Case Report

Use of levetiracetam in pediatric refractory status epilepticus
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Abstract

Refractory status epilepticus, with persistence of seizures despite initial intravenous benzodiazepine and one second-line intravenous antiepileptic drug occurs in nine percent to 44% of the patients with status epilepticus. Aggressive treatment is needed to control such seizures with drug refractoriness. A case of a 2-year-old boy treated with intravenous levetiracetam with cessation of seizures, averting the need for general anesthesia is reported. Option of using levetiracetam in refractory status epilepticus is suggested.
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INTRODUCTION

Refractory status epilepticus (RSE) occurs when seizures persist despite administration of one first-line medication (intravenous (I/V) benzodiazepine) and one second-line medication (I/V antiepileptic drug)1. Nine percent to 44% of patients with Status Epilepticus (SE) have RSE2-4. It is associated with increased hospital length of stay and functional disability. When the standard, initial treatment fails to control the status the patient requires additional, more aggressive treatment5. We report here a case of refractory status epilepticus in a 2-year-old boy treated with intravenous Levetiracetam (LEV) with cessation of seizure averting the need for general anesthesia.

THE CASE

A 2 years old boy was admitted to the Pediatric Intensive Care Unit (PICU) with history of recurrent involuntary movements since one week. He had blinking of eyes, upwards rolling of eye balls, stiffening and jerky movements of bilateral limbs lasting for 3-5 minutes and recurring every 45 to 60 minutes without regaining full responsiveness in between the episodes. During this period he was attended at a local hospital where I/V injections of anti-seizure drugs administered failed to control the involuntary movements. Subsequently, he was brought to Kathmandu Medical College Teaching Hospital (KMCTH) for further management. After admission in the PICU, he was given intravenous midazolam in repeated doses but the medication did not lead to cessation of seizure. He was then given loading doses of phenytoin, valproate and later I/V midazolam infusion consecutively, with only partial control of seizures.

Pending reports of investigations, I/V doses of mannitol, ceftriaxone and acyclovir were started. I/V LEV was started on day four of PICU admission as loading dose 50mg/ kg followed by maintenance dose. The child’s Glasgow Coma Scale (GCS) ranged between 12 and 13. LEV (oral) was then continued through NG tube. He was shifted to the intermediary room, where he continued to remain stable and seizure free. He was transferred to the ward and discharged on day 16 on oral LEV. The child was reevaluated at follow-up visit two weeks later and was found to be fully interactive and playful without recurrence of seizures or any obvious neurological deficit.

DISCUSSION

LEV is one of the newest Anti-Epileptic Drugs (AEDs) which was approved by Food and Drug Administration (FDA) in 19996,7. It binds to synaptic vesicle protein
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SV2A which is related to modulation of synaptic vesicle exocytosis and neurotransmitter release\(^6\). Animal models show that the affinity for SV2A is associated with protection against seizures\(^5\). Initially used as adjunctive therapy in partial seizures, it is now, increasingly proving to be a very useful drug in the treatment of epilepsy. It has been successfully used in adults as initial monotherapy\(^10\) as well as in the management of SE, refractory and super-refractory SE with successful control of seizures\(^9\). It was used in pediatric cases of refractory SE with similar results\(^13\). In a comparative study in children, it was reported as effective as valproate and safer in the control of refractory SE\(^16\). Review of literature shows that use of LEV is considered safe and effective in children with refractory SE\(^15\)-\(^18\). In a report of two years' experience with this drug in SE, no severe adverse reactions were observed\(^16\). Use of LEV or valproic acid has been proposed as a second step in managing SE after benzodiazepines and phenytoin as initial steps\(^17\). In our patient, control of seizure with initial I/V diazepam had failed elsewhere, before transfer to PICU. Subsequently, repeated doses of I/V midazolam as well as loading doses of phenytoin, valproate and midazolam infusion were unsuccessful in controlling the seizures. When LEV was available for I/V injection and bolus dose was started in our patient there was complete cessation of seizures without recurrence. No adverse effect of the drug was noted during the treatment and on follow-up visit two weeks later. Treatment was changed to oral LEV monotherapy, before discharge from the hospital.

Although, after initial benzodiazepines, phenytoin and valproate are used as standard second-line therapy in the control of SE in children\(^18\)-\(^21\), I/V LEV should be considered as an effective and safe alternative treatment, prior to use of general anesthetics for the control of refractory SE in children\(^15\),\(^22\). Our experience with the present case has supported this option. A drawback in our set up was the unavailability of continuous EEG monitoring which is considered crucial for management of SE\(^23\).

**CONCLUSION**

General anesthesia with ventilatory and hemodynamic supports is needed to control seizure unresponsive to first and second line drugs; intravenous levetiracetam should be considered as an option.
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