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#### Abstract

The study was conducted to evaluate phenotypic variation in one hundred and sixty six wheat landraces from mid and far western districts of Nepal. They were sown in randomized complete block design with two replications at National Wheat Research Program in 2014/15. The observed traits were analyzed using descriptive statistics and multivariate analysis using MINITAB v. 14. The results showed a wide range of phenotypic variability in observed parameters. The results also showed that the highest value of the standard deviation from mean (Sd) was for grain yield ( $\pm 290.10$ ) followed by plant height $( \pm 7.21)$. Among the traits the lowest deviation from mean (Sd) was for thousand grain weight TGW ( $\pm 2.68$ ). Wheat landraces grouped in four clusters depending on similarity of the studied traits. The results in this cluster, showed that days to maturity ranged from 97 tol11 days, TGW ranged from 16 to17 gm, plant height ranged from 76 to 85 cm , and grain yield ranged from 2800 to $3000 \mathrm{Kg} \mathrm{ha}^{-1}$. Wheat landraces under study are grouped depending on specific traits useful for wheat improvement program. Results of this study can be supportive to detect wheat landraces within species with similar traits. In addition it can be useful for sampling in successive studies and parental selection in wheat breeding program.
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## Introduction

Globally, wheat is grown in 217 million hectares with production of 671 million tons (FAO, 2013). China ranks on the top in wheat production ( 120 M tons) followed by India ( 94 M tons) and USA ( 61 M tons), whereas Nepal ranks in $37^{\text {th }}$ position (FAO, 2013). Wheat ranks third important crop after rice and maize, in terms of area and production, in Nepal. Wheat is cultivated in 0.75 Mha and the productivity is $2496 \mathrm{Kg} \mathrm{ha}^{-1}$ (ABPSD, 2013/14). So far, National Wheat Research Program has developed and the National Seed Board has released around 40 wheat varieties (NWRP, 2013/14). Many wheat landraces and ten wild relatives of wheat is found in Nepal (Mudwari, 1999). Nepal has locally adapted wheat landraces with better quality but none has been used crop improvement program. Such trends lead to genetic erosion of the wheat genetic diversity. So, the conservation, characterization, promotion and use of these genetic resources are of importance.

Traditional wheat varieties developed through the evolution and human selection comprises wheat landraces, which are of wide adaptive nature. The population structure of wheat landraces is an evolutionary approach to survival and performance, especially under arid and semi-arid growing conditions. Morphological data has an important role in the management of genetic resources that are conserved in ex-situ gene-banks (Sanchez et al., 2000).

Many tools like, phylogenetic analysis, molecular markers are now available to study relationships among and between genotypes, however, the firsthand information on morphological characterization is needed in the description and classification of germplasm (Smith and Smith, 1989). The study of morphological variability is useful tool to ascertain accessions with anticipated features such as earliness, disease resistance, and yield. These traits are important in the present scenario of the changing climate context. Likewise, study on the morphological variability on maize inbred lines revealed wide morphological variations, which were good characters for hybrid and synthetics breeding program (Shrestha, 2014).

The meteorological information revealed that the temperature during flowering period exceeded $28^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in both locations (Regional Agriculture Research Station, Parwanipur and National Rice Research Program, Hardinath) and still the two lines (NL 1140 and BL 3978) performed well. Hence, these two wheat lines can be used directly as well as in the crossing program to breed more heat tolerant genotypes (Puri et al., 2015).

Multivariate analysis allows the use of all information available simultaneously. It has been used to measure the genetic association among the genotypes using morphological
characters. For example, wheat (Bekele, 1984) and Cross (1992). Principal component analysis (PCA) is a multivariate technique for analyzing relationships among several quantitative variables. It reduces the dimensionality of multivariate data by ignoring the relationships among parameters. Eigenvectors of the correlation matrix are the coefficients of the principal components. Thus, each principal component is a linear combination of the original variables (Mutsaers et al., 1997). Similarly, Cluster analysis allocates a set of individuals to a set of mutually exclusive groups such that individuals within groups are similar to one another, while individuals in different groups are dissimilar (Cruz et al., 1999). Richness on wheat cultivars and traditional varieties should be considered for collection, conservation and utilization of wheat gene pools for the crop improvement program (Joshi et al., 2006).

In-sufficient work has been done to know-how the genetic structure of wheat landraces and the interspecific variability available in the existing agro-ecosystems, still dominate in parts of the old world. Therefore, the study was focused here on characterization of landraces, phenotypically.

## Materials and Methods

## Study Site and Experiment Details

One hundred and sixty six wheat landraces conserved and were planted under randomized complete block design at National Wheat Research Program, during winter season of 2014/15. Wheat landraces were sown on $19^{\text {th }}$ December 2014. Each landrace was planted in 2 rows of 2 m length, and 25 cm row to row spacing, to assess their morphological variations. Geographically the station is located at $27^{0} 32$, North latitude and $83^{0} 25^{\prime}$ East longitude with the elevation of 104 masl. The climate is of sub-tropical type with three distinct seasons: summer, rainy and winter (NWRP, 2013/14). Fertilizer and irrigation were applied as per recommendations (Gautam et al., 2011).

Data collection and analysis
The observation was recorded for qualitative and quantitative traits. From each plot, five plants were randomly selected to collect the data, but whole plot was considered for grain and biomass yield. As, days to heading (DH), days to maturity (DM), thousand grain weight (TGW), grains per spike, plant height and grain yield. Collected data were subjected to descriptive statistics and multivariate analysis (cluster/dendrogram) was carried out using MINITAB v. 14 statistical software.

## Results and Discussion

The results of the field study (Table 1) showed that mean of days to heading 74.9, days to maturity were 74.9 and 105.68 , respectively. Also, mean of TGW, grains/spike, plant height and grain yields ( $\mathrm{Kg} \mathrm{ha}^{-1}$ ) were 19.81, 25.96, 93.06 and 2158.30, respectively. Among the studied traits, the highest value of the standard deviation from mean ( Sd ) was for grain yield ( $\pm 290.10$ ) followed by plant height ( $\pm 7.21$ ). Among the traits the lowest deviation from mean (Sd) was for TGW ( $\pm 2.68$ ). The morphological variation is due to mainly genetic factors and also subjected to environmental factors. Tahmasebi et al. (2013) also reported significant amount of variability for different morphological traits in wheat landraces. Likewise, Sokolov and Guzhva (1997) also reported significant amount of variability for different morphological traits in other crops, like in maize inbred line populations. The information on diversity and relationships among the morphological traits will be helpful to breeders in constructing their breeding populations or lines and implementing selection strategies.

Similarly, the findings of this field study (Table 1) showed that among the tested wheat landraces in terms of the days to heading was lowest (69 days) in LGP 4, LGP 42, LGP 75, LGP 77, LGP 100 and LGP 145 and highest (89 days) in LGP 153 and LGP 166. , this long duration of wheat varieties might be due to early heat during grain filling period (Mondal et al., 2013). Wheat crop growth is highly dependent upon temperature regimes and an abrupt change in temperature; which tends to speed up the growth and vegetative stages leads the plant to shift to reproductive stage within short period of time, resulting in low yield. Under such situations, wheat varieties with shorter maturity or fast grain filling rate would be desirable (Mondal et al., 2013). Earliness in days to heading thereby, to maturity could of importance in developing wheat varieties with early maturity. Likewise, thousand grain weight (TGW) was lowest ( 14 gm ) in LGP 31 and highest ( 27 gm ) in LGP 45 and LGP 90. Natural and artificial selection creates diversity in wheat landraces (Belay et al., 1995). This results in the wide genetic base and can provide immense contribution to wheat improvement program (Tesemma et al., 1998).

Table 1. Mean morphological traits of 166 wheat landraces at NWRP, Bhairahawa in 2014/15

| Code | Genotype <br> s | DH (Days) | $\begin{gathered} \text { MD } \\ \text { (Days) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { TGW } \\ & (\mathrm{gm}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Grains/spi } \\ \text { ke (No.) } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Plant height (cm) | Grain yield (Kg ha ${ }^{-1}$ ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | LGP 1 | 73 | 97 | 23 | 29 | 76.8 | 1826 |
| 2 | LGP 2 | 71 | 97 | 22 | 30 | 75.6 | 1909 |
| 3 | LGP 3 | 70 | 97 | 23 | 22 | 80.0 | 1826 |
| 4 | LGP 4 | 69 | 97 | 19 | 23 | 83.0 | 2211 |
| 5 | LGP 5 | 72 | 107 | 18 | 23 | 91.6 | 2333 |
| 6 | LGP 6 | 73 | 103 | 19 | 34 | 94.0 | 2211 |
| 7 | LGP 7 | 70 | 101 | 24 | 33 | 80.8 | 1750 |
| 8 | LGP 8 | 74 | 100 | 25 | 27 | 107.4 | 1680 |
| 9 | LGP 9 | 80 | 109 | 24 | 27 | 113.7 | 1750 |
| 10 | LGP 10 | 79 | 108 | 21 | 30 | 106.9 | 2000 |
| 11 | LGP 11 | 71 | 100 | 26 | 26 | 85.4 | 1615 |
| 12 | LGP 12 | 73 | 98 | 25 | 28 | 96.9 | 1680 |
| 13 | LGP 13 | 74 | 106 | 18 | 24 | 86.8 | 2333 |
| 14 | LGP 14 | 71 | 101 | 25 | 28 | 88.6 | 1680 |
| 15 | LGP 15 | 77 | 100 | 17 | 24 | 94.6 | 2471 |
| 16 | LGP 16 | 81 | 101 | 15 | 26 | 86.6 | 2800 |
| 17 | LGP 17 | 80 | 107 | 18 | 39 | 84.3 | 2333 |
| 18 | LGP 18 | 80 | 109 | 17 | 24 | 90.9 | 2471 |
| 19 | LGP 19 | 79 | 101 | 16 | 26 | 86.6 | 2625 |
| 20 | LGP 20 | 74 | 101 | 22 | 28 | 83.5 | 1909 |
| 21 | LGP 21 | 78 | 110 | 15 | 21 | 85.2 | 2800 |
| 22 | LGP 22 | 73 | 101 | 24 | 27 | 90.0 | 1750 |
| 23 | LGP 23 | 74 | 101 | 24 | 32 | 92.6 | 1750 |
| 24 | LGP 24 | 73 | 101 | 20 | 30 | 90.9 | 2100 |
| 25 | LGP 25 | 74 | 101 | 23 | 27 | 92.3 | 1826 |
| 26 | LGP 26 | 74 | 100 | 22 | 38 | 93.2 | 1909 |
| 27 | LGP 27 | 78 | 110 | 24 | 19 | 98.1 | 1750 |
| 28 | LGP 28 | 74 | 101 | 22 | 22 | 91.9 | 1909 |
| 29 | LGP 29 | 73 | 100 | 19 | 26 | 91.0 | 2211 |
| 30 | LGP 30 | 71 | 99 | 19 | 28 | 90.6 | 2211 |
| 31 | LGP 31 | 81 | 109 | 14 | 23 | 91.7 | 3000 |
| 32 | LGP 32 | 74 | 99 | 18 | 33 | 84.9 | 2333 |
| 33 | LGP 33 | 71 | 98 | 20 | 27 | 83.1 | 2100 |
| 34 | LGP 34 | 74 | 97 | 16 | 29 | 84.9 | 2625 |
| 35 | LGP 35 | 76 | 98 | 18 | 34 | 84.8 | 2333 |
| 36 | LGP 36 | 79 | 110 | 18 | 23 | 87.3 | 2333 |
| 37 | LGP 37 | 71 | 108 | 23 | 25 | 89.3 | 1826 |
| 38 | LGP 38 | 75 | 101 | 17 | 30 | 100.9 | 2471 |
| 39 | LGP 39 | 74 | 100 | 18 | 25 | 95.7 | 2333 |
| 40 | LGP 40 | 71 | 99 | 21 | 27 | 101.6 | 2000 |
| 41 | LGP 41 | 73 | 106 | 21 | 27 | 99.7 | 2000 |


| Code | Genotype <br> s | DH (Days) | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{MD} \\ \text { (Days) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { TGW } \\ & (\mathrm{gm}) \end{aligned}$ | Grains/spi ke (No.) | Plant Height (cm) | Grain yield ( $\mathrm{Kg} \mathrm{ha}^{-1}$ ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 42 | LGP 42 | 69 | 101 | 23 | 26 | 101.1 | 1826 |
| 43 | LGP 43 | 75 | 101 | 20 | 27 | 99.9 | 2100 |
| 44 | LGP 44 | 73 | 99 | 20 | 34 | 98.8 | 2100 |
| 45 | LGP 45 | 70 | 107 | 27 | 39 | 91.4 | 1556 |
| 46 | LGP 46 | 70 | 107 | 20 | 24 | 90.6 | 2100 |
| 47 | LGP 47 | 73 | 109 | 17 | 21 | 95.3 | 2471 |
| 48 | LGP 48 | 74 | 110 | 18 | 30 | 104.6 | 2333 |
| 49 | LGP 49 | 75 | 111 | 24 | 28 | 95.7 | 1750 |
| 50 | LGP 50 | 73 | 104 | 22 | 31 | 94.6 | 1909 |
| 51 | LGP 51 | 73 | 106 | 19 | 27 | 92.9 | 2211 |
| 52 | LGP 52 | 74 | 109 | 19 | 23 | 94.9 | 2211 |
| 53 | LGP 53 | 73 | 105 | 22 | 34 | 95.7 | 1909 |
| 54 | LGP 54 | 74 | 107 | 22 | 26 | 95.6 | 1909 |
| 55 | LGP 55 | 74 | 105 | 24 | 21 | 97.4 | 1750 |
| 56 | LGP 56 | 75 | 110 | 21 | 22 | 100.0 | 2000 |
| 57 | LGP 57 | 72 | 105 | 21 | 30 | 94.2 | 2000 |
| 58 | LGP 58 | 72 | 104 | 19 | 23 | 97.0 | 2211 |
| 59 | LGP 59 | 73 | 105 | 23 | 24 | 97.1 | 1826 |
| 60 | LGP 60 | 72 | 105 | 24 | 28 | 90.9 | 1750 |
| 61 | LGP 61 | 73 | 105 | 22 | 34 | 96.1 | 1909 |
| 62 | LGP 62 | 71 | 107 | 20 | 35 | 87.7 | 2100 |
| 63 | LGP 63 | 74 | 107 | 20 | 37 | 95.6 | 2100 |
| 64 | LGP 64 | 70 | 106 | 21 | 31 | 98.1 | 2000 |
| 65 | LGP 65 | 74 | 107 | 22 | 35 | 97.4 | 1909 |
| 66 | LGP 66 | 75 | 109 | 20 | 24 | 104.6 | 2100 |
| 67 | LGP 67 | 74 | 108 | 20 | 29 | 101.4 | 2100 |
| 68 | LGP 68 | 70 | 107 | 20 | 35 | 90.0 | 2100 |
| 69 | LGP 69 | 72 | 105 | 22 | 29 | 91.9 | 1909 |
| 70 | LGP 70 | 72 | 102 | 20 | 26 | 92.3 | 2100 |
| 71 | LGP 71 | 79 | 108 | 20 | 30 | 93.8 | 2100 |
| 72 | LGP 72 | 72 | 107 | 22 | 31 | 83.6 | 1909 |
| 73 | LGP 73 | 75 | 106 | 20 | 20 | 91.6 | 2100 |
| 74 | LGP 74 | 78 | 108 | 19 | 40 | 91.6 | 2211 |
| 75 | LGP 75 | 69 | 102 | 20 | 33 | 80.9 | 2100 |
| 76 | LGP 76 | 74 | 108 | 17 | 38 | 86.7 | 2471 |
| 77 | LGP 77 | 69 | 105 | 17 | 15 | 77.6 | 2471 |
| 78 | LGP 78 | 73 | 106 | 16 | 19 | 83.1 | 2625 |
| 79 | LGP 79 | 73 | 109 | 20 | 23 | 90.3 | 2100 |
| 80 | LGP 80 | 72 | 107 | 23 | 21 | 89.1 | 1826 |
| 81 | LGP 81 | 74 | 109 | 23 | 26 | 93.5 | 1826 |


| 82 | LGP 82 | 74 | 109 | 21 | 30 | 91.1 | 2000 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Code | Genotype $\mathrm{s}$ | DH (Days) | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{MD} \\ \text { (Days) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { TGW } \\ & (\mathrm{gm}) \end{aligned}$ | Grains/spi ke (No.) | Plant height (cm) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Grain yield } \\ \left(\mathrm{Kg} \mathrm{ha}^{-1}\right) \end{gathered}$ |
| 83 | LGP 83 | 74 | 109 | 23 | 35 | 93.2 | 1826 |
| 84 | LGP 84 | 75 | 107 | 20 | 29 | 87.1 | 2100 |
| 85 | LGP 85 | 74 | 109 | 19 | 27 | 90.9 | 2211 |
| 86 | LGP 86 | 76 | 109 | 23 | 33 | 96.4 | 1826 |
| 87 | LGP 87 | 75 | 110 | 20 | 30 | 99.9 | 2100 |
| 88 | LGP 88 | 74 | 110 | 20 | 40 | 105.2 | 2100 |
| 89 | LGP 89 | 74 | 110 | 25 | 24 | 103.3 | 1680 |
| 90 | LGP 90 | 74 | 109 | 27 | 32 | 87.1 | 1556 |
| 91 | LGP 91 | 72 | 109 | 21 | 24 | 90.3 | 2000 |
| 92 | LGP 92 | 73 | 109 | 21 | 24 | 98.4 | 2000 |
| 93 | LGP 93 | 76 | 108 | 23 | 26 | 91.4 | 1826 |
| 94 | LGP 94 | 74 | 106 | 15 | 33 | 75.5 | 2800 |
| 95 | LGP 95 | 78 | 109 | 25 | 24 | 97.8 | 1680 |
| 96 | LGP 96 | 73 | 105 | 20 | 21 | 90.9 | 2100 |
| 97 | LGP 97 | 75 | 107 | 17 | 28 | 89.6 | 2471 |
| 98 | LGP 98 | 81 | 107 | 19 | 21 | 95.9 | 2211 |
| 99 | LGP 99 | 72 | 106 | 17 | 26 | 85.6 | 2471 |
| 100 | LGP 100 | 69 | 102 | 23 | 24 | 94.9 | 1826 |
| 101 | LGP 101 | 73 | 102 | 17 | 24 | 86.6 | 2471 |
| 102 | LGP 102 | 71 | 107 | 18 | 24 | 94.6 | 2333 |
| 103 | LGP 103 | 78 | 108 | 20 | 23 | 98.1 | 2100 |
| 104 | LGP 104 | 76 | 108 | 18 | 29 | 101.9 | 2333 |
| 105 | LGP 105 | 73 | 107 | 20 | 28 | 98.8 | 2100 |
| 106 | LGP 106 | 71 | 104 | 19 | 30 | 88.2 | 2211 |
| 107 | LGP 107 | 75 | 108 | 15 | 27 | 99.6 | 2800 |
| 108 | LGP 108 | 73 | 104 | 17 | 19 | 91.8 | 2471 |
| 109 | LGP 109 | 75 | 109 | 20 | 27 | 91.6 | 2100 |
| 110 | LGP 110 | 79 | 103 | 17 | 27 | 100.0 | 2471 |
| 111 | LGP 111 | 73 | 102 | 16 | 26 | 89.1 | 2625 |
| 112 | LGP 112 | 72 | 102 | 17 | 27 | 96.5 | 2471 |
| 113 | LGP 113 | 75 | 107 | 16 | 19 | 97.3 | 2625 |
| 114 | LGP 114 | 78 | 109 | 17 | 32 | 91.6 | 2471 |
| 115 | LGP 115 | 75 | 107 | 17 | 27 | 91.4 | 2471 |
| 116 | LGP 116 | 71 | 102 | 19 | 26 | 100.0 | 2211 |
| 117 | LGP 117 | 74 | 105 | 20 | 33 | 98.0 | 2100 |
| 118 | LGP 118 | 71 | 102 | 20 | 22 | 89.6 | 2100 |
| 119 | LGP 119 | 75 | 105 | 18 | 24 | 96.5 | 2333 |
| 120 | LGP 120 | 76 | 104 | 17 | 26 | 92.3 | 2471 |
| 121 | LGP 121 | 78 | 110 | 17 | 21 | 99.3 | 2471 |
| 122 | LGP 122 | 78 | 108 | 17 | 15 | 90.9 | 2471 |
| 123 | LGP 123 | 77 | 110 | 19 | 16 | 94.2 | 2211 |


| 124 LGP 124 |  | 76 | 108 | 19 | 26 | 89.6 | 2211 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Code | Genotypes | $\begin{gathered} \text { DH } \\ \text { (Days) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { MD } \\ \text { (Days) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { TGW } \\ (\mathrm{gm}) \end{gathered}$ | Grains/spik e (No.) | Plant height (cm) | Grain yield ( $\mathrm{Kg} \mathrm{ha}^{-1}$ ) |
| 125 | LGP 125 | 77 | 105 | 20 | 22 | 96.4 | 2100 |
| 126 | LGP 126 | 81 | 110 | 20 | 20 | 97.5 | 2100 |
| 127 | LGP 127 | 75 | 110 | 23 | 21 | 81.9 | 1826 |
| 128 | LGP 128 | 78 | 111 | 21 | 14 | 91.1 | 2000 |
| 129 | LGP 129 | 83 | 111 | 17 | 20 | 90.0 | 2471 |
| 130 | LGP 130 | 79 | 109 | 20 | 36 | 95.4 | 2100 |
| 131 | LGP 131 | 75 | 106 | 17 | 26 | 91.3 | 2471 |
| 132 | LGP 132 | 77 | 106 | 17 | 24 | 95.0 | 2471 |
| 133 | LGP 133 | 77 | 104 | 19 | 24 | 92.2 | 2211 |
| 134 | LGP 134 | 78 | 109 | 17 | 22 | 100.9 | 2471 |
| 135 | LGP 135 | 75 | 102 | 15 | 26 | 95.9 | 2800 |
| 136 | LGP 136 | 76 | 104 | 19 | 22 | 94.8 | 2211 |
| 137 | LGP 137 | 77 | 108 | 20 | 24 | 94.8 | 2100 |
| 138 | LGP 138 | 75 | 107 | 20 | 23 | 102.1 | 2100 |
| 139 | LGP 139 | 77 | 107 | 20 | 25 | 104.1 | 2100 |
| 140 | LGP 140 | 78 | 106 | 22 | 25 | 102.4 | 1909 |
| 141 | LGP 141 | 82 | 109 | 23 | 15 | 109.6 | 1826 |
| 142 | LGP 142 | 82 | 110 | 24 | 18 | 115.8 | 1750 |
| 143 | LGP 143 | 76 | 109 | 20 | 29 | 96.4 | 2100 |
| 144 | LGP 144 | 70 | 102 | 20 | 40 | 102.2 | 2100 |
| 145 | LGP 145 | 69 | 104 | 20 | 29 | 99.3 | 2100 |
| 146 | LGP 146 | 83 | 108 | 18 | 25 | 101.5 | 2333 |
| 147 | LGP 147 | 80 | 109 | 22 | 20 | 91.4 | 1909 |
| 148 | LGP 148 | 74 | 108 | 19 | 29 | 91.6 | 2211 |
| 149 | LGP 149 | 74 | 109 | 17 | 23 | 101.5 | 2471 |
| 150 | LGP 150 | 78 | 107 | 20 | 29 | 105.9 | 2100 |
| 151 | LGP 151 | 76 | 106 | 20 | 31 | 94.2 | 2100 |
| 152 | LGP 152 | 77 | 107 | 20 | 19 | 100.0 | 2100 |
| 153 | LGP 153 | 85 | 110 | 17 | 21 | 105.0 | 2471 |
| 154 | LGP 154 | 77 | 111 | 18 | 24 | 89.5 | 2333 |
| 155 | LGP 155 | 78 | 111 | 18 | 14 | 82.6 | 2333 |
| 156 | LGP 156 | 76 | 109 | 21 | 18 | 82.4 | 2000 |
| 157 | LGP 157 | 78 | 107 | 17 | 17 | 88.1 | 2471 |
| 158 | LGP 158 | 77 | 107 | 17 | 22 | 91.4 | 2471 |
| 159 | LGP 159 | 75 | 106 | 19 | 21 | 93.5 | 2211 |
| 160 | LGP 160 | 74 | 105 | 19 | 20 | 92.3 | 2211 |
| 161 | LGP 161 | 83 | 109 | 16 | 21 | 87.1 | 2625 |
| 162 | LGP 162 | 83 | 108 | 17 | 15 | 92.3 | 2471 |
| 163 | LGP 163 | 80 | 109 | 16 | 11 | 82.9 | 2625 |
| 164 | LGP 164 | 75 | 107 | 17 | 24 | 76.3 | 2471 |
| 165 | LGP 165 | 74 | 102 | 18 | 22 | 78.3 | 2333 |
| 166 | LGP 166 | 85 | 111 | 18 | 17 | 81.9 | 2333 |
| Mean |  | 74.90 | 105.68 | 19.81 | 25.96 | 93.06 | 2158.30 |
| SE of Mean |  | 0.26 | 0.29 | 0.21 | 0.46 | 0.56 | 22.50 |
| Sd |  | $\pm 3.39$ | $\pm 3.70$ | $\pm 2.68$ | $\pm 5.98$ | $\pm 7.21$ | $\pm 290.10$ |
| CV (\%) |  | 4.52 | 3.50 | 13.53 | 13.03 | 7.75 | 13.44 |
| Range |  | 16 | 14 | 13 | 40 | 40.31 | 1444.40 |

$\overline{\text { SE: Standard error; Sd: Standard deviation; CV: Coefficient of variation }}$

## Cluster analysis

The clustering pattern of the wheat landraces (Figure 1), revealed that the wheat landraces showed considerable genetic diversity among themselves by forming 4 distinct clusters at $80 \%$ similarity level based on the phenotypic characters. Similar results were reported by Sonmezoglu et al., 2012. The number of wheat landraces in a cluster ranged from 44 in cluster I to 6 in cluster IV (Figure 1). Cluster I had 44 wheat landraces with early maturity (97-111 days). Wheat landraces with averaged TGW (19.81 $\pm 2.68$ ) ranging from 1621 gm were grouped into cluster II. Besides this, lower plant height ( $93.06 \pm 7.21$ ) ranging from $76-105 \mathrm{~cm}$ was grouped in cluster III. Wheat landraces with highest grain yield ( $2158.30 \pm 290.10$ ) ranging from $2800-3000\left(\mathrm{Kg} . \mathrm{ha}^{-1}\right)$ were grouped in to Cluster IV.

The greater the difference between parents in individual components of yield, the greater the progeny variance. Crossing accessions belonging to different cluster could maximize opportunities for transgressive segregation. Because there is a higher probability that unrelated genotypes would contribute unique desirable alleles at different loci (Beer et al., 1993). Therefore the grouping of landraces by multivariate method in the present study would be of practical value to wheat breeders.


Figure 1. Cluster dendrogram showing the relationship among 166 wheat landraces based on phenotypic characters (Similarity level=80\%) at NWRP, Bhairahawa, 2014/15.

Table 3. Clustering of wheat landraces $(\mathbf{N}=166)$ into four clusters based on phenotypic characterization at $80 \%$ similarity level, at NWRP, 2014/15

| Cluster I(N=44) <br> Days to maturity | Cluster II(N=82) TGW(gm) | Cluster III(N=34) <br> Plant height (cm) | Cluster IV ( $\mathrm{N}=6$ ) Grain yield (Kg.ha ${ }^{-1}$ ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & 1,3,25,59,100, \\ & 42,37,80,81,93, \\ & 83,86,127,141,2, \\ & 20,72,26,50,69, \\ & 53,61,65,54,140, \\ & 28,147,7,22,60, \\ & 23,27,55,49,9, \\ & 142,8,89,95,12, \\ & 14,11,45,90 \end{aligned}$ | 4, 6, 29, 30, 106, 51, 85, 148, $124,52,133,136,159,160$, $98,58,116,123,74,5,102$, $39,119,13,36,154,48,104$, $146,17,32,35,155,166,165$, $10,40,41,57,64,56,92,82$, 91, 128, 156, 24, 70, 46, 79, $73,96,118,84,109,43,145$, $44,63,117,130,67,87,105$, $71,151,143,66,139,138$, 150, 103, 137, 125, 152, 126, $33,75,62,68,88,144$ | $15,112,38,110$, <br> $18,158,132,97$, <br> $115,131,120,114$, <br> 47, 108, 99, 101, <br> 122, 157, 162, 129, <br> $121,134,149,153$, <br> 76, 77, 164, 19, <br> 111, 34, 78, 163, <br> 161, 113 | $\begin{aligned} & 16, \quad 21, \quad 107, \\ & 135,94,31 \end{aligned}$ |

## Conclusion

The morphological variability exists among the tested landraces are the sources for wheat breeding aspects. The varietal development from landraces is a practical strategy to improve the performance of crop in the farmers' field. The results showed that mean days to heading of the landraces were 74.9 , mean days to maturity were 105.68 . Similarly, mean TGW, grains/spike, plant height and grain yield ( $\mathrm{Kg} \mathrm{ha}^{-1}$ ) were 19.81, 25.96, 93.06 and 2158.30, respectively. Among the studied traits, the highest deviation from mean (Sd) was for grain yield ( $\pm 290.10$ ) followed by plant height $( \pm 7.21)$. Among the traits the lowest deviation from mean (Sd) was for TGW ( $\pm 2.68$ ). Clustering these accessions can be helpful to identify accessions with similar traits which can be useful for sampling in subsequent studies and parental selection in breeding program. Therefore the grouping of accessions by multivariate method in the present study would be of practical value to wheat breeders. Earliness in wheat landraces grouped in cluster I can be used to cope with the terminal heat stress issues in the terrain region of Nepal. Likewise, breeding for lower plant height, higher grain yield can also be met by using the genetic resources as identified in the study.
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