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Abstract
As we have advanced in technology, plagiarism has also emerged as an enormous problem. It is constantly threatening the purity and piousness of academics either for faculty researchers or for students. It is troubling academic world by its uncontrolled amplification in academics. The massive use of electronic gadgets has certainly triggered the cause. The easy accessibility has simplified copying for students than ever before making plagiarizing easy. This paper attempts to explore the issue of plagiarism from various dimensions especially students and academics.
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Understanding Plagiarism
"Technology has made plagiarism easier, but it has also made it easier to detect". Wong (2004) pleads that to fight with the problem of plagiarism as brought forth by internet threat various universities across the world have started taking firm measures as they are adopting to "data-sifting tools that can cross check billions of digital documents and swiftly recognize patterns in just seconds". The problem of plagiarism is not new rather it’s as old as human civilization. The effect of plagiarism is contagious. With digital-tech development across the world and the wide use of internet the ratio of plagiarism has grown multifold. The high speed search engines are facilitating to provide multiple sources to students to copy and paste the material on any subject and area with far speedier approach. Paldy (1996) sounds bit frustrated saying that plagiarism’s effect is like epidemic and ‘the problem that won't go away'. “Plagiarism is considered a gross violation of The University's academic and disciplinary standards. Alschuler and Blimling (1995) observed that wide spread of plagiarism also compels to evoke plagiarism as an act of ‘epidemic cheating'. The present paper studies the flaring up causes and consequences of student plagiarism and also highlights various issues involved in it. The paper also deals with the significant role of faculty members or academicians in either maximizing the consequences or having an attitude and approach to mitigate this problem.

Hanks (1979), describes plagiarism as 'the act of plagiarising', which means 'to appropriate (ideas, passages, etc) from (another work or author)'. Plagiarism comprise of literary theft, stealing others’ words or ideas and passing them off as one's own without mentioning or acknowledging the original source.

Barnhart (1988) suggested plagiarism as 'literary theft', from the earlier English word plagiary meaning wrongfully taking another's words or ideas. The word plagiarism is in generic sense used to refer to the theft of words, sentences, or ideas, beyond what would normally be regarded as general approach to an issue in terms of common words or most probable sentences. Fialkoff, (1993) stated that plagiarism is 'the misuse of the writings of another author.

Following are the specific conditions where plagiarism occurs:

1. Copying from a web site.
2. Creating a new piece of work on the same theme as an existing one.
3. Citing citations in your work.
4. Quoting from an existing piece of work without reference.
5. Copying short sentences from another source acknowledgement.
6. Copying the words from another source with an acknowledgement.
Students and Plagiarism
The world of academia has been a universal receptor of thoughts, ideas, opinions, suggestions, recommendations and formulated concepts from innumerable sources of great minds including best philosophers, scientists, observes, experts, analysts, teachers, mentors, and business practitioners of vast and varied areas. The core business of the knowledge industry is compiling, collating and imparting such valuable information and ideas. As a loop in the process, there is inevitably great scope for plagiarism within the multi-channeled process. Wojtas (1999) finds that in a common course plagiarism occurs in a variety of settings, including collaboration or cooperation between students working together. Ashworth et al. (1997) found that plagiarism is unattributed use of other people's writings by undergraduates. Baty (2002) observed that master's level students are found more indulged in the act of plagiarism. Morgan & Thomson (1997), Smith (1995), Macilwain, (1998), and Stone (1996) noticed that doctoral level students are generally found more severely involved in plagiarism where the incidences of PhD students copying of graduate students' work by supervisors or other members of academic staff and taking credit in research grant applications for work done by someone else is more common practice. What is found, Wikipedia is the most potential source for student-matched content on the Web. The top eight matched sites are shown in Table 1.

Table 1: List of most common websites used in coping practices by students.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S.N.</th>
<th>websites</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td><a href="http://www.wikipedia.org">www.wikipedia.org</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td><a href="http://www.answers.yahoo.com">www.answers.yahoo.com</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td><a href="http://www.answers.com">www.answers.com</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td><a href="http://www.slideshare.net">www.slideshare.net</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td><a href="http://www.oppapers.com">www.oppapers.com</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td><a href="http://www.scribd.com">www.scribd.com</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td><a href="http://www.coursehero.com">www.coursehero.com</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td><a href="http://www.medlibrary.org">www.medlibrary.org</a></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Students’ Attitude
A number of researches have been carried out to study and map out students’ perception towards plagiarism and amazingly the studies represented a vast contradiction in students’ attitude towards plagiarism and their overall perception and psychology involved in practicing the same. A lot of surveys have unfolded at times which cover the multitude perceptions of students’ attitudes and which generally remains contradictory. Roig (2001) brought a study which directly speaks of habit of plagiarism among academic staff and students and found that any such practice in staff members is generally taken more seriously among themselves while on the other corner students nurture this habit and it is found that such practices flourish when the step in colleges from schools (Sims, 1995). In a study, Sutton & Huba (1995) found out that the psyche of students generally forms a kind of behavior which encompasses cheating and all associated activities about cheating in general. The same behavioral pattern of students was observed by Barnett & Dalton (1981). In a research, Payne & Nantz (1994) found that students see cheating during exams and cheating including other forms of academic purposes differently. In an observation study Roberts & Rabinowitz (1992) found that the overall students perceptions chiefly depends upon the interplay of multiple factors such as needs, wants, situational provocations, alluring opportunities, and intentional approach. In a study Sutton and Huba (1995) found out that there was a wide gap in the perception of cheating between North American and African-American students. It is observed that students do not see cheating as a major problem among their peers (Daniel et al., 1991). One more fact might also support the conception that when compared the act of plagiarism between academic staff and students, it was surprising to note that students have a very different attitude towards cheating and plagiarism (Stern & Havlicek, 1986; Roth & McCabe, 1995; Anderson & Obershain, 1994). In a study Evans & Craig (Stern & Havlicek, 1986; Roth & McCabe, 1995; Anderson & Obershain, 1994). In a study Evans & Craig (Stern & Havlicek, 1986; Roth & McCabe, 1995; Anderson & Obershain, 1994). In a study Evans & Craig (Stern & Havlicek, 1986; Roth & McCabe, 1995; Anderson & Obershain, 1994). In a study Evans & Craig (Stern & Havlicek, 1986; Roth & McCabe, 1995; Anderson & Obershain, 1994). In a study Evans & Craig (Stern & Havlicek, 1986; Roth & McCabe, 1995; Anderson & Obershain, 1994). In a study Evans & Craig (Stern & Havlicek, 1986; Roth & McCabe, 1995; Anderson & Obershain, 1994). In a study Evans & Craig (Stern & Havlicek, 1986; Roth & McCabe, 1995; Anderson & Obershain, 1994). In a study Evans & Craig (Stern & Havlicek, 1986; Roth & McCabe, 1995; Anderson & Obershain, 1994). In a study Evans & Craig (Stern & Havlicek, 1986; Roth & McCabe, 1995; Anderson & Obershain, 1994). In a study Evans & Craig (Stern & Havlicek, 1986; Roth & McCabe, 1995; Anderson & Obershain, 1994). In a study Evans & Craig (Stern & Havlicek, 1986; Roth & McCabe, 1995; Anderson & Obershain, 1994). In a study Evans & Craig (Stern & Havlicek, 1986; Roth & McCabe, 1995; Anderson & Obershain, 1994). In a study Evans & Craig (Stern & Havlicek, 1986; Roth & McCabe, 1995; Anderson & Obershain, 1994). In a study Evans & Craig (Stern & Havlicek, 1986; Roth & McCabe, 1995; Anderson & Obershain, 1994). In a study Evans & Craig (Stern & Havlicek, 1986; Roth & McCabe, 1995; Anderson & Obershain, 1994). In a study Evans & Craig (Stern & Havlicek, 1986; Roth & McCabe, 1995; Anderson & Obershain, 1994). In a study Evans & Craig (Stern & Havlicek, 1986; Roth & McCabe, 1995; Anderson & Obershain, 1994). In a study Evans & Craig (Stern & Havlicek, 1986; Roth & McCabe, 1995; Anderson & Obershain, 1994). In a study Evans & Craig (Stern & Havlicek, 1986; Roth & McCabe, 1995; Anderson & Obershain, 1994). In a study Evans & Craig (Stern & Havlicek, 1986; Roth & McCabe, 1995; Anderson & Obershain, 1994). In a study Evans & Craig (Stern & Havlicek, 1986; Roth & McCabe, 1995; Anderson & Obershain, 1994). In a study Evans & Craig (Stern & Havlicek, 1986; Roth & McCabe, 1995; Anderson & Obershain, 1994).
social set up. Students follow into the practice of plagiarism in absence of legitimated upbringing environment. They pointed out that the practice of framing and un-plagiaristic attitude might be an outcome of social inputs which may comfortably reconcile their uncontrollable affinity towards views, attitudes and practices relating to cheating between the students of two different social landscapes.

**Ways Students Adopt**

Somewhere the concept of plagiarism is related with putting in your efforts to collect the material from different sources at further collating them and incorporating due necessary changes to bring out the desired shape of the content. Following are the different methods through which students generally conduct the practice of plagiarism. Plagiarism is assumed to be stating your name for the works of others. In a study, Bugeja (2000) found that whenever students are given assignments they refer books, newspapers and through other approachable resources and present after making formatting changes as their own. Such habits are flared up by the new technologies.

**Factors behind Students’ Plagiarism**

Hamilton (2003) observed that the commonest factor behind the major reasons behind students plagiarism is their carelessness. This reason has been obviously supportive and understanding students habit of plagiarism because most of the time students do their assignments at the eleventh hour which naturally compels them to adopt to such prohibited means. Brandt (2002) studied out that most of the time students themselves are ignorant of the right way of citation. They copy certain content through websites or books but they do not know how to visibly display the sources of the content, which ultimately results the act of plagiarism. While according to Brandt (2002), “Plagiarism is theft and lying-using information and passing it off as your own.”

Students believe that what they write in assignment might stand incompetent and may draw poor marks by the evaluators. The right way and method of completion of assignment absorbs more time as compared to selecting to latest electronic devices like internet where the contents of almost all the topics are available in abundance. The attitude of plagiarism is further flared up by believing that students do take for granted that teachers are busy people and it is difficult for them to spare time to check the assignments thoroughly.

In order to develop the thorough understanding of students plagiarism or the major reasons associated with it is fruitful to peruse the research of Steven and Stevens (1987), Davis et al. (1992), Love and Simmons (1998) and Straw (2002). Genuine lack of understanding, lack of time, lack of confidence & poor time management tempts towards foul opportunities.

**Information Tech Role**

Internet has really triggered of communication exchange up to a great extent. Such digital development offers an easy access globally. This access has posed great challenges to academia to protect the practice of plagiarism. Further digital sources have made access easy towards copying the contents from e-books and e-journals (Ashworth et al., 1997). Lathrop & Foss (2000) observed that excessive availability of materials of various topics have undoubtedly allured students’ folks. The search engines like google.com on internet is also a very potent medium of supply of such materials to student to plagiarize. Internet has always been a source and motivation of unparalleled temptation providing almost all opportunities of cheating leading to ‘cyber cheating’ (Stebelman, 1998) or ‘cyber plagiarism’ (Anderson, 1999), ‘mouse click plagiarism’ (Auer & Krupar, 2001) or ‘academic cyber-sloth (Carnie, 2001).

**Conclusion**

The study indicates that plagiarism has become a way to be followed by students. With the use of new gadgets plagiarism is growing epidemic in approach and access. Plagiarism is a tough challenge for institutions and academicians because its illegally support to students to cheat and get rewarded with good marks. Plagiarism overshadows the actual talent and discourages original approach. It decreases the enthusiasm and motivation of students for putting their best efforts to create the thought and idea of originality with fresh approach. Plagiarism is not limited to one specific region rather it encompasses almost all the nations across the globe. New technology is helping true researchers, students, academicians to make study material available faster and accurate. However, it is also intolerable that the students are making illegal uses of such potential sources of information.
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