# Prevalence and Variations of Sesamoid Bones in the Hands of Patients Visiting Teaching Hospital of Kaski District: A Retrospective Study

#### Sushma Singh,<sup>1</sup> Pujan Sharma,<sup>1</sup> Bhoj Raj Sharma,<sup>2</sup> Kanchan Kumari Barnawal<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Radiology, Gandaki Medical College Teaching Hospital Pokhara, Nepal, <sup>2</sup>Department of Radiology, Swostik Cardio and Multispecialty Hospital, Dhangadhi, Nepal, <sup>3</sup>Radiological Technologist, Patan Academy of health Science, Lalitpur, Nepal.

## ABSTRACT

#### Introduction

Sesamoids are small, well-corticated, ovoid or nodular, may be bipartite or multipartite bones/ ossifications found close to a bone or a joint that are subject to significant morphological variations. Although it is difficult to determine the symptomatic nature of these bones, imaging provides important diagnostic information. The objective of this study is to assess the prevalence and variations of sesamoid bone in hands of patients visiting a teaching hospital of Kaski district.

#### Methods

A hospital based retrospective cross-sectional study was conducted by analyzing X-rays of hand with Anteroposterior (AP) view, a total of 150 both male and female patients between the age group of 18 to 85 were imaged between August 1, 2022 to December 30, 2022 at Department of Radiology & Imaging (X-Ray Unit). Presence of sesamoid bone of hand was noted on 1st, 2nd, 3rd, 4th and 5th metacarpophalangeal joints (MCPj), and the 1st interphalangeal joint (IPj), separately. The data were analyzed for correlation using Pearson's correlation test. Ethical approval was taken from the Institutional Review Committee of Gandaki Medical College (Reference No. 159/079/080).

#### Results

The prevalence of sesamoid bone at 1<sup>st</sup>, 2<sup>nd</sup>, 3<sup>rd</sup>, 4<sup>th</sup> and 5<sup>th</sup> MCPj, and 1<sup>st</sup> IPj were 100 (100%), 60 (46%), 24(16%), 20(13.3%), 100(66.7%), and 114(76%) respectively. Variation was more prominent among females at 2<sup>nd</sup>, 3<sup>rd</sup> and 5<sup>th</sup> MCPj and 1<sup>st</sup> IPj, Also, SB variation was more prominent on left hand compared to right hand in 2<sup>nd</sup>, 4<sup>th</sup> and 5<sup>th</sup> MCPj and 1<sup>st</sup> IPj.

#### Conclusions

No significant correlation between the gender and laterality with presence or absence of SB in the hands were noted.

Keywords: age; gender; hand; sesamoid bone; x-ray.

**Correspondence:** Sushma Singh, Department of Radiology, Gandaki Medical College, Pokhara, Nepal. Email: forssbrt@gmail.com, Phone: +977-9842028880.

## **INTRODUCTION**

Sesamoid Bones resembles the flat oval seed of Sesamum indicum, which functions to resist pressure, minimize friction and maintain the local circulation.<sup>1,2</sup> They are frequently noted over the meta-carpophalangeal joints (MCPj) of thumb, second finger, fifth finger, and interphalangeal joint (IPj).<sup>3,4,5</sup> There are sesamoid-related pathologies including fractures,<sup>6</sup> sesamoiditis,<sup>7</sup> and degeneration of sub-sesamoid joint.8 Thus, it is clinically important to recognize these conditions because they are possibly misdiagnosed as other pathologies such as arthritis,<sup>8</sup> trigger thumb,9 and chip fracture,4 including trauma and degenerative disorders leading to incorrect treatments.<sup>10</sup> There is wide variation in the prevalence and distribution of SB of the hand in different ethnic groups.<sup>11</sup> Recent advancement is Technetium 99m-methyl diphosphonate (99mTc-MDP) which is used for diagnosed by focal increased uptake of the radioactive tracer.12 The objective of this research was to assess the prevalence and variations of sesamoid bone in hands of patients visiting a teaching hospital so that descriptive diagnosis could be made.

# **METHODS**

A retrospective cross-sectional study was designed for this study. Based on the study by Chen et al.<sup>5</sup> prevalence of 15.9%, judgment sampling (non-probability sampling) method was used and sample size was calculated to be 206, then adjusting the above sample size for finite population, using formula, sample size n=n/1+(n-1)/population, the sample size was calculated to be 150 and data were collected from the Department of Radiology & Imaging (X-Ray Unit) from August 1to December 30, Abnormal/fractured/sesamoid related 2022. pathologies such as sesamoiditis, degeneration of sub sesamoid joint x-ray was excluded from the study. Ethical approval was taken from the Institutional Review Committee of Gandaki Medical College. X-rays interpreted by the single radiologist were taken into the study to minimize the inter-examiner variability. The x-ray machine of GE (Model DX-500) was used with AGFA flat panel sensor (Model DR 14eG). The NX viewer computer was used for the data and the printer was of AGFA Company (Model DRYSTART 5302). X-rays interpreted as normal by the radiologist were analyzed to assess the prevalence and distribution of SB of hand. Prevalence of sesamoid bone was noted on AP



**Figure. 1(A)** PA X-ray film of left-hand showing SB in 1<sup>st</sup>, 2<sup>nd</sup>, 5<sup>th</sup> MCPj and 1<sup>st</sup> IPj. **1(B)**: PA X-ray of left-hand showing SB in 4<sup>th</sup> MCPj. **1(C)**: PA X-ray of right-hand showing bipartite SB in 3<sup>rd</sup> MCPj.

hand x-ray. The prevalence and variations of sesamoid bone of hand was noted separately at 1<sup>st</sup>, 2<sup>nd</sup>, 3<sup>rd</sup>, 4<sup>th</sup>and 5<sup>th</sup> MCPj and 1<sup>ST</sup> IPj. Figure 1 (A,B,C). All the data were recorded in the proforma for analysis in Microsoft Excel Sheet. Further statistical analysis was done using statistical package for the social sciences (SPSS) 20. The association of variables was tested by Pearson's rank correlation coefficient and p≤0.05 was considered significant, Confidence interval was kept at 95%.

## RESULTS

A total of 150 patients between the age group of 18 to 85 years were enrolled in the study, Table 1, of which, 85 (56.67%) were male and 65(43.3%) were female. Majority of them, 51(34%) belonged to age group 18 to 28 years, 39(26%) patients belonged to age group 28 to 38 years, 20(13.3%) patients were from age group 38 to 48 years, 16(10.7%) patients from age group 48-58 years, 14(9.3%) patients belonged to age group 58 to 68 years, 8(5.3%) patients belonged to age group 68 to 78 years and 2(1.3%) patients were from age group 78 to 88 years. The Distribution and prevalence of sesamoid is shown in (Table 1).

There was no statistically significant correlation

Table 1. Distribution and prevalence of sesamoidbones in 150 hands.		
Site	Frequency (%)	
1 <sup>st</sup> MCPj	150(100%)	
2 <sup>nd</sup> MCPj	69(46%)	
3 <sup>rd</sup> MCPj	24(16%)	
4 <sup>th</sup> MCPj	20(13.3%)	
5 <sup>th</sup> MCPj	100(66.7%)	
1 <sup>st</sup> IPj	114(76%)	

between the gender and laterality with presence or absence of sesamoid bones in the hands but the variation was more prominent in female (49.2%,16.9%,69.2%,83.1%) compared to Male (43.5%,15.3%, 64.7%, 70.6%) in compared to female at 2nd, 3rd, 5th MCPj and 1st IPj, whereas males had prominent SB on 4th MCPj 14.1% compared to female which was 12.3%. (Table 2).

Table	2.	Diff	erence	betv	ween	male	and	female
subjec	ts i	rresp	<b>ective</b>	with	laterc	ality of	hand	ł. –

Site	Male (n=85)	Female (n=65)	p-value
1 <sup>st</sup> MCPj	85(100%)	65(100%)	N/A
2 <sup>nd</sup> MCPj	37(43.5%)	32(49.2%)	0.488
3 <sup>rd</sup> MCPj	13(15.3%)	11(16.9%)	0.787
4 <sup>th</sup> MCPj	12(14.1%)	8(12.3%)	0.747
5 <sup>th</sup> MCPj	55(64.7%)	45(69.2%)	0.56
1 st IP j	60(70.6%)	54(83.1%)	0.076

Majority of x-rays of study population were right hands 80(53.3%) while 70(46.7%) of them were left hands, distribution of SB in 1<sup>st</sup> MCPj of right and left hand was found to be 100%, variation was more prominent in left hand (48.6%,14.3%,67.1%,81.4%) compared to right hand (43.8%,12.5%,66.3%,71.3%) in 2<sup>nd</sup>, 4<sup>th</sup> and 5<sup>th</sup> MCPj and 1<sup>st</sup> IPj, whereas right hand had prominent SB on 3<sup>rd</sup>MCPj 16.3% compared to right which was 15.7% (Table 3).

Table 3. Difference between right and left handsirrespective with gender.				
Site	Right (n=80)	Left (n=70)	p-value	
1 <sup>st</sup> MCPj	80(100%)	70(100%)	N/A	
2 <sup>nd</sup> MCPj	35(43.8%)	34(48.6%)	0.554	
3 <sup>rd</sup> MCPj	13(16.3%)	11(15.7%)	0.929	
4 <sup>th</sup> MCPj	10(12.5%)	10(14.3%)	0.748	
5 <sup>th</sup> MCPj	53(66.3%)	47(67.1%)	0.908	
1 <sup>st</sup> IPj	57(71.3%)	57(81.4%)	0.145	

MCP: Metacarpophalangeal joint; IPj: Interphalangeal joint; 1<sup>st</sup>: Thumb; 2<sup>nd</sup>: Index finger; 3<sup>rd</sup>: Middle finger; 4<sup>th</sup>: Ring finger; 5<sup>th</sup>: Little finger; n: number of samples.

#### DISCUSSION

Several radiographic studies have documented the prevalence and distribution of SB of the hand. Most of the studies have concluded that SB in 1<sup>st</sup> MCPj were constant in all cases. The two SB in the 1st MCP joint ossifies early at around 10 years of age. Kose et al.<sup>11</sup> conducted study by taking 923 hand radiographs and concluded that two SB (ulnar and radial) were always present at the 1st MCPj (100%). Similarly, Chen et al.<sup>5</sup> conducted study by taking 850 adult hand radiographs and reported the prevalence of SB at 1<sup>st</sup> MCPj in all the cases (100%). Ting et al.13 conducted study by taking total of 307 hand radiographs and he also reported that SB at the 1<sup>st</sup> MCPj were at the rate of 100% which is similar to above studies. The prevalence of SB at 1st MCPj was at the rate of 100% in our study which is similar to above other studies. In the study conducted by Kose et al.11 he found that there were 36.6% of population with the presence of SB at 2<sup>nd</sup> MCPj of hand which is lower than the prevalence rate of SB at 2<sup>nd</sup> MCPj in this study as we found 46% prevalence rate of SB at 2<sup>nd</sup> MCPj. Likewise, Chen et al.5 study reported 60.8% prevalence rate of SB in 2<sup>nd</sup> MCPj which can be considered as higher rate than my study. Also, Ting et al.<sup>13</sup> reported 59% prevalence rate of SB at 2<sup>nd</sup> MCPj which was close to Chen et al.<sup>5</sup> study and higher rate than the present study. Kose et al.<sup>11</sup> reported the prevalence of SB at 3<sup>rd</sup> MCPj of hand in only 1.3% of their study population and considered SB at 3rd MCPj as rare. Chen et al.5 and Ting et al.<sup>13</sup> studies reported the prevalence of SB at 3rd MCPj of hand at the rate of 3.9% and 2.93% respectively. Whereas, in our study we found 16% prevalence rate of SB at 3rd MCPj of hand which was found to be different from the conclusion of above-mentioned other studies. According to study conducted by Kose et al.<sup>11</sup> he concluded that the prevalence of sesamoid bone at 4th MCPj was rare 0.9%. Likewise, Ting et al.13 reported 0% prevalence rate of sesamoid bone at 4<sup>th</sup> MCPj of hand. Furthermore, Chen et al.<sup>5</sup> reported 1.3% prevalence rate at 4th MCPj. In this study, it was found that 13.3% prevalence rate of sesamoid bone at 4th MCPj which is higher rate

than the conclusion of above-mentioned studies. Kose et al.<sup>11</sup> reported 53.2% prevalence rate of sesamoid bone at 5th MCPj of hand which is low prevalence rate as compared to the current study 66.7%. Chen et al.5 reported 59.1% prevalence rate of sesamoid bone at 5th MCPj which is also low than this study. Likewise, Ting et al.<sup>13</sup> reported 47.6% prevalence rate of SB at 5<sup>th</sup> MCPj which is also lower than the conclusion of this study. Kose et al.<sup>11</sup> reported that the prevalence rate of SB at 1<sup>st</sup> IPj was 21.3%. Similarly, Chen et al.<sup>5</sup> and Ting et al.<sup>13</sup> reported 15.5% and 28% prevalence rate of sesamoid bone at 1st IPj respectively. Furthermore, Sun et al.<sup>14</sup> reported 67% prevalence rate of SB at 1<sup>st</sup> IPj of hand. Whereas, the prevalence of SB at 1<sup>st</sup> IPj was at the rate of 76% which is different from the conclusion of other studies. Kose et al.<sup>11</sup> and Ting et al.13 studies statistically examined the correlation between the gender and laterality with presence and absence of SB in the hands. Kose et al.<sup>11</sup> reported sexual differences in 2<sup>nd</sup> and 3rd MCPj SB, both with higher prevalence in female subjects. Ting et al.<sup>13</sup> reported that sesamoid bones were statistically more common in 1<sup>st</sup> IPj in female subjects 0.006%. In this study, there was no difference between gender and laterality with presence and absence of SB in the hands in any localization. This study may help to establish the reference value of distribution and variations of SB in hand in our set up which will be helpful to diagnose various pathological conditions. The reference values obtained in our set up will be compared with those of other available studies in the literature. Despite the adequate sample size, it was still small for generalization of the study result as it may not be representative of the varying ethnic and racial groups in our country. Observation of the presence of SB cab be subjected to observation error, and this could be improved with CT or MRI scans. In addition, the data may not be considered true as the patients were referred having certain clinical condition which warrants the need of the hand CT or MRI scan. Therefore, further studies for prevalence and distribution of SB in hand with large sample size using other imaging modalities as MRI would add more accuracy in results. A symmetry pattern of SB of the hand is unknown so, further studies focusing on lacking issues will be beneficial to improve the knowledge about the SB of the hand.

### CONCLUSIONS

The prevalence of sesamoid bones of the hand in 1<sup>st</sup>, 2<sup>nd</sup>, 3<sup>rd</sup>, 4<sup>th</sup> and 5<sup>th</sup> MCPj and 1<sup>st</sup> IPj was found

## REFERENCES

- 1. Amar E, Rozenblat Y, Chechik O. Sesamoid and accessory bones of the hand—an epidemiologic survey in a Mediterranean population. Clinical Anatomy. 2011;24(2):183-7. DOI: 10.1002/ ca.21077
- 2. Chaurasia, B.D. BD Chaurasia's Handbook of general anatomy. (4th ed.). India: CBS Publishers & Distributors Pvt Ltd; 2011
- Gray H, Lewis WH. Anatomy of the human body. Lea & Febiger, Philadelphia. Bartleby. com, 2000.
- Seki Y, Hoshino Y, Kuroda H. Prevalence of sesamoid bones in the interphalangeal joint of the thumb and fingers: a radiographic study. Clinical Anatomy. 2013 Oct;26(7). DOI: 10.1002/ca.22201
- Chen W, Cheng J, Sun R, Zhang Z, Zhu Y, Ipaktchi K, et al. Prevalence and variation of sesamoid bones in the hand: a multicenter radiographic study. International journal of clinical and experimental medicine. 2015;8(7):11721. https:// pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/26380010/
- Furlanetto S, Rossoni L. Fractures of the sesamoid bones of the hand and foot. Minerva ortopedica. 1968;19(12):789-93. PMID: 4388533.
- 7. BrüserP.Pathologyofthesesamoidbonesof the hand. Handchirurgie, Mikrochirurgie,

to be 100%, 46%, 16%, 13.3%, 66.7% and 76% respectively. Variation was more prominent in females and was found to be more in left hand compared to right hand irrespective of gender. There was no statistically significant correlation between the gender and laterality with presence or absence of SB in the hands.

# Conflict of interest: None

# Source of Finding: None

PlastischeChirurgie: Organ der DeutschsprachigenArbeitsgemeinschaft fur Handchirurgie: Organ der DeutschsprachigenArbeitsgemeinschaft fur Mikrochirurgie der PeripherenNerven und Gefasse: Organ der V. 1994;26(6):302-6. PMID: 7867981

- Trumble TE, Watson HK. Posttraumatic sesamoidarthritisofthemetacarpophalangeal joint of the thumb. The Journal of hand surgery. 1985;10(1):94-100. DOI: 10.1016/ S0363-5023(85)80257-4
- 9. Seybold EA, Warhold LG. Impingement of the flexor pollicis longus tendon by an enlarged radial sesamoid causing trigger thumb: a case report. The Journal of hand surgery (St. Louis, Mo.). 1996;21(4):619-20. DOI: 10.1016/S0363-5023(96)80013-X
- Civan O, Şekerci R, Ercıktı N, Özer Ş, Güvenç İ, Çevik NK, et al. Sesamoid bones of the hand: A multicenter study. Joint diseases and related surgery. 2020 Apr;31(1):68. DOI: 10.5606/ehc.2020.70955.
- Kose O, Guler F, Turan A, Canbora K, Akalin S. Prevalence and distribution of sesamoid bones of the hand. A radiographic study in Turkish subjects. Int J Morphol. 2012;30(3):1094-9. DOI:10.4067/ S0717-95022012000300055
- 12. Mellado JM, Ramos A, Salvadó E, Camins A, Danús M, Saurí A. Accessory ossicles

and sesamoid bones of the ankle and foot: imaging findings, clinical significance and differential diagnosis. Eur Radiol. 2003;13(6):L164-77. DOI: 10.1007/s00330-003-2011-8

13. Ting LG, San CE, Ben N, Gerry HC, Wai CC. Distribution of sesamoid bones in the hand—a study in the Chinese population. Journal of Orthopaedics, trauma and rehabilitation. 201723(1):45-8.

#### DOI:10.1016/j.jotr.2017.03.001

14. Sun T, Wang L, Zhao H, Wu W, Hu W. Prevalence, morphological variation and ossification of sesamoid bones of the forefoot: A retrospective radiographic study of 8,716 Chinese subjects. Journal of clinical and translational research. 2016;2(3):91. DOI:10.18053/jctres.02.201603.002

**Citation:** Singh S, Sharma P, Sharma BR, Barnawal KK. Prevalence and Variations of Sesamoid Bones win the Hands of Patients Visiting Teaching Hospital of Kaski District: A Retrospective Study. JCMS Nepal. 2023; 19(4); 406-11.