

Original Article

Utilization of Family Planning Methods and associated factors among women of reproductive age group in Sunsari, Nepal

Punam Kumari Mandal^{1*}, Namita Yangden², Bhumika Rai³, Achala Niraula⁴, Sabitra Subedi⁵

Author's Affiliations

¹⁻⁵Instructor,Tribhuvan University, Institute of Medicine,Biratnagar Nursing Campus

Correspondence to:

Punam Kumari Mandal, Biratnagar Nursing campus, TU,IOM,

E-mail: punammandal777@gmail.com

ABSTRACT

Background and objectives: Family planning not only improves women'shealth but also promotes gender equality, better child health, and education, including poverty reduction. Therefore, the objective of this study was to assess the utilization of family planning methods and associated factors in Sunsari, Nepal.

Materials and methods: A cross-sectional analytical study was conducted among women of the reproductive age group (15-49 years) in Sunsari in 2020. Non-probability purposive sampling was used to collect information from 212 respondents through face-to-face interviews using a Semi-structured interview schedule from ward no 1 of Barju rural municipality. Descriptive inferential analysis (binary and logistic regression)were used to find the association of utilization of family planning methods with selected demographic variables. All the variables with a p-value <0.1 in bivariate analysis were included in multivariate analysis. A p-value of < 0.05 was considered to indicate statistical significance at a level of significance of 5%.

Results: This study showed that the mean age and standard deviation of the respondents was 26±7.03 and 91.5 % of respondents' age at marriage was less than 20 years. Likewise, 67.5% of respondents use any methods of family planning and 55.2% of respondents use family planning services from the government health facility. Furthermore, education ((AOR 1.579, CI 1.013-2.462)., husband's occupation (AOR1.095,CI 0.744-1.610), type of family ((AOR 2.741, CI 1.210-6.210), and no of the living son ((AOR 0.259, CI 0.077-0.872) are the factors associated with the utilization of family planning methods.

Conclusion: This study concludes that two-thirds of the reproductive age women utilize family planning methods. Furthermore, education, husband's occupation, type of family, and no of living son are the factors associated with the utilization of family planning methods. This reflects that awareness through mass media including behavioral communication is needed to increase the utilization of family planning methods.

Keywords: Community, Family planning, Women

INTRODUCTION

Family planning is a priority program in Nepal. Family planning not only improves women's health but also promotes gender equality, better child health, and education, including poverty reduction. In Nepal, 53% of



currently married women use a method of family planning, with 43% using a modern method and 10% using a traditional method[1]. Around the world among the 1.9 billion women of the Reproductive age group(15-49 years), 1.1 billion need family planning; of these, 842 million are using contraceptive methods, and 270 million have an unmet need for contraception in 2019 [2].

In the year 2076/77 among the all-current user, Female sterilization (41%) was the most common contraceptive method, followed by Depo Implant (15%),(14%),sterilization (12%), condoms (7%), pills (6%), and IUCD (6%). Province No. 2 has the highest percentage of total users (23%) in the country, followed by Province No. 1 (18%), Bagmati (18%), and Lumbini (17%), with Karnali province having the lowest percentage [3].

The 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development 3.7 targets "to ensure universal access to sexual and reproductive health-care services, including for family planning, education, information and and the integration of reproductive health into national strategies and programs". For this reason, increasing access to contraception and ensuring that family planning needs are met with effective contraceptive methods are essential for achieving universal access to reproductive healthcare services [4]. This is further supplemented by revitalizing the initial 2015 commitment to maintaining and sustaining the efforts already initiated through the implementation of FP2020. The Government of Nepal started to continue to increase the government budget for family planning by 7% each year up to 2020. In the federal system, Nepal is committed to 'leaving no one behind' and 'reaching the unreached' to increase the number of new users of Family planning [5].

A community-based study conducted among reproductive-age women with disabilities showed low utilization of FP and the associated factors were marital status, attitude, and being employed [6].Likewise, a polled study from a nationally representative survey showed that Muslims, janajatis, and Dalits were less likely to use contraceptives than Brahmins and Chetris. The use of family planning increases with the use of mass media and the impact of family planning information on contraceptive use varied with ethnicity [7].Furthermore, secondary data analysis using 2016 NDHS showed overall utilization of LARC was 4.7%. Younger women's age, low or no husband's education, from an indigenous community such as Janajati and Newer, being in the lowest wealth quintile negatively influenced the use LARC. Whereas, women having theirhusbands as skilled workers, parity of less than two, and desire of having future children, positively influenced the use of LARC. The study emphasizes the need to reach women who were in a lower socioeconomic background to improve LARC use [8].

Studies have indicated that various factors are associated with the utilization of family planning methods in Nepal, however, most studies focused on the national wide use of family planning. The information regarding the use of family planning in the study area was limited. The objective of this study is to assess the utilization of family planning methods and associated factors in Sunsari, Nepal.

MATERIALS AND METHODS



A cross-sectional analytical study was conducted to assess the utilization of family planning methods and associated factors among women of the reproductive age group in Sunsari in 2020. The study populations were married women of the reproductive age group 15-49 years. Ward no 1 of Barju rural municipality of Sunsari district was selected randomly for the study. Non-probability purposive sampling was used to collect information from 212 respondents through face-to-face interviews using a semistructured interview schedule. House to house survey was used to trace out the respondents in the community. Tools were divided into 3 distinct parts Part I: Socioinformation. Part demographic information related to Family planning, and Part III: information related to the health system. Validity of the tool was maintained by logical analysis, reviewing with peer groups by extensive literature review & consulting with subject expertise. Before conducting the study, approval was taken from the selected ward office of Barju rural municipality, and data collection was done, reference no. 1169. Written informed consent was taken from each respondent. Privacy and confidentiality of information of all the respondents were maintained throughout the study. Data collection was done by the researchers themselves.

Data processing was done by using SPPS version 17. Descriptive analysis i.e., frequency, percentage, the mean, and standard deviation were used. In inferential analysis binary logistic regression was used to find the association of utilization of family planning methods with selected demographic variables. All the variables with P-value <0.1 in bivariate analysis were included in multivariate analysis. The p-value of <0.05

was considered to indicate statistical significance at a level of significance of 5%.

RESULTS

This study showed that more than half of the respondents 59.9% were from the age group 21-30 followed by 28.8% from 31-40 years. The mean age was 26±7.03. Likewise, 91.5 % of respondents' age at marriage was less than 20 years. Regarding ethnicity, 37.3% of respondents were from relatively disadvantaged janajati and 24. % were from Dalit. The majority 98.6% were from the Hindu religion and 39.2% of respondents were illiterate. Regarding occupation, 67.9% of the respondents were homemakers. With regard to husband's education 36.3% were illiterate and 25.5% are from secondary level and in occupation, 51.5% were wage labor. Similarly, 65.1% of respondents' income was NPR. 10000-20000 and 59.4% of respondents have nuclear families.

With regard to obstetric characteristics, 63.4% of respondents were a second gravida and 20.6% were more than 3rd gravida,66.1% of respondents were multipara. Regarding no of living sons, 79.4% have two sons and 65.2% have two living daughters. Similarly, 44.6% of respondents have a 3-year birth interval whereas less than one-third 10.1% have a one-year birth interval. When asked about spousal separation more than two-thirds 84.4% responded never away whereas 5.7% stated away for more than one year.

Regarding the source of information, 51.4% had stated family /friends .45.9% % had stated FCHVs and at least 6.6% had books/newspapers. When asked about the family planning methods heard about more than two-thirds 84% stated injectables followed by condoms 79.2% and least 1.9 %

JMCJMS

stated lactational amenorrhea and the rhythm method. Regarding family planning use 67.5% of respondents use any methods of

JMCJMS

Variables	Frequency	Percentage
Heard a family planning message		
'es	204	96.2
0	8	3.8
ources of information	50	23.6
V/Radio	84	39.6
ealth Workers	96	45.9
CHVs	109	51.4
riends /Relatives	57	26.9
eer groups	14	6.6
ooks/Newspaper		0.0
pe of family planning heard about		
emale sterilization	137	64.6
ale sterilization	54	25.5
JCD	108	50.9
ijectables	178	84.0
nplants	133	62.7
	168	79.2
ondom	121	57.1
nergency contraception	7	3.3
actation Amenorrhea	4	1.9
hythm Method	4	1.9
ithdrawl	5	2.4
	5	2.4
e any method of family planning		
S	143	67.5
)	69	32.5
pe of family planning used (n=143)		
ermanent	89	62.24
emporary	54	37.76
temporary (n=54)		
еро	26	48.14
orplant	11	20.37
ills	10	18.51
ondom	6	11.11
JCD	1	1.85
nmily planning user (n=143)	-	1.00
male	137	95.80
ale	6	4.20
	Ü	1.20
mily planning services used from		
overnment health facility	117	55.2
rivate health facility	32	15.1
CHVs	8	3.8
rom retailer	1	0.5
istance of family planning Service		
30 min	172	81.1
30 min	40	18.9
e attitude of the service provider at family nning services		
	157	74.8
iendly		
ot friendly	10	3.8
ason for discontinuation of family planning	F	2.4
usband being away	5	2.4
de effects or health concerns	4	1.9
esire to become pregnant	8	3.8
n objection of husband or family	3	1.4
ime to reach family planning service	1	0.5

JMCJMS: ISSN 2091-2242; eISSN 2091-2358



Table 2: Association of Utilization of Family planning methods and socio-demographic variables n=212 Utilized Not Utilized OR **Variables** CI p-Value Age 0.000* ≤20 22(10.4) 0.69 0.023-0.206 7(3.3) 21-30 90(42.5) 37(17.5) 0.529 0.242-1.158 0.111 ≥31 46(21.7) 10(4.7) (Ref) **Ethnicity** 0.526 Dalit 21(9.9) 31(14.6) 0.738 0.288-1.889 Relatively disadvantage janajati 25(11.8) 54(25.5) 1.080 0.441-2.643 0.866 Brahmin /Chetri 13(6.1) 38(17.9) 1.462 Others # 10(4.7) 20(9.4) (Ref) 0.545-3.919 0.451 **Educational status** 0.001* Illiterate 68(32.1) 15(7.1) 5.667 2.391-13.431 Can read and write 26(12.3) 16(7.5) 2.031 0.125 0.821 - 5.024Primary 33(15.6) 18(8.5) 2.292 0.957-5.486 0.063 Secondary and above 16(7.5) 20(9.4) (Ref) Husbands' education Illiterate 61(28.8) 16(7.5) 3.119 1.474-6.600 0.003*Can read and write 16(7.5) 12(5.7) 1.091 0.441-2.696 0.851 Primary 33(15.6) 14(6.6) 1.929 0.861-4.318 0.110 Secondary and above 33(15.6) 27(12.7) (Ref) **Occupation** Homemaker 105(49.5) 39(18.4) 1.974 0.835-4.668 0.121 Agriculture 23(10.8) 19(9.0) 0.888 0.331-2.382 0.813 Others # 15(7.1) 11(5.2) (Ref) **Husband Occupation** Wage labor 70(33.0) 39(18.4) 0.608 0.323-1.143 0.122 Agriculture 11(5.2) 9(4.2) 0.414 0.157-1.137 0.087 Others # 62(29.2) 21(9.9) (Ref) Type of family 2.909 0.000* Nuclear 97(45.8) 29(13.7) 1.608-5.263 Ioint 46(21.7) 40(18.9) (Ref) Distance to healthfacility <30 minutes 117(53.2) 55(25.9) 1.145 0.533-2.363 0.713 >30 minutes 26(12.3) 14(6.6) (Ref) **Income** <20000 112(52.8) 48(22.6) 1.167 0.207-6.586 0.861 20000-30000 27(12.7) 19(9.0) 0.711 0.118-4.281 0.709 ≥30000 4(1.9) 2(0.9) (Ref)

^{*}Significant p-value<0.05, others# in ethnicity= relatively advantaged janajati and religious minorities, others# in occupation= business, service, labor and others, others# in husbands' occupation=business, service, and others



Variables	Utilized	Not Utilized	OR	CI	p-Value
Age at marriage					
≤20	129(60.8)	65(30.7)	0.567	0.179-1.792	0.334
>20	14(6.6)	4(1.9)	(Ref)		
Gravida					
1	8(4.1)	23(11.9)	0.101	0.034-0.302	0.000*
2	99(51.0)	24(12.4)	1.198	0.504-2.847	0.683
≥3	31(16.0)	9 (94.6)	(Ref)		
Para					
1	13(7.1)	19(10.4)	0.249	0.085-0.728	0.011*
2	100(54.6)	21(11.5)	1.732	0.679-4.415	0.250
≥3	22(12.0)	8(4.4)	(Ref)		
No. of living son					
1	12(5.7)	31(14.8)	0.111	0.052-0.237	0.000*
2	129(61.7)	37(17.7)	(Ref)		
No. of living daughter					
1	36(17.6)	35(17.2)	0.287	0.154-0.534	0.000*
2	104(51)	29(14.2)	(Ref)		
Birth interval					
1year	12(8.1)	3(2.0)	1.000	0.167-5.985	1.000
2 years	37(25.0)	15(10.1)	0.617	0.132-2.501	0.499
3 years	56(37.8)	10(6.8)	1.400	0.334-5.867	
>3 years	12(8.1)	3(92.0)	(Ref)		
Spousal separation					
Never away	121(57.1)	58(27.4)	2.921	0.889-9.59	0.71
Away for <1 year	17(8.0)	4(1.9)	5.950	1.223-28.95	0.27
Away for >1 Year	5(2.4)	4(3.3)	(Ref)		

family planning and 55.2% of respondents uses family planning services from government health facility and 3.8% from FCHVs. Very few 0.5% use FP from the retailer. With regard to the reason for discontinuation of family planning, 3.8% said the desire to become pregnant and 1.4% stated objection of husband or family, and least 0.5% said time to reach family planning service and rumours about FP.

In bivariate analysis demographic variables like age (OR 0.69, 95% CI 0.023-0.206), educational status (OR 5.667,95% CI 2.391-13.431), husband's education (OR 3.119,95% CI 1.474-6.600), type of family (OR

2.909,95% CI 1.608-5.263) is associated with utilization of family planning methods. Likewise in obstetric characteristics gravida (OR 0.101, 95% CI 0.034-0.302), para (OR 0.249,95% CI 0.085-0.728), No of the living son (OR 0.111, 95% CI 0.052-0.237), no of living daughter (OR, 95% 0.287 CI 0.154-0.534) are associated with utilization of family planning methods. In multivariate analysis education, husband's occupation, type of family, and no of living son are the factors associated with the utilization of family planning methods. The women who were educated were more likely to utilize family planning methods than the illiterate (AOR 1.579, CI 1.013-2.462).



analysis)n=212 Variables	Unadjusted OR	Adjusted OR	CI	p-Value
v at lables	onadjusted OR	Aajustea OR	CI	p-value
Age				
≤20	0.69	0.871	0.393-1.930	0.733
21-30	0.529			
≥31	(Ref)			
Educational status				
Illiterate	5.667	1.579	1.013-2.462	0.044 *
Can read and write	2.031			
Primary	2.292			
Secondary and above	(Ref)			
Husbands' education				
Illiterate	3.119	1.095	0.744-1.610	0.646
Can read and write	1.091			
Primary	1.929			
Secondary and above	(Ref)			
Husband Occupation				
Wage labour	0.608	1.992	1.160-3.422	0.013 *
Agriculture	0.414			
Others	(Ref)			
Type of family				
Nuclear	2.909	2.741	1.210-6.210	0.016 *
Joint	(Ref)			
Gravida				
1	0.101	0.710	0.211-2.868	0.706
2	1.198			
≥3	(Ref)			
Para	22.5	4.40.	0.050 4 100	0.070
1	0.249	1.121	0.279-4.499	0.872
2	1.732			
≥3	(Ref)			
No of Son				
1	0.111	0.259	0.077-0.872	0.029 *
2	(Ref)			
No of daughter				
1	0.287	0.466	0.177-1.226	0.122
2	(Ref)			



DISCUSSION

This study showed that 67.5% of respondents use any methods of family planning which contradicts the findings of the study conducted in the Dang district which showed that 39% of the women and 8% of their husbands were using family planning[11]. Regarding the source of information,51.4% had stated family /friends .45.9% % had stated FCHVs. and least 6.6% books/newspapers which contradicts the findings of the study conducted in Sindhupalchok district in Nepal in which health workers 55%, hospitals 48%, friends 44%, and newspaper 25% is the major source of information [9]. When asked about family planning methods heard about more than two-thirds 84% stated injectables followed by condoms 79.2% and least 1.9 % stated lactational amenorrhea and rhythm method which is similar to the findings of the study which showed 88.69% of respondents heard about family planning and the most commonly known methods were injectables, pill and IUCD [9]. Similarly, another study showed that 99.5% of participants had knowledge about modern FP [11].Likewise in this study, 55.2% of respondents use family planning services from government health facilities and 3.8% from FCHVs. Very few 0.5% use FP from the retailer which is consistent with the findings of the study showed more than two-thirds of the women and their husbands (68%) obtain family planning devices from government health facilities [11]. With regard to the reason for discontinuation of family planning, 3.8% said the desire to become pregnant and 1.4% stated objection of husband or family and least 0.5% said time to reach family planning service and rumors about FP which is supported by the findings of the study which showed reasons are two-fourth (40.5%) of the participants reported their husband is not at home, 10.5% reported fear of side effect and 10.2% of the mothers reported not resuming sex as a reason while 6.8% mentioned husband disapproval for not using any form of FP methods[12] where as it contradicts with the findings of the study stated that reasons were due to fear of side effects (42%), and inaccessibility was 1% [11]. Likewise, a study reflected that fertility-related issues and opposition were the reasons for the difficulty to get service points [13].

This study showed that education, husband's occupation, type of family, and no of living son are the factors associated with the utilization of family planning methods. The women who were educated were 1.579 times more likely to utilize family planning methods than the illiterate (CI 1.013-2.462). which is consistent with the findings of the study conducted in Ethiopia [13]. Likewise, another study showed Women with no formal education were significantly less likely to practice modern family planning relative to those with some formal education (OR = 0.57, 95% C.I 0.37- 0.87) [14].

The findings are also supported by the study conducted in Nepal showed women with no education were less likely to use LARC by 33% compared to women who had secondary education and above [8]. The women who have education might have knowledge about the use of family planning which is reflected in their behavior. In this study occupation of the husbands showed a significant association with the utilization of family planning methods with an AOR of 1.095 (CI 0.744-1.610) which is consistent with the findings of the study conducted in Kailali District, Nepal which showed Occupation of



husbands significantly associated with utilization offamily planning methods with an adjusted odds ratio of 3.2 (95% CI: 2.0–6.0) [12]. Similarly, the women who are from nuclear families were 2.741 times more likely to utilize family planning methods than those from joint families (CI 1.210-6.210) which is not supported by the findings of the study conducted in India showed that type of family significantly associated with unmet need (p=0.001).Respondents who belong to a joint family were found to have fewer unmet needs compared to nuclear families [15].

With regards to the number of living sons, women who have two living sons were 0.259 timesmore likely to utilize family planning methods than one (CI 0.077-0.872).which contradicts with findings of the study which showed there was no association of the number of children women had with family planning use behavior(p = 0.133) [16].

The occupation is not associated with the utilization of family planning methods which is supported by the study conducted in different settings that showed neither the marital status of the women nor their occupation had a significant influence on their modern FP use behavior [16].

CONCLUSIONS

This study concludes that two-thirds of the reproductive-age women utilize family planning methods. Furthermore, education, husband's occupation, type of family, and no of living son are the factors associated with the utilization of family planning methods. This reflects that awareness regarding family planning is needed including behavioral change communication. Education through mass media with periodic reinforcement will play an important role in creating awareness.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Researchers would like to express gratitude and thank the VCD secretariat of Barju ward No 1 and all the respondents for their kind cooperation and valuable support.

Sources of Funding: None **Conflict of Interest:** None

- 1. Ministry of Health, Nepal; New ERA; and ICF. 2017. Nepal Demographic and Health Survey 2016. Kathmandu, Nepal: Ministry of Health, Nepal. [Internet]. [cited 2021 Sep 15]. Available from: https://dhsprogram.com/pubs/pdf/FR336/FR336.pdf
- 2. Family planning/contraception methods [Internet]. [cited 2021 Sep 15]. Available from: https://www.who.int/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/family-planning-contraception
- 3. MOHP, Department of Health Service-Annual-Report-FY-2076-77-for-website.pdf [Internet]. [cited 2021 Sep 15]. Available from: https://dohs.gov.np/wpcontent/uploads/2021/07/DoHS-Annual-Report-FY-2076-77-for-website.pdf
- 4. Family Planning and the 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development (Data Booklet) [Internet]. United Nations; 2019 [cited 2021 Sep 15]. Available from: https://www.un-ilibrary.org/content/books/9789210045124
- 5. Nepal | Family Planning 2020 [Internet]. [cited 2021 Sep 17]. Available from: https://www.familyplanning2020.org/nepal
- 6. Utilization of Family Planning Methods and Associated Factors Among Reproductive-Age Women with Disability in Arba Minch Town, Southern Ethiopia [Internet]. [cited 2021 Sep 14]. Available from: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC7152731/
- 7. Sharma SK, Pratap N, Ghimire DR. Ethnic differentials of the impact of Family Planning Program on contraceptive use in Nepal. Demogr Res 2011; 25(27):837–68.
- 8. Bhandari R, Pokhrel KN, Gabrielle N, Amatya A. Longacting reversible contraception use and associated



factors among married women of reproductive age in Nepal. PLOS ONE. 2019;14(3):e0214590.

- 9. Upadhayay A, Shah SK, Thapa DK. Knowledge, Attitude and Practice of Family Planning Method Among Married Women of Reproductive Age Group in Earth Quake Displaced Population of Sindupalchok Disrtict, Nepal. Am J Public Health Res 5.
- 10. Tesfa E, Gedamu H. Factors associated with utilization of long-term family planning methods among women of reproductive age attending Bahir Dar health facilities, Northwest Ethiopia. BMC Res Notes 2018; 27;11(1):926.
- 11. Bhusal CK, Bhattarai S. Factors Affecting Unmet Need of Family Planning Among Married Tharu Women of Dang District, Nepal. Int J Reprod Med 2018; (23):2018:1–9.
- 12. Joshi AK, Tiwari DP, Poudyal A, Shrestha N, Acharya U, Dhungana GP. Utilization of Family Planning Methods Among Postpartum Mothers in Kailali District, Nepal. Int J Women's Health. 2020;12:487–94.
- 13. Mesfin Yesgat Y, Gebremeskel F, Estifanous W, Gizachew Y, Jemal S, Atnafu N, et al. Utilization of Family Planning Methods and Associated Factors Among Reproductive-Age Women with Disability in Arba Minch Town, Southern Ethiopia. Open Access J Contracept 2020;11:25–32.
- 14. Eliason S, Awoonor-Williams JK, Eliason C, Novignon J, Nonvignon J, Aikins M. Determinants of modern family planning use among women of reproductive age in the Nkwanta district of Ghana: a case-control study. Reprod Health. 2014;11(1):65.
- 15. Kaushik A, Kiran K, Jain PK, Shukla SK, Chandra A, Yadav R. Prevalence of Unmet Need for Family Planning and Its Sociodemographic Co-Relates in a Rural Area of Etawah. Natl J Community Med 2017;8(04):198–202.
- 16. Kessy A. Utilization of Modern Family Planning Methods among Women of Reproductive Age in a Rural Setting: The Case of Shinyanga Rural District, Tanzania. East Afr J Public Health 2012; 3(2): 32-36.