Results of lateral pin fixation for the displaced supracondylar fracture of humerus in children
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Abstract
Supracondylar fracture is common fracture in children and choice of treatment in displaced fracture is closed reduction and percutaneous pinning. There are different methods of fixation techniques described and practiced. This study was undertaken to evaluate the results of lateral pin fixation for the displaced supracondylar fracture of humerus in children.

25 children with displaced Supracondylar fracture were treated with closed reduction and percutaneous lateral fixation by two K-wires. Above elbow slab applied for 4 weeks (mean 28.4 days ± SD 2.27) followed by physiotherapy and were followed for mean of 73.24 days (± SD 3.66 days). The Flynn’s grading system was used to evaluate functional and cosmetic outcome. Loss in Baumann’s angle was measures.

All the patient had satisfactory outcome with excellent to good grading as per Flynn’s criteria. The mean Baumann’s angle loss was 5.52 degrees (SD ± 1.75). Two pin tract infections noted which responded to oral cloxacillin for 5 days. No neurovascular or serious complication noted.

In view of results obtained, lateral K-wire fixation provided good fracture stability, good union and satisfactory outcome with minimal complication and virtually no iatrogenic nerve injury.
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Introduction
Supracondylar fractures of the humerus are the most common fracture to occur around elbow in children and sometimes can be challenging to treat. They account for 75% of all elbow fractures.¹ There are many treatment modalities available to treat such fractures with different outcomes but closed reduction and percutaneous pinning is the treatment of choice in displaced Supracondylar fractures. Because of difficulty in maintaining reduction in plaster, operative reduction and pin fixation has become recommended practice.² There have been numerous pinning techniques described in the literature. Swenson³, Flynn et al⁴ and Nacht et al⁵ have used two crossed pins inserted from medial and lateral epicondyles. But this techniques carries the risk of iatrogenic ulnar nerve palsy during insertion of medial pin with reported incidence of 4.3 times higher than with lateral pinning.⁶
The optimal pinning technique providing adequate stability and avoiding iatrogenic nerve injury has been the subject of discussion. Arino et al. has described lateral pinning technique avoiding medial pin insertion and Dorgan’s method which has two crossed wires put laterally. There had been continuous debate regarding stability of fracture fixation after different configuration of pinning methods. The stability of crossed pinning method is biomechanically more stable but again carries the risk of nerve injury. The aim of this study was to evaluate the results of lateral pin fixation for the displaced supracondylar fracture of humerus in children.

**Materials and methods**

The design of this study was prospective observational study. Between August 2009 to July 2010, 25 cases with displaced Supracondylar fracture were considered in the study. Those with compound fracture, floating elbow, vascular injuries that required repair and those that required open reduction were also not taken into study. Demographic information was collected from each patient including age, sex, mode of injury and injured side. The fractures were classified according to Wilkins modification of Gartland classification. All the patients were evaluated preoperatively routinely for any neurovascular deficit and required investigations for pre-anaesthetic clearance. General anaesthesia was used for all cases and fractures were reduced by closed method. The maneuver used was traction to the fractured limb with elbow in 20 degree flexion with counter traction at arm, gradual correction of rotation and mediolateral shift and then flexing elbow with pressure on olecranon to correction posterior shift. Pronation of forearm and hyperflexion done and reduction checked on C-arm in anteroposterior, lateral and two oblique planes. If reduction is acceptable, straping of forearm with arm done in the same position with cotton bandage. Two K-wires were then inserted under C-arm guidance from lateral epicondyle towards medial cortex of the proximal fragment with the attempt to put the pins in maximal separation at fracture site and divergent direction. K-wires were bent outside the skin and cut and above elbow slab applied. Preoperatively single dose of ceftriaxone was given as prophylactic antibiotics, dose adjusted to their weight. The patients were called after one week for check x-ray for any displacement and after four weeks for removal of slab, check x-ray and removal of k-wires followed by physiotherapy for elbow. The patient was then followed up 3 weekly with clinical and radiographic evaluation for Baumann’s angle loss. The clinical outcome was measured as per criteria of Flynn et al. (Table 1).

**Table: 1. Flynn et al. criteria for grading**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Results</th>
<th>Rating</th>
<th>cosmetic factor: carrying angle loss(degrees)</th>
<th>Functional factor: Motion loss(degrees)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Satisfactory</td>
<td>Excellent</td>
<td>0-5</td>
<td>0-5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>5-10</td>
<td>5-10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Fair</td>
<td>10-15</td>
<td>10-15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unsatisfactory</td>
<td>Poor</td>
<td>&gt;15</td>
<td>&gt;15</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Statistical analyses were made with the help of SPSS version 18. The results were tabulated as frequency distribution for qualitative values and arithmetic mean and standard deviation derived for quantitative variables.
All the patients had satisfactory results functionally and cosmetically. 17(68%) patients had excellent grading and 8(32%) had good grading. 18 patients (72%) had less than 5 degrees loss of range of movement whereas 7(28%) patients had loss of movement 10 degrees and graded as good. None had fair or poor graded results. The average loss of carrying angle was 7 degrees (SD3.60). The mean loss of Baumann’s angle was 5.52 degrees (SD1.75). Two patients had pin tract infection, but they responded well to 5 days course of oral cloxacillin. None required re-manipulation and no neurovascular deficit encountered. All fractures united well.

**Table: 2. Final Results of lateral K- wire fixation of supracondylar fracture humerus.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Results</th>
<th>Flynn’s grading</th>
<th>Cosmetic factor -loss of carrying angle (degrees)</th>
<th>Outcome of patients</th>
<th>Functional -loss of movement (degrees)</th>
<th>Outcome of Patient</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Satisfactory</td>
<td>Excellent</td>
<td>0-5</td>
<td>17(68%)</td>
<td>0-5</td>
<td>18(72%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Satisfactory</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>6-10</td>
<td>8(32%)</td>
<td>6-10</td>
<td>7(28%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Satisfactory</td>
<td>Fair</td>
<td>11-15</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>11-15</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unsatisfactory</td>
<td>Poor</td>
<td>&gt;15</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>&gt;15</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

All the patients had satisfactory results functionally and cosmetically. 17(68%) patients had excellent grading and 8(32%) had good grading. 18 patients (72%) had less than 5 degrees loss of range of movement whereas 7(28%) patients had loss of movement 10 degrees and graded as good. None had fair or poor graded results. The average loss of carrying angle was 7 degrees (SD3.60). The mean loss of Baumann’s angle was 5.52 degrees (SD1.75). Two patients had pin tract infection, but they responded well to 5 days course of oral cloxacillin. None required re-manipulation and no neurovascular deficit encountered. All fractures united well.

**Fig-1: Pre operative x-ray of the fracture supracondylar of the humerus**
Discussion

The supracondylar fracture of the humerus is commonest in elbow injuries accounting for 75% of all elbow fractures. Optimal fracture fixation construct should be able to prevent displacement at the fracture site, avoid postoperative deformity which is as high as 17% after various mode of fixation. Biomechanical studies have shown that cross pinning are more resistant to torsional strength than lateral pinning but carries a greater risk of iatrogenic ulnar nerve injury. However in the literature, some cases of iatrogenic nerve injuries have been documented in lateral pinning. Several authors instead, recommend lateral pinning as iatrogenic nerve injury was rare. Three lateral pins are recommended in older children, but the use of 3rd pin will enter through joint and create crowding, hence more chance of infection is there. Two pins used with adequate precaution in divergent fixation have as good results, hence we preferred two lateral pins. In this study, all the cases had excellent or good results with respect to cosmetic factor or functional factor. Pin tract infection was also in only two cases, consistent with findings of others.

Conclusion

In view of the obtained results and review of the literature, the two lateral K-wires fixation in divergent fashion provided good fracture stability, good union rate with excellent functional and cosmetic outcome with minimum complication rate and virtually no iatrogenic nerve injury in management of displaced supracondylar fracture of humerus in children.
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Fig-2: Post operative x-ray of the fracture supracondylar of the humerus


