

# Medical Students' Perception Regarding E- Learning during Covid-19 Lockdown Period

Pratibha Manandhar,<sup>1</sup> Naresh Manandhar,<sup>1</sup> Sunil Kumar Joshi<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Community Medicine, Kathmandu Medical College, Duwakot, Bhaktapur, Nepal.

## ABSTRACT

### Introduction

Due to Covid-19 lockdown period undergraduate medical institutions across the country are moving towards e-learning for continuity of education. The purpose of this study is to determine the perceptions of students towards e-learning and to analyze medical students' perception on e-learning vs. conventional learning.

### Methods

This was a descriptive cross sectional study conducted by distributing an online semi-structured questionnaire consisting Likert scale to undergraduate medical students of Kathmandu Medical College. Data assembled from the survey were analyzed and Statistical package for social sciences (SPSS) 24 version software was used for data analysis.

### Results

A total of 273 students were enrolled in this study. Most of the students, 83.9% (229) had the perception that conventional teaching method was the best method for learning as compared to e-learning method 16.1% (44). The students mentioned that conventional method of learning is more effective to increase knowledge than e-learning ( $M= 3.87$ ,  $M= 2.80$ ) which was statistically significant ( $p=0.000$ ).

### Conclusions

E-learning was the only option for students to study during lockdown period. But students preferred conventional method of learning as compared to e-learning. It might be due to some disadvantages of e-learning, eg. Technical difficulties, lack of interaction with teacher and patients, etc. So, these measures have to be addressed for improving e-learning for betterment of teaching-learning activity during these types of circumstances in future.

**Keywords:** Covid-19, conventional learning method; e-learning method; students' perception.

**Correspondence:** Dr. Pratibha Manandhar, Department of Community Medicine, Kathmandu Medical College, Duwakot, Bhaktapur, Nepal. Email: pratibhamanandhar@gmail.com. Phone: +977-9803812216.

## INTRODUCTION

Over the past several years due to development of information technology in the world, e-learning has been integrated for education and training.<sup>1</sup> "E-learning" define as instruction delivered electronically via the internet and multimedia and e-learning (online learning through internet) is often identified with web-based learning.<sup>2</sup> The role of e-learning for students has gained importance considering Covid-19 (corona virus disease -19) pandemic causing most of the educational institutions in the world to close down giving rise to multiple challenges on continuity of education system.<sup>3</sup> So, most of the academic institutions across the country are moving towards e-learning and would be interesting to explore whether the students are tuned to this new methodology or not.<sup>4-6</sup> Online classes have some limitations like poor internet connection quality, insufficient digital skills of the respondents whereas time flexibility for the students who have difficulty in physical attendance could be the advantage.<sup>7-10</sup> So, the main objective of this study was to analyze students' perception on e-learning as compare to conventional learning (face to face learning at school with physical presence).

## METHODS

This was a descriptive cross sectional study conducted among MBBS (Bachelor of Medicine and Bachelor of Surgery) students of Kathmandu Medical College. Ethical clearance for the study was received from Institutional Review Committee (Ref. no. 0710202001) of Kathmandu Medical College. Data collection was done over one month duration (20<sup>th</sup> October to 19<sup>th</sup> November 2020). Sample size calculation was done by applying formula  $N = \frac{z^2 pq}{d^2}$  with negative prevalence (p) for perception of e-learning was 77%<sup>11</sup> with 5% error and a total sample size estimated was 273 students. Questionnaire was prepared in Google Forms and was distributed

to respective MBBS class students (1<sup>st</sup> to 5<sup>th</sup> year) via class representative through Viber group. The introduction along with the objective of this study was mentioned on the first page of the form and anonymity of the subjects filling form was assured. Those who were willing to take part in the survey needed to tick on the option that they agree to participate in the study after which only the questionnaire page is displayed.

The questionnaire consisted of four parts. In the first part, students were asked to enter their demographic details (age, gender, year of study) and state whether they had previously participated in any online courses or not. In the second part, students were given questions regarding the advantages and disadvantages of e-learning, from which they could choose as many as were true for them. In the third part, students have to rate the e-learning using the Likert scale from 1 to 5 (1 = definitely ineffective, 5 = definitely effective). Students were also asked to rate their activity during classes (1 = extremely inactive, 5 = extremely active).<sup>12</sup> In fourth part, students were asked about the experience of conventional examination (Physical presence at the college in front of examiner) and online examination done via Avyaash software. In the same part, students were asked to rate the level of enjoyment of online classes during lockdown using the Likert scale from 1 to 5 (1 = extremely un-enjoyable, 5 = extremely enjoyable). As there is a noticeable difference between the amount and pattern of clinical classes taken in Basic year (1<sup>st</sup> and 2<sup>nd</sup> year) from Clinical year (3<sup>rd</sup>, 4<sup>th</sup> and 5<sup>th</sup> year) at the Medical College, responses between these two groups of students were compared.

Data assembled from the survey was analyzed and for data analysis Statistical package for social sciences (SPSS) 24 version software was used. Categorical variables are presented as frequency and percentage and continuous data are presented as mean. Cross tabulation with Pearson's Chi-

square test was applied to assess the statistical significance of advantages, disadvantages, effectiveness, participation, acceptance, perception and enjoyment of e-learning. P value < 0.05 was considered as statistically significant.

## RESULTS

Out of 273 students, 53.1% (145) were boys and the mean age of the student was  $21.86 \pm 2.03$  years. (18–30). A total of 26.4% (72) students had previous

experience with e-learning. However, 73.6% (201) had no previous experience so far. Most of the students 83.9% (229) liked the conventional method of teaching as the best method for learning whereas only 16.1% (44) students had chosen e-learning. During e-learning, 74% (202) students had experience of facing technical difficulties sometimes, 24.5% (67) had faced always and only 1.5% (4) students had never faced any problems. (Table 1)

<b>1</b>	<b>Gender</b>	<b>No (%)</b>
	Male	146 (53.5)
	Female	127 (46.5)
	Total	273 (100)
<b>2</b>	<b>Age</b>	
	18-20	17 (28.2)
	21-30	196 (71.8)
	Total	273 (100)
<b>3</b>	<b>Year</b>	
	1 <sup>st</sup> Year	79 (28.9)
	2 <sup>nd</sup> Year	30 (11.0)
	3 <sup>rd</sup> Year	79 (28.9)
	4 <sup>th</sup> Year	7 (2.6)
	5 <sup>th</sup> Year	78 (28.6)
	Total	273 (100)
<b>4</b>	<b>Previous experience on e- learning</b>	
	Yes	72 (26.4)
	No	201 (73.6)
	Total	273 (100)
<b>5</b>	<b>Best method for learning</b>	
	Traditional method	229 (83.9)
	E -learning	44 (16.1)
	Total	273 (100)
<b>6</b>	<b>Ever faced technical difficulties</b>	
	Sometime	202 (74.0)
	Always	67 (24.5)
	Never	4 (1.5)
	Total	273 (100)

## Advantages and disadvantages of e-learning method

Ability to record the material for future was the major e-learning advantage chosen by 67.4% (184) of the students ( $p=0.013$ ) followed by access to online materials 56% (153) and ability to interact in classes 53.1% (145). While analyzing the disadvantages of e-learning, lack of interaction with patients 74.7% (204) was chosen as the major disadvantage by the students ( $p=0.000$ ), followed by lack of interaction with teacher 57.8% (158) ( $p=0.001$ ) and lack of self-discipline 57.8% (158), summarized in Table 2.

2. Student's participation on e-learning: Most of the students mentioned that they can participate very actively in conventional learning ( $M=3.73$ ) comparing to e learning ( $M=2.88$ ) ( $p=0.024$ )
3. Acceptance of e-learning: Most of the participants accepted conventional teaching and learning method more ( $M=3.8$ ) as compared to e-learning ( $M=2.8$ ) ( $p=0.001$ ).
4. Perception of giving examination: Students' perception on giving exam via conventional method was more satisfactory as compared to e-learning

Variables	Students Category			p value
	Basic	Clinical	Total	
<b>Advantage of e- learning</b>				
Ability to record material for future	64 (23.4%)	120 (44%)	184 (67.4%)	<b>0.013</b>
Access to online material	66 (24.1%)	87 (31.9%)	153 (56%)	0.22
Ability to interact in class	49 (18%)	96 (35.1%)	145 (53.1%)	0.028
<b>Disadvantage of e- learning</b>				
Lack of interaction with patients	46 (16.8%)	158 (57.9%)	204 (74.7%)	<b>0.000</b>
Lack of interaction with teacher	77 (28.2%)	84 (30.7%)	161 (58.9%)	<b>0.001</b>
Lack of self- discipline	73 (26.7%)	85 (31.1%)	158 (57.8%)	<b>0.013</b>

## Comparison of conventional vs. e learning in perception and effectiveness

Table 3 has highlighted the Likert scale rating for various questionnaires as follows:

1. Ability to increase knowledge: The students mentioned that the conventional method of learning is very effective to increase the knowledge than e-learning ( $M=3.87$ ,  $M=2.80$ ) ( $p=0.000$ ).

( $M=2.22$ ,  $M=3.54$  respectively) ( $p=0.000$ ). Students preferred to give exam via conventional method than e-learning method [238 (87.2%), 35 (12.8%)] respectively.

5. Enjoying e-learning: During this lockdown period students are somewhat enjoying the e classes ( $M=3.54$ )

Table 3. Comparison of perception and effectiveness of traditional vs. e-learning method								
	Likert scale rating	1	2	3	4	5		
S.N.	Questionnaires	n (%)	n (%)	n (%)	n (%)	n (%)	Mean	p value
1	Ability to increase knowledge and clinical practices via conventional method	7 (2.6%)	17 (6.2%)	58 (21.2%)	114 (41.8%)	77 (28.2%)	3.87	0.000
	Ability to increase knowledge and clinical practices via e learning	19 (7%)	90 (33%)	107 (39.2%)	41 (15%)	16 (5.9%)	2.80	
2	Students Participation on conventional method	5 (1.8%)	18 (6.6%)	70 (25.6%)	132 (48.4%)	48 (17.6%)	3.73	0.024
	Students participation on e -learning	34 (12.5%)	63 (23.1%)	98 (35.9%)	57 (20.9%)	21 (7.7%)	2.88	
3	Level of acceptance of conventional method	6 (2.2%)	13 (14.8%)	73 (26.7%)	119 (43.6%)	63 (22.7%)	3.80	0.001
	Level of acceptance of e- learning	32 (11.7%)	82 (30%)	86 (31.5%)	56 (20.5%)	17 (6.2%)	2.79	
4	Perception of giving exam via conventional method	88 (32.2%)	89 (32.6%)	56 (20.5%)	27 (9.9%)	13 (4.8%)	2.22	0.000
	Perception of giving exam via online	18 (6.6%)	31 (11.4%)	72 (26.4%)	87 (31.9%)	65 (23.8%)	3.54	
5	Enjoying of e-learning during COVID-19	42 (15.4%)	48 (17.6%)	105 (38.5%)	63 (23.1%)	15 (5.5%)	2.85	

## DISCUSSION

Most of the medical colleges of our country were closed due to Covid-19 lockdown. During this pandemic the only way of teaching learning activities was through internet. Most of countries around the world were applying the online method of learning for many years, not only in pandemic. But a lower middle income country like Nepal is probably using e-learning teaching method for the first time due to lockdown. So, perception and experience of students regarding

this type of learning will be worthy for future also.

This survey indicated that among 273 students, only 26.4% (72) students had previous experience with e-learning whereas most of the students 73.6% (201) had no experience of e-learning at all. Similar findings has been obtained as 89% BDS (Bachelor of Dentistry) students of Kathmandu University by Gupta A et al study<sup>13</sup> and 89.5% MBBS students of Gandaki Medical College, Pokhara by Tuladhar SP et al<sup>14</sup> had

never attended any online classes before the online education started during COVID-19 lock down.

In our study, most of the students 74% (202) have sometimes faced technical problems during online classes. These technical difficulties were shared by Subedi et al also as more than half of the students (63.2%) had electricity problem and 63.6% had internet problem leading to disturbances in online classes among nursing students in Nepal.<sup>15</sup> Similar technological problems with connectivity were also faced by students of Ghana during online education in a study done by Henaku et al.<sup>16</sup> This above statement reflects that technical problems are one of the major hindering factors observed during e-learning. Apart from requiring reliable internet connection for e-learning, students and teaching faculties should also be familiar with the electronic media to conduct e-classes in interactive manner also.<sup>17,18</sup> In our study, 58.9% (161) students expressed lack of interaction with teacher as one of the major disadvantages of e-learning. Similar dissatisfaction was expressed by 84% Pakistani students in Abbasi S et al study<sup>11</sup> and 76.9% Nepalese students in Gupta A et al study.<sup>13</sup>

On comparing conventional with e-learning in perception and effectiveness, our study participants mentioned that the conventional method of learning is more effective to increase knowledge and clinical practices than e-learning ( $M= 3.87$  and  $M= 2.80$  respectively) ( $p = 0.000$ ). Students preferred conventional method of learning being very enjoyable as compared to e-learning. A study done by Sharma K et al at Chitwan Medical College, Nepal found only 53.5% of students were satisfied with online learning with almost 30% giving neutral views and concluded that face-to-face theoretical teaching was valuable for their learning process rather than only virtual learning process through

internet in terms of their clinical practices and knowledge.<sup>19</sup>

A similar study done by Dhotre et al among MBBS students of Ashwini Rural Medical College, Maharashtra, India also highlighted 75% students found traditional teaching-learning method better than online teaching methods during Covid-19 pandemic lockdown.<sup>20</sup> This might be due to some limitations of e-learning like technical difficulties, lack of self-discipline and less interaction among teaching faculties and students. So, our students' satisfaction rate of online e-learning teaching method is quite lower as compared to other studies, where satisfaction rates have been reported as high as 93.4%.<sup>21,22</sup>

## CONCLUSIONS

It has been found that Nepalese students are comfortable to conventional learning even though magnitude of information technology has been improved a lot in recent years. This study highlighted that probably due to its technical problems, lack of patient exposure, less interaction with teachers and lack of self-discipline, our students preferred conventional method as better method of learning as compared to e-learning. E-learning along with practical on virtual patients with avoiding technical problems might be a greater goal for increasing knowledge and skill during these types of circumstances in future.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We would like to acknowledge MBBS students of Kathmandu Medical College who voluntarily participated in this survey. At last but not the least, my sincere thanks to Ms. Sneha Manandhar for helping to generate Google Forms for the questionnaires.

**Limitations of the study:** Since, this is a single institutional study, outcome cannot be generalized.

## REFERENCES

1. Singh G, O'Donoghue J, Worton H. A study into the effects of e-learning on higher education. *Journal of University Teaching & Learning Practice* 2005; 2(1):13-25.
2. Smart KL, Cappel JJ. Students' perceptions of online learning: A comparative study. *Journal of Information Technology Education Research* June 2006; 5(1): 201-19.
3. Adhikari P, Paudel S, Pandey RR, Parajuli A, Pyakuryal A, Agrawal A, et al. Effectiveness of e-learning during the COVID-19 Pandemic among the undergraduate medical students in Nepal: An online survey. *Journal of Pharmacy Practice and Community Medicine* July- Sept 2020, 6(3):40-43.
4. Maheshwari S, Zheleva B, Rajasekhar V, Batra B. E-teaching in pediatric cardiology: A paradigm shift. *Ann Pediatr Cardiol* 2015;8(1):10-13.
5. Frehywot S, Vovides Y, Talib Z, Mikhail N, Ross H, Wohltjen H et al. E-learning in medical education in resource constrained low- and middle-income countries. *Human Resources for Health* 2013; 11(4): 1-15.
6. Niebuhr V, Niebuhr B, Trumble J, Urbani M. Online faculty development for creating e-learning materials. *Edu Health* 2014; 27(3):255-61.
7. Dyrbye L, Cumyn A, Day H, Heflin M. A qualitative study of physicians' experiences with online learning in a master's degree program: benefits, challenges and proposed solutions. *Medical Teacher* 2009; 31(2):40-6.
8. Bediang G, Stoll B, Geissbuhler A, Klohn AM, Stuckelberger A, Nko'o S, et al. Computer literacy and e-learning perception in Cameroon: the case of Yaounde Faculty of Medicine and Biomedical Sciences. *BMC Med Edu.* 2013;13(57):1-8.
9. Attardi SM, Rogers KM. Design and implementation of an online systemic human anatomy course with laboratory. *Anat Sci Educ.* 2015; 8(1):53-62.
10. McCann AL, Schneiderman ED, Hinton RJ. E-teaching and learning preferences of dental and dental hygiene students. *J Dent Educ.* 2010; 74(1):65-78.
11. Abbasi S, Ayoob T, Malik A, Memon SI. Perceptions of students regarding E-learning during Covid-19 at a private medical college. *Pak J Med Sci.* 2020;36(COVID-19-S4):57-61.
12. Liker R. A technique for the measurement of attitudes. *Archives of Psychology;* 22(140):1-55.
13. Gupta A, Shrestha RM, Shrestha S, Acharya A, Pandey N. Perception of BDS students of Kathmandu University on online learning during COVID-19 pandemic. *Orthodontic Journal of Nepal* 2020; 10 (2): 20-29.
14. Tuladhar SP, Pradhan D, Parajuli U, Manandhar P, Subedi N. Study on the effectiveness of online classes for undergraduate medical and dental students of Gandaki Medical College during COVID 19 pandemic period in Nepal. *Orthodontic Journal of Nepal,*

- 2020; 10(2): 30-40
15. Subedi S, Nayaju S, Subedi S et.al. Impact of E-learning during COVID-19 pandemic among nursing students and teachers of Nepal. *International Journal of Science & Healthcare Research*. 2020; 5(3): 68-76.
  16. Henaku EA. COVID-19: Online learning experience of college students: The case of Ghana. *International Journal of Multidisciplinary Sciences and Advanced Technology* 2020;1(2): 54–62.
  17. Frith KH, Kee CC. The effect of communication on nursing student outcomes in a web-based course. *J Nurs Educ*. 2003; 42(8):350–8.
  18. Lu DF, Lin ZC, Li YJ. Effects of a web-based course on nursing skills and knowledge learning. *J Nurse Educ*. 2009; 48(2):70–7.
  19. Sharma K, Deo G, Timalisina S, Joshi A, Shrestha N, Neupane HC. Online Learning in the face of COVID-19 pandemic: Assessment of students' satisfaction at Chitwan Medical College of Nepal. *Kathmandu Univ Med J*. 2020; 70(2):38-45.
  20. Dhotre PS, Shaikh AK, Dhotre SV, Perspective of medical students on online teaching learning process during COVID-19 pandemic. *Indian J health Sci and Biomed Res*2020; 13(2): 197-201.
  21. Seada AI, Mostafa MF. Students' satisfaction and barriers of e-learning course among nursing students, Mansoura University. *World Journal of Nursing Sciences* 2017; 3 (3): 170-178.
  22. Singh A, Min AK. Digital lectures for learning gross anatomy: A study of their efficacy. *Korean Journal of Medical Education*. 2017; 29(1):27.

**Citation:** Manandhar P, Manandhar N, Joshi S. Medical Students' Perception Regarding E- Learning during Covid-19 Lockdown Period *JCMS Nepal*. 2021; 17(2); 101-8.