



Research Article

Evaluation of Growth and Survival of *Artemia franciscana* fed with *Nannochloropsis oculata* and *Chlorella capsulata*

Alireza Salarzadeh¹, Elham Nahidi²

¹Department of Fishery, Bandar Abbas Branch, Islamic Azad University, Bandar Abbas, Iran

²Young Researchers and Elite Club, Bandar Abbas Branch, Islamic Azad University, Bandar Abbas, Iran

Article Information ABSTRACT

Key words:
Artemia;
 feeding;
Nannochloropsis oculata;
Chlorella capsulata

Artemia franciscana was fed for seven days with 11.7, 23.4 and 46.8 mg l⁻¹. d⁻¹ (ash-free dry weight) of *Nannochloropsis oculata* and *Chlorella capsulata*, to evaluate the food value of these two microalgae. Survival was similar, close to or greater than 90% in all cases, but there were significant differences in growth beginning on the third day. The final ash-free dry weight of *A. franciscana* fed with *N. oculata* was seven times greater than with *C. capsulata* and the respective developmental stages were between instar 14 and 16 and from 8 to 9. Food ingestion was similar with the two diets, but the mean gross growth efficiencies were 80% with *N. oculata* and 7% with *C. capsulata*, indicating a poor assimilation of *C. capsulata*. Therefore, *C. capsulata* cannot be considered as a suitable diet for *A. franciscana*, because the amount of energy obtained is not sufficient to sustain normal growth.

INTRODUCTION

There are several indirect methods for the evaluation of phytoplankton food quality for filter feeders, such as its caloric content and gross and fine chemical composition (Brown et al., 1997). However, at times these are misleading, because of the different nutritional requirements of each species, which in addition may vary with age and stage of development. For this reason, the food value of microalgae should be evaluated through their effect on growth and survival of the selected target organism (Yúfera and Lubián, 1990).

The brine shrimp *Artemia* is probably the most popular live diet in aquaculture. It is a continuous filter feeder which selects suspended particles only on the basis of size (Gelabert and De la Cruz, 1990), although its feeding behavior may be affected by several factors that influence its filtration, ingestion, or assimilation rates. The range of optimum particle concentrations for maximum ingestion is relatively wide, and is specific for each culture condition. In addition it varies with age, because the feeding efficiency increases with the number of functional thoracopods (Lavens and Sorgeloos, 1991).

The quality of microalgae diets for *Artemia* has been the object of several studies (Sick, 1976; Johnson, 1980; Fábregas et al., 1996, 1998) with different results, depending on the species of microalgae, on their culture conditions, and possibly on the species of *Artemia* used for the feeding experiments.

Microalgae are considered good sources of highly-unsaturated fatty acids, especially of 20:5 ω -3. Among these, *Nannochloropsis oculata* is considered one of the best diets for brine shrimp culture (Naegel, 1999; De Micco and Hubbard, 2001). In contrast, chlorophytes are rich in C16 and C18 fatty acids (Brown et al., 1997; Dunstan et al., 1992), and in particular *Chlorella* has also a high content of carotenoids and ascorbic acid (Czygan, 1968; Merchie et al., 1995), which might be of importance for growth and especially for long-term enhancement of the food quality of *Artemia*. The food value of some *Chlorella* species has been evaluated with *Artemia salina* (Sick, 1976; Yunzhen et al., 1998) but not with the commercially important *A. franciscana*.

The aim of this study was to evaluate the food value of the marine chlorophyte *Chlorella capsulata* and

*Corresponding author Email address: reza1375bandar@yahoo.com

Nannochloropsis oculata, comparing the growth and development of *Artemia franciscana* during the first seven days after hatching.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The algae *Nannochloropsis oculata* and *Chlorella capsulata*, were taken Ecological Institute of Persian Gulf and kept in quadruplicate, semi- continuous cultures in f/2 medium (Guillard,1972), with daily replacements of 50 and 37% of the volume of each culture with fresh medium. The cultures were used when cell concentrations remained stable for at least five days before the feeding experiments. Culture conditions for the two algae were 36‰ salinity, $22 \pm 1^\circ\text{C}$ (*N. oculata*) and $27 \pm 1^\circ\text{C}$ (*C. capsulata*), and pH was maintained at 8.0 ± 0.5 by continuous addition of CO₂ to the compressed air used for stirring.

The ash-free dry weight (AFDW) and gross chemical composition of both algae were determined in triplicate samples obtained on three alternate days from each culture, using the analytical methods described in Lora-Vilchis and Doktor (2001). The results were used to calculate their energy content employing the caloric equivalents of Gnaiger (1983).

The feeding experiment lasted seven days and was run in triplicate 18-l aquaria for each diet, using commercial cysts of *Artemia franciscana* (INVE Aquaculture, Belgium, *Argentea* Bp 1207 N) hatched as in Van Stappen (1996). Seawater was continuously aerated and kept at 36‰ salinity, 28°C, and in semidarkness. The initial nauplii density ranged between 1.7 to 2.0 org. ml⁻¹.

Feeding started 24 hours after hatching and algae were supplied in daily rations of 0.3, 0.6 and 1.2×10^6 cells.ml⁻¹ of *N. oculata* and 0.75, 1.5 and 3.0×10^6 cells. ml⁻¹ of *C. capsulata*. In either case the AFDW of these rations was 11.7, 23.4, and 46.8 mg l⁻¹. d⁻¹, which are equivalent to 42.5, 85 y 170% of the 27.5 mg l⁻¹. d⁻¹, which was found adequate for *A. franciscana* optimum growth (Evejemo and Olsen, 1999). In all cases the ration was divided in two parts, the first supplied in the morning after total water exchange and the second approximately 10 hours later.

Daily observations showed differences between diets, in length as well as in instar stage, after the second day. For this reason, samples of 20 organisms were obtained on day 3 from each culture and fixed, as described in Correa Sandoval and Bückle Ramírez (1993), to determine their mean length and developmental stage according to the instar scale of Schrehardt (1987). The total AFDW of these organisms, determined with a microbalance following Sorokin (1973), was used to obtain the average individual weight in each culture. Similar samples, taken

at the end of the experiment, were used to compare the effect of the two diets and of the different rations.

The ingestion rate was calculated as in Albentosa et al. (1997) from the data obtained in six separate incubation chambers for each diet, with 1-2 organisms. ml⁻¹ depending on the developmental stage. For each ration, two controls without organisms were used to correct the ingestion values due to possible changes in algal density. Cell concentrations were determined with a Neubauer chamber at the beginning of each experiment and after between 3 and 12 h, depending on the age and size of the organisms.

The mean gross growth efficiency (K1) for each ration of the diets was evaluated from the data of total ingestion calculated with the results of the short-term daily evaluations of clearance rates. The mean developmental stage was determined on days 3 and 7 using the index suggested by Villegas and Kanazawa (1979) for shrimp larvae:

$$\text{D.I.} = \sum A/N$$

where A is the instar stage of each organism and N is the number of organisms in the sample.

Because the data were not normal, the gross biochemical composition of algae in percent of microalgal AFDW was compared with a Mann- Whitney test for each biochemical component. Mean survival, mean lengths, and mean D.I. and individual AFDW were compared for days 3 and 7 to determine the effectiveness of each diet and ration, using two-way ANOVA and Tukey's multiple comparison tests with $\alpha=0.05$, after log transformation when the data were not normal or homoscedastic (Zar, 1999).

RESULTS

The higher individual AFDW of *N. oculata* and the higher carbohydrate content of *C. capsulata* were the only significant ($P < 0.05$) differences between the two microalgae (Table 1). At the end of the experiment, mean *A. franciscana* survival ranged from 89 to 100% for *N. oculata* and *C. capsulata* (Table 2), without any difference between diets and rations ($P > 0.05$). However, there were several diet and ration-related differences in growth and rate of development.

Statistical comparisons confirmed that, by the third day, there were no significant differences ($P > 0.05$) in length, development, and AFDW of *A. franciscana* fed the three rations of *C. capsulata*. In all cases, the organisms fed *N. oculata* were significantly larger and heavier, with no effect of the ration on total length or developmental index, although those receiving the intermediate food

concentration had a significantly higher organic body weight than those fed the other rations ($P < 0.05$ in all cases).

At the end of the experiment, diets and rations had significant effects. *A. franciscana* grew better with the two higher rations of *N. oculata* without significant differences in length and AFDW between the intermediate and the highest ($P > 0.05$). In all cases, the lowest values were with the daily dose of 11.7 mg.l^{-1} of *C. capsulata* ($P < 0.01$).

The developmental index was higher ($P < 0.05$) and related to ration with *N. oculata*, with which *A. franciscana* reached post-larval stages by the end of the experimental period, whereas the organisms fed *C. capsulata* only reached post-metanauplius stages, without significant differences due to the amount of food supplied (Table 2).

The mean total ingestion was similar for the same ration levels of both diets; however, the weight gain calculated from the mean final values corrected for the initial AFDW

Table 1. Mean values \pm standard error of size, AFDW and proximate composition (in % of AFDW) of *Nannochloropsis oculata* and *Chlorella capsulata*. The differences (Mann-Whitney test) are marked by different letters (n = 12 in all cases)

Microalgae	Size (μm)	AFDW (Pictograms per cell)	Protein (%AFDW)	Carbohydrate (%AFDW)	Lipids (%AFDW)	Energetic equivalent (J.mg^{-1})
<i>N. oculata</i>	6.6 \times 5.2	40.0 \pm 2.57 ^b	39.7 \pm 2.1 ^a	13.5 \pm 1.2 ^a	46.8 \pm 5.3 ^a	30.4
<i>C. capsulata</i>	4.4 \times 3.2	15.2 \pm 1.18 ^a	31.8 \pm 3.0 ^a	27.4 \pm 3.0 ^b	41.3 \pm 3.9 ^a	28.8

Values within the same Column with different superscripts are significantly different ($P < 0.05$).

Table 2. Mean values and standard error of survival, length, developmental index (DI), and AFDW, of *Artemia franciscana* after 3 and 7 days of culture with three rations of *C. capsulata* and *N. oculata*. Equal or common letters indicate lack of significant differences (two-way ANOVA and Tukey multiple comparison test. $\alpha = 0.05$)

Diet	Age (days)	Ration ($\text{mg l}^{-1} \cdot \text{d}^{-1}$)	Mean Survival %	Mean Length (mm)	DI	AFDW ($\mu\text{g. ind}^{-1}$)
<i>C. capsulata</i>	3	11.7	100 \pm 0.2 ^a	0.91 \pm 0.01 ^a	4.2 \pm 0.3 ^a	3.18 \pm 0.04 ^a
		23.4	98 \pm 0.1 ^a	0.97 \pm 0.02 ^a	5.0 \pm 0.7 ^{ab}	3.24 \pm 0.22 ^a
		46.8	99 \pm 0.3 ^a	0.99 \pm 0.02 ^a	5.0 \pm 0.0 ^{ab}	3.79 \pm 0.21 ^a
<i>N. oculata</i>	3	11.7	99 \pm 0.2 ^a	1.67 \pm 0.03 ^b	7.0 \pm 0.7 ^b	12.85 \pm 0.03 ^b
		23.4	98 \pm 0.4 ^a	1.66 \pm 0.04 ^b	7.0 \pm 1.4 ^b	17.12 \pm 0.07 ^d
		46.8	99 \pm 0.2 ^a	1.75 \pm 0.03 ^b	7.0 \pm 0.7 ^b	15.45 \pm 0.14 ^c
<i>C. capsulata</i>	7	11.7	93 \pm 0.2 ^a	0.99 \pm 0.02 ^a	7.4 \pm 0.7 ^a	4.90 \pm 0.71 ^a
		23.4	89 \pm 0.3 ^a	1.18 \pm 0.02 ^b	7.8 \pm 0.7 ^a	10.01 \pm 0.61 ^b
		46.8	98 \pm 0.3 ^a	1.37 \pm 0.03 ^c	8.4 \pm 0.3 ^a	14.71 \pm 0.73 ^b
<i>N. oculata</i>	7	11.7	99 \pm 0.2 ^a	3.44 \pm 0.07 ^d	14.3 \pm 0.3 ^b	35.98 \pm 4.18 ^c
		23.4	94 \pm 0.1 ^a	3.56 \pm 0.11 ^{de}	14.9 \pm 0.1 ^c	73.01 \pm 10.01 ^d
		46.8	98 \pm 0.3 ^a	3.78 \pm 0.09 ^e	15.5 \pm 0.2 ^d	104.93 \pm 5.08 ^e

Mean values of three replicates for each ration. n=3 for weights and 60 for length and DI.

Table 3. Total food supplied, mean values and standard error of total ingestion and of increase in AFDW, food conversion index and gross growth efficiency (K1) of *A. franciscana* after seven days of culture with the three rations of *C. capsulata* and *N. oculata*. Equal or common letters indicate lack of significant differences (two-way ANOVA and Tukey multiple comparison test. $\alpha=0.05$)

Diet	Ration (mg . l ⁻¹)	Total food supplied (µg. ind ⁻¹)	Total ingestion (µg. ind ⁻¹)	Increase in AFDW (µg. ind ⁻¹)	Food conversion index	K1 (%)
<i>C. capsulata</i>	11.7	45.0	43.9±5.2 ^a	2.4	18.7	12.1
	23.4	89.0	87.9±13.8 ^b	7.5	12.0	8.5
	46.8	179.9	165.3±24.3 ^c	12.2	14.7	6.8
<i>N. oculata</i>	11.7	45.0	39.3±2.4 ^a	33.5	1.4	85.3
	23.4	89.0	83.7±19.7 ^b	70.5	1.3	84.2
	46.8	179.0	142.2±14.3 ^{bc}	102.4	1.8	72.0

Mean values of six daily replicates for total ingestion and of three for AFDW increase.

Values within the same Column with different superscripts are significantly different (P<0.05).

of the nauplii (2.5 µg.ind⁻¹) was, in all cases, significantly higher with *N. oculata*, with food conversion indices and mean gross growth efficiencies one order of magnitude better than those calculated for *C. capsulata* (Table 3).

DISCUSSION

The three ration levels were chosen under the assumption that eventual differences in food value of the two diets would have been more evident under different degrees of food availability, from highly or slightly limiting to higher than that required for optimum growth, with differences in mean survival and in rates of development and body growth.

Whereas the energy obtained by *A. franciscana* from the three rations of both algal diets was enough to sustain its standard metabolism, as indicated by the high and equal survival, the excess directed to somatic growth and development was different, as shown by our results which indicate deficiencies in the food value of *Chlorella*, starting on the third day.

On this date, in addition, the higher AFDW of the specimens fed the second ration level of *N. oculata* indicates lower assimilation efficiency with the highest ration, probably due to excessive food availability for this developmental stage. On the other hand, the final values show a significant effect of the amount of food supplied, with progressively better growth and development with the increase of the ration levels.

In view of the similar amounts of food ingested, the only possible explanations for the different effects of these diets are lower absorption efficiency or a toxic effect of *C. capsulata*. Sotolongo (1988) found that 1.14×10⁶ cells.ml⁻¹ of *Chlorella* sp. was an adequate ration for biomass production of *Artemia*, but there are reports of low assimilation rates and low digestibility of *Chlorella*

sp. and *Chlorella conductrix* by *Artemia salina* (Sick, 1976; Yunzhen et al., 1998) and a toxic effect of *Chlorella* were suggested by Ryther (1954).

In this case, the toxic effect is improbable, because the different ration levels had similar effects on survival. In view of this and of the similar gross composition and energy content of both algae, the poor growth of *A. franciscana* fed *C. capsulata* may be explained only by the low absorption of this diet, probably because of the thick cell wall of *Chlorella*. As a consequence of its poor absorption, this microalga cannot be considered as a suitable diet for *A. franciscana*, because the amount of energy obtained is not sufficient to sustain normal growth. For the same reason, it is highly unlikely that its use would enhance the food quality of brine shrimps.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

In the end, we need to fully appreciate the efforts of officials of Bandar Abbas branch Islamic Azad University Laboratory who helped us to perform the research as good as possible.

REFERENCES

- Albentosa M, Pérez-Camacho A, Labarta U and Fernández-Reiriz MJ (1997) Evaluation of freeze dried microalgal diets for the seed culture of *Ruditapes decussatus* using physiological and biochemical parameters. *Aquaculture* 154:315-321.
- Brown MR, Jeffrey SW, Volkman JK and Dunstan CA (1997) Nutritional properties of microalgae for mariculture. *Aquaculture* 151:315-331.
- Correa Sandoval F and Bückle Ramírez LF (1993) Morfología y biometría de cinco poblaciones de *Artemia franciscana* (Anostraca: Artemiidae). *Rev. Biol. trop.* 41:103-111.
- Czygan F (1968) Sekundär-Carotinoide in Grünalgen. I. Chemie, Vorkommen und Faktoren welche die Bildung dieser Polyene beeinflussen. *Arch. Mikrobiol.* 61:81-102.
- De Micco E and Hubbard R (2001) Plankton alternatives to *Artemia* for growth of marine shrimp *Litopenaeus vannamei* larvae: 180. In: *Aquaculture 2001*. World Aquaculture Society. Baton Rouge, LA.
- Dunstan GA, Volkman JK, Jeffrey SW and Barrett SM (1992) Biochemical composition of microalgae from the classes Chlorophyceae and Prasinophyceae. 2. Lipid classes and fatty acids. *J. exp. mar. Biol. Ecol.* 161:115-134.
- Evjemo JO and Olsen Y (1999) Effect of food concentration on the growth and production rate of *Artemia franciscana* feeding on algae (T. Iso). *J. exp. mar. Biol. Ecol.* 242: 273-296.

- Fábregas J, Otero A, Morales E, Cordero B and Patiño M** (1996) *Tetraselmis suecica* cultured in different nutrient concentrations varies in nutritional value to *Artemia*. *Aquaculture* 143:197-204.
- Fábregas J, Otero A, Morales E, Arredondo-Vega BO and Patiño M** (1998) Modification of the nutritive value of *Phaeodactylum tricornutum* for *Artemia* sp. in semi-continuous cultures. *Aquaculture* 169:167-176.
- Gelabert RY and de la Cruz A** (1990) La selección del tamaño de partículas por *Artemia* (Branchiopoda). *Rev. Invest. Mar.* 9(1):63-69.
- Gnaiger E** (1983) Calculation of energetic and biochemical equivalents of respiratory oxygen consumption. In: Polarographic oxygen sensors. (E. Gnaiger and H. Frostner, eds.) Springer Verlag, Berlin, pp: 337-345.
- Guillard RRL** (1972) Culture of the phytoplankton for feeding marine invertebrate larvae. In: Culture of marine invertebrate animals (W.L. Smith and M.H. Chanley, eds.), Plenum Publishing Co., New York pp: 29-60
- James CM and Abu-Rezeq TS** (1988) Effect of different cell densities of *Chlorella capsulata* and a marine *Chlorella* sp. for feeding the rotifer *Brachionus plicatilis*. *Aquaculture* 69:43-56.
- Johnson DA** (1980) Evaluation of various diets for optimal growth and survival of selected life stages of *Artemia*. In: The brine shrimp *Artemia* (G. Persoone, P. Sorgeloos, O. Roels and E. Jaspers, eds.), Universa Press, Wetteren, Belgium, pp: 185-191.
- Lavens P and Sorgeloos P** (1991) Production of *Artemia* in culture tanks. In: *Artemia Biology* (R.A. Browne, P. Sorgeloos and C.N.A. Trotman, eds.), CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL, Ann Arbor Boston, pp:317-351.
- Lora-Vilchis, M.C. and N. Doktor** (2001): Evaluation of seven algal diets for spat of the Pacific Scallop *Argopecten ventricosus*. *J. World Aquac. Soc.* 32:228-235.
- Merchie G, Lavens P, Dhert P, Dehasque M, Neils H, Leenheer DA and Sorgeloos P** (1995) Variation of ascorbic acid content in different live food organisms. *Aquaculture* 134(3-4):325-337.
- Naegel LCA** (1999) Controlled production of *Artemia* biomass using an inert commercial diet, compared with the microalgae *Chaetoceros*. *Aquacult. Eng.* 21(1):49-59.
- Ryther JH** (1954) Inhibitory effects of phytoplankton upon the feeding of *Daphnia magna* with reference to growth, reproduction and survival. *Ecology* 35:522-33.
- Schrehardt A** (1987) A scanning electron- microscope study of the post-embryonic development of *Artemia*. In: *Artemia research and its applications* Vol. 1. Morphology, genetics, strain characterization, toxicology (P. Sorgeloos, D.A. Bengston, W. Declair and E. Jaspers, eds.), Universa Press, Wetteren, Belgium, pp: 5-32.
- Sick LV** (1976) Nutritional effect of five species of marine algae on the growth, development and survival of the brine shrimp *Artemia salina*. *Mar. Biol.* 35:69-78.
- Sorokin C** (1973) Dry weight, packed cell volume and optical density. In: *Handbook of phycological methods. Culture methods and growth measurement.* (J. Stein, ed.) Cambridge University Press, Cambridge and New York, pp: 321-343.
- Sotolongo ME** (1988) The evaluation of various diets for optimal growth and survival of different life stages of *Artemia*. In: *General Aquaculture Course.* Kanagawa International Fisheries Centre. JICA. Kanagawa, Japan, pp: 73-78.
- Van Stappen G** (1996) *Artemia*: use of cysts. In: *Manual on the production and use of live food for aquaculture* (P. Lavens and P. Sorgeloos, eds.), Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations. Fisheries Technical Paper, pp:107-123
- Villegas CT and Kanazawa A** (1979) Relationship between diet composition and growth of zoal and mysis stages of *Penaeus japonicus* (Bate). *Fish. Res. J. of the Philippines* 4(2):32-40.
- Yúfera M and Lubián LM** (1990) Effects of microalgal diet on growth and development of invertebrates in marine aquaculture. In: *Introduction to applied phycology* (I. Akatsuka, ed.), SPB Academic Publishing by The Hague, pp: 209-227.
- Yunzhen F, Hefang L and Fayi L** (1998) Studies on polyunsaturated fatty acids of marine microalgae, III. Transfer of polyunsaturated fatty acids from microalgae to *Artemia salina*. *Stud. Mar. Sin. Haiyang Kexue Jikan* 40:161-166.
- Zar JH** (1999) *Biostatistical Analysis.* Prentice- Hall, Inc., Engelwood Cliffs, N. J. USA, 663 pp.

© International Journal of Life Sciences

NOTE: Authors/contributors are responsible for originality, contents, correct references, and ethical issues.

Submit your next manuscript to IJLS with a -
 1. Convenient online submission,
 2. Rapid editorial review followed by peer review,
 3. Immediate publication on acceptance.