# NATURAL BOND ORBITAL ANALYSIS OF [FE(H<sub>2</sub>O)<sub>6</sub>]<sup>2+/3+</sup> AND [ZN(H<sub>2</sub>O)<sub>6</sub>](H<sub>2</sub>O)<sub>N</sub><sup>2+</sup>;N=0-4

## Nabaraj Pokhrel and Hari Prasad Lamichhane

Journal of Institute of Science and Technology Volume 22, Issue 2, January 2018 ISSN: 2469-9062 (print), 2467-9240 (e)

#### **Editors:**

Prof. Dr. Kumar Sapkota Prof. Dr. Armila Rajbhandari Assoc. Prof. Dr. Gopi Chandra Kaphle Mrs. Reshma Tuladhar

JIST, 22 (2): 148-155 (2018)

Published by: Institute of Science and Technology Tribhuvan University Kirtipur, Kathmandu, Nepal



Volume 22

Issue 2

### January 2018

#### JOURNAL OF INSTITUTE OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY



Published by Institute of Science and Technology Tribhuvan University Kirtipur, Kathmandu, Nepal



JIST 2018, 22 (2): 148-155 ISSN: 2469-9062 (p), 2467-9240 (e)

## NATURAL BOND ORBITAL ANALYSIS OF $[FE(H_2O)_6]^{2+/3+}$ AND $[ZN(H_2O)_6](H_2O)_N^{2+}; N=0-4$

#### Nabaraj Pokhrel<sup>1</sup> and Hari Prasad Lamichhane<sup>\*,2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Physics, Amrit Science Campus, Tribhuvan University, Kathmandu, Nepal <sup>2</sup>Central Department of Physics, Tribhuvan University, Kathmandu, Nepal <sup>\*</sup>Corresponding E-mail: hlamichhane1@gmail.com

Received: 13 November, 2016; Revised: 12 February, 2017; Accepted: 15 February, 2017

#### ABSTRACT

Nature of delocalization of the electrons from the ligands to metals in the first coordination sphere of the highspin complexes  $[Fe(H_2O)_6]^{2+/3+}$  and  $[Zn(H_2O)_6]^{2+}$  are computationally studied using density functional theory. Among the studied complexes, natural charge transfer from H<sub>2</sub>O ligands to metal ion is found to be maximum of 1.556e in  $[Fe(H_2O)_6]^{3+}$  and minimum of 0.621e in  $[Zn(H_2O)_6]^{2+}$ . On the other hand, the interaction between the lone pairs of oxygen with metal ion was found to be stronger in  $[Zn(H_2O)_6]^{2+}$  than in the complexes with second coordination sphere. Number of such strong interactions in the first coordination sphere was found to be decreased with the addition of H<sub>2</sub>O ligands in the second coordination sphere.

Keywords: Coordination complexes, Coordination sphere, Lone pairs (LP), Natural charge, NBO, Delocalization.

#### **INTRODUCTION**

Metals are found in different forms in nature. One of the major forms is a molecule resulted from the coordination of metals with other molecules or ions. Such associations are often referred as coordination complexes (Manku, 1980). These coordinated complexes play very important roles in the physiological activities of human and plant lives. For example, hemoglobin, a macromolecule responsible for the transportation of oxygen and carbondioxide in blood, consists of coordination of iron with nitrogen bases around it (Gupta, 2014). Oxygen is transported by the first coordination sphere of the complex, whereas  $CO_2$  is transported by the second coordination sphere of the iron complex called heme. In plants, photosynthesis is carried out by the pigments called chlorophyll where coordination of magnesium with other molecules plays a vital role. Such prevalence of metal complexes indubitably makes them a wide branch of study.

The transition metal complexes are rich in structural diversity. Many successful computational studies of aqua complexes have validated the outcomes of *ab-initio* methods using density functional theory. Structure and vibrational properties of the complexes

 $\left[Fe(H_2O)_6\right]^{2+} \quad and \quad \left[Fe(H_2O)_6\right]^{3+} \quad have \quad been$ fastidiously studied by Jarzecki and co-workers (Jarzecki et al., 2004) with DFT approach. The geometries of the complexes are precisely determined computationally at B3LYP level of approximation. With the same DFT approach the aqua complexes of  $Zn^{2+}$  ion have been studied by Rulisek and Havlas (Rulisek and Havlas, 1999). Geometries of the complexes  $[Zn(H_2O)_6]^{2+}$  and  $[Ni(H_2O)_6]^{2+}$  have been calculated at B3LYP level of approximation using different basis sets ranging from 3-21G(d) to 6-311++G(d,p), and interaction energies have been determined by replacing water by one of the ligands CH<sub>3</sub>OH, CH<sub>3</sub>SH, or NH<sub>3</sub> in the first coordination sphere. Varadwaj and co-workers (Varadwaj et al., 2008) have studied the coordination chemistry of aqua complexes and mixed aqua-amine complexes in very detail to determine the geometry, ligands to metal charge transfer using UX3LYP level of approximation.Datta et al. (2015) have also used hybrid density functional theory specially HSE to accurately describe the electronic structure for bi-doped ZnO 3D late transition metal nanostructures. They successfully described the transformation charge and hybridizations characteristics. These successful results have

shed light on the reliability of the computational methods.

The structural diversity of the transition metal complexes is largely determined by the charge transfers from metals to surrounding molecules and vice-versa. Two types of charge transfers are prominent, Mulliken and natural charge transfers. Among them, natural charge transfer has been opted as it explores the hybridization of the orbitals in the bond constituents. Metal orbitals form hybrid orbitals as the ligand orbitals do to form a bond. These hybrid orbitals are overlapped in the complexes to make it stable and this stability highly depends on the amount of charge exchange or transfer that takes place between the ligands and metal ions (Manku, 1980).

Natural bond orbital (NBO) analysis is a method for studying hybridization and covalency effects in polyatomic wave functions (Reed et al., 1988). One of the major aims of the present work is to study the contributions of s, p, and d orbitals in the hybrid orbitals and how they change with the addition of water ligands in the second coordination sphere of the complexes. In this work we have comparatively studied the natural charge transfers in high-spin aqua complexes  $[Fe(H_2O)_6]^{2+/3+}$  and  $[Zn(H_2O)_6]^{2+}$ . The change in the ligands to metal ion charge transfer in the first coordination sphere of the complex  $[Zn(H_2O)_6]^{2+}$  after adding one to four H<sub>2</sub>O in the second coordination sphere has also been studied. As  $[Zn(H_2O)_6]^{2+}$  is a closed shell system and  $[Fe(H_2O)_6]^{2+/3+}$ are open-shell systems, comparable but slightly different results are expected. The study of the transition metal complexes is now a wide branch of chemistry, physics, and biology. Any kind of careful study about these complexes is become fruitful for the advancement of the field.

#### MATERIALS AND METHODS

Gaussian (Frisch *et al.*, 2004) program package was used to perform the calculations. Gaussian inputs of metal complexes were prepared and the outputs were visualized by using Gaussview 4.1.2. The geometries of the complexes were first optimized with different basis sets at the B3LYP level of approximation which merges Becke's gradientcorrelated exchange functional (B3) (Becke1993) and the Lee-Yang-Parr (LYP) (Lee *et al.*, 1988). The inputs were prepared by keeping the ligands in pseudo-octahedral geometry. Any restriction of symmetry was not imposed and the optimizations were carried out with  $C_I$  point group symmetry. After the geometry optimization, frequency calculations were performed and global minima were confirmed. All of the calculations were performed by using the basis set 6-311+G(d) at B3LYP level of approximation.

The loss of natural charge densities from the lone pairs of the oxygen atoms of the ligands  $H_2O$  were studied by Natural Bond Orbital (NBO) analysis. NBOs give direct insight to the nature of electronic conjugation between the bonds in the molecules. In the metal complexes, when the hybrid orbitals of the ligands are overlapped with the hybrid orbitals of the metal ions, delocalization of electrons takes place. To figure out these delocalizations of the electrons, NBO analysis is a powerful tool. NBOs closely correspond to the picture of localized bonds and lone pairs as basic units of molecular structure, so that it is possible to conveniently interpret ab initio wave-functions in terms of the classical Lewis structure concepts by transforming these functions to NBO form (Reed et al., 1988). The work is primarily focused on the results of secondorder perturbation theory analysis of Fock matrix within NBO of the complexes. For each donor NBO (i) and acceptor NBO (j), the stabilization energy  $E^{(2)}$  associated with delocalization is determined as (Reed et al., 1988).

$$E^{(2)} = q_i \frac{\langle i|F|j\rangle}{E_j - E_i}$$

where  $q_i$  is the donor orbital occupancy,  $E_j$  and  $E_i$  are the orbital energies, and F (i; j) is the offdiagonal NBO Fock matrix element. The larger the value of the  $E^{(2)}$  the more intensive the interaction between the donors and acceptors (Gangadharan & Krishnan, 2014).

#### **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

The NBO partial charges on the metal ion  $Zn^{2+}$  and  $Fe^{2+/3+}$  and the charge transfer from the ligands to metal in the complexes  $[Zn(H_2O)_6]^{2+}$  and  $[Fe(H_2O)_6]^{2+/3+}$  are presented in the Table 1. It shows that the charge transfer has taken place from the ligands to metal ions in all cases.

The degrees of delocalization of the electrons of the lone pairs of oxygen as well as the metal orbitals are enumerated in the subsequent Tables. Among all interactions, only first two strongest interactions are mentioned in the Tables. The interaction of lone pairs of oxygen atom with the n orbitals of metal is found to be strongest in case of  $[Zn(H_2O)_6]^{2+}$  complex. In addition, the interaction of metal orbital with the virtual orbital is also found to be strongest in this complex.



Fig.1. Structure of a metal complex with coordination number 6. The metal ion is at the center and water molecules are around it.

Table 1: Natural charges on the metal ion  $Q_M$  and ligands-metal charge transfer Q in the complexes  $[Zn(H_2O)_6]^{2+}$ ,  $[Fe(H_2O)_6]^{2+}$  and  $[Fe(H_2O)_6]^{3+}$ .

Complex	<b>Q</b> <sub>M</sub> (e)	Q (e)
$[Zn(H_2O)_6]^{2+}$	1.379	0.621
$[Fe(H_2O)_6]^{2+}$	1.243	0.757
$[Fe(H_2O)_6]^{3+}$	1.444	1.556

Table 2 shows that the delocalization of electrons from the lone pairs of oxygen to the n orbitals of  $Zn^{2+}$  resulted in the strongest interaction of LP O(5) with LP Zn with occupancy of 0.12384e stabilizing the complex  $[Zn(H_2O)_6]^{2+}$  by 47.20 kcal/mol.

The interactions due to delocalization of electrons from the lone pair orbitals of oxygen atom to the n orbitals of  $Fe^{2+}$  ion in the complex  $[Fe(H_2O)_6]^{2+}$  are presented in the Table 3. It is seen in Table 3 that the interactions are weaker in  $[Fe(H_2O)_6]^{2+}$  than those in the complex  $[Zn(H_2O)_6]^{2+}$ . The strongest interaction of LP O(2) with LP<sup>\*</sup> Fe with occupancy 0.08562e has stabilized the complex of  $[Fe(H_2O)_6]^{2+}$  by 23.12 kcal/mol. The second strongest interaction is due to the delocalization of electrons from the lone pairs of O(4) to LP Fe with occupancy of 0.08562e which has been stabilizing the complex by 20.77 kcal/mol. Remaining all such interactions are found to have  $E^{(2)}$  less than 20 kcal/mol.

Table 2: Results of second-order perturbation theory analysis of Fock matrix within NBO of the complex  $[Zn(H_2O)_6]^{2+}$ .

Donor NBOs	Occupancy (e)	Hybrid	Acceptor NBOs	Occupancy(e)	Hybrid	E <sup>(2)</sup> (kcal/mol)
LP O (2)	1.90290	sp <sup>1.14</sup>	LP* Zn	0.24066	sp <sup>0</sup>	27.35
LP O (2)	1.90290	sp <sup>1.14</sup>	LP* Zn	0.12365	sp <sup>1</sup>	27.48
LP O (3)	1.90282	sp <sup>1.14</sup>	LP* Zn	0.24066	sp <sup>1</sup>	27.40
LP O (3)	1.90282	sp <sup>1.14</sup>	LP* Zn	0.12384	sp <sup>1</sup>	47.18
LP O (4)	1.90291	sp <sup>1.14</sup>	LP* Zn	0.24066	sp <sup>0</sup>	27.34
LP O (4)	1.90291	sp <sup>1.14</sup>	LP* Zn	0.12365	sp <sup>1</sup>	27.39
LP O (5)	1.90282	sp <sup>1.14</sup>	LP* Zn	0.24066	sp <sup>0</sup>	27.39
LP O (5)	1.90282	sp <sup>1.14</sup>	LP* Zn	0.12384	sp <sup>1</sup>	47.20
LP O (6)	1.90288	sp <sup>1.14</sup>	LP* Zn	0.24066	sp <sup>0</sup>	27.38
LP O (6)	1.90288	p <sup>1.14</sup>	LP* Zn	0.12401	sp <sup>1</sup>	28.20
LP O (7)	1.90274	sp <sup>1.14</sup>	LP* Zn	0.24066	sp <sup>0</sup>	27.42
LP O (7)	1.90274	sp <sup>1.14</sup>	LP* Zn	0.12401	sp <sup>1</sup>	28.26



Fig. 2. The optimized structure of the complexes (a)  $[Zn(H_2O)_6]^{2+}$ , (b)  $[Fe(H_2O)_6]^{2+}$ , and (c)  $[Fe(H_2O)_6]^{3+}$ .

A partial result of the second-order perturbation theory analysis of Fock matrix within NBO of the complex  $[Fe(H_2O)_6]^{3+}$  at B3LYP/6-311+G(d) is presented in the Table 4. Two of the strongest interactions of lone pairs of oxygen with n orbitals of Fe ion for each oxygen atom are mentioned in the table. Here the strongest interaction among all interactions is due to the delocalization of electrons from lone pairs of O(4) to the LP Fe with occupancy 0.21613e resulting in  $E^{(2)}$  equals to 20.32 kcal/mol. If the results of Table 3 and Table 4 are compared, it is seen that when the contribution of p orbital in the donor orbital is small, more amount of charge has been transferred from the metal ligands to the metal ions in case of the chosen iron complexes. The maximum charge transfer of 1.556e in the complex  $[Fe(H_2O)_6]^{3+}$  from the ligands to the metal ions confirms this fact.

Table 3: Results of second-order perturbation theory analysis of Fock matrix within NBO of the complex  $[Fe(H_2O)_6]^{2+}$ .

Donor NBOs	Occupancy (e)	Hybrid	Acceptor NBOs	Occupancy (e)	Hybrid	E <sup>(2)</sup> (kcal/mol)
LP O(2)	0.93069	sp <sup>1.11</sup>	LP* Fe	0.08562	sp <sup>0</sup> d <sup>5.17</sup>	23.12
LP O(2)	0.93069	sp <sup>1.11</sup>	LP* Fe	0.05902	sp <sup>1</sup> d <sup>0</sup>	17.28
LP O(3)	0.92739	sp <sup>1.14</sup>	LP* Fe	0.08827	sp <sup>0</sup> d <sup>0.21</sup>	14.30
LP O(3)	0.92739	sp <sup>1.14</sup>	LP* Fe	0.06108	sp <sup>1</sup> d <sup>0</sup>	13.90
LP O(4)	0.93159	sp <sup>1.11</sup>	LP* Fe	0.08562	sp <sup>0</sup> d <sup>5.17</sup>	20.77
LP O(4)	0.93159	sp <sup>1.11</sup>	LP* Fe	0.05901	sp <sup>0</sup> d <sup>5.17</sup>	17.70
LP O(5)	0.92766	sp <sup>1.14</sup>	LP* Fe	0.08827	sp <sup>0</sup> d <sup>0.21</sup>	14.17
LP O(5)	0.92766	sp <sup>1.14</sup>	LP* Fe	0.06108	sp <sup>1</sup> d <sup>0</sup>	13.67
LP O(6)	0.92875	sp <sup>1.12</sup>	LP* Fe	0.08827	sp <sup>0</sup> d <sup>0.21</sup>	17.43
LP O(6)	0.92875	sp <sup>1.12</sup>	LP* Fe	0.06108	sp <sup>1</sup> d <sup>0</sup>	11.66
LP O(7)	0.92832	sp <sup>1.12</sup>	LP* Fe	0.08827	sp <sup>0</sup> d <sup>0.21</sup>	17.34
LP O(7)	0.92875	sp <sup>1.12</sup>	LP* Fe	0.06108	sp <sup>1</sup> d <sup>0</sup>	11.83

Donor NBOs	Occupancy (e)	Hybrid	Acceptor NBOs	Occupancy (e)	Hybrid	E <sup>(2)</sup> (kcal/mol)
LP O(2)	0.90736	sp <sup>0.91</sup>	$LP^*$ Fe	0.21613	sp <sup>0</sup> d <sup>1</sup>	20.21
LP O(2)	0.90736	sp <sup>0.91</sup>	$LP^*$ Fe	0.12644	sp <sup>0</sup> d <sup>0</sup>	18.75
LP O(3)	0.90719	sp <sup>0.91</sup>	$LP^*$ Fe	0.12644	sp <sup>0</sup> d <sup>0</sup>	18.85
LP O(3)	0.90719	sp <sup>0.91</sup>	$LP^*$ Fe	0.21631	sp <sup>0</sup> d <sup>1</sup>	11.45
LP O(4)	0.90708	sp <sup>0.91</sup>	$LP^*$ Fe	0.21613	sp <sup>0</sup> d <sup>1</sup>	20.32
LP O(4)	0.90714	sp <sup>0.91</sup>	$LP^*$ Fe	0.12644	sp <sup>0</sup> d <sup>0</sup>	18.86
LP O(5)	0.90736	sp <sup>0.91</sup>	$LP^*$ Fe	0.12644	sp <sup>0</sup> d <sup>0</sup>	18.75
LP O(5)	0.90736	sp <sup>0.91</sup>	$LP^*$ Fe	0.21631	sp <sup>0</sup> d <sup>1</sup>	11.43
LP O(6)	0.90734	sp <sup>0.91</sup>	$LP^*$ Fe	0.21631	sp <sup>0</sup> d <sup>1</sup>	19.68
LP O(6)	0.90734	sp <sup>0.91</sup>	$LP^*$ Fe	0.12644	sp <sup>0</sup> d <sup>0</sup>	18.94
LP O(7)	0.90694	sp <sup>0.90</sup>	$LP^*$ Fe	0.21631	sp <sup>0</sup> d <sup>1</sup>	19.69
LP O(7)	0.90694	sp <sup>0.90</sup>	$LP^*$ Fe	0.21631	sp <sup>0</sup> d <sup>1</sup>	19.69

Table 4: Results of second-order perturbation theory analysis of Fock matrix within NBO of the complex  $[Fe(H_2O)_6]^{3+}$ .

Table 5: Natural charge on the metal ion  $Q_{Zn}$  and ligands to metal charge transfer Q in the complexes  $[Zn(H_2O)_6]^{2+}(H_2O)^{2+}_n$ ; n = 1-4.

Complex	$\mathbf{Q}_{\mathbf{Zn}}\left(\mathbf{e}\right)$	<b>Q</b> (e)
$[Zn(H_2O)_6](H_2O)^{2+}$	1.736	0.264
$[Zn(H_2O)_6](H_2O)_2^{2+}$	1.735	0.265
$[Zn(H_2O)_6](H_2O)_3^{2+}$	1.732	0.268
$[Zn(H_2O)_6](H_2O)_4^{2+}$	1.731	0.269

The most significant results of second-order perturbation theory analysis of Fock matrix within NBO of the complexes are presented in the Tables 6-9. In all of the cases only one stabilization energy due to delocalization of the electrons from the lone pairs of oxygen atoms in water ligands in the first coordination sphere to n orbitals of  $Zn^{2+}$  is found to be greater than 4 kcal/mol. For this reason, only the strongest of all interactions are mentioned in these tables. For example, other interactions due to delocalization of the electrons of LP O(5) in different RY<sup>\*</sup> Zn orbitals have the values of E<sup>(2)</sup> equal to 0.09, 0.34, 0.07, 0.98, 0.99, 0.40, 2.58, 0.39, 1.14, 0.15, 0.29, 0.24, 0.69, 0.55, 2.63, 1.32, and 3.13 kcal/mol. Only the transfer of electrons from LP O(5) to LP Zn has stabilized the complex by 24.68 kcal/mol.

Table 6: Results of second-order perturbation theory analysis of Fock matrix within NBO of the complex  $[Zn(H_2O)_6](H_2O)^{2+}$ .

Donor NBOs	Occupancy (e)	Hybrid	Acceptor NBOs	Occupancy (e)	Hybrid	E <sup>(2)</sup> (kcal/mol)
LP O(2)	1.94302	sp <sup>1.29</sup>	LP* Zn	0.24310	sp <sup>0</sup>	30.60
LP O(3)	1.95802	sp <sup>1.10</sup>	LP* Zn	0.24310	sp <sup>0</sup>	24.72
LP O(4)	1.95681	sp <sup>1.11</sup>	LP* Zn	0.24310	sp <sup>0</sup>	24.74
LP O(5)	1.95811	sp <sup>1.10</sup>	LP* Zn	0.24310	sp <sup>0</sup>	24.68
LP O(6)	1.95843	sp <sup>1.10</sup>	LP* Zn	0.24310	sp <sup>0</sup>	24.35
LP O(7)	1.95641	sp <sup>1.22</sup>	LP* Zn	0.24310	sp <sup>0</sup>	25.20

Table 6 summarizes the strongest stabilization energy values of all oxygen atoms. Among them, the strongest interaction is the one where electrons from the lone pairs of O(2) are delocalized in the LP with occupancy 0.24310e. This is the oxygen atom of water ligand in the first coordination sphere where one ligand in the second coordination sphere is attached. In the Table 7, it is seen that there are two highest values of  $E^{(2)}$  closer to each other. One is due to the delocalization of the electrons from the lone pairs of O(2) to the LP Zn with occupancy 0.24430e and another is due to the delocalization

of the electrons from the lone pairs of O(4) to the metal orbital. These are the oxygen atoms of water ligands in the first coordination sphere on which the ligands in the second coordination sphere are attached.

Table 7: Results of second-order perturbation theory analysis of Fock matrix within NBO of the complex  $[Zn(H_2O)_6](H_2O)_2^{2+}$ .

Donor NBOs	Occupancy (e)	Hybrid	Acceptor NBOs	Occupancy (e)	Hybrid	E <sup>(2)</sup> (kcal/mol)
LP O(2)	1.94480	sp <sup>1.27</sup>	LP* Zn	0.24430	sp <sup>0</sup>	29.20
LP O(3)	1.96010	sp <sup>1.10</sup>	LP* Zn	0.24430	sp <sup>0</sup>	23.90
LP O(4)	1.94474	sp <sup>1.27</sup>	LP* Zn	0.24430	sp <sup>0</sup>	29.24
LP O(5)	1.96012	sp <sup>1.10</sup>	LP* Zn	0.24430	sp <sup>0</sup>	23.89
LP O(6)	1.99822	sp <sup>1.00</sup>	LP* Zn	0.24430	sp <sup>0</sup>	22.31
LP O(7)	1.95748	sp <sup>1.11</sup>	LP* Zn	0.24430	sp <sup>0</sup>	25.24



Fig. 3. The optimized structures of (a)  $[Zn(H_2O)_6]^{2+}(H_2O)^{2+}$ , (b)  $[Zn(H_2O)_6]^{2+}(H_2O)_2^{2+}$ , (c)  $[Zn(H_2O)_6]^{2+}(H_2O)_6]^{2+}(H_2O)_6]^{2+}(H_2O)_4^{2+}$ . In (b) changes in bond length are shown.

#### Natural Bond Orbital Analysis

Table 8 shows that in the complex  $[Zn(H_2O)_6](H_2O)_3^{2+}$ , the strongest interaction is due to the delocalization of the electrons from the lone pairs of O(4) to the LP\* Zn with occupancy 0.24699e which has stabilized the complex by 29.29 kcal/mol. This is the oxygen atom of water

ligand in the first coordination sphere lying closest to the  $Zn^{2+}$  ion. All of the strongest interactions are found to be due to the delocalization of the electrons to the same n Zn orbitals from the lone pair orbitals of oxygen atom in the first coordination sphere.

Table 8: Results of second-order perturbation theory analysis of Fock matrix within NBO of the complex  $[Zn(H_2O)_6](H_2O)_3^{2+}$ .

Donor NBOs	Occupancy (e)	Hybrid	Acceptor NBOs	Occupancy (e)	Hybrid	E <sup>(2)</sup> (kcal/mol)
LP O(2)	1.94831	sp <sup>1.26</sup>	LP* Zn	0.24699	sp <sup>0</sup>	27.27
LP O(3)	1.96093	sp <sup>1.31</sup>	LP* Zn	0.24699	sp <sup>0</sup>	22.93
LP O(4)	1.94358	sp <sup>1.39</sup>	LP* Zn	0.24699	sp <sup>0</sup>	29.29
LP O(5)	1.96086	sp <sup>1.28</sup>	LP* Zn	0.24699	sp <sup>0</sup>	23.07
LP O(6)	1.95862	sp <sup>1.13</sup>	LP* Zn	0.24699	sp <sup>0</sup>	24.43
LP O(7)	1.95376	sp <sup>1.32</sup>	LP* Zn	0.24699	sp <sup>0</sup>	25.97

Table 9: Results of second-order perturbation theory analysis of Fock matrix within NBO of the complex  $[Zn(H_2O)_6](H_2O)_4^{2+}$ .

Donor NBOs	Occupancy (e)	Hybrid	Acceptor NBOs	Occupancy (e)	Hybrid	E <sup>(2)</sup> (kcal/mol)
LP O(2)	1.94489	sp <sup>1.39</sup>	LP* Zn	0.24815	$sp^0$	28.85
LP O(3)	1.96281	sp <sup>1.36</sup>	LP* Zn	0.24815	$sp^0$	21.97
LP O(4)	1.94490	sp <sup>1.39</sup>	LP* Zn	0.24815	sp <sup>0</sup>	28.85
LP O(5)	1.94463	sp <sup>1.36</sup>	LP* Zn	0.24815	sp <sup>0</sup>	21.99
LP O(6)	1.95879	sp <sup>1.12</sup>	LP* Zn	0.24815	sp <sup>0</sup>	24.49
LP O(7)	1.95048	sp <sup>1.37</sup>	LP* Zn	0.24815	$sp^0$	27.42

Finally, Table 9 presents the interactions with maximum  $E^{(2)}$ . In the complex  $[Zn(H_2O)_6](H_2O)_4^{2+}$ , as seen from the table, the strongest interactions are due to the delocalization of the electrons from the lone pairs of O(2) and O(4). These are two atoms of the water ligands present in the first coordination sphere lying closest to  $Zn^{2+}$  ion and on which the water ligands in the second coordination sphere are attached.

#### CONCLUSION

Nature of hybridization and charge transfer in the metal complexes  $[Zn(H_2O)_6](H_2O)_n^{2+}$ ; n=0-4 and  $[Fe(H_2O)_6]^{2+/3+}$  using natural bond orbitals has been successfully studied. Ligands to metal natural charge transfer is found to be maximum in  $[Fe(H_2O)_6]^{3+}$  among  $[Zn(H_2O)_6]^{2+}$  and  $[Fe(H_2O)_6]^{2+/3+}$ . In  $[Zn(H_2O)_6]^{2+}$ , this natural charge transfer is significantly reduced to 0.264e from

0.621 with the addition of one  $H_2O$  in the second coordination sphere. However, it is increased slightly when the number of  $H_2O$  ligands in the second coordination sphere is increased. In case of the complex  $[Zn(H_2O)_6]^{2+}$  and  $[Fe(H_2O)_6]^{3+}$ , hybridization in donor NBOs are uniform. In case of the complex  $[Zn(H_2O)_6]^{2+}$ , changes in different bond lengths have taken place with the addition of water in the second coordination sphere. It is found that whenever the oxygen atoms of water in the first coordination sphere approaches metal ions, contributions of p orbital in the hybrid orbitals increase. These changes in bond lengths have brought changes in the values of stabilization energy  $E^{(2)}$ .

Various structures and properties of the metal complexes can further be studied by increasing the number of ligands surrounding the first coordination sphere of the complexes. This will be fruitful in generalizing the findings of this work. Every attempt in doing so may significantly produce accurate and useful results in future.

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Our sincere gratitude to Associate Professor Dr. Rajendra Parajuli from the Department of Physics, Amrit Science Campus for all the suggestions he provided. We would like to extend this gratitude to Central Department of Physics, Tribhuvan University for providing GAUSSIAN 03 suite of program. We are also thankful to Ms. Astha K. C. for the support during calculations and Mr. Mangesh Bhattarai from IIT, Guwahati for his valuable suggestions and support.

#### REFERENCES

- Becke, A. D. (1993). Density-functional thermochemistry. III. The role of exact exchange. *Journal of Chemical Physics*, **98**: 5648-5652.
- Datta, S.; Kaphle, G. C.; Baral, S. and Mookerjee, A. (2015). Study of morphology effects on magnetic interactions and band gap variations for 3d late transition metal bidoped ZnO nanostructures by hybrid DFT calculations. *The Journal of chemical physics*, **143** (8): 084309.
- Frisch, M. J. et al. (2004). Gaussian 03, Revision E.01, Gaussian, Inc., Wallingford, CT.
- Gangadharan, R. P. and Krishnan, S. S. (2014). Natural Bond Orbital (NBO) Population Analysis of 1-Azanapthalene-8-ol. *Acta Physica Polonica A*, **125**: 18-22.

- Gupta, C. P. (2014). Role of Iron (Fe) in Body, IOSR. *Journal of Applied Chemistry*, **7**: 38-46.
- Jarzecki, A. A; Anbar, A. D., and Spiro, T. G. (2004). DFT Analysis of  $Fe(H_2O)^{3+}{}_{6}$  and  $Fe(H_2O)^{2+}{}_{6}$  Structure and Vibrations; Implication for Isotope Fractionation. *Journal of Chemical Physics A*, **108**: 2726-2732.
- Lee, C. T.; Yang, W. T. and Parr, R. G. (1988). Development of the Colle-Salvetti Correlation-Energy Formula into a Functional of the Electron Density. *Physical Review B*, **37**: 785-789.
- Manku, G. S. (1980). *Theoretical Principles of Inorganic Chemistry*, Tata McGraw-Hill Education.
- Reed, A. E.; Curtiss, L. A. and Weinhold, F. (1988). Intermolecular Interactions from a Natural Bond Orbital, Donor-Acceptor Viewpoint. *Chemical Reviews*, 88: 899-926.
- Rulisek, L. and Havlas, Z. (1999). Ab Initio Calculations of Monosubstituted (CH<sub>3</sub>OH, CH<sub>3</sub>SH, NH<sub>3</sub>) Hydrated Ions of Zn<sup>2+</sup> and Ni<sup>2+</sup>. *Journal of Chemical Physics*, **103**: 1634-1639.
- Varadwaj, P. R.; Cukrowski, I. and Marques, H. M. (2008). DFT-UX3LYP studies on the Coordination Chemistry of Ni<sup>2+</sup>. Part 1: Six Coordinate [Ni(NH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>n</sub>(H<sub>2</sub>O)<sub>(6-n</sub>)]<sup>2+</sup> Complexes. *Journal of Chemical Physics A*, **112**: 10657-10666.