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Abstract

This comparative study among psychiatric patients attending College of Medical Sciences, teaching hospital, Bharatpur, Nepal was carried out to determine responses on Rorschach test (RT). A total of 65 diagnosed psychiatric patients as per international classification of diseases (ICD-10) criteria attending psychiatric OPD between ages of 15 to 65 years, both male and female in the period of January 2009 to July 2009 were selected. RT was administered and results were tabulated as per diagnosis by clinical psychologist. Out of total 65 patients who underwent RT, 41 were male and 24 were female in the ratio of 63:37. In clinical psychologist diagnosis- 38 were schizophrenia, 7 were psychotic depression, 6 were depression, 3 were mania, 1 was organic psychosis and 10 were underlying psychosis and in consultant psychiatrist diagnosis- 36 were schizophrenia, 5 were psychotic depression, 12 were depression, 8 were mania, 3 were organic psychosis, 1 was underlying psychosis.
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Introduction

The RT was made available for use in 1920 when it was published along with the manual named psychodiagnostic.¹ Test consists of ten page-sized inkblots that represent the stimulus plates of the technique. Number of surveys has been conducted in the USA, India and other countries to find out the psychological test to be highly accepted and widely used by the clinicians² among the entire test the RT has always been found to have first place in USA, India and other countries.³

The interpretation of Rorschach protocol in most of the symptoms is based on the number of responses given by the subjects. The test has psychometric qualities and is useful for personality assessment. It has also been used in many countries. In India extensive work has been done at Central Institute of Psychiatry, Ranchi.⁴ Indian researchers have carried out normative studies on Indian subjects. The norms, in the form of the Rorschach indices, established by different Indian researchers have been followed.

In clinical setup, the RT is frequently and even regularly administered to resolve the diagnosis. These diagnostic indicators are generally called for the following major psychiatric illnesses or conditions: Schizophrenia, psychosis, depression, hypomania, anxiety, obsession and organicity.

Apart from these psychiatric categories, many a times, the RT is advised to understand and study the personality structure of an individual or patient.⁵ Patients
are diagnosed as per ICD-10 classification of mental and behavioral disorders by a consultant psychiatrist.6

Materials and methods

All the cases in this study have been selected from psychiatry outpatient department of College of Medical Sciences, teaching hospital, Bharatpur, Nepal, between January to July 2009. A total of 65 diagnosed psychiatric patients as per ICD-10 criteria by a consultant psychiatrist between the ages of 15 to 65 years, both male and female were selected. Patients were analyzed according to age, sex and symptoms. RT was administered by clinical psychologist and results were tabulated as per diagnosis.

Statistical analysis

In the present research study obtained data have been scored by using standard scoring procedure of the tests. Chi-square test has been computed to evaluate the difference between clinical psychologist and consultant psychiatrist.

Results

Table-1 shows that out of total 65 patients, who underwent RT, 41 were males and 24 were females in the ratio of 63:37, their age ranged from 15 to 65 years but they are divided into three age groups of 15 to 30, 31 to 46, 47 to 65 years. In the first age group from 15 to 30 years, 42 cases in which 28 were males and 14 were females, in the second age group 31 to 46 years, 20 cases in which 13 were males and 7 were females and in the third age group of 47 to 65 years and all the 3 cases of them were females. In Table-2 comparison between clinical psychologist and consultant psychiatrist, symptom wise distribution: Clinical psychologist diagnosis: A 38 were schizophrenia, 7 were psychotic depression, 6 were depression, 3 were mania, 1 was organic psychosis and 10 were underlying psychosis. Consultant psychiatrist diagnosis: A 36 were schizophrenia, 5 were psychotic depression, 12 were depression, 8 were mania, 3 were organic psychosis and 1 was underlying psychosis. The difference between clinical psychologist and consultant psychiatrist diagnosis: A 38 were schizophrenia, 7 were psychotic depression, 6 were depression, 3 were mania, 1 was organic psychosis and 10 were underlying psychosis.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Diagnosis</th>
<th>Clinical psychologist</th>
<th>Consultant psychiatrist</th>
<th>df (degrees of freedom)</th>
<th>$\chi^2$ (Chi square)</th>
<th>Level of significance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Schizophrenia</td>
<td>38 (55.5%)</td>
<td>36 (55%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Psychotic depression</td>
<td>7 (10.5%)</td>
<td>5 (7.5%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Depression</td>
<td>6 (10%)</td>
<td>12 (18.5%)</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>29.11</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mania</td>
<td>3 (5%)</td>
<td>8 (12.5%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Organic psychosis</td>
<td>1 (1.5%)</td>
<td>3 (5%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Underlying psychosis</td>
<td>10 (14.5%)</td>
<td>1 (1.5%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>65</strong></td>
<td><strong>65</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
and consultant psychiatrist was found to be statistically significant at 0.01 levels ($x^2 = 29.11$, $P < 0.01$).

**Discussion**

Psychiatric diagnoses were based on ICD-10 criteria. Recent research has revealed that norms cannot be used from one country to another and differences within the same cultural group are also to be found.\(^7\,^8\)

The Rorschach norms were established by researchers in India differ considerably.\(^9\) Most of these studies had a number of shortcomings the most important being the relatively small sample size from one center, which was not representative of the service. In the absence of reliable norms, mental health professionals in India are left with no alternative but to interpret. Our aim in this study was to divide the patients in subgroups based on the age, sex and symptoms wise distribution. General background information and behavioral data that are generally considered to be of importance in finding symptoms could be illuminating for this purpose. Differences in terms of such additional data would support the meaningfulness and validity of the suggested sub groupings.

Our results suggest that these patients display a rather complex pattern of psychological functioning, with emotional encumbrance and also a more complex psychological relation to symptoms itself. Although psychological resources were higher in this group, there were also more psychological factors possibly counteracting. Out of the sample of total 65 patients, who underwent RT, 41 were males and 24 were females in the ratio of 63:37. There are differences between Clinical psychologist diagnosis and Consultant psychiatrist diagnosis as shown in table-2. In Clinical psychologist diagnosis 38 were schizophrenia, 7 were psychotic depression, 6 were depression, 3 were mania, 1 was organic psychosis and 10 were underlying psychosis. But in Consultant psychiatrist diagnosis: A 36 were schizophrenia, 5 were psychotic depression, 12 were depression, 8 were mania, 3 were organic psychosis and 1 was underlying psychosis. The results of this study indicate that there were clinically meaningful in the diagnosis of a psychiatric disorder.

Acute schizophrenics are quick and impulsive as the normal inhibition is lifted in them. This trend is in agreement with some studies though one study observed longer RT in schizophrenics which may be attributed to differences in the sample characteristics. A chronic schizophrenic is likely to have a longer RT as compared to an acute schizophrenic. The RT of head injury and epilepsy patients was significantly more than the RT of the psychotic subjects, which is in agreement. In this study, we investigate the reliability, and diagnostic efficiency of the RT in relation to the accurate identification of patients diagnosed with ICD-10 criteria, schizophrenia or other psychotic disorder. Finally, this variable could be employed for classification purposes in ways that were clinically meaningful in the diagnosis of a Psychotic disorder. Conceptual and methodological issues are discussed in relation to the assessment of psychosis.\(^10\)

Ilonen *et al.* reported out of twenty-seven patients with first-episode schizophrenia, 13 with bipolar I disorder, 28 with psychotic depression, 29 with non-psychotic depression, and 60 healthy controls were recruited for the study. The schizophrenic was highly specific with a very low false positive rate. The lowest positive value of 4, however, may yield false positives, especially among manic patients. The depressive disorder patients identified severe non-psychotic depression but not psychotic depression, suggesting that these patient groups invoke different perceptual-
cognitive processes in formulating and articulating their Rorschach responses. Anyway, both the schizophrenic and the depressive disorder patients based on the psychological organization and functioning that are known to play a clearly formulated role in schizophrenia and depression, respectively, provide a valuable addition for diagnostics characterized by overt symptoms.\(^\text{11}\)

Finally, it is important to consider that this research opens an area of interest for the study of human behavior in the definition of conduct. The present findings although preliminary, shed some light into this matter. Furthermore, these results are concordant with diagnostic and semiologic instruments such as those suggested by Kandel\(^\text{12}\) and Kaplan\(^\text{13}\), among others. In the genesis of these clinical conditions we must consider genetic factors as well as environmental issues such as parental relations, social stressors, work-related stressors, familiar stressors.\(^\text{14}\)
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