ABSTRACT

Adenosine Deaminase (ADA) is used as a well established biological marker for diagnosis of tuberculous pleuritis. The aim of this study was to assess the sensitivity and specificity of ADA in patients with pleural effusion admitted in the medical ward. This was an cross sectional study. The information was gathered only from those patients whose pleural fluid sample was sent for ADA in clinical biochemistry laboratory of KIST Medical College and Teaching hospital. The data was acquired from the medical records of patients attending medical ward. The patients were considered as TB positive if they were clinically diagnosed so and taken as negative otherwise. Fifty six pleuritis patients were evaluated. Using the cut off value of 30 U/L, the overall sensitivity and specificity was 93.3% and 57.1% respectively. The agreement between ADA findings and the clinical findings was statistically significant (p <0.001). The positive predictive value (PVP) and the negative predictive value (PVN) were 82.3% and 80% respectively. ADA is an inexpensive, rapid and simple test for analysis of tuberculous pleuritis. Though, it is a sensitive test for tuberculosis, due to its low specificity, sometimes negative cases can be considered as positive.
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INTRODUCTION

Adenosine Deaminase (ADA) is an endogenous tissue enzyme which is released into the serum in patients with many different types of malignancies and infections, including viral hepatitis, infectious mononucleosis, typhoid fever, and tuberculosis. In pleural fluid, elevated ADA levels are very commonly associated with tuberculosis. In CSF, ADA is elevated in cases of tuberculous meningitis. It is reported that it is a pleural fluid marker for tuberculosis. It is found that Pleural fluid ADA levels in TB effusions were significantly higher than the non-TB effusions.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The study design was cross sectional. The information was gathered only from those patients whose pleural fluid sample was sent for ADA in clinical biochemistry laboratory of KIST Medical College and Teaching hospital. The data was acquired from the medical records of patients attending medical ward from 2010 to 2011. The patients were considered as TB positive if they were clinically diagnosed so and taken as negative otherwise. Descriptive statistics, Kappa test and independent t-test were used in proper context.

RESULTS

Among fifty six pleuritis patients, 37 (66.1%) were male and 19 (33.9%) were female. The patients were between the ages of 17- 82 years and mean age was 45.83 years. The ADA level in pleural effusion ranged from 10-219 U/L and the mean level was 80.23 U/L. The level in Tubercular effusion was between 26 U/L to 219 U/L and the mean was 99.387 U/L while in non tubercular ranged from 10 U/L to 103.3 U/L and the mean was 45.7 U/L (p <0.001). Using the cut off value of 30 U/L, the overall sensitivity and specificity was 93.3% and 57.1% respectively. The association between ADA findings and the clinical findings were statistically significant (Kappa=0.55, p<0.001). The positive predictive value (PVP) and the negative predictive value (PVN) were 82.3% and 80% respectively.

Table 1: Agreement between ADA and Clinical findings in diagnosis of TB

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ADA Finding</th>
<th>Clinical Finding</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>TB positive</td>
<td>TB negative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TB negative</td>
<td>2 (20.0%)</td>
<td>8 (80%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Suspect</td>
<td>Strong suspect</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0 (0%)</td>
<td>0 (0%)</td>
<td>2 (100%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 (60%)</td>
<td>6 (60%)</td>
<td>4 (40%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28 (82.4%)</td>
<td>28 (82.4%)</td>
<td>6 (17.6%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36 (64.3%)</td>
<td>36 (64.3%)</td>
<td>20 (35.7%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**DISCUSSION**

The study was carried out in sixty five pleuritis patients, 66.1% were male and 33.9% were female. The patients were between the ages of 17-82 years and mean age was 45.83 years. The ADA level in pleural effusion was between 10 U/L to 219 U/L and the mean level was 80.23 U/L. The mean of tubercular effusion was 99.387 U/L while in non tubercular the mean was 45.7 U/L (p <0.001). The sensitivity and specificity was 93.3% and 57.1% respectively with positive and negative predictive values of 82.3% and 80% respectively (Table 1). The result shows the low specificity of ADA in the diagnosis of Tuberculous pleuritis.

The measurement of ADA levels is a useful test with good sensitivity and specificity. However, diagnosis in some patients has been reported to be impossible if only their ADA levels alone.

In some reports, the diagnosis of tuberculous pleurisy is made with an ADA level in the pleural fluid of more than 37-50 IU/L.10,11 The cut-off value of 30 U/L for tuberculous pleuritis as used in this study is expected to offer a specificity of 98%.13

Strankina 14 investigated 10 patients with tuberculosis pleurisy and 76 patients with pleural effusions of other etiology. The ADA activity in the tuberculous patients was significantly higher than in the other groups while the exception of those with empyema. Specificity 87% and sensitivity 100% of this test for tuberculosis are high when a reference limit of more than 53 U/L is taken.

Burgess 15 showed ADA activity in tuberculous effusion was higher than in any other diagnostic group. At a level of 50 U/L the sensitivity and specificity for the identification of tuberculosis was 90% and 89% respectively.

Mohammadtaheri 16 showed that the total ADA activities in all the tuberculous effusions had above 46U/L (sensitivity of 100%). This level was also present in 11 of nontuberculous effusions mostly para-infective exudates (8 cases).

**CONCLUSION**

The method of ADA estimation is easy, simple and doesn’t require expensive equipment or elaborate laboratory arrangement except a simple colorimeter. Though, it is a sensitive test for tuberculosis, due to its low specificity, sometimes negative cases can be considered as positive.
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