Traumatic pancreatic transection - Case reports
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Abstract
Pancreatic injury following blunt trauma abdomen is presentation with significant morbidity and mortality. Our first case here is the American Association for the Surgery of Trauma Organ Injury Scale (AAST-OIS) Grade V pancreaticoduodenal injuries following penetrating injury managed with pancreaticoduodenectomy and the second case is blunt trauma to the abdomen with pancreatic tail transection which is American Association for the Surgery of Trauma Organ Injury Scale (AAST-OIS) Grade III that was managed with Endoscopic Retrograde Cholangiopancreatography (ERCP) and pancreatic duct stenting. The outcome depends on early diagnosis and needs a high index of suspicion due to subtle clinical and radiological findings initially. Hemodynamically unstable patients may have other associated visceral and vascular injuries, and damage control strategy will be a suitable option. Same sitting resection procedures like pancreaticoduodenectomy are rarely performed. Pancreatic injuries can often be managed non-operatively with percutaneous drainage and pancreatic duct stenting.
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INTRODUCTION
Pancreatic injury accounts for 0.2% to 12% of all abdominal trauma, and injury by gunshot or stab accounts for 20% to 30% of all patients with penetrating injuries1, 2. These injuries are common in children and young adults as they have a sparse amount of protective fat around pancreas than adults2. Pancreatic body, head and tail are common sites in order of injury and are mostly associated with co-existent other injuries (60% are duodenopancreatic lesions, while 90% involve at least one other abdominal organ)2. Our first case was a young thin built male who had a penetrating injury which was associated with duodenopancreatic injury and was successfully managed with same sitting pancreaticoduodenectomy whereas the second case was a thin built young female who presented with pancreatic tail injury and was managed with the percutaneous and endoscopic approach. Both of the cases had subtle clinical and radiological findings on presentation; one of which was diagnosed intra-operatively and the other was confirmed on serial imaging due to clinical deterioration.

CASE REPORTS
Case 1
A twenty-four-year-old male presented with an alleged history of fall from a two-storey building within one hour of accident. He sustained injury over the lower abdomen and left thigh accompanied by bleeding from injured sites. On examination, the patient was hemodynamically stable, with normal mentation and neurological status. The patient had a 3x3 cm puncture wound in the right lumbar region, admitting two fingers with fascial dehiscence and breached peritoneum...
with no pulsatile bleeding. Abdominal examination revealed tender epigastrium with no other features of peritonitis. In addition, he also had two small puncture wounds in his left thigh which were of no significance. Laboratory values revealed WBC of 16200/mm³, hemoglobin 14.4gm/dl, creatinine 110uMol/l, plasma electrolytes and liver function test within normal limits. Focused assessment with sonography in trauma (FAST scan) was negative. Contrast enhanced computerized tomography (CECT) of the abdomen trauma series showed pneumoperitoneum and an exploratory laparotomy was planned (Figure 1). Intra-operatively there was near complete transection of duodenum near duodenojejunal flexure, hemoperitoneum and retroperitoneal hematoma in zone I and zone II. There was complete transection of the neck of pancreas (AAST-OIS Grade V injury) with saponification around mesentery and penetrating unhealthy wound in the right lumbar region (Figure 1). Pancreas texture was soft, with small duct and the common bile duct (CBD) was approximately 5 mm. The patient was further managed with Whipple pancreaticoduodenectomy. Intra-operatively one-pint whole blood was transfused. The patient had Grade B pancreatic fistula, had wound infection and was discharged on the eight post-operative day with the drain removed on the 21st post-operative period and was doing fine on the 90th day follow up.

**Case 2**

A twenty-year-old female with an alleged history of blunt abdominal trauma following a landslide presented with complaints of abdominal pain. The patient was hemodynamically stable and was shifted to the Intensive Care Unit (ICU) for monitoring. Laboratory investigations showed WBC of 15000/mm³, hemoglobin 13.5 gm/dl, CPK-MB 1432 U/L, serum lipase 680 U/l, total bilirubin 0.5 mg/dl, direct bilirubin 1.7 mg/dl, aspartate transaminase 165 U/L and alanine transaminase values of 211 U/L. CECT abdomen revealed AAST-OIS Grade I splenic and Grade II liver laceration. Pancreatic contusion at tail and peri-pancreatic tail fat stranding was seen with mild free fluid in peritoneum (Figure 2). There was no fall in hematocrit and no features of peritonitis, but her abdominal pain was in increasing trend. She had occasional fever with daily increase in WBC and had persistent unwellness until the eighth day of admission. There was no significant increase in peritoneal fluid in daily FAST scan. Therefore, CECT abdomen was done which revealed loss of pancreatic tissue at tail (AAST-OIS Grade III), progression of pancreatitis with acute pancreatic fluid collection (APFC) of size 16x10x6.5 cm, CT severity index of 6 and hence a percutaneous pigtail was inserted which drained approximately one litre of pancreatic ascites (Drain amylase - 67600 U/L). The patient was discharged on the 13th day of admission with pigtail in-situ which was

---

**Figure 1:** Intra-operative pictures showing pancreatic neck transection and associated duodenal perforation at duodenojejunal flexure and CECT abdomen of same patient showing pancreatic injury.
draining approximately 150 millilitres (ml) daily. After four
weeks she still had persistent pancreatic fistula draining
approximately 80 to 100 ml daily, and presented to the
emergency department with abdominal pain. Magnetic
Resonance Cholangiopancreaticography (MRCP) was
done which showed similar findings of previous CECT
with suspected proximal pancreatic duct fistula with peri-pancreatic fluid collection (12 x 8.8 x 3.3cm) (Figure
2). Hence, ERCP and pancreatic duct stenting was done
with 7 Fr 10 cm long straight biliary stent with note of
its tip proximal to cut-off area (Figure 3), after which the
amount of drain decreased. She was discharge post ERCP
and stenting with pigtail drainage in situ. The drain was
removed six weeks after the procedure and the patient
was doing fine on the 90th day follow up.

DISCUSSION
Our first case was abdominal penetrating injury managed
with emergency pancreatecoduodenectomy for
transection of pancreatic head and associated duodenal
perforation whereas the second case was pancreatic tail
transection following blunt abdominal trauma managed
with endoscopic manoeuvre.

The clinical triad of upper abdominal pain, leukocytosis
and raised amylase may not always be evident during the
first 24 hours or even later in pancreatecoduodenal
injuries. After initial investigation following general
principles of Advanced trauma life support (ATLS),
patients should undergo FAST scan and CECT although
both of these tests have low sensitivity of 40% to 50%
for pancreatic injury. In such cases, CECT abdomen
may show hard signs such as parenchymal lacerations
and soft signs like peripancreatic fluid. A finding of
50% parenchymal transection has high risk of ductal
disruption. In our first case, associated pancreatic injury
was missed in CECT pre-operatively whereas in the
second case the patient had fat stranding around tail
of pancreas with pancreatitis, splenic injury and liver
laceration. Due to persistent unwellness of patients,
persistent abdominal pain and progressive systemic
inflammatory response syndrome (SIRS) in eighth day
CECT abdomen was repeated where pancreatic tail injury
was more delineated with large acute pancreatic fluid
collection compressing stomach anteriorly. The AAST-
OIS is a universally used grading system for pancreatic
injury but it does not take associated duodenal injury
into account. Lucas score and Frey & Wardell have also
included associated duodenal injury which is more
useful for practical management, but is not being used
universally.

Hemodynamically unstable patients are shifted straight
away to the operating room, where a diagnosis can be
confirmed. Damage control laparotomy followed by
staged surgery can also be done. Our first case was shifted
to the operating room for pneumoperitoneum, and was
intra-operatively found to have pancreatecoduodenal
injury. For stable patients, MRCP becomes the
investigation of choice to better delineate the pancreatic

Figure 2: CECT abdomen of Case 2 showing pancreatic
tail transection with associated acute pancreatic fluid
collection and MRCP of same patient after a month,
showing pancreatic duct fistula with peri-pancreatic
fluid collection.

Figure 3: ERCP showing pancreatic duct stenting (arrow)
in Case 2.
duct status. ERCP may show contrast extravasation from side or main duct injuries. ERCP has added advantage of stenting the duct in the same sitting as an initial temporary method in stable patients. Our second case was initially managed with percutaneous pigtail drainage for pancreatic fluid collection, followed by MRCP, ERCP and pancreatic duct stenting for persistent pancreatic fistula. Serum amylase and lipase may be mildly raised within six hours of injury; however, these findings are not specific as they are raised in other intra-abdominal injuries too. Amylase level detected in diagnostic peritoneal lavage is more sensitive than serum amylase.

Grade I and II injuries can be managed conservatively as long as there is no involvement of pancreatic duct. Endoscopic management via ERCP and pancreatic duct stenting can be done as in our second case which can prevent major laparotomy, resectional procedures, shorten hospital stay and treatment of late onset complications. For grade III or IV pancreatic injury identified either on CT scan or during surgery, operative or resectional treatment is recommended. In grade V injury, there is still debate regarding routine performance of pancreaticoduodenectomy due to very high mortality and morbidity. Resection procedure such as pancreaticoduodenectomy is rarely done in same sitting outside high volume centers. Outcomes of pancreaticoduodenal injury depends on the grade of injury, amount of blood loss, duration of shock; number, extent and magnitude of associated injury; efficacy of resuscitation and speed and quality of surgical intervention. It is appropriate to undergo initial surgical drainage until the patient is well resuscitated for definitive surgical procedure and timely referral to center with pancreatic surgery expertise for better outcome.

CONCLUSION
Higher grade pancreatic injuries need a competent and experienced multi-disciplinary team. Endoscopic treatment for higher grade injury can be valuable to patients who are hemodynamically stable with distal injuries in an acute setting whereas resectional procedures like pancreaticoduodenectomy in trauma setting need mature judgement, skilled evaluation and intraoperative assistance of a hepatopancreaticobiliary surgeon.
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