. 02a	0.43 ± 0. 04b
Acc-6	7. 22 ± 0. 10b	0.21 ± 0. 07c	0.38 ± 0. 09b

Data are means ± SE of four replicates. Means followed by different lower case letters in each column indicate significant differences by ANOVA followed by Duncan's Multiple Range Test at P < 0.05

Table 2: Bioaccumulation factors (BFs), bioconcentration factors-BCFs, transfer factors-TFs, and enrichment factors –EFs in six ecotypes of *Monochoria vaginalis*

Traits	Acc-1	Acc-2	Acc-3	Acc-4	Acc-5	Acc-6
Cr						
BFs	0.94 ± 0.03d	1.72 ± 0.06c	1.69 ± 0.08c	3.02 ± 0.10b	4.06 ± 0.15a	1.68 ± 0.05c
BCFs	0.26 ± 0.01a	0.17 ± 0.01b	0.28 ± 0.02a	0.10 ± 0.01c	0.22 ± 0.01a	0.14 ± 0.01b
TFs	4.40 ± 0.17e	15.33 ± 0.29c	8.93 ± 0.15d	30.83 ± 0.38a	21.38 ± 0.20b	13.80 ± 0.23c
EFs	0.33 ± 0.02c	1.08 ± 0.61a	1.07 ± 0.81a	0.35 ± 0.09c	0.83 ± 0.07b	0.39 ± 0.04c
Cd						
BFs	1.20 ± 0.02d	1.49 ± 0.02c	1.31 ± 0.01d	3.68 ± 0.09b	4.66 ± 0.18a	1.24 ± 0.02d
BCFs	3.07 ± 0.10c	4.63 ± 0.18b	3.63 ± 0.11c	8.30 ± 0.18a	10.18 ± 0.20a	2.00 ± 0.04d
TFs	0.65 ± 0.09a	0.33 ± 0.03c	0.40 ± 0.04c	0.50 ± 0.06b	0.55 ± 0.07b	0.72 ± 0.17a
EFs	0.84 ± 0.07b	0.12 ± 0.03e	0.20 ± 0.02d	0.52 ± 0.07c	1.06 ± 0.09a	0.22 ± 0.02d
Cu						
BFs	0.43 ± 0.01c	0.43 ± 0.01c	0.70 ± 0.04b	1.50 ± 0.07a	1.84 ± 0.04a	0.62 ± 0.01b
BCFs	0.34 ± 0.01a	0.13 ± 0.01b	0.12 ± 0.01b	0.27 ± 0.02a	0.01 ± 0.00c	0.05 ± 0.00c
TFs	4.31 ± 0.16d	15.64 ± 0.29c	14.40 ± 0.17c	12.37 ± 0.21c	287.24 ± 0.78a	36.10 ± 0.33b
EFs	1.03 ± 0.08c	1.61 ± 0.06b	1.06 ± 0.06c	1.76 ± 0.07b	2.28 ± 0.10a	1.24 ± 0.06c

Data are means ± SE of four replicates. Means (rows) followed by different lower case letters indicate significant differences by ANOVA followed by Duncan's Multiple Range Test at P < 0.05

Pattern of Cu accumulation in plant parts

Cu accumulated in highest amount in rhizomes, followed by leaves, roots and fruits and significantly varied among ecotypes (Table 1). Cu accumulation in rhizomes was the highest in Acc-5 and it differed significantly with accumulation in rest of the ecotypes. Acc-2 and Acc-4 both

accumulated significantly lower Cu accumulation than Acc-5 but in significantly higher amount than Acc-1, Acc-3 and Acc-6 (Table 1). In the leaves of six ecotypes, Cu level was the maximum in Acc-5 but was minimum in Acc-2 (Table 1). Intermediate level was found in rest of the ecotypes but

they differed significantly with each other in leaf Cu level. Highest Cu accumulation in roots was recorded in Acc-1, and it was distantly followed by Acc-4 (Table 1). Significantly lower Cu level than Acc-4 was observed in Acc-2 and Acc-3, and the lowest value was measured in Acc-5. In fruits, Cu level varied between Acc-2 and Acc-3 and the ecotypes differed significantly for Cu level of fruits (Table 1). The BFs were > 1.0 in Acc-4 and Acc-5 but plummeted below 1.0 in rest of the ecotypes. BCFs, in contrast, were <1.0 in all the six ecotypes with extremely low accumulation factor value (0.01-0.05) in Acc-5 and Acc-6 (Table 2). The TFs varied greatly among ecotypes, ranging between 4.31 (Acc-1) and 287.24 (Acc-5) while EFs > 1.0 in all the six ecotypes. The results pointed out incapability of roots to retain soil Cu which was promptly transferred to photosynthetic as well as to edible parts. Significantly enough, Acc-4 and Acc-5 stored substantial amount of Cu not only in their leaves but also in rhizomes and fruits. In other ecotypes, major Cu accumulation occurred in rhizomes, instead of leaves, resulting in lowering of BFs but incited high TFs and EFs in Acc-1, Acc-2, Acc-3 and Acc-6 (Table 2). The results also suggested strong differences among ecotypes in Cu accumulation pattern in their organs. Cu is a redox active essential metal in plant growth but its high bioavailability causes major upset in redox-homeostasis through accumulation in plant parts, as observed in *Canna indica* plant, a major partner plant of *Monochoria* in contaminated soil and water (Talukdar, 2013b), and in other aquatic macrophytes and crop plants (Umebese and Motajo, 2008; Kousar and Puttaiah, 2009; Kumar *et al.*, 2012; Talukdar, 2014c). Absence of any visible injury of chlorosis and/or necrosis in any plant organ suggested tolerance and possible phytoremediation and pollution biomonitoring potential of *Monochoria* but at the same time toxicity test is necessary to introduce *M. vaginalis* as a potential food supplement in future.

In the present study, bioaccumulation potential of six ecotypes in *Monochoria vaginalis* has been tested based on Cr, Cd and Cu accumulation pattern in different plant organs. Accumulation pattern greatly differed among ecotypes, primarily indicating genotypic roles in determining heavy metal accumulation by this aggressive aquatic/semi-aquatic macrophyte. Furthermore, it is evident from the present study that accumulation pattern in plant organs is greatly metal-specific. For example, Cr and Cu predominantly accumulated in aboveground parts and rhizomes while Cd was sequestered in roots. The ecological differences in any tropical plants often change over multiple spatial scales (Jayakumar and Nair, 2012; Joshi *et al.*, 2015). The environment and its heterogeneity, and environment and genotypic interaction, like its spreading and tolerance level to different environmental factors are some of the factors influencing diversity of ecotypes (Aravind *et al.*, 2010). In the present study, potential plant

parts in *M. vaginalis* has been identified as bioaccumulation organ without any apparent symptoms of toxicity in lower Indo-Gangetic basin of India. However, to what extent these ecotypes can be used in phytoremediation process and how genotypes are determining factors in defining bioaccumulation potential, further study is needed to decipher it.

Acknowledgement

Both authors are thankful to Department of Environmental Science, University of Kalyani, Kalyani, West Bengal, India for their technical assistance during the period of study.

References

- Aravind NA, Rao D, Ganeshiah KN, Uma Shaanker R and Poulsen JG (2010) Impact of the invasive plant, *Lantana camara*, on bird assemblages at Malé Mahadeshwara Reserve Forest, South India. *Trop. Ecol.* **51**: 325-338.
- Bhuyan R, Anandhan R and Kavitha V (2014) Qualitative and quantitative analysis of fish tissue of *Oreochromis mossambicus* collected from Kedilam river, Cuddalore, Tamil Nadu, India. *Int. J. Appl. Sci. Biotechnol.* **2**: 135-141. DOI: 10.3126/ijasbt.v2i2.10141
- Biswas S, Maity M, Bhandari G, Batabyal R, Patra J, Bhuiya A, Ojha B, Halder N and Talukdar D (2014) Floral diversity and ecology in Kalyani area of Nadia district, West Bengal, India. *Plant Science Today* **2**: 38-42. DOI: 10.14719/pst.2015.2.1.88
- Buragohain BB and Yasmin F (2014) Biomonitoring of pollution monitoring by microalgae community in aquatic system with special reference to water quality of river Kolong, Nagaon, Assam, India. *Int. J. Appl. Sci. Biotechnol.* **2**: 45-49. DOI: 10.3126/ijasbt.v2i1.9345
- Chandran R and Parimelazhagan T (2012) Nutritional assessment of *Monochoria vaginalis*, a wild edible vegetable supplement to the human diet. *International Journal of Vegetable Science* **18**:199-202. DOI: 10.1080/19315260.2011.608115
- Dey SK, Jena PP and Kundu S (2009) Antioxidative efficiency of *Triticum aestivum* L. exposed to chromium stress. *J. Environ. Biol.* **30**: 539-544. <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20120493>
- Gopal R, Rizvi AH and Nautiyal N (2009) Chromium alters iron nutrition and water relations of spinach. *J. Plant Nutr.* **32**: 1551-1559. DOI: 10.1080/01904160903094313
- Gupta K, Gaumat S and Mishra KumKum (2010) Chromium accumulation in submerged aquatic plants treated with tannery effluent at Kanpur, India. *J. Environ. Biol.* **32**:591-597.
- Gupta MK, Savadi RV, Manjunath KP, Akki KS, Bhandarkar AV and Sholapur HN (2008) Pharmacognostical investigation roots of *Monochoria vaginalis* presL. *Anc. Sci. Life.* **28**: 7-9. <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22557303>
- Hariyadi, Yanuwadi B, Polii B and Soemarno (2013) Phytoremediation of arsenic from geothermal power plant

- waste water using *Monochoria vaginalis*, *Salvinia molesta* and *Colocasia esculenta*. *Int. J. BioSc.* **3**: 104-111.
- Hasan AS, Fariduddin Q, Ali B, Hayat S and Ahmad A (2009) Cadmium: Toxicity and tolerance in plants. *J. Environ. Biol.* **30**:165-174.
- Jayakumar R and Nair KKN (2012) Beta diversity of angiosperms in the tropical forests of Nilgiri Biosphere Reserve, India. *Trop. Ecol.* **53**: 125-136.
- Joshi AA, Mudappa D and Shankar Raman TR (2015) Invasive alien species in relation to edges and forest structure in tropical rainforest fragments of the Western Ghats. *Trop. Ecol.* **56**: 233-244.
- Juraimi MS, Ahmad-Hamdani, Anuar AR, Azmi M, Anwar MP and Kamal Uddin M (2012) Effect of water regimes on germination of weed seeds in a Malaysian rice field. *Aus. J. Crop Sci.* **6**: 598-605.
- Kousar H and Puttaiah ET (2009) Application of *Trapa bipinosa* for the treatment of pulp and paper industry effluent. *J. Environ. Biol.* **30**: 659-661. <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20136044>
- Kumar N, Baudh K, Dwivedi N, Barman SC and Singh DP (2012) Accumulation of metals in selected macrophytes grown in mixture of drain water and tannery effluent and their phytoremediation potential. *J. Environ. Biol.* **33**: 923-927. <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23734460>
- Liu J, Dong Y, Xu H, Wang D and Xu J (2007) Accumulation of Cd, Pb and Zn by 19 wetland plant species in constructed wetland. *J. Hazard Mater.* **147**: 947-953. DOI: 10.1016/j.jhazmat.2007.01.125
- Mahmud R, Inoue N, Kasajima S and Shaheen R (2008) Assessment of Potential Indigenous Plant Species for the Phytoremediation of Arsenic-Contaminated Areas of Bangladesh. *Int. J. Phytoremediation* **10**: 119-132. DOI: 10.1080/15226510801913884
- Mishra K, Gupta K and Rai UN (2009) Bioconcentration and phytotoxicity of chromium in *Eichhornia crassipes*. *J. Environ. Biol.* **30**: 521-526.
- Mobin M and Khan NA (2007) Photosynthetic activity, pigment composition and antioxidative response of two mustard (*Brassica juncea*) cultivars differing in photosynthetic capacity subjected to cadmium stress. *J. Plant Physiol.* **164**: 601-610. DOI: 10.1016/j.jplph.2006.03.003
- Mukherjee T, Ghosh A and Maitra S (2014) Estimation of plant growth promoting potential of two nickel accumulating isolates obtained from river Hooghly on Indian yellow mustard (*Brassica hirta*). *Int. J. Appl. Sci. Biotechnol.* **2**: 413-419. DOI: 10.3126/ijasbt.v2i4.11107
- Ortega-Villasante C, Rellán-Álvarez ZZ, Del Campo FF, Carpena-Ruiz RO and Hernández LE (2005) Cellular damage induced by Cd and mercury in *Medicago sativa*. *J. Exp. Bot.* **56**: 2239-2251. DOI: 10.1093/jxb/eri223
- Ozdilek HG, Mathisen PP and Pellegrino D (2007) Distribution of heavy metals in vegetation surrounding the Blackstone River, USA: Considerations regarding sediment contamination and long term metals transport in freshwater riverine ecosystems. *J. Environ. Biol.* **28**: 493-502. <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17929772>
- Panda SK and Choudhury S (2005) Chromium stress in plants. *Braz. J. Plant Physiol.* **17**: 95-102. DOI: 10.1590/S1677-04202005000100008
- Prado C, Rodríguez-Montelongo L, González JA, Pagano EA, Hilal M and Prado FE (2010) Uptake of chromium by *Salvinia minima*: effect on plant growth, leaf respiration and carbohydrate metabolism. *J. Hazard. Mater.* **177**: 546-553. DOI: 10.1016/j.jhazmat.2009.12.067
- Rai PK (2008) Heavy metal pollution in aquatic ecosystems and its phytoremediation using wetland plants: an ecosustainable approach. *Int. J. Phytoremediation* **10**:131-158. DOI: 10.1080/15226510801913918
- Regaldo L, Gagnetten AM, and Troiani H (2009) Accumulation of chromium and interaction with other elements in *Chlorella vulgaris* (Clorophyceae) and *Daphnia magna* (Crustacea, Cladocera). *J. Environ. Biol.* **30**: 213-216.
- Roy BK, Prasad R and Gunjan (2010) Heavy metal accumulation and changes in metabolic parameters in *Cajanas cajan* grown in mine spoil. *J. Environ. Biol.* **31**: 567-573.
- Shrestha RK, Regmi D and Kafle BP (2014) Seasonal variation of arsenic concentration in ground water of Nawalparasi district of Nepal. *Int. J. Appl. Sci. Biotechnol.* **2**: 59-63. DOI: 10.3126/ijasbt.v2i1.9477
- Siddiqui MH, Al-Wahaibi MH, Sakran AM, Basalah MO and Ali HM (2012) Effect of calcium and potassium on antioxidant system of *Vicia faba* L. under cadmium stress. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* **13**: 6604-6619. DOI: 10.3390/ijms13066604
- Talukdar D (2012) Exogenous calcium alleviates the impact of cadmium-induced oxidative stress in *Lens culinaris* Medic. seedlings through modulation of antioxidant enzyme activities. *J. Crop Sci. Biotech.* **15**: 325-334. DOI: 10.1007/s12892-012-0065-3
- Talukdar D (2013a) Bioaccumulation and transport of arsenic in different genotypes of lentil (*Lens culinaris* Medik). *Int. J. Pharma BioSci.* **4**: (B) 694 – 701.
- Talukdar D (2013b) Studies on antioxidant enzymes in *Canna indica* plant under copper stress. *J. Environ. Biol.* **34**: 93-98. <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24006813>
- Talukdar D (2013c) Allelopathic effects of *Lantana camara* L. on *Lathyrus sativus* L.: Oxidative imbalance and cytogenetic consequences. *Allelopathy J.* **31**: 71-90.
- Talukdar D (2013d) Plant growth and leaf antioxidant metabolism of four elite grass pea (*Lathyrus sativus*) genotypes, differing in arsenic tolerance. *Agric. Res.* **2**: 330-339. DOI: 10.1007/s40003-013-0085-3
- Talukdar D (2014a) Differential morpho-agronomic and physiological responses of grass pea (*Lathyrus sativus* L.) and lentil (*Lens culinaris* Medik) genotypes to arsenic. *Biochem. Mol. Biol.* **2**:7-16. DOI: 10.12966/bmb.03.02.2014

- Talukdar D (2014b) Increasing nuclear ploidy enhances the capability of antioxidant defense and reduces chromotoxicity in *Lathyrus sativus* roots under cadmium stress. *Turk. J. Bot.* **38**: 696-712. DOI: 10.3906/bot-1310-9
- Talukdar D (2014c) Nuclearploidy level variation in antioxidant potential of multipurpose legume *Lathyrus sativus* L. under copper stress. *IIOAB J.* **5**: 1-5.
- Talukdar D (2014d) A common bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris*) mutant with constitutively low cysteine desulfhydrase activity exhibits growth inhibition but uniquely shows tolerance to arsenate stress. *Env. Exp. Biol.* **12**: 73-81.
- Talukdar T and Talukdar D (2013) Response of antioxidative enzymes to arsenic-induced phytotoxicity in leaves of a medicinal daisy, *Wedelia chinensis* Merrill. *J. Nat. Sc. Biol. Med.* **4**: 383-388. DOI: 10.4103/0976-9668.116989
- Umebese CE and Motajo AF (2008) Accumulation, tolerance and impact of aluminium, copper and zinc on growth and nitrate reductase activity of *Ceratophyllum demersum* (Hornwort). *J. Environ. Biol.* **29**: 197-200. <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18831374>
- Vernay P, Gauthier-Moussard C and Hitmi A (2007) Interaction of bioaccumulation of heavy metal chromium with water relation, mineral nutrition and photosynthesis in developed leaves of *Lolium perenne* L. *Chemosphere* **68**: 1563–1575. DOI: 10.1016/j.chemosphere.2007.02.052