



## Research Article

# The Effect of Temperature and Salinity on the Growth of *Skeletonema costatum* and *Chlorella capsulata* in vitro

Esmat Ebrahimi<sup>1</sup>, Alireza Salarzadeh<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Fishery, Bandar Abbas Branch, Islamic Azad University, Bandar Abbas, Iran.

### Article Information ABSTRACT

**Key words:**  
*Skeletonema costatum*;  
*Chlorella capsulata*;  
 salinity; temperature

Salinity and temperature are two of the major factors controlling the growth rate of microalgae. In this study, the effect of salinity and temperature on the growth of marine microalgae; *Chlorella capsulata* and *Skeletonema costatum* were investigated to optimize the microalgal biomass production. These species were cultured at different salinities (20, 25 and 30 ‰) and temperatures (20, 25 and 30°C). *Skeletonema costatum* and *Chlorella capsulata* had significantly higher ( $p < 0.05$ ) growth rate when cultured at salinities of 30 and 25 ‰, respectively. In terms of temperature, the highest ( $p < 0.05$ ) growth rate was observed in *Skeletonema costatum* and *Chlorella capsulata* cultivated at temperatures of 30 and 25°C, respectively. This study indicated that *Skeletonema costatum* was suitable to marine condition, whereas *Chlorella capsulata* showed optimum growth at lower salinity and temperature. In addition, can be concluded, *Chlorella capsulata* and *Skeletonema costatum* can be considered as suitable species for large outdoor micro algal cultivation.

## INTRODUCTION

Marine microalgae are an excellent source of protein, lipid, carbohydrate and vitamins, i.e., A, B, C, E, folic acid and pantothenic acid (Becker, 2007). Their high nutritional values can provide a high quality nutritional package for different stages of aquaculture animals (Banerjee *et al.*, 2010; Khatoon *et al.*, 2009, 2012). Microalgae that have been found to have good nutritional properties includes *Skeletonema costatum* and *Chlorella capsulata* (Natrah *et al.*, 2007; Goh Jr. *et al.*, 2009; Goh *et al.*, 2010). Optimizing the algae growth rates by manipulating the environmental parameters could become an effective approach in increasing microalgal biomass production (Mata *et al.*, 2010). In fact, marine microalgae have been projected as potential species for various industrial applications due to their fast growth rate and valuable chemical contents (Singh and Gu, 2010).

Salinity and temperature have been shown to induce the characteristic of the nutritional properties in microalgae (Hemaiswarya *et al.*, 2011). Moreover, different culture

environment such as seasonal fluctuation, effect of low and high temperature and the species origin can also cause variable growth rates of microalgae (Oliveira *et al.*, 1999; Thompson *et al.*, 1992; Banerjee *et al.*, 2011). Previous study on the arctic sea diatom *Chaetoceros* spp. showed that the species tolerate low salinity (Zhang *et al.*, 1999).

Variations in salinity also influence several biochemical and physiological mechanisms such as lipid production and growth which are essential in marine organisms (Fava and Martini, 1988). Although temperature is the easiest factor that can be controlled in the practical operation of microalgae cultivation, it is a sensitive factor for algae growth and metabolic processes. Different temperatures have been associated with various quality and composition of microalgae.

There are limited studies on the effect of various salinities and temperatures on phytoplankton especially involving marine *Chlorella capsulata* and *Skeletonema costatum*. Thus, a study on the effect of different salinities and temperatures on the growth of two marine microalgae *Skeletonema costatum* and *Chlorella*

\*Corresponding author Email address: [reza1375bandar@yahoo.com](mailto:reza1375bandar@yahoo.com)

*capsulate* was carried out in order to contribute to better understanding on this matter.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

### Algae cultures

*Skeletonema costatum* and *Chlorella capsulata* were obtained from the laboratory of persian gulf and oman sea ecology research institute. Stock cultures were maintained regularly on both liquid and agar plates of Conway medium (Walne, 1966).

### Experimental design

Five-day old culture of *Chlorella capsulata* and *Skeletonema costatum* ( $10^4$  cells mL<sup>-1</sup>) were used as inocula. Ten percent (30 mL v/v) of inocula were inoculated into 500 mL Erlenmeyer flask containing 300 mL of fresh Conway medium with salinities of 20, 25 and 30 ‰. All treatments were carried out in triplicates. Salinity lower than 30 ‰ was adjusted by diluting the seawater using Milli-Q water. The cultures were sparged with filter sterilized air at 0.1 min (v/v) and continuously illuminated by fluorescent lamp at a light intensity  $120 \mu\text{mol}^{-2} \text{sec}^{-1}$  with temperature  $25 \pm 1^\circ\text{C}$ . The experiment was carried out for 14 days.

The analyses of different growth temperatures were carried out by cultivation of  $10^4$  cells mL<sup>-1</sup> inoculum in 500 mL Erlenmeyer flask containing 300 mL of fresh Conway medium with salinity  $30 \pm 2$  ‰. The replicates were cultured in incubators with temperatures of 20, 25 and  $30^\circ\text{C}$  under continuous illumination at 600-800 lux light density and sparged with filter-sterilized air by using air pump without additional carbon dioxide.

### Analytical methods

Biomass estimation and determination of cell number. One milliliter aliquots of cultures were filtered on fiberglass Whatman GF/F filters using a vacuum pump and washed with a solution of ammonium formate (0.5 M) to remove silicate residue. The filters were dried at  $100^\circ\text{C}$  for 4 h to volatilize the ammonium formate. The dry weights of algae biomass were determined gravimetrically and the growths were expressed in terms of dry weight (g DW L<sup>-1</sup>). Meanwhile, the cell number was determined and counted using haemocytometer.

### Growth analysis

Growth was expressed in terms of growth rates using the following equation:

$$\text{Growth rate } (\mu)(\text{day}^{-1}) = \frac{1}{t_1 - t_0} \ln \frac{F_1}{F_0}$$

Where,  $F_1$  is the biomass at time of harvest ( $t_1$ ) and  $F_0$  is biomass at time of zero ( $t_0$ ) (Guillard, 1973). Statistical analysis: Statistical package for social sciences (SPSS 19.0) were applied for one way ANOVA tests in the

evaluation of differences in the mean values. Significance was tested at 95% level.


## RESULTS

### Effect of salinity on growth

The best growth of *Skeletonema costatum* occurred at salinity of 30 ‰, with the highest growth rate of  $0.28 \pm 0.03 \mu \text{day}^{-1}$  ( $p < 0.05$ ) and maximum cell count of  $2.7 \times 10^6$  cells mL<sup>-1</sup> on the 12th day of the culture period (Fig. 1 and 2a). Meanwhile, *Chlorella capsulata* reached the maximum cell count of  $3.1 \times 10^6$  cells mL<sup>-1</sup> on 12th day in culture grown at salinity of 25 ‰ with a growth rate of  $0.37 \pm 0.01 \mu \text{day}^{-1}$  (Fig. 1 and 2b,  $p < 0.05$ ). The maximum biomass ( $p < 0.05$ ) obtained from *Skeletonema costatum* and *Chlorella capsulata* were  $1.52 \pm 0.2$  and  $1.34 \pm 0.4 \text{ g DW L}^{-1}$  respectively (Fig. 3).

### Effect of temperature on growth

In *Skeletonema costatum*, the highest growth rate was observed in cultures at  $30^\circ\text{C}$  ( $0.27 \pm 0.02 \mu \text{day}^{-1}$  with maximum cell count ( $p < 0.05$ ) of  $2.1 \times 10^6$  cells mL<sup>-1</sup> on day 10 (Fig. 4, 5a). However, there were no significant changes ( $p > 0.05$ ) in growth rates in cultures grown at 20 and  $25^\circ\text{C}$ . Meanwhile, *Chlorella capsulata* showed the best growth at  $25^\circ\text{C}$  with the highest growth rate of  $0.35 \pm 0.04 \mu \text{day}^{-1}$  and cell count of  $2.9 \times 10^6$  cells mL<sup>-1</sup> on day 10th (Fig. 4 and 5b,  $p < 0.05$ ). *Skeletonema costatum* and *Chlorella capsulata* reached the maximum biomass ( $p < 0.05$ ) of  $1.44 \pm 0.5$  and  $1.42 \pm 0.3 \text{ g DW L}^{-1}$  respectively (Fig. 6).



**Fig. 1: Growth rates of *Skeletonema costatum* and *Chlorella capsulata* in response to different salinities, Vertical bars are means  $\pm$  SE (n=3). Means with different letters are significantly different at  $p < 0.05$**




Fig. 2(a-b): Changes of mean densities (n=3) of (a) *Skeletonema costatum* and (b) *Chlorella capsulata* under different salinities during the culture period




Fig. 3: Biomass for *Skeletonema costatum* and *Chlorella capsulata* under different salinities, Vertical bars are means±SE (n=3). Means with different letters are significantly different at p<0.05




Fig. 4: Growth rates of *Skeletonema costatum* and *Chlorella capsulata* in response to different growth temperatures, Vertical bars are means±SE (n=3). Means with different letters are significantly different at p<0.05




Fig. 5(a-b): Changes in mean cell densities (n=3) of (a) *Skeletonema costatum* and (b) *Chlorella capsulata* under different temperatures during the culture period




Fig. 6: Biomass of *Skeletonema costatum* and *Chlorella capsulata* at different temperatures, Vertical bars are means±SE (n=3). Means with different letters are significantly different at p<0.05

## DISCUSSION

Microalgae growth is highly dependent on the environmental conditions where the variables that affect the growth rates are different from one species to another. However, the most studied variables are salinity, pH, temperature, light as well as the nutrition (Banerjee *et al.*, 2011; Liang *et al.*, 2009; Raghavan *et al.*, 2008; Ji and Sherrell, 2008). In the present study, *Skeletonema*

*costatum* and *Chlorella capsulata* showed that the growth rate increased with increasing salinity. In the present study, *Skeletonema costatum* and *Chlorella capsulata* reached the highest growth rates of  $0.28 \pm 0.03$  and  $0.37 \pm 0.01 \mu \text{ day}^{-1}$ , respectively at the optimum salinity. In fact, the biomass production of *Skeletonema costatum* and *Chlorella capsulata* achieved in this study was higher than the values reported in previous studies ( $< 1.0 \text{ g DW L}^{-1}$ ) (Araujo and Garcia, 2005).

Salinity is the main factor for the process of giving life to plants and can cause retardation of central metabolic activities such as photosynthesis (Liska *et al.*, 2004). Microalgae differ in their adaptability to salinity and based on their tolerance as they are grouped as halophilic and halotolerant (Rao *et al.*, 2007). In natural condition or marine waters, active multiplication normally started at day 5 or 7 of the growth phase. The culture can last for 2 or 3 weeks depending on the microalgae species. In this study, *Skeletonema costatum* culture increased progressively from the beginning of the culture period culminating in maximum densities and biomass on day 12 in all treatments.

There were significant differences ( $p < 0.05$ ) in the growth rate amongst different salinities indicating that salinity was an important factor to be considered when culturing *Skeletonema costatum* on a large scale. Similarly, *Chlorella capsulata* showed similar growth pattern with the highest production on the 12th day. However, the effect of salinity on *Chlorella capsulata* growth was significantly different compared to *Skeletonema costatum* as the growth response was slowest at high salinity (Fig. 1). Different algae species response varies to different salinities as have been reported in previous studies (Huang *et al.*, 2011; Hu and Gao, 2006; Takagi *et al.*, 2006; Zhang *et al.*, 1999). Sudhir and Murthy (2004) illustrated that although high salt content influence physiological process in microorganism, each species differs in the growth response to salinity.

Saros and Fritz (2000) showed that diatom physiology can be affected directly or indirectly via interaction with other growth factors such as the ion composition in the saline system. In a few species of diatoms, low salinity can result in decrease of cell dimension (Lynn *et al.*, 2000). However, other diatom species such as *Thalassionema eccentrica* and *Pseudo-nitzschia seriata* are able to survive salinity up to 150‰ (Nagasathya and Thajuddin, 2008). Although both marine microalgae are capable of growing and photosynthesizing in salinities ranging from 20 to 30‰, significant decrease ( $p < 0.05$ ) in growth patterns as salinity decreases was observed in *C. calcitrans*. This trend might be associated with the limitation of nutritional factors in sea water after dilution (Raghavan *et al.*, 2008).

In the present study, both micro algae species were able

to tolerate growth temperature range from 20 to 30°C. *Skeletonema costatum* performed best at 30°C ( $0.27 \pm 0.01 \mu \text{ day}^{-1}$ ) and this finding was within the range (27-30°C) reported by Renaud *et al.* (2002). At its optimum temperature, the cell density of *Skeletonema costatum* observed in this study was significantly higher than the values ( $1.6 \times 10^5 \text{ cells mL}^{-1}$ ) reported by Phatarpekar *et al.* (2000). Meanwhile, lower growth temperatures reduced the cell density of this diatom. Sheehan (1998) illustrated that increasing the growth temperature induced the cell proliferation, probably due to the changes of cell metabolic activities in response to the environmental stress.

The green microalgae *Chlorella capsulata* growth appeared to be at optimum when cultured at 25°C ( $0.35 \mu \text{ day}^{-1}$ ). At this temperature, the growth rate was 40% higher than value reported in *C. vulgaris* (Converti *et al.*, 2009). Thus, this study illustrated that *Chlorella capsulata* proliferated at a maximum rate at low temperature, an observation which was also reported in *Chiarella sarokiniana* (Franco *et al.*, 2012). Although *Chlorella capsulata* cultured at high temperature (30°C) resulted in significant decrease ( $p < 0.05$ ) in growth rate, the values obtained in this study were two times higher than those reported for *C. vulgaris* cultured at a similar temperature (Converti *et al.*, 2009). This finding illustrated that tolerance to temperature changes is species specific (Oliveira *et al.*, 1999). The ability of *Chlorella capsulata* and *Skeletonema costatum* in adapting to different temperatures indicated that they are versatile candidates for outdoor mass culture.

## CONCLUSION

This study illustrated that the salinity of 30‰ and temperature of 25-30°C induced optimum cell proliferation in *Skeletonema costatum*. Meanwhile, *Chlorella capsulata* required a lower salinity of 25‰ and temperature of 25°C for optimum growth. It can be concluded that both marine microalgae had different ranges of tolerance and adaptability to environmental changes. In this study, *Chlorella capsulata* and *Skeletonema costatum* were demonstrated as fast growing microalgae and they can be considered as suitable species for large outdoor micro algal cultivation.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

Authors are thankful Mr. Mortazavi, the dear chief and Mr. Dehghani the deputy of Persian Gulf and Oman Sea Ecology Research Institute that this research was performed at this institution, and also we acknowledge Mrs. M. Moezi that helped us so much for providing necessary facilities.

# REFERENCES

- Araujo SC and Garcia VMT** (2005) Growth and biochemical composition of the diatom *Chaetoceros cf. wighamii* bright well under different temperature, salinity and carbon dioxide levels. I. Protein, carbohydrates and lipids. *Aquaculture*, 246: 405-412.
- Banerjee S, Khatoon H, Shariff M and Yusoff FM** (2010) Enhancement of *Penaeus monodon* shrimp postlarvae growth and survival without water exchange using marine *Bacillus pumilus* and periphytic microalgae. *Fish. Sci.*, 76: 481-487.
- Banerjee S, Hew WE, Khatoon H, Shariff M and Yusoff FM** (2011) Growth and proximate composition of tropical marine *Chaetoceros calcitrans* and *Nannochloropsis oculata* cultured outdoors and under laboratory conditions. *Afr. J. Biotechnol.*, 10: 1375-1383.
- Becker EW** (2007) Micro-algae as a source of protein. *Biotechnol. Adv.*, 25: 207-210.
- Converti A, Casazza AA, Ortiz EY, Perego P and Borghi MD** (2009) Effect of temperature and nitrogen concentration on the growth and lipid content of *Nannochloropsis oculata* and *Chlorella vulgaris* for biodiesel production. *Chern. Eng. Process.*, 48: 1146-1151.
- Fava G and Martini E** (1988) Effect of inbreeding and salinity on quantitative characters and asymmetry of Tisbe holothuriae (Humes). *Hydrobiologia*, 167: 463-467.
- Franco MC, Buffing MF, Janssen M, Lobato CV and Wijffels RH** (2012) Performance of *Chlorella sorokiniana* under simulated extreme winter conditions. *J. Applied Phycol.*, 24: 693-699.
- Goh SH, Yusoff FM and Loh SP** (2010) A comparison of antioxidant properties and total phenolic content between a diatom, *Chaetoceros* sp. and a green microalga, *Nannochloropsis* sp. *J. Agric. Sci.*, 2: 123-130.
- Goh Jr LP, Loh SP, Fatimah MY and Perumal K** (2009) Bioaccessibility of carotenoids and tocopherols in marine microalgae, *Nannochloropsis* sp. and *Chaetoceros* sp. *Mal. J. Nutr.*, 15: 77-86.
- Guillard RRL** (1973) Division Rates. In: *Handbook of Phycological Methods: Culture Methods and Growth Measurements*, Stein, J.R. (Ed.). Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, London, pp: 303-304.
- Hemaiswarya S, Raja R, Ravi Kumar R, Ganesan V and Anbazhagan C** (2011) Microalgae: A sustainable feed source for aquaculture. *World J. Microbial. Biotechnol.*, 27: 1737-1746.
- Hu H and Gao K** (2006) Response of growth and fatty acid compositions of *Nannochloropsis* sp. to environmental factors under elevated CO<sub>2</sub> concentration. *Biotechnol. Lett.*, 28: 987-992.
- Huang WW, Dong BZ, Cai ZP and Duan SS** (2011) Growth effects on mixed culture of *Dunaliella salina* and *Phaeodactylum tricorutum* under different inoculation densities and nitrogen concentrations. *Afr. J. Biotechnol.*, 10: 13164-13174.
- Ji Y and Sherrell RM** (2008) Differential effects of phosphorus limitation on cellular metals in *Chlorella* and *Microcystis*. *Limnol. Oceanogr.*, 53: 1790-1804.
- Khatoon H, Banerjee S, Yusoff FM and Shariff M** (2009) Evaluation of indigenous marine periphytic *Amphora*, *Navicula* and *Cymbella* grown on substrate as feed supplement in *Penaeus monodon* postlarval hatchery system. *Aquacult. Nutr.*, 15: 186-193.
- Khatoon H, Banerjee S, Yusoff FM and Shariff M** (2012) Use of microalgal-enriched *Diaphanosoma celebensis* Stingelin, 1900 for rearing *Litopenaeus vannamei* (Boone, 1931) postlarvae. *Aquacult. Nutr.*, 10.1111/j.1365-2095.2012.00952.x
- Liang Y, Sarkany N and Cui Y** (2009) Biomass and lipid productivities of *Chlorella vulgaris* under autotrophic, heterotrophic and mixotrophic growth conditions. *Biotechnol. Lett.*, 31: 1043-1049.
- Liska AJ, Shevchenko A, Pick U and Katz A** (2004) Enhanced photosynthesis and redox energy production contribute to salinity tolerance in *Dunaliella* as revealed by homology-based proteomics. *J. Plant Physiol.*, 136: 2806-2817.
- Lynn SG, Kilham SS, Kreege DA and Interlandi SJ** (2000) Effect of nutrient availability on the biochemical and elemental stoichiometry in the freshwater diatom *Stephanodiscus minutulus* (Bacillariophyceae). *J. Phycol.*, 36: 510-522.
- Mat a MT, Martins AA and Caetano NS** (2010) Microalgae for biodiesel production and other applications: A review. *Renewable Sustainable Energy Rev.*, 14: 217-232.
- Nagasathya A and Thajuddin N** (2008) Diatom diversity in hypersaline environment. *J. Fish. Aquatic Sci.*, 3: 328-333.
- Natrah FMI, Yusoff FM, Shariff M, Abas F and Mariana NS** (2007) Screening of Malaysian indigenous microalgae for antioxidant properties and nutritional value. *J. Applied Phycol.*, 19: 711-718.
- Oliveira MACL, Monteiro MPC, Robbs PG and Leite SGF** (1999) Growth and chemical composition of *Spirulina maxima* and *Spirulina platensis* biomass at different temperatures. *Aquacult. Int.*, 7: 261-275.
- Phatarpekar PV, Sreepada RA, Pednekar C and Achuthankutty CT** (2000) A comparative study on growth performance and biochemical composition of mixed culture of *Isochrysis galbana* and *Chaetoceros calcitrans* with monocultures. *Aquaculture*, 181: 141-155.
- Raghavan G, Haridevi CK and Gopinathan CP** (2008) Growth and proximate composition of the *Chaetoceros calcitrans f. pumilus* under different temperature, salinity and carbon dioxide levels. *Aquac. Res.*, 39: 1053-1058.
- Rao AR, Dayananda C, Sarada R, Shamala TR and Ravishankar GA** (2007) Effect of salinity on growth of green alga *Botryococcus braunii* and its constituents. *Bioresour. Technol.*, 98: 560-564.
- Renaud SM, Thinh LV, Lambrinidis G and Parry DL** (2002) Effect of temperature on growth, chemical composition and fatty acid composition of tropical Australian microalgae grown in batch cultures. *Aquaculture*, 211: 195-214.
- Saros JE and Fritz SC** (2000) Changes in the growth rates of saline-lake diatoms in response to variation in salinity, brine type and nitrogen form. *J. Plankton Res.*, 22: 1071-1083.
- Sheehan J** (1998) A Look Back at the U.S. Department of Energy's Aquatic Species Program: Biodiesel from Algae. National Renewable Energy Laboratory, USA., Pages: 325.
- Singh J and Gu S** (2010) Commercialization potential of microalgae for biofuels production. *Renewable Sustainable Energy Rev.*, 14: 2596-2610.
- Sudhir P and Murthy SDS** (2004) Effects of salt stress on basic processes of photosynthesis. *Photosynthetica*, 42: 481-486.
- Takagi M, Karseno S and Yoshida T** (2006) Effect of salt concentration on intracellular accumulation of lipids and triacylglycerides in marine microalgae *Dunaliella* cells. *J. Biosci. Bioeng.*, 101: 223-226.
- Thompson PA, Guo M and Harrison PJ** (1992) Effect of variation in temperature: I. On the biochemical composition of eight species of marine phytoplankton. *J. Phycol.*, 28: 481-488.
- Walne P** (1966) Experiment in the large-scale culture of the larvae of *Ostrea edulis* L. *Fish. Invest.*, 25: 1-53.
- Zhang Q, Gradinger R and Spindler M** (1999) Experimental study on the effect of salinity on growth rates of Arctic-sea-ice algae from the Greenland Sea. *Boreal. Environ. Res.*, 4: 1-8.

© International Journal of Life Sciences

NOTE: Authors/contributors are responsible for originality, contents, correct references, and ethical issues.

Submit your next manuscript to IJLS with a -  
 1. Convenient online submission,  
 2. Rapid editorial review followed by peer review,  
 3. Immediate publication on acceptance.