Prevalence of gastrointestinal zoonotic helminths in dogs of Kathmandu, Nepal
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INTRODUCTION: Considering the close association of dog and human beings and increasing trend of pet rearing, it is important to know the status of zoonotic helminths of pet and stray dogs and awareness of owners about this in Kathmandu, Nepal. This study was carried out to determine the prevalence of gastrointestinal zoonotic helminth parasites in dogs and to assess the awareness about canine helminth zoonoses in pet owners.

MATERIALS AND METHODS: This cross-sectional study was conducted from September-2012 to December-2013. A total of 210 fecal samples (105 each from pet and stray dogs) were collected per-rectally and examined by using Formalin-Ether Concentration method. Questionnaire survey was carried out among dog owners.

RESULTS: The prevalence of gastrointestinal helminths was 46.7% (98/210). Out of 98 positive samples five different parasite species observed were Ancylostoma spp. 52.0% (51/98), Toxocara canis 41.8% (41/98), Taenia/Echinococcus spp. 15/98 (15.3%), Dipylidium caninum, 9.2% (9/98), and Trichuris vulpis, 5.1% (5/98). Prevalence was higher in stray dogs (56.2% vs. 37.1%) (p<0.05); in females (51.6% vs. 39.8%) (p=0.05); in younger dogs up to 2 years of age (56.3% vs. 35.7%) (p<0.05); in non-dewormed dogs (72.7% vs. 33.0%) (p<0.05) and in dogs sharing rooms with owner (46.1% vs. 13.8%) (p<0.05). Only 11.4% of the owners surveyed were aware about canine helminth zoonoses.

CONCLUSIONS: Due to potential risk of zoonotic helminths to human beings and low level of zoonoses awareness in pet owners, there is need of generating awareness to pet owners regarding periodic anthelminthic treatment of pet dogs and other prevention and control measures.
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INTRODUCTION

Dogs are associated with more than 60 zoonotic diseases transmission including the helminth parasites which can pose serious public health concern worldwide. Dogs in fact, are the definite host for various intestinal parasites which can cause severe zoonotic diseases like hydatidosis caused by *Echinococcus granulosus.* The increasing trend of pet rearing and huge number of stray dogs that coexist with human dwellings in the city area of developing nations bring about great quantity of dogs’ feces to constitute a potential risk of infection for human beings. Playgrounds, parks, gardens, temples and other public places may serve as potential source of healthy dog infection as well as human infection due to contamination of these places by infected dogs’ feces. Human transmission occurs either directly through the infected dog or indirectly through consumption of contaminated foods and water. Furthermore, the low level of hygienic conditions, lack of sufficient veterinary attention and zoonotic disease awareness compounds the risk of transmission of these diseases to human.

Kathmandu is the most populous city and capital of Nepal and there is huge population of stray dogs. However, there is very limited research on canine gastrointestinal (GI) zoonotic helminths in this city. Thus the present study was aimed to determine prevalence of zoonotic gastrointestinal helminths in dogs (both stray and pet dogs) and assess the awareness of pet owners about helminths zoonoses from dogs.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

This cross-sectional study was carried out from September to December 2012 in Kathmandu district of Nepal. The district is located from 27'27"E to 27'49"E and 85'10"N to 85'32"N with an altitude ranging between 1262 m to 2732 m above the sea level. This is the capital city of Nepal, highly populous and harbors huge number of stray as well as pet dogs. Stray dogs’ fecal samples were collected from Kathmandu Animal Treatment Centre, Chapaligaun where dogs were rescued from different areas of Kathmandu district for neutering and other medicinal purposes. Pet dogs’ fecal samples were collected from Central Veterinary Hospital, Tripureshwor, Kathmandu Veterinary Clinic, Maharajgunj and by individual household visits in the uncovered areas of Kathmandu. A total of 210 fecal samples (105 from stray and 105 from pet dogs) were collected per-rectally with the gloves on hand and kept in a zip lock poly bags containing about 20 drops (1ml) of 10% formalin. Each bag was well labeled mentioning type of dog (stray or pet), age, sex, date and time of collection. The samples were then immediately taken to the Central Veterinary Laboratory, Tripureshwor for parasitological procedures. Collected fecal samples were analyzed qualitatively by using Formalin-Ether (FE) concentration method for detecting the presence of eggs of helminth parasites. Results were considered positive when at least one parasitic egg was present. Different eggs observed under 10 X and 40 X magnifications were identified to the level of genera or species possible.

A questionnaire survey was conducted to 105 dog owners whose dogs were selected in study regarding the awareness of canine helminth zoonoses. Data were analyzed by using descriptive statistics through SPSS version 19 and MS-Excel, 2007. Effect of dog type (stray or pet), sex, age, deworming pattern and defecation pattern on the zoonotic helminths prevalence was evaluated by Chi-square ($\chi^2$) test. Values of $p < 0.05$ were considered significant.

RESULTS

Out of 210 fecal samples 98 (46.7%) were positive with zoonotic helminths. There was higher occurrence of single helminth parasitic infection i.e. 79.6% (78/98) than concurrent mixed infection in 20.4% (20/98). Among stray dogs 56.2% (59/105) and in pet dogs 37.1% (39/105) were positive. There was significant difference in zoonotic helminth positivity between stray and pet dogs ($p<0.05$). Out of 98 positive samples five different helminths parasitic species were observed which is shown in graph (Figure). Of them *Ancylostoma* spp. and *Toxocara canis* were the predominant parasites and *Trichuris vulpis* was the least dominant parasites.

Figure. Species wise prevalence of GI zoonotic helminthes
Zoonotic helminth infestation was significantly higher (\(p<0.05\)) in dogs of age up to 2 years with 56.25% (63/112) than in older dogs with prevalence 35.71% (35/98). Prevalence in females was 51.6% (35/68) whereas that in males was 39.8% (35/88) but not significantly different (\(p>0.05\)) in case of pet dogs the prevalence was significantly higher (\(p<0.05\)) in non-dewormed dogs and was 72.73% (8/11) than in dewormed dogs which was 32.97% (31/94). Significantly higher (\(p<0.05\)) prevalence of 46.05% (35/76) was found in dogs which shared room with owners than the dogs which were confined in the kennel 13.8% (4/29). Likewise, prevalence was 48.15% (13/27) in pet dogs which were taken outside for defecation whereas that in which were allowed to defecate within compound premises was 33.33% (26/78). Out of 105 pet owners surveyed 79% (83/105) were aware that they can get diseases from dogs but only 11.4% (12/105) were aware of canine helminth zoonoses.

**DISCUSSION**

In Kathmandu 46.7% of dogs were found to be infected with GI zoonotic helminths. This finding is higher than one previous study finding in Nepal that revealed 41.3% prevalence.\(^9\) This finding however, was lower than findings in Tanzania\(^10\) and Ethiopia\(^11\) which were 59.3% and 90.7% respectively. Various factors like geography, diagnostic technique, demographic features and anthelmintic usage can be responsible for such variation.\(^12\) *Ancylostoma* spp. had highest prevalence followed by *Toxocara canis*, *Taenia/Echinococcus* spp., *Dipylidium caninum* and *Trichuris vulpis*. This finding is also supported by the previous studies in Nepal\(^9\), Tanzania\(^10\) and Ethiopia\(^11,13\) respectively. The infection rate was significantly higher in stray dogs (\(p<0.05\)). The lack of anthelmintic treatment is the reason for higher positivity in stray dogs. Significantly higher prevalence in younger dogs (\(p<0.05\)) might be because of underdeveloped immune system of younger dogs. This finding is in accordance with that of previous study in Nepal.\(^9\) Females were more affected than males but not significantly different. Sex wise prevalence showed higher positivity in female dogs than in males but not significant (\(p>0.05\)). Study of Ethiopia also showed similar findings.\(^14\) In case of pet dogs the prevalence was significantly higher in non-dewormed dogs (\(p<0.05\)). Deworming should be done regularly but lack of that increases the risk of parasitic infection. The finding of significantly higher infection in dogs that share room with owners than those kept in kennel (\(p<0.05\)) shows the risk existing for the pet owners through the companion dogs. This also suggests for the importance of kennel for reducing risk of zoonotic helmithosis in human beings from dogs. Higher infection rate in pet dogs taken out of home for defecation might be due to possible contact of pets with stray dogs and/or ingestion of helminths contaminated feeds during outing. Very low level of awareness was found among the pet owners regarding canine zoonotic helminths. It shows that there is need of appropriate public awareness and proper counseling program to the pet owners from governmental and other concerned organizations.

**CONCLUSIONS**

This study showed that there is high prevalence of zoonotic helmith parasite in dogs of Kathmandu whether they are stray or pet dogs. This can be a potential source of infection to other healthy dogs and human beings. The prevalence of zoonotic helminths was higher in stray dogs, in females, in younger ones and in dogs which were not dewormed. Higher infection was found in those pet dogs which were taken out of home for defecation. Despite the risk of helminths to human beings the awareness level was very low. There is need of generating awareness of pet owners regarding periodic anthelmintic treatment of pet dogs and other prevention and control measures. Further, care should be taken towards management of parasitic problems of stray dogs as well in order to reduce the public health risk.
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