ABSTRACT

Background: Dimension and location of pulp chamber are important during access cavity preparation. It allows clinician in instruments selection, orientation and depth of cutting during the process. Analysis of these has been done in preoperative intraoral periapical radiograph that gives two-dimensional image. The radiograph has been blamed now and then for the inaccurate results and iatrogenic damage occurred due to the inaccurate results. Cone-Beam Computed Tomography (CBCT) provides three-dimensional images and is taken as standard. Hence the aim of the study is to compare intraoral periapical (IOPA) radiograph and CBCT to determine the landmarks for accurate results.

Methods: 50 intact two-rooted maxillary first premolars were collected and numbered. Periapical radiograph images and CBCT images of these 50 teeth were taken and divided as; Group I: 50 IOPAR and Group II: 50 CBCT images. Landmarks marking and measurement was done using tracing paper and x-ray viewer for IOPA images and Planmeca Romexis software for CBCT images. Data were collected and analysed with descriptive and inferential statistics done using tracing paper and x-ray viewer for IOPA images and Planmeca Romexis software for CBCT images. Data were collected and analysed with descriptive and inferential statistics done using Statistical Package of Social Science (SPSS) software version 16.

Results: The averages of group I are 7.44, 10.23mm 14.33mm, 4.1mm, 6.89mm and 2.79mm respectively. There is statistically significant difference in measurement A and 2.63mm respectively. The averages of in group II are 6.95mm, 9.58mm, 14.03mm, 4.45mm, 7.07mm and 2.63mm respectively. There is statistically significant difference in measurement A and measurement B between group I and group II.

Conclusions: This indicates that there are chances of overestimation of measurement A and B with IOPAR.

INTRODUCTION

The importance of access cavity has been mentioned as ‘the gateway of root canal’.1 Conservative access cavity further enhances the fracture resistance of the tooth.1,2 Knowing dimension and location of pulp cavity during access cavity preparation helps clinician in instruments selection, orientation and depth of cutting during preparation.1,4 Analysis of dimension and location of pulp cavity has been carried from the early days with preoperative intraoral periapical (IOPA) radiograph,5 which gives two-dimensional image of three-dimensional object. There has been number of studies that show dimension taken from radiograph varies considerably, due to which access cavity preparation may be compromised, and iatrogenic damages may occur. However, paralleling technique in periapical radiograph is said to have reasonably approximate measurement.6 Recently, Cone-Beam Computed Tomography (CBCT) has become a valuable tool for the practice of endodontics where measurement and calculation of pulp spaces may provide more precise anatomic information.7,8 Such information may assist in achieving proper and precise access cavity preparation and minimizing iatrogenic damages.9 Although CBCT has high accuracy, it is not used routinely in every clinical scenario. IOPA radiograph remains the valid option as being easily available and economical. Hence, this in-vitro study was aimed to determine the pulp chamber landmarks of maxillary first premolar using IOPA radiograph and CBCT and compare the measurement from these landmarks.

METHODS

Fifty extracted maxillary first premolar teeth were collected from oral surgery department, Peoples Dental College. The selected teeth were free of caries, had two roots, had intact cusps, had closed apex, and had no attrition. All the selected teeth were thoroughly cleaned and disinfected by immersing them into 10 % formalin for 7 days. Each tooth was assigned a number, with permanent marker (Cello Permaline) on the palatal surface of crown, from 1 to 50. Teeth (1 to 50) were radiographed using intraoral film (E-speed, Carestream Dental) and Gendex X-ray with parallel technique. The film was placed on X-ray viewer. Tracing paper was placed over the film and four landmarks were marked. The images thus acquired were named group I.

Two blocks of 23cm length, 1 cm breadth and 2cm height in horseshoe shaped were fabricated with modeling wax. 25
teeth, separated from each other by 2 mm, were mounted by embedding the root portion in each wax rim. Metal pin was attached to each wax block, 50 mm away from tooth. The wax block that contained the teeth numbered 1 to 25 was placed on the bite mount of CBCT (Planmeca) and the other wax block that contained the teeth numbered 26 to 50 was placed over the first wax block (Fig.1). Scanning was performed with ProMax 3D CBCT (Planmeca) operated at 90 kV, 14 mA with a voxel size of 200 µm and a field of view of 90 by150 mm. The CBCT image was opened in Planmeca Romexis software. Each tooth (tooth numbered 1 to 50) was identified and four landmarks were marked. The images thus acquired were named group II.

The four landmarks were named as L1, L2, L3 and L4. L1 was the mid-point of a line connecting two cusp tips; L2 was the lowest point on roof of the pulp chamber; L3 was the highest point on floor of the pulp chamber; and L4 was the point of complete separation at furcation. A horizontal line was drawn from each landmark parallel to each other. Six measurements in millimeter (mm) were taken from these landmarks’ lines. The six measurements were named as A, B, C, D, E and F. A is the distance between L1 to L2. B is the distance between L1 to L3. C is the distance between L1 to L4. D is the distance obtained by subtracting B from C, i.e. the distance between L3 to L4. E is the distance obtained by subtracting A from C, i.e. the distance between L2 to L4. F is the distance obtained by subtracting A from B, i.e. distance between L2 to L3 (Fig.2).

**Figure 1: Scanning with CBCT**

A= L1 to L2  
B= L1 to L3  
D = C – B (L3 to L4)  
E = C – A (L2 to L4)

Data were collected, compiled and analyzed by using Statistical Package of Social Science (SPSS) software version 16. The data were expressed as mean ± SD. The mean comparisons of six measurements between IOPA and CBCT groups were done by independent t-test. p-value of < 0.05 was considered to be statistically significant.

**RESULTS**

The average distance from the cusp tip to the pulp chamber roof (A) and floor (B) as recorded by IOPA was 7.44 ± 1.07 mm and 10.23 ± 1.13 mm respectively. The distance between the cusp tips to closest point in furcation (C) was recorded to be 14.33 ± 1.34 mm. The mean distance between floor (D) of the pulp chamber and roof (E) of the chamber was 4.10 ± 1.78 mm and 6.89 ± 1.40 mm respectively. The average height of the pulp chamber (F) was 2.79 ± 0.70 mm.

The average distance from the cusp tip to the pulp chamber roof (A) and floor (B) as recorded by CBCT was 6.95 ± 0.64 mm and 9.58 ± 0.84 mm respectively. The distance between the cusp tips to closest point in furcation (C) was recorded to be 14.03 ± 1.44 mm. The mean distance between floor (D) of the pulp chamber and roof (E) of the chamber was 4.45 ± 1.64 mm and 7.07 ± 1.44 mm respectively. The average height of the pulp chamber (F) was 2.63 ± 0.75 mm.

The mean values of two measurements i.e. A and B showed significant difference when IOPA was compared to CBCT. The results show that mean values of distance from cusp tip to pulp chamber roof (A) and cusp tip to pulp chamber floor (B) recorded by IOPA was higher than CBCT which was statistically significant with p value less than 0.05.
Table 1: Comparison of various morphological measurements recorded from IOPA and CBCT.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measurements</th>
<th>IOPA (mean ± sd)</th>
<th>CBCT (mean ± sd)</th>
<th>Mean diff.</th>
<th>95% CI of diff.</th>
<th>p value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Lower</td>
<td>Upper</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cusp tip to pulp chamber roof (A)</td>
<td>7.44 ± 1.07</td>
<td>6.95 ± 0.64</td>
<td>0.486</td>
<td>0.133</td>
<td>0.838</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cusp tip to pulp chamber floor (B)</td>
<td>10.23 ± 1.13</td>
<td>9.58 ± 0.84</td>
<td>0.649</td>
<td>0.251</td>
<td>1.046</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cusp tip to the furcation (C)</td>
<td>14.33 ± 1.34</td>
<td>14.03 ± 1.44</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>-0.252</td>
<td>0.852</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Floor of pulp chamber to furcation(D)</td>
<td>4.10 ± 1.47</td>
<td>4.45 ± 1.64</td>
<td>-0.349</td>
<td>-0.969</td>
<td>0.271</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roof of pulp chamber to furcation(E)</td>
<td>6.89 ± 1.40</td>
<td>7.07 ± 1.44</td>
<td>-0.186</td>
<td>-0.749</td>
<td>0.377</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height of pulp chamber (F)</td>
<td>2.79 ± 0.70</td>
<td>2.63 ± 0.75</td>
<td>0.163</td>
<td>-0.125</td>
<td>-0.451</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*statistically significant.

DISCUSSION

A sound knowledge regarding pulp chamber anatomy, dimension and location is very essential before initiating root canal therapy so as to prepare proper access cavity, to find canal orifice at appropriate position, to reduce tooth destruction and to prevent undesirable iatrogenic damage of pulp floor. Review of literature has shown very few studies that measure anatomic landmarks relating to the pulp chamber of furcated maxillary first premolars. Previously, measurement of the landmarks by sectioning of the tooth was done. Krasner and Rankow, however, described anatomical pattern and relationships of pulp chamber floor.

Endodontic access cavity preparation is determined by internal pulp chamber anatomy. The extension of internal pulp chamber anatomy guides us in deroofing the pulp chamber as it is necessary for accessibility to the coronal and radicular root canal system. CBCT is used for diagnosis and management of complex endodontic problem since it gives a three-dimensional radiographic image. Three-dimensional CBCT may provide higher accuracy and a greater amount of information than two-dimensional imaging modalities while doing endodontic procedure and endodontic surgery.

The peaks of these extension are the landmarks that need to be identified before initiating treatment for preventing the iatrogenic damage in the floor and walls of pulp chamber. Hence, midpoint of line connecting two cusp tips, lowest point on roof of the pulp chamber, highest point on floor of the pulp chamber, and complete separation at furcation were chosen as landmarks to measure the extension of pulp chamber. Deutsch et al in his study, had also taken same landmarks for measurements in maxillary furcated bicuspids. Azim et al in his study, had chosen cusp tip, central fossa, roof and floor of the pulp chamber and complete separation at furcation as landmarks for measurements in maxillary and mandibular molars. In the present study, mesio-distal view of tooth was selected for IOPA exposure, so that both cusp tips and the furcation were seen in an image. This also allowed for direct morphological measurements relating to the furcation. For exposure of samples using CBCT, teeth were mounted in a wax rim to scan all the teeth in a single exposure. Metal pin in wax rim identified the sequence of tooth placement. Pin was placed 50 mm away from tooth, so that, it could not interfere with the image. Study evaluating the degree of metal artifacts by CBCT had found no artifacts when metal was beyond 5 cm from interest site. CBCT images were numbered for identification purpose.

Comparing the results of present study from Deutch et al, measurement A, C, D, E & F appeared to be 0.5 mm, 2.78 mm, 2.25 mm, 2.28 mm & 0.03 mm longer respectively in IOPA radiographic image, and measurement A, C, D & E appeared to be 0.01 mm, 2.48 mm, 2.6 mm & 2.46 mm longer respectively in CBCT image. The difference in quantitative measurement of the anatomic landmarks in pulp chamber of maxillary first premolar in comparison to study conducted by Deutch et al. in Tennessee population could be attributed to racial difference, since the present study was carried out in Nepalese population. However, Deutch et al. used RVG, a digital image, for IOPA radiograph. When comparing the results of IOPAR and CBCT, there was a significant difference in measurement of A and B. It is possible that variation in IOPA radiographic measurement could be responsible for limitation of images like distortion and artifact.
The result showed the measurements of IOPA radiographic image are higher than CBCT image. This might indicate chances of overestimation in A and B in IOPA radiographic image which might result in iatrogenic damage during access cavity preparation.

The study done on this limited subject might not represent the whole population.

CONCLUSION

The result showed, the measurement A and B from IOPA was higher than from CBCT indicating that instead of CBCT if we rely on IOPA, there are chances of overestimation in A and B. In general, the distance from cusps tip to the pulp chamber floor is approximately ten millimeters and cusp tip to the furcation is fourteen millimeters. Therefore, marking the bur at approximately ten millimeters will reduce the possibility of iatrogenic damage in pulpal floor.
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