ABSTRACT

INTRODUCTION: Mastitis is one among the top three threats faced by dairy farmers. The study was carried out to assess sub-clinical mastitis, management practices and associated risk factors for mastitis.

MATERIALS AND METHODS: A cross sectional study was conducted in Chandreshwor and Archalbot VDCs of Lamjung district taking 63 dairy livestock randomly each from a herd along with questionnaire survey to respective owner. Ten ml of milk sample from each quarter was taken in a sterilized syringe for further laboratory investigation. California Mastitis Test (CMT) was performed at farmer's shed. Organisms were identified based on colony characteristics, Gram's staining and various biochemical tests.

RESULTS: On CMT, subclinical mastitis was 46.1% (n=29) and 30.15% (n=76) on animal and quarter basis respectively, however, culture showed, 28.6% and 24.2%. Streptococcal mastitis was the commonest (11.1%) followed by coliform (9.5%) and staphylococcal (7.9%). Mastitis was highest in left fore quarter (34.92%) followed by left hind (31.76%), right hind (28.57%) and right fore (25.39%). Coliform & Staphylococcal mastitis was highest in left fore and right hind quarter respectively. Most of dairy animals (86%) were on zero grazing, 30% (n=19) of the farmers had forage trees and only 29% (n=18) had known about subclinical mastitis. The average milk production was 3.5 ±1.47 liters. Subclinical mastitis was associated significantly (p<0.01) with livestock yielding more than 3 lt per lactation.

CONCLUSIONS: There was high prevalence of subclinical mastitis in dairy livestock at Lamjung due to poor management, unhygienic shed, and little knowledge on subclinical mastitis.
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INTRODUCTION

Livestock production, one of the major components of Nepalese mixed farming system contribute 31% in the national Agricultural Gross Domestic Product (AGDP). Buffalo milk production contributes nearly 72% (1,031,500 MT) and cattle milk contributes nearly 28% (413,919 MT) in the fiscal year 2008/09. Total milk production in Lamjung district in the year 2008/09 was 130,999 MT with 35,19 MT cow milk and 95,80 MT buffalo milk. The total number of milking cattle and buffalo in Lamjung district were 7,991 and 19,969 in the fiscal year 2009/10 as described by report District livestock Service Office, Lamjung. Mastitis is one among the top three threats faced by the farmers in terms of economic loss, our cattle and buffaloes could definitely not stay apart. Traditional farming knowledge has further made the conception of this disease narrow and eventually the losses go unnoticed. Approximately 10% of total value of milk sales is lost each year as a result of decreased milk production, increased milk replacement cost, discarded milk, drug costs, veterinary fees and labor costs. The cost of clinical mastitis has also been estimated to be $107 US per clinical episode with over 70% of the cost associated with decreased milk production and milk withheld from the market, over 20% with drugs, veterinary costs and replacement costs, and the remainder with labor. In Nepal, according to Ng et al, the largest proportion of losses in milk results from decreased milk production i.e., Rs. 4,287 or USD 63 per buffalo per lactation. Milk loss was found 11% of average total lactation yield. Expenditure in medicine accounts 34% of the total treatment and management cost.

In most countries, surveys in dairy herds indicate that prevalence of infection of mastitis pathogens is about 50% of cows and quarter infection rate of about 25%. The average annual incidence rate of clinical mastitis, calculated as the number of clinical quarter cases per 100 cows at risk per year including the dry period in individual herds ranges from 10-12% in most herds but higher values, ranging from 16-65% occur in some herd. Dhakal et al reported the maximum numbers (16%) of clinical mastitis in July, when temperature and humidity were highest. Coagulase negative Staphylococci (CNS) such as Staphylococcus albus and S. epidermidis were the predominant organisms associated with subclinical mastitis. However, CNS and Coliforms are predominant in clinical mastitis.

Animals raised by the farmers are facing constant risk due to poor management practices, lack of risk due to poor management practices, lack of proper nutrition, disease outbreaks and several other factors. So, animal health and proper management practices are the most important components for rearing the livestock. The feeding system in Nepal differs from region to region. Rice straw is the main crop by-product fed during winter and maize stovers during the rainy season. Lactating animals are given about 1.5 kg of homemade concentrate feed daily during the lactation period. Commercial feed was only purchased by those farmers who are rearing improved breeds. Thus this study primarily focuses on mastitis and related risk factors. The objective of this study is to assess the prevalence of sub clinical mastitis (SCM) in dairy cattle and buffaloes, quarter wise distribution of SCM, isolation, identification of common mastitis pathogens and their associated risk factors like management, lactation stage, and milk production per lactation.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Study Site

This study was conducted in Archalbot and Chandreshwor VDCs of Lamjung district, which are pocket area of milk production. The Laboratory analysis of the collected milk samples was carried out at Bacteriology unit of the National Avian Laboratory (NAL) Bharatpur and Veterinary Teaching Hospital (VTH), Chitwan.

Questionnaire Survey

To assess the management aspects and its possible risks on SCM, questionnaire survey was conducted with each farmer. Individual cattle & buffalo from farmers' shed were selected and other relevant information was recorded.

Sampling Technique and Laboratory Examination

Samples were collected from the dairy animals. Samples representing all the wards of Archalbot and Chandreshwor V.D.Cs were collected. Out of them 30 animals from each V.D.Cs was selected using purposive random sampling method. About 10 ml of milk sample from each quarter was collected in a sterilized syringe. They were numbered and marked as left front (LF), left hind (LH), right front (RF) and right hind (RH) respectively. The diagnostic tools used for mastitis were California Mastitis Test (CMT), Cultural Examination and Biochemical Tests. All the samples were subjected to cultural
examination on Nutrient agar, Mac Conkey agar plate & EMB media. They were incubated at 37°C for 24 hours. Cultural isolates were identified on the basis of colony characteristics, Gram's staining and biochemical tests as Oxidase, Catalase, IMViC test and Motility test mentioned by Quinn et al.9

Table 1. Biochemical test used for identification after culture

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bacterial isolates</th>
<th>Oxidase</th>
<th>Catalase</th>
<th>IMViC test</th>
<th>Motility test</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>E. coli</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Klebsella spp</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Staphilococcus spp</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Streptococcus spp</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

IMViC: Indole, Methyl red, Voges-Proskaur, Citrate; (-) = Negative; (+) = Positive

RESULT

During this study period, out of 63 animals 46 were in early lactation and 17 were in late lactation period. Among them, 8 were of first parity, 16 of second parity and 39 were belonged to third parity or more. Most of the animals were found to be stall fed. Only 14% were found on grazing system and rest 86% were on zero grazing system. In total, only 5% of the animals were supplied with regular minerals and vitamins, 24% were with the occasional supplementation but rest 71% had never been provided with any kind of vitamins and minerals. Of the total, only 30% (n=19) had fodder trees in their land. Those with fodder trees didn’t suffer scarcity of feed during winter but those without were found suffered. All the household were acknowledged with regular deworming of their animals in every 6 month. The average milk production per lactation was found 3.99± 0.18 lt with highest frequency for 4 liters per lactation. Less numbers of farmers did have knowledge on mastitis and more (71.4%) were found without any knowledge. Regarding SCM, table 2 describes the prevalence.

The research showed that prevalence rate was similar in almost all the quarters. The prevalence was found highest in left fore which was followed left hind, right hind and right fore. The geographic and quarter wise distribution of mastitis is demonstrated below in figures.

The prevalence of subclinical mastitis was found higher in Chandeshwor (34.5%) compared to that of Archalbot (23.5%). The result of bacterial isolates showed that the highest prevalence was of Streptococcus (42.6%) followed by E. coli (32.7%) and Staphylococcus (24.6%) on quarter basis. The SCM in animal basis in different location is mentioned in the Table 4.

Relation between prevalence of sub clinical mastitis and amount of milk production

Prevalence of Subclinical mastitis was significantly higher (73%) among the dairy animals producing less than 3 litres compared to dairy animals producing 3 or more than 3 litres (7.7%). The Pearson's chi-square value 29.194 gives p value less than 0.05 that signifies for high yielding animals (>3 lts) are more susceptible to be infected with mastitis.

Relation between prevalence of sub clinical mastitis and stage of lactation

Prevalence of subclinical mastitis was higher among the dairy animals in late lactation (58.8%) compared to dairy animals in early lactation (41.3%). The chi square test (χ²= 1.54, i.e. p=0.216 <0.05) showed the association between the stage of lactation and prevalence of mastitis is non-significant. This means that the stage of lactation could not be determining factor for mastitis in Lamjung.

DISCUSSION

The prevalence of SCM in cattle and buffaloes of Lamjung district was 28.6% and 24.2% on animal basis and quarter basis respectively during cultural examination. The result obtained by Dhakal et al.(2002) showed the prevalence rate of SCM to be 56% of cattle with 35% of the quarters and 44% of buffaloes with 27% of the quarters which is far greater than the present result on animal basis but similar on quarter basis. Thapa10 had reported the prevalence rate to be 72% by cultural examination in Gitanagar VDC of Chitwan which was far greater than our result. Upadhyaya11 reported the prevalence rate of SCM to be 48.3% quarter and 68.3% cattle.
in Kathmandu district which was also higher than our result. However, Adhikari\textsuperscript{12} reported the prevalence rate of SCM to be in 40% cattle and 32% buffaloes which was similar to our findings. On the other hand, CMT report shows that the prevalence rate of SCM in Lamjung was 46.1% on animal basis and 30.2% on quarter basis. Khakural\textsuperscript{13} reported the prevalence rate of Kathmandu valley to be 17.2% on CMT basis which was quite less than our findings. However, our finding was nearby to the findings of Thapa\textsuperscript{10} who got it to be 36% in Gitanagar VDC.

In our study, we found that left fore quarters (26%) and right hind quarters (26%) had the highest incidence followed by left hind quarters (25%) and then right fore quarters (23%). This result was quite contrasting to the result obtained by Dhakal \textit{et al}\textsuperscript{14} who found the incidence to be highest in left hind quarters (34.2%) followed by right hind quarters (31.6%). Our finding was in contrast with that of Jha \textit{et al} who found that right fore quarters had highest incidence (33.9%) followed by left fore quarter (28.6%).\textsuperscript{15} However, Thilager \textit{et al} found highest incidence in the left fore quarters\textsuperscript{16} which was similar to our result. Our finding was also contrast with that of Upadhayaya\textsuperscript{11} who found the incidence to be highest in right fore quarters followed by left hind quarters.

In our research study, we got that \textit{Streptococcus} as the most predominant pathogens with 11.1% prevalence rate followed by \textit{Coliform} (9.5%) and then \textit{Staphylococcus} (7.9%). However, \textit{Coliform} mastitis was the most frequent type followed by \textit{Staphylococcal mastitis} in Chitwan in the study of Dhakal and Subedi\textsuperscript{17} and Adhikari \textit{et al}.\textsuperscript{12} Similarly, Balakrishnan \textit{et al}\textsuperscript{18} and Dhote \textit{et al}\textsuperscript{19} reported \textit{Staphylococcus} spp. being the most predominant pathogens followed by \textit{Coliform}. Our result was contrast with the findings of Kateete \textit{et al}\textsuperscript{20} from Uganda where the major pathogen for mastitis was \textit{Staphylococcus} spp. Dhakal and Nagahata\textsuperscript{21} from Nepal also reported \textit{Staphylococcal mastitis} as most predominant which was also different from our findings. Upadhayaya also reported that \textit{Staphylococcal mastitis} had the highest prevalence rate in Kathmandu district followed by \textit{E. coli}, mixed infection and then \textit{Streptococcus} spp. and Adhikari \textit{et al}\textsuperscript{12} found \textit{Coliforms} most prevalent followed by \textit{Staphylococcus} spp, \textit{Streptococcus} spp. and \textit{Pseudomonas} spp. However, in Archalbot VDC,

### Table 2. Prevalence of subclinical mastitis in dairy animals of Lamjung district

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CMT Result</th>
<th>Number of animals (63)</th>
<th>Number of quarters (252)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Archalbot n (%)</td>
<td>Chandreshwor n (%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>19(55.8)</td>
<td>15(51.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Positive</td>
<td>15(44.1)</td>
<td>14(48.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 3. Quaterwise distribution of mastitis based on CMT

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Archalbot</th>
<th>Chandreshwor</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Teat</td>
<td>Positive</td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>Positive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left fore</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left hind</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right fore</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right hind</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>121</td>
<td>41</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 4. Distribution of different types of subclinical mastitis on animal and quarter basis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Culture Report</th>
<th>Number of animals (63)</th>
<th>Number of quarters (252)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Archalbot n (%)</td>
<td>Chandreshwor n (%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mastitis negative</td>
<td>26(76.5)</td>
<td>19(65.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coliform mastitis</td>
<td>2(5.8)</td>
<td>4(13.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Staphylococcus mastitis</td>
<td>4(11.9)</td>
<td>1(3.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Streptococcus mastitis</td>
<td>2(5.8)</td>
<td>5(17.2)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Staphylococcus** spp. was predominant which is similar to the findings of various researchers.

Prevalence of SCM was higher in late lactation period (58.5%) compared to those in early lactation (41.3%) which contrast to the view of Radostits et al. Similarly, the prevalence was higher in the high milk yielding animals (73%) compared to low milk yielding animals(7.7%) which is similar to the view of Radostits et al.24

In the research site, 71% of the dairy animals were of exotic breed, which is quite favourable condition for increase in milk production in Lamjung district. Similarly, all the respondents were involved in agriculture and all were literate. So, there could have ample opportunity for commercialization in dairy farming. We found that 86% of the animals were stall fed and 56% were provided with both roughages and concentrate in balance manner while remaining were provided with dry straw only which was quite similar to the finding of Upadhyaya.11 Rice straw was the main by-product fed during winter and maize stovers during the rainy season. Lactating animals were given homemade concentrate feed daily during the lactation period. Commercial feed was only purchased by those farmers who are rearing improved breeds. This finding was similar to the finding of Tulachan et al.9

The milking and pregnant animals were fed Khole (liquid concentrate) in the morning and day time with very limited amount of green fodder during dry season and this was similar to the finding of Regmi et al.24 Very few animals were provided with regular supplementation of minerals and vitamins. Which might be an obstacle for increase in milk production. Only 30% of the farmers had forage trees in their land which resulted that most of the farmers had scarcity of green grass in winter similar as the finding of Regmi et al.24 Almost all of the animals were dewormed regularly which was far better situation than that of Kathmandu districct as reported by Upadhyaya.11

**CONCLUSION**

The dairy livestock of Lamjung were suffering a large prevalence of subclinical mastitis going unnoticed and very fewer farmers were aware of it. The streptococcal mastitis was predominant for the infectious cause followed by coliform and staphylococcal ones and the left quarters were the most infected one. The average milk production of dairy livestock was around three liters per lactation. The prevalence was higher in dairy animals producing more than three liters per lactation. Sub clinical mastitis was found higher in late lactation stage compared to early lactation. The dairy animal management was poor. Farmers were following traditional methods of nutrition management and could not avoid the green forage scarcity at lean period. Nutrition management assessment in dairy livestock could an important part of future research. The farmers should be inspired for mastitis management, udder health management, shed management and nutrition management through training, workshops, tours, farmers’ schools for hygienic milk production in commercial scale.
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