Role of fasting and postprandial hypertriglyceridemia and its association with carotid intima media thickness in Type II diabetes patients
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ABSTRACT

Background and aim: Type 2 diabetes mellitus is associated with the development of premature arteriosclerosis and a higher cardiovascular morbidity and mortality. Diabetic dyslipidaemia is believed to play an important role in the pathogenesis of accelerated atherosclerosis in this condition. B-mode ultrasound enables non-invasive, direct visualization of the arterial wall. The aim of this study was to correlate intima media thickness of the carotid artery (CIMT) quantification as a reliable marker of atherosclerotic burden in diabetes patients.

Method: It was a Cross Sectional Study done on 50 patients in the age group of 30-70 years with type II Diabetes Mellitus of more than 5 years duration without hypertension. Carotid Intima Media Thickness (CIMT) was measured using an echographic system with a 7.5-MHz linear transducer probe. With the carotid bulb and the longitudinal projection of the common carotid artery 2cmx from carotid bulb, the site of the greatest thickness including a plaque lesion was sought along both near and far walls bilaterally.

Results: The mean CIMT of subjects with fasting triglyceride level less than 150 mg/dL was 13.2±0.48 mm with a range of 0.6-2.4 mm. Similarly the level between 150-200 mg/dL was 1.89±0.54 mm with a range of 1.3-2.6 mm and level more than 200 mg/dL was 2.00±0.63 mm with a range of 0.8-2.6 mm. From the present study, it is clearly evident that age, duration of disease, fasting triglycerides and postprandial triglycerides have a significant impact on the CIMT, whereas other variables are having any significant impact on CIMT.

Conclusion: The present study concludes both fasting and postprandial triglyceride levels correlate significantly with carotid intima media thickness. But, the correlation of postprandial triglyceride levels with carotid intima media thickness is better than that of fasting triglyceride levels with carotid intima media thickness, the postprandial hypertriglyceridermia despite normal fasting triglyceride level can be considered as an independent risk factor for atherosclerosis in type 2 diabetes mellitus.

INTRODUCTION

The term diabetes in Greek mean ‘running through’ was first described by Aretaeus of Cappadocia in second century AD. Ancient Indian system of medicine (600-400 BC) like Charak and Sushruta, were versed with many of the currently known facts of the disease and named it ‘madhumeha’.[1]

The worldwide prevalence of DM has risen dramatically over the past two decades. Although the prevalence of both type 1 and type 2 DM is increasing worldwide, the prevalence of type 2 DM is rising much rapidly.[2]

It has been suggested that every year there are 4 million deaths due to diabetes, and today 285 million people across the world are living with diabetes.[3]

These numbers are projected to increase to 333 and 472 million, respectively, by the year 2025.[4]

Diabetes is a fascinating condition since insulin deficiency leads to such a marked disturbance in metabolism. Although traditionally diabetes has been thought of as a group of common metabolic disorders that share the phenotype of hyperglycemia, it is clear that the disruption in fatty acid metabolism may be far more important in genesis of atherosclerosis in both type 1 and 2 diabetes.[5]

Type 2 diabetes mellitus is associated with the development of premature arteriosclerosis and a higher cardiovascular morbidity and mortality.[6-7]

Diabetic dyslipidaemia is believed to play an important role in the pathogenesis of accelerated atherosclerosis in this condition.[8-9]

Lipid abnormalities in type 2 diabetes are characterized by high triglyceride concentration, particularly post-prandially (post-prandial), high density lipoprotein-cholesterol (HDL)
concentrations and normal total and low density lipoprotein-cholesterol (LDL) concentration.\[10]\n
B-mode ultrasound enables non-invasive, direct visualization of the arterial wall. The intima media thickness of the carotid artery (CIMT) quantified using this technique, is a reliable marker of atherosclerotic burden.

Furthermore, it demonstrate greater sensitivity in detecting early atherosclerosis compared with angiography.\[13]\n
**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

It was Cross Sectional Study done on 50 patients in the age group of 30-70 years with type II Diabetes Mellitus of more than 5 years duration without hypertension, admitted to Nepal Medical College and Hospital, Jorpati, Kathmandu, formed the material of the present study.

The study was approved by ethical committee of Nepal Medical College and Hospital, Jorpati, Kathmandu.

The exclusion criteria were patients with type 1 diabetes mellitus, with age less than 30 and more than 70 years, with previous history of hypertension, stroke, IHD and peripheral vascular disease, and other secondary causes of type 2 diabetes mellitus and duration of diabetes from initial diagnosis <5 years.

Current designation of high triglyceride level-

- < 150mg % - Normal
- 150-199mg % - Boarderline
- 200-499mg % - High
- > 500mg % - Very high

We divided subjects into 3 categories based on above classification but grouped together high and very high.\[11]\n
Carotid Intima Media Thickness (CIMT) was measured using an echotomographic system (TOSHIBA NEMIO 17) with a 7.5-MHz linear transducer probe. With the carotid bulb and the longitudinal projection of the common carotid artery 2cmx from carotid bulb, the site of the greatest thickness including a plaque lesion was sought along both near and far walls bilaterally. (Lars A et al, 1971\[13]\n
Statistical Intima del Sol A et al, 2002\[12]\n
**RESULT**

Table No. 1: Distribution of Patients Based on FTG and PPTG

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group Classification</th>
<th>Number</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I Normo-Normo Group (NN) (FTG ≤ 150 mg/dL ; PPTG ≤ 150 mg/dL)</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II Normo-Hyper Group (NH) (FTG ≤ 150 mg/dL ; PPTG &gt; 150 mg/dL)</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III Hyper-Hyper Group (HH) (FTG &gt; 150 mg/dL ; PPTG &gt; 150 mg/dL)</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Out of the 50 patients, 16 (32%) were in the NN groups, 18 (36%) in the NN group and 16 (32%) in the HH group.

Table No. 2: Assessment of Mean Fasting Triglyceride Levels According to Groups

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group Classification</th>
<th>FTG (mg/dL)</th>
<th>Range</th>
<th>Mean ± SD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I Normo-Normo Group (NN) (FTG ≤ 150 mg/dL ; PPTG ≤ 150 mg/dL)</td>
<td>33 - 136</td>
<td>89.7 ± 29</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II Normo-Hyper Group (NH) (FTG ≤ 150 mg/dL ; PPTG &gt; 150 mg/dL)</td>
<td>59 - 143</td>
<td>107.4 ± 24.7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III Hyper-Hyper Group (HH) (FTG &gt; 150 mg/dL ; PPTG &gt; 150 mg/dL)</td>
<td>155 - 433</td>
<td>237.3 ± 81.9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The mean serum fasting triglyceride in the NN group was 89.7±29 mg/dL with a range of 33-136 mg/dL, Similarly, in the NH group was 107.4±24.7 mg/dL with a range of 59-143 mg/dL and in the HH group was 237.3±81.9 mg/dL with a range of 155-433 mg/dL.

Table No. 3: Assessment of Postprandial Triglyceride Levels According to Groups

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group Classification</th>
<th>FTG (mg/dL)</th>
<th>Range</th>
<th>Mean ± SD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I Normo-Normo Group (NN) (FTG ≤ 150 mg/dL ; PPTG ≤ 150 mg/dL)</td>
<td>43 - 147</td>
<td>114.9 ± 28.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II Normo-Hyper Group (NH) (FTG ≤ 150 mg/dL ; PPTG &gt; 150 mg/dL)</td>
<td>156 - 317</td>
<td>192.7 ± 38.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III Hyper-Hyper Group (HH) (FTG &gt; 150 mg/dL ; PPTG &gt; 150 mg/dL)</td>
<td>176 - 462</td>
<td>289.1 ± 87.9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The mean serum postprandial triglyceride in the NN group was 114.9±28.2mg/dL with a range of 43-147mg/dL, the
mean serum postprandial triglyceride in the NH group was 192.7±38.3 mg/dL with a range of 156-317 mg/dL and the mean serum postprandial triglyceride in the HH group was 289.1±87.9 mg/dL with a range of 176-462 mg/dL.

Table No. 4: Assessment of CIMT According to Groups

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Classification</th>
<th>CIMT (mm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>Normo-Normo Group (NN) (FTG ≤ 150 mg/dL ; PPTG ≤ 150 mg/dL)</td>
<td>0.6 – 1.6 0.96 ± 0.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II</td>
<td>Normo-Hyper Group (NH) (FTG ≤ 150 mg/dL ; PPTG &gt; 150 mg/dL)</td>
<td>0.9 – 2.4 1.64 ± 0.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III</td>
<td>Hyper-Hyper Group (HH) (FTG &gt; 150 mg/dL ; PPTG &gt; 150 mg/dL)</td>
<td>1.2 – 2.8 1.94 ± 0.57</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In the NN group, the mean CIMT was 0.96±0.26 mm with a range of 0.6-1.6 mm. Similarly, in the NH group, the mean CIMT was 1.64±0.39 mm with a range of 0.9-2.4 mm and in the HH group, the mean CIMT was 1.94±0.57 mm with a range of 1.2-2.8 mm.

Table No. 5: Assessment of CIMT According to Fasting Triglycerides Levels

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fasting Triglycerides Levels (mg/dL)</th>
<th>CIMT (mm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>&lt; 150</td>
<td>0.6 – 2.4 1.32 ± 0.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>150 – 200</td>
<td>1.3 – 2.6 1.89 ± 0.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt; 200</td>
<td>1.2 – 2.8 2.0 ± 0.63</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The mean CIMT of subjects with fasting triglyceride level less than 150 mg/dL was 13.2±0.48 mm with a range of 0.6-2.4 mm. Similarly the level between 150-200 mg/dL was 1.89±0.54 mm with a range of 1.3-2.6 mm and level more than 200 mg/dL was 2.00±0.63 mm with a range of 0.8-2.6 mm.

Table No. 6: Assessment of CIMT According to Postprandial Triglycerides Levels

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Postprandial Triglycerides Levels (mg/dL)</th>
<th>CIMT (mm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>&lt; 150</td>
<td>0.6 – 1.6 0.96 ± 0.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>150 – 200</td>
<td>0.9 – 2.4 1.67 ± 0.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt; 200</td>
<td>1.2 – 2.8 1.87 ± 0.55</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The mean CIMT of subjects with postprandial triglyceride level less than 150 mg/dL was 0.96±0.26 mm with a range of 0.6-1.6 mm, the level between 150-200 mg/dL was 1.67±0.42 mm with a range of 0.9-2.4 mm. and the level more than 200 mg/dL was 1.87±0.55 mm with a range of 1.2-2.8 mm.

Table No. 7: Distribution of Postprandial Triglyceride Levels with CIMT in Patients with Normal Fasting Triglyceride Levels

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fasting Triglyceride Levels and Postprandial Triglyceride Levels</th>
<th>CIMT (mm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FTG ≤ 150mg/dL ; PPTG ≤ 150mg/dL</td>
<td>0.6 – 1.6 0.96 ± 0.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FTG ≤ 150mg/dL ; PPTG between 150-200 mg/dL</td>
<td>0.9 – 2.4 1.63 ± 0.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FTG ≤ 150mg/dL ; PPTG &gt; 200mg/dL</td>
<td>1.2 – 2.4 1.64 ± 0.46</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The mean CIMT of subjects with normal fasting and normal postprandial triglyceride level less than 150 mg/dL was 0.96±0.26 mm with a range of 0.6-1.6 mm. Similarly the level between 150-200 mg/dL was 1.63±0.38 mm with a range of 0.9-2.4 mm. and the level more than 200 mg/dL was 1.64±0.46 mm with a range of 1.2-2.4 mm.

Table No. 8: Comparative Analysis of CIMT with Various Variable Under Study

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>‘r’ value</th>
<th>‘p’ value</th>
<th>Significance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>0.324</td>
<td>P &lt; 0.05</td>
<td>Significant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duration</td>
<td>0.456</td>
<td>P &lt; 0.01</td>
<td>Highly Significant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FBS</td>
<td>0.041</td>
<td>P &gt; 0.05</td>
<td>Not Significant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPBS</td>
<td>0.442</td>
<td>P &lt; 0.05</td>
<td>Significant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cholesterol-fasting</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>P &gt; 0.05</td>
<td>Not Significant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cholesterol-post prandial</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>P &gt; 0.05</td>
<td>Not Significant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LDL-fasting</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>P &gt; 0.05</td>
<td>Not Significant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LDL-post prandial</td>
<td>-0.13</td>
<td>P &gt; 0.05</td>
<td>Not Significant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HDL-fasting</td>
<td>-0.247</td>
<td>P &gt; 0.05</td>
<td>Not Significant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HDL-post prandial</td>
<td>-0.241</td>
<td>P &gt; 0.05</td>
<td>Not Significant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Triglyceride-fasting</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>P &lt; 0.001</td>
<td>Highly Significant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Triglyceride-post prandial</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td>P &lt; 0.001</td>
<td>Highly Significant</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

From the present study, it is clearly evident that age, duration of disease, fasting triglycerides and postprandial triglycerides have a significant impact on the CIMT, whereas other variables are having any significant impact on CIMT.

DISCUSSION

The present study was conducted at Nepal Medical College, Kathmandu and included 50 patients having type 2 diabetes mellitus for more than 5 years duration.

The patients were divided into three groups based on the fasting and postprandial triglyceride levels. The first group is normo-normo (NN) group (n=16), i.e. the patients having normal fasting and normal postprandial triglyceride levels. Second group is normo-hyper (NH) group (n=18), i.e. the patient having normal fasting but high postprandial triglyceride
levels. Third group is hyper-hyper (HH) group (n=16), i.e. the patients with high fasting and high postprandial triglyceride levels.

The distribution of the patients in the NN, NH and HH groups in the present study was exactly similar to that in the studies of Shinchi Teno et al [14] in 2000 involving 61 and Ahmad J et al [13] in 2005 involving 86 patients.

The patients included in the present study aged between 35-70 years. The mean age of the subjects was 54, 64 ± 9.53 years. Majority (32%) of the patients were in the age group of 51-60 years. The mean age of the patients in NN group was 57.75 ± 6.86 years. NH group was 35.94 ± 10.49 years and HH group was 52.31 ± 9.67 years. These observations were similar to the study of Shinchi Teno et al [14] in 2000 where it was found that age of the patients ranged from 35-69 years. The mean age of patients was 53.7 ± 7.2 years. The mean age in the NN, NH and HH group was 52.5 ± 6.2, 54.7 ± 9.1, 54.9 ± 7.7 years respectively.

Shinchi Teno et al [14] in 2000 studied 61 patients and out of them, 40 (65%) were males and rest (35%) were females. In majority of other studies also, there was a male predominance. However, in the present study of 50 patients, 26 (52%) were females and 24 (48%) were males. More or less, there was equal predominance as the patients were randomly selected. Similar observations were found in the study of Mala Dharmalingam et al [11] in 2004, in which out of 145 patients, female comprised 74 (51%) patients and males comprised 71 (49%) patients where the predominance was similar in either sex.

The duration of diabetes mellitus from initial diagnosis varied from 5 to 25 years and the mean duration of diabetes was 8.16 ± 4.13 years. The majority of the patients 42 (84%) had diabetes since 11-15 years. The mean duration of diabetes in the NN, NH, HH group was 9.75 ± 5.72, 6.28 ± 1.84 and 8.69 ± 3.46 years respectively.

Shinchi Teno et al [14] in 2000, found that the mean duration of diabetes from initial diagnosis was 7.2 ± 5.8 years. The mean duration of diabetes in NN, NH and HH groups was 7.8 ± 5.4, 4.8 ± 3.4 and 7.8 ± 7.4 years. These observations are more or less similar to that of the present study.

The mean CIMT in the NN, NH and HH group was 0.96 ± 0.26, 1.64 ± 0.39 and 1.94 ± 0.57 mm respectively. The CIMT was higher in the NH and HH groups in comparison with that in the NN group which is statistically significant (p<0.001). This is consistent with the study of Shinchi Teno et al [14] in 2000, who observed that CIMT was significantly higher in the NH and HH groups than in NN group (p<0.01).

It was observed that the age of the patient advances, the CIMT also increases. The age of the patient was found to be significantly associated with the CIMT (r=0.32, p<0.05). Similar observation were obtained in the studies of Ahmad J et al [13] in 2005, Mohan V et al [17] in 2000, Katherine Esposito et al [18] in 2008 and Abdelghaffer S et al [19] in 2006, who found that CIMT correlated positively with age of the subjects (r=0.76, p<0.05).

The duration of the diabetes was found to be significantly correlating with the CIMT (r=0.456, p<0.001). This correlation is in accordance with the study of Abdelghaffer S et al [19] in 2005, where significant correlation was found between CIMT and duration of disease in diabetic patients (r=0.66, p<0.001) Mohan V et al [17] in 2000 also found more or less identical results.

The mean FBS in the NN, NH and HH groups was 157.3 ± 109.7, 184.1 ± 112.8 and 214.4 ± 120.8 mg/dl respectively. There was no statistical correlation between the FBS and CIMT (r=0.04, p<0.05). This observation was similar to that observed by Katherine Esposito et al [18] in 2008, where it was reported that CIMT did not correlate with fasting glucose levels (r=0.14, p<0.05).

The mean PPBS in the NN, NH and HH groups was 219.8 ± 101.3, 331.9 ± 156.7 and 310.6 ± 119.4 mg/dl respectively. It was observed that PPBS correlated significantly with the CIMT (r=0.442, p<0.01). The patients with postprandial hyperglycemia had significantly raised CIMT. This result was similar to that obtained by Katherine Esposito et al [18] in 2008, who reported statistically significant correlation of incremental glucose peak, absolute glucose peak and 2 hour glucose (postprandial blood sugar) with CIMT.

The mean serum total cholesterol in the NN, NH and HH groups was 163.18 ± 48.11, 160.76 ± 52.17 and 158.94 ± 57.63 mg/dl respectively.

No correlation was observed between the total cholesterol levels, either fasting (p<0.05) or postprandial (p<0.05), with the CIMT. Similarly, Katherine Esposito et al [18] in 2008 also found and CIMT did not correlate with total cholesterol levels (r=0.02, p=0.82).

The mean serum HDL in the NN, NH and HH groups was 38.75 ± 13.37, 37.78 ± 8.80 and 22.56 ± 10.44 mg/dl respectively. No association was found between fasting (p>0.05) and postprandial (p>0.05) HDL levels with the CIMT which was supported by the study of Katherine Esposito et al [18] in 2008 who reported that CIMT did not correlate with high-density lipoprotein (HDL)-cholesterol levels (r=-0.03, p=0.67).

The mean serum LDL in the NN, NH and HH groups was 107.38 ± 37.54, 102.67 ± 28.88 and 86.94 ± 49.97 mg/dl respectively.

No statistical correlation was found between the fasting and postprandial LDL levels with the CIMT (p<0.05).

Due to paucity of literature on the correlation between the LDL and CIMT and statistically insignificant correlation obtained in the present study, require further extensive studies with a vast sample size.

This non-association could be because of lab method used for finding HDL value. Our lab use Friedwald formula for calculation of LDL rather than directly measuring it. This calculation is not accurate as triglyceride level increase. [20]

The mean serum VLDL in the NN, NH and HH groups was 17.94 ± 5.66, 23.56 ± 7.43 and 48.75 ± 16.09 mg/dl respectively. There was a statistically significant correlation of CIMT with the fasting VLDL (p<0.05) and postprandial VLDL (p<0.05).

Gronholdt et al [21] in 1996 observed a statistically significant correlation of CIMT with fasting and postprandial VLDL (p<0.05) in patients with symptomatic carotid atherosclerosis (≥ 40% carotid artery stenosis) and Susanna B et al [22] in 1999 obtained
significant correlation of CIMT with postprandial VLDL (p<0.05) in normal population too.

Due to paucity of literature on the significant correlation between VLDL and CIMT specially in type 2 diabetes mellitus, as obtained in present study (p<0.05), require further extensive studies with a vast sample size.

The mean FTG in the NN, NH and HH groups was 89.7± 29, 107.4± 24.7 and 237.3± 81.9 mg/dl respectively. The mean FTG was significantly higher in HH group than that in NN and NH groups while there was no significant difference between the mean FTG of NN and NH groups.

Shinichi Teno et al [14] in 2000, observed the mean serum fasting triglycerides in the NN, NH and HH groups was 111.1± 39.9, 125.3± 21.3 and 270.2± 110.2 mg/dl respectively. The mean FTG was significantly higher HH group than that of in the NN and NH groups and moreover there was no significant difference between mean FTG of NN and NH groups as observed in the present study.

It was also observed that there is an increase in CIMT with the increase in FTG levels having a statistically significant correlation between CIMT and FTG levels (p<0.01). CIMT was higher in the patients with fasting hypertriglyceridemia as compared to those with normal fasting triglyceride levels. This observation is supported by various authors. Shinichi Teno et al [14] in 2000 found that CIMT of the patients with fasting hypertriglyceridemia was greater than that of the patients with normal FTG levels (p<0.02). Mori et al [23] in 2005 found that the CIMT values were significantly higher in diabetic patients with fasting hypertriglyceridemia as compared to that in those with normal fasting triglyceride levels. Mala Dharmalingam et al [24] in 2004 also found that fasting triglycerides correlated significantly with carotid intima-media thickness.

The mean PPTG in the NN, NH and HH groups was 114.9±28.2, 192.7±38.3 and 289.1±87.9 mg/dl respectively. The mean PPTG values in the NH and HH groups were significantly higher than that in the NN group.

Shinichi Teno et al [14] in 2000 observed the mean serum postprandial triglycerides in the NN, NH and HH groups was 145.5± 44.4, 263.1± 42.6 and 391.9± 23.7 mg/dl respectively. The mean PPTG values in the NH and HH groups were significantly higher than that in the NN group which resembles our observations.

It was observed that CIMT increased with increase in the PPTG levels and there exists a significant correlation between CIMT and PPTG (p<0.01), this is supported by the study of Mori et al [23] in 2005, who concluded that in diabetic patients the CIMT values were significantly higher in patients with postprandial hypertriglyceridemia as compared to CIMT of patients with normal postprandial triglyceride levels.

In the present study, it was observed that although both FTG and PPTG levels are significantly correlating with CIMT but the PPTG levels (F=20.22, r=0.67) were more significantly correlating with CIMT as compared to the FTG levels (F=8.13, r=0.45).

Also, it was observed that CIMT was higher in patients with postprandial hypertriglyceridemia despite normal fasting triglyceride levels. Thus PPTG levels were significantly correlated with CIMT in patients even with normal FTG level (p<0.01).

Hence, it can be concluded that PPTG levels may be a better predictor of carotid intima media thickness that FTG in patients with type 2 diabetes. These observations were supported by Shinichi Teno et al [14] and Chen X et al [25].

Shinichi Teno et al [14] in 2000, observed CIMT in the patients with postprandial hypertriglyceridemia despite normal FTG levels. Furthermore, PPTG levels were more strongly and independently correlated with CIMT and FTG levels. It was concluded that, postprandial hypertriglyceridemia, despite normal FTG levels, may be an independent risk factor for early atherosclerosis in type 2 diabetes.

Chen X et al [25] in 2003, observed that the CIMT in patients postprandial hypertriglyceridemia was significantly greater than that in patients with postprandial normal-triglyceridemia (p<0.05), which remained significant after adjustment for fasting triglyceride levels, it was concluded that postprandial hypertriglyceridemia may be an independent risk factor of early atherosclerosis in type 2 diabetes.

CONCLUSION

The present study concludes as under:

1. That both fasting and postprandial triglyceride levels correlate significantly with carotid intima media thickness. But, the correlation of postprandial triglyceride levels with carotid intima media thickness is better than that of fasting triglyceride levels with carotid intima media thickness.

2. The postprandial hypertriglyceridemia despite normal fasting triglyceride level can be considered as an independent risk factor for atherosclerosis in type 2 diabetes mellitus. Hence, evaluating not only for the fasting triglyceride levels but also the postprandial triglyceride levels during the clinical assessment of patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus is essential.

3. Incidentally, carotid intima media thickness had statistically significant correlation with-
   i. Age of the patient
   ii. Duration of diabetes
   iii. Postprandial blood sugar levels
   iv. Fasting and postprandial VLDL levels
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