Correlation of Body Mass Index with Handgrip Strength and Endurance of Dominant Hand in Medical Students
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ABSTRACT

Introduction
Hand grip strength is used in evaluation of muscle strength and is also increasingly being used as an indicator for nutritional status. The maximum force applied voluntarily by the subject is called maximum handgrip strength, which is measured in kilograms. Muscular endurance is the ability of a muscle or muscle group to perform against a load for an extended period of time, measured in seconds. This study aims to correlate body mass index with handgrip strength and handgrip endurance in medical students.

Methods
This is a cross sectional, observational study which included 74 undergraduate students of Maharajgunj Medical Campus by convenient sampling method. Body mass index was calculated by Quetelet’s formula. Camry digital hand dynamometer was used to measure handgrip strength in the dominant hand in kilograms. Participants were instructed to hold dynamometer with maintained pressure of 30% of maximum handgrip strength for as long as possible to determine the handgrip endurance. Statistical analyses were performed with SPSS Statistics software.

Results
The handgrip strength was more in males than females with a mean of 43.09±3.72 kg, while handgrip endurance was more in females with a mean of 123.60±50.65 sec. Positive correlation was seen between body mass index and handgrip strength (r=0.23 and p=0.045). Body mass index and handgrip endurance also showed positive correlation (r=0.34 and p=0.003).

Conclusion
Significant correlation of body mass index with handgrip strength and handgrip endurance was seen in medical students.
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INTRODUCTION

Obesity is becoming more prevalent and has almost tripled from 1975 to 2016 worldwide. Excessive fat accumulation that could put our health at risk is overweight and obesity. Obesity and overweight is in the up rise with malnutrition still prevailing in a developing country like Nepal. Factors affecting obesity and overweight deals with the disproportionate consumption and expenditure of calories. The increasing consumption of energy dense diet which contains high fat and the sedentary life style due to increase in desk bounded form of work, easy accessibility to transportation are leading to a rise in obesity and overweight.

Hand grip strength (HGS) is used in evaluation of muscle strength and is also increasingly being used as an indicator for nutritional status. The result produced by forced flexion of all finger joints with maximum force applied voluntarily by the subject is called maximum handgrip strength, which is measured in kilograms. Muscular endurance is the ability of a muscle or muscle group to perform against a load for an extended period of time, measured in seconds. The strength of the grip is affected by various factors like age, gender, restricted range of motion, nutritional status, muscular strength, pain and fatigue. There is a change in muscle quality with change in body mass index (BMI). The decrease in muscle strength and endurance in underweight and obese subjects can be interpreted as alteration in muscle quality. This study aims to correlate BMI with hand grip strength and endurance of dominant hand.

METHODS

This is a quantitative, cross sectional, observational study. The study was conducted in the Department of Clinical, Physiology of Maharajgunj Medical Campus from June to November of 2020 after obtaining ethical approval from Institutional Review Committee (IRC) of Institute of Medicine, Tribhuvan University. Purposive sampling was done and sample size was calculated using G*power software. Effect size was calculated taking $r = 0.463$ and sample size was calculated taking $n = 37$. Handgrip strength was considered statistically significant.

RESULTS

Among the total of 74 participants there, were 37 males and 37 females. The mean age of the participants was 19.97±1.15 years, mean BMI was 20.76±2.71 kg/m², mean handgrip was 34.24±9.72 kg and mean handgrip endurance was 113.45±44.61s. Handgrip strength was more in the male participants (43.09±3.72 kg vs 25.39±4.10 kg) whereas, handgrip endurance was more in the female participants (123.60±50.65 vs 103.30±35.48s) than in male participants (Table 1).

Majority of the participants were in normal weight category according to BMI. Thirty one males and 37 females. The mean age of the participants was 19.97±1.15 years, mean BMI was 20.76±2.71 kg/m², mean handgrip was 34.24±9.72 kg and mean handgrip endurance was 113.45±44.61s. Handgrip strength was more in the male participants (43.09±3.72 kg vs 25.39±4.10 kg) whereas, handgrip endurance was more in the female participants (123.60±50.65 vs 103.30±35.48s) than in male participants (Table 1).

Table 1. Handgrip strength and endurance among males and females ($n=74$)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>BMI category</th>
<th>Male (n=37)</th>
<th>Female (n=37)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Handgrip strength (kg)</td>
<td>Handgrip strength (kg)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Underweight</td>
<td>40.63±1.05</td>
<td>24.25±2.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>43.57±3.87</td>
<td>25.26±4.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preobese</td>
<td>43.09±3.72</td>
<td>25.39±4.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overall</td>
<td>40.63±1.05</td>
<td>24.25±2.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>103.30±35.48</td>
<td>123.60±50.65</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Participants were requested to hold the Camry digital hand dynamometer by dominant hand with elbow at 90 degrees and apply maximum pressure for three seconds. The measurements were recorded in kilograms and procedure was repeated three times with an interval of 30 seconds. Maximum value was used as the maximum handgrip strength. After an interval of one minute participants were requested to hold the dynamometer with maintained pressure of 30 percent of maximum handgrip strength for as long as possible. Time was recorded in seconds with a stopwatch. IBM SPSS software version 23 was used for statistical analysis. For categorical variable frequency was calculated. Mean and standard deviation was calculated for continuous variables. Correlation of BMI with handgrip strength and handgrip endurance was interpreted by Pearson’s correlation test and p-value of less than 0.05 at 95% confidence interval was considered statistically significant.
twenty three females were in the normal weight category. Four females were in the preobese category whereas none of the males were in the preobese category. Six males and ten females were underweight (Table 1).

The highest handgrip strength was observed in the normal BMI category for the male participants with a mean of 43.57±3.87 kg whereas, for the female participants the highest handgrip strength was observed among the preobese category with a mean of 29±2.87 kg. The highest mean handgrip endurance was observed among the normal category with mean of 106.06±35.54 s for males whereas, highest mean handgrip endurance was observed in the preobese category among the females with a mean of 161.00±95.75 s.

A significant correlation was seen between handgrip strength and BMI where the r-value was 0.23 and p-value was 0.045 (Figure 1). Similarly a significant correlation was seen between handgrip endurance and BMI with r-value of 0.34 and p-value of 0.003 (Figure 2).

A significant correlation was seen between BMI and handgrip strength among the female participants with r-value of 0.36 and p-value 0.03 among the female participants whereas, among the male participants r-value 0.32 and p-value was 0.06.

A significant correlation was seen between BMI and handgrip endurance with r-value of 0.41 and p-value 0.01 among the female participants whereas, r-value for the male participants was 0.32 and p-value was 0.05.

A negative correlation was seen among the female participants with r-value -0.58 and p-value 0.42.

A positive correlation was seen between BMI handgrip strength in the underweight category for both male and female participants with r-value -0.48 and p-value 0.34 for males and r-value -0.25 and p-value 0.48. A positive correlation was seen in both males and females in the normal weight category with r-value 0.17 and p-value 0.37 for males and r-value 0.30 and p-value 0.17 in females. There were no preobese male whereas, negative correlation was seen among the female participants with r-value -0.58 and p-value 0.42.

A positive correlation was seen between BMI handgrip endurance in the underweight category and handgrip endurance for both male and female participants with r-value -0.40; p-value 0.43 and r-value 0.40; p-value 0.26, respectively. A positive correlation was seen in both male and female participants in the normal weight category with r-value 0.29 and p-value 0.12 for male participants and r-value 0.17, p-value 0.44 for female participants. A positive correlation was seen among the preobese participants with r-value 0.79 and p-value 0.22.

A significant correlation was seen between BMI and handgrip endurance with r-value 0.41 and p-value 0.01 among the female participants whereas, r-value for the male participants was 0.32 and p-value was 0.05.

A negative correlation was seen among the female participants with r-value -0.58 and p-value 0.42.

A positive correlation was seen between BMI handgrip strength in the underweight category for both male and female participants with r-value -0.48 and p-value 0.34 for males and r-value -0.25 and p-value 0.48. A positive correlation was seen in both males and females in the normal weight category with r-value 0.17 and p-value 0.37 for males and r-value 0.30 and p-value 0.17 in females. There were no preobese male whereas, negative correlation was seen among the female participants with r-value -0.58 and p-value 0.42.

A positive correlation was seen between BMI handgrip endurance in the underweight category and handgrip endurance for both male and female participants with r-value -0.40; p-value 0.43 and r-value 0.40; p-value 0.26, respectively. A positive correlation was seen in both male and female participants in the normal weight category with r-value 0.29 and p-value 0.12 for male participants and r-value 0.17, p-value 0.44 for female participants. A positive correlation was seen among the preobese participants with r-value 0.79 and p-value 0.22.

A significant correlation was seen between BMI and handgrip endurance with r-value of 0.41 and p-value 0.01 among the female participants whereas, r-value for the male participants was 0.32 and p-value was 0.05.

A negative correlation was seen among the female participants with r-value -0.58 and p-value 0.42.

A positive correlation was seen between BMI handgrip strength in the underweight category for both male and female participants with r-value -0.48 and p-value 0.34 for males and r-value -0.25 and p-value 0.48. A positive correlation was seen in both males and females in the normal weight category with r-value 0.17 and p-value 0.37 for males and r-value 0.30 and p-value 0.17 in females. There were no preobese male whereas, negative correlation was seen among the female participants with r-value -0.58 and p-value 0.42.

A positive correlation was seen between BMI handgrip endurance in the underweight category and handgrip endurance for both male and female participants with r-value -0.40; p-value 0.43 and r-value 0.40; p-value 0.26, respectively. A positive correlation was seen in both male and female participants in the normal weight category with r-value 0.29 and p-value 0.12 for male participants and r-value 0.17, p-value 0.44 for female participants. A positive correlation was seen among the preobese participants with r-value 0.79 and p-value 0.22.
DISCUSSION
Similar to our study students in College of Medicine, Basrah also had a mean handgrip strength of 34.1±11.9 kg and the mean handgrip strength of males was 44.1±7.8 kg. A study conducted in Hassan institute of Medical Sciences also had similar mean handgrip strength of 24.18±5.67 kg among the female participants. A study conducted in Konaseema Institute of Medicine Science also showed highest mean handgrip strength in the normal BMI category among the male participants with a mean of 33.3±2.58 kg.

In contrast to our study Australian population had a higher mean hand grip strength of 47±9.5 in males and 30±7.11 This variation could be explained by the genetic factor in different regions. Higher muscle strength in males can be explained by testosterone, which increases muscle size and strength. The variation in results may also be influenced by the methodology used in the study and different equipment used in different studies.

Similar to our study handgrip endurance was seen higher in the overweig category. A study done by Ravisankar et al. with a mean of 104±40s. In contrast to our study Lad et al. showed higher mean handgrip endurance among the normal BMI category with a mean of 198.73±29.09s among the male participants and 140.8±28.35s among the female participants.

A study conducted by Al-Asadi also showed a significant correlation of handgrip strength and BMI with r value 0.252 and a p-value <0.005. In concordance to our study a significant positive correlation was seen between handgrip endurance and BMI in a study conducted by Dhananjaya et al. with a r-value of 0.24 and a p-value of 0.001. In similarity to our study, a study conducted by Liao observed a negative correlation between BMI and left handgrip strength with r-value=-0.031 and p-value>0.05 among the high BMI category. A negative correlation of BMI and handgrip strength was seen in the obese male participants in a study conducted by Dhananjaya et al. where r-value was -0.563 and p-value was 0.437. A study conducted by Das et al. also showed r-value=-0.346 and p-value=0.047 among the over weight female participants between BMI and handgrip strength.

Better handgrip strength in the normal BMI category could be explained by the change in muscle quality with change in BMI. The decrease in muscle strength in underweight subjects can be interpreted by deficiency in energy. Fatty infiltration in the muscles and decrease in amount of type 1 red muscle fibers, slow oxidative and increase in type 2b white muscle fibers fast glycolytic, fast fatigable in obese subjects could alter the muscle quality.

This study was conducted in a single institute and does not represent the Nepalese population. It was a cross sectional study hence does not show the cause and effect. The influence of exercise and training have not been evaluated as well. Convenient sampling may have caused selection bias.

CONCLUSION
A significant correlation of BMI with handgrip strength and handgrip endurance was seen in this study. Male participants had better handgrip strengths whereas female participants had better handgrip endurance. A negative correlation was seen between BMI and handgrip strength in the underweight and overweight categories. A positive correlation was observed between handgrip endurance and all the BMI categories in both male and female participants.
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