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INTRODUCTION

Potato is grown worldwide in more than 150 countries of the world as staple food including Nepal (Ezeta 2008). Out of total agricultural land, potato cultivation is known to occupy about 6.47% which is about 199,971 hectares (ha) and the total production reached about of 2,805,582 tons (t) with average productivity of 14.03 t/ha in 2015/16 in Nepal (MESD 2017). Potato is grown from an altitude of about 100 meter mean above sea level (masl) to 4000 masl in Nepal. Mostly the farming is subsistence type with small landholding of only 0.68 ha (CBS 2013). The cultivation of potato is popular among smallholder farmers due to its wider adaptability, high yield potential and demand. Thus becomes major sources of food, income and employment to many Nepali rural farmers. The share of potato to Gross Domestic Product (GDP) and Agriculture Gross Domestic Product (AGDP) were 2.17 and 6.57%, respectively (ABPSD 2015).

In Baglung, a mid-hill district, potato is cultivated in 1,665 ha of land with total production of 19,758 t and productivity of 11.8 t/ha (DADO 2015). The two area of Baglung district known as Bobang Village Development Committee (VDC) which is Dhorpatan Nagarpalika now and Tara VDC (now Tarakhoa
Gaunpalika) are the major pocket sites of potato. In Bobang, potatoes are grown as a mono-crop, which is found as a suitable place since viral degeneration generally occurs more slowly at higher altitudes and storage is much less of a challenge (Dhital 2000).

Since agriculture practices generally involve mostly marginalized and smallholder farmers in Nepal, especially in hills. Thus, it is likely that poor technical knowledge might be hindering the production and productivity due to poor understanding about the efficient resource use. Here, efficiency of resource use is defined as the ability to derive maximum output per unit of resource, which is the key to effectively address the challenges of achieving food security, while ‘resource’ is stock or supply of money, materials, staff, and other assets that can be drawn on by a person or organization in order to function effectively (Rahman and Lawal 2003). According to Heady and Egbert (1964) the resources are said to be efficiently used, if the last unit of each resources yield equal marginal productivity. Analysis of resource use efficiency helps to determine whether the resources are overused or optimally used or underused. Inefficient use of resources increases the cost of production, thus might resulting low return from potato production. The rapidly growing population resulting in speedy urbanisation where agricultural lands being converted into residential buildings (Ishtiaque et al 2017) creating huge demand of food. In respect to these relevant issues, only few studies have analyzed the efficiency of resources use in agriculture focusing on farmers’ perception. Therefore, we aimed to elucidate the efficiency of resources use pattern among marginalized farmers in hilly region of Nepal involved in potato production. It is crucial to determine the level of production function as it helps and provides idea to manage the available resources efficiently to increase the production and maximize return using existing resources.

**METHODOLOGY**

**Sampling Techniques**

The present survey was conducted in Baglung district, representing a hilly landscape almost in the middle of Nepal in Central Himalayas, spreading from 83°E to 83°36’E and 28°15’N to 28°37’N. We selected two pocket sites of potato production namely Bobang and Tara Village Development Committee (VDCs) of Baglung District purposively (Figure 1). The potatoes produced in these locations are known for better taste, quality and organic product (DADO 2015). A total of 120 samples (potato growing households) were selected randomly or face-face interview method using semi structured questionnaire in the month April, 2016. The interview schedule was pretested among six farmers of Bhankunde VDC of Baglung. The necessary corrections and modifications were made in the questionnaire before its administration to actual respondents for the collection of primary data. Data regarding on socio-demographic and economic aspects as well as the amount of resources used in the production process were collected. Two focus group discussions were made to triangulate the data obtained during field survey. The data were coded and necessary cleaning and missing data techniques were employed during data entry to Statistical Packages of Social Sciences (SPSS) software. Descriptive analysis was done using SPSS and regression analysis using Cobb-Douglas production function in Stata software was used to determine resource use efficiency.
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*Figure 1. Map of Nepal and Baglung district showing the study sites. The shaded area represents the study sites*

**Estimation of Resource Use Efficiency**

We used Cobb-Douglas production function to assess resource use efficiency following the methods mentioned by Rahman and Lawal (2003).
$Y = aX_1^{b_1} X_2^{b_2} X_3^{b_3} X_4^{b_4} X_5^{b_5} e^u$

Where,
- $Y$ = Total return from potato production in Nepalese Rupees (NRs)
- $X_1$ = Total cost of seed used in potato production in NRs
- $X_2$ = Total cost of manure used in NRs
- $X_3$ = Total cost of labor used in NRs
- $X_4$ = Total cost of bullock used for tillage operations in NRs
- $X_5$ = Total cost of intercultural operations in potato field in NRs
- $a$ = Intercept
- $e^u$ = Error term
- $b_1$, $b_2$, ..., $b_5$ are the regression coefficients to be estimated. Both dependent and explanatory variables were transformed to natural logarithm. The above equation was transformed to linear form for ease in computation.

The level of resource use efficiency was calculated using following formula:

$$r = \frac{MVP}{MFC},$$

where:
- $r$ = Efficiency ratio
- $MVP$ = Marginal Value Product; which is the value of incremental unit of output resulting from the additional unit of inputs.
- $MFC$ = Marginal Factor Cost which is equal to one since both dependent and explanatory variables are converted to monetary value; and is defined as the increase in the cost of inputs due to purchase of additional unit of inputs.

Now, $MVP = \frac{b_i \bar{Y}_i}{\bar{X}_i}$, Where,
- $b_i$ = Estimated regression coefficient of input $X_i$
- $\bar{Y}_i$ = Geometric mean value of output.
- $\bar{X}_i$ = Geometric mean value of $i^{th}$ resources used

Decision rule:
- $r = 1$: Efficient use of resource
- $r > 1$: Underused of the resource
- $r < 1$: Overused of the resource

Finally, the relative percentage change in MVP was calculated using following way:

$$D = (1 - \frac{MFC}{MVP}) \times 100$$

Or, $D = (1 - \frac{1}{r}) \times 100$

where, $D$= absolute value of percentage change in MVP of each resource (Mijindadi1980).

For calculation of many parameters used in resource efficiency calculation values given in Appendix 1 were used.

**RESULTS**

**Socio-economic and demographic characteristics**

Descriptive analyses of various socio-economic, demographic and institutional variables are presented in Table 1. The average age of household head revealed that the farmers were acquired with enough skills and experience in the production process. The average size of household was higher as compared to national average of 4.88 (CBS 2012). The result on dependency ratio was close to the national average of 0.84. The study sites were male dominated society as agriculture is their main occupation. Livestock is an integral part of Nepali farming and has an average ranking of 6-Livestock Standard Unit (LSU) in study sites (Table 1).

**Estimation of Resource Use Efficiency**

The major resources used in the process of potato production were seed, human labor, bulls, farm yard manure (FYM) and operations of intercultural activities such as weeding and earthing up, etc. (Appendix 1). In the study site, male were involved more in land preparation and female in sowing, weeding and harvesting. Cobb-Douglas production function was used to analyze the efficiency of resources used in the production process where total return from potato was taken as dependent variable and other resources involved in production process as explanatory variables (Table 2).
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Table 1. Description of socio-economic, demographic and institutional variables

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age of respondent (year)</td>
<td>42.05±12.67</td>
<td>Gender of HH (Male = 1)</td>
<td>108 (90.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age of household head (year)</td>
<td>47.91±13.27</td>
<td>Ethnicity (Janjati = 1)</td>
<td>62 (51.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schooling of household head (year)</td>
<td>3.06±3.33</td>
<td>Occupation (Agriculture = 1)</td>
<td>103 (85.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Household size</td>
<td>6.20±2.41</td>
<td>Family type (Nuclear = 1)</td>
<td>76 (63.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male members in household</td>
<td>3.26±1.53</td>
<td>Memberships in social groups (Yes = 1)</td>
<td>24 (20)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female members in household</td>
<td>2.94±1.44</td>
<td>Participation in training (Yes = 1)</td>
<td>10 (8.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Economically active members</td>
<td>3.63±1.81</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dependency ratio</td>
<td>0.88±0.71</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Educated members in household</td>
<td>4.81±2.42</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total landholding (ha)</td>
<td>0.89±0.83</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cultivable land (ha)</td>
<td>0.36±0.29</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Land area under potato cultivation (ha)</td>
<td>0.19±0.13</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Livestock holding (LSU)</td>
<td>5.61±5.77</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Figures in parentheses indicate percentage and value after “±” indicate standard deviation.

Table 2. Estimation of resource use efficiency using Cobb-Douglas production function

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Coefficient</th>
<th>MVP</th>
<th>MFC</th>
<th>r</th>
<th>D-value</th>
<th>Efficiency</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ln_Seed</td>
<td>0.639</td>
<td>3.348</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3.348</td>
<td>70.13</td>
<td>Undersused</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ln_Human labor</td>
<td>0.075</td>
<td>0.478</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.478</td>
<td>108.89</td>
<td>Overused</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ln_Bullock for tillage</td>
<td>-0.015</td>
<td>-1.301</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-1.301</td>
<td>176.84</td>
<td>Overused</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ln_FYM</td>
<td>0.190</td>
<td>0.661</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.661</td>
<td>51.26</td>
<td>Overused</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ln_Intercultural operations</td>
<td>-0.047</td>
<td>-1.180</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-1.180</td>
<td>184.73</td>
<td>Overused</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

DISCUSSION

The present resource use efficiency analysis showed that major inputs in potato cultivation such as labor, bullock, FYM and intercultural operations were overused and need to decrease their cost for its optimum allocation (Table 2). It was also revealed that potato seed was underused, thus need to increase its cost at least by 70% for optimum allocation. This might be due to lack of training exposures to farmers and insufficient extension service to farmers in study site. We argue the reasons behind such unawareness to farmers might be due to poor exposure and training to involved farmers in seed production activities. So, it would be highly recommendable to enrich the farmers with appropriate technical information, exchange of ideas and exposure, so they could be able to obtain optimum price for their resources for increasing production and return from potato.

The ratio of MVP (Marginal Value Product) to MFC (Marginal Factor Cost) was lesser than the unity for all resources used in potato production except seed cost which was greater than unity (Table 2). This revealed that the resources were not used to optimum economic advantage. There is need for adjustment in the marginal value product of all the resources to ensure optimal use. Participation in social groups might help to exchange knowledge and experiences and increase bargaining power of farmers to purchase inputs and sell their products. Since the participation in social groups and trainings was found minimal which revealed poor extension service in study sites. The land separated for potato cultivation was very less as compared to total land owned by household. It is due to complex topography and lack of technical knowledge among farmers.

Potato production being labor intensive activity, family labor was a readily available pool of labor to draw from whenever requires thus surplus family labor might have led to the overuse of labor. Agricultural mechanization or use of machinery equipment was negligible in the study sites. Use of local molded board plough was found which also requires labor force. Thus, labor force was one of the major inputs in the potato production in the study site. This finding was in line with Danso-Abbeam et al(2015) mentioned in Tolno et al(2016).

Sapkota (2016) also found the cost on FYM, human labor and tillage operations as overused resources in maize seed production in Palpa district of Nepal and need to decrease the cost by about 665, 68 and 456%, respectively, for its optimum allocation. Also there was lack of technical knowledge about potato farming and this situation might have led to overuse of these resources. In case of farmyard manure, farmers used all the year round deposited manures in the field and apply it in a traditional way without any care of recommendation which increases the cost of manures and lead to its inefficient use. Farmers deposit the manures in bulk in field

---

1 LSU= Livestock Standard Unit
LSU= 1 cow/bull + 1.5 buffalo + 0.6 swine/ pig +0.4 goat/sheep + 0.02 poultry (Adhikari 2000 cited in Ghimire 2012).
under open sky which has caused in the loss of nutrients from it. Thus, low production although heavy use of farm yard manures in potato production. So, the cost of farm yard manure is found as overused in the study sites. The finding was in line with Tripathi et al (2005), showed that the efficiency ratio (MVP:MFC) of the input manure and fertilizers was less than one which states that this input was overused in the potato contract farming at Haryana state of India. Sujan et al (2017) showed manure as over-utilized resource and contrast with the result of the inputs human labor and land preparation which was under-utilized and seed as over-utilized resource in a study conducted at Munshiganj District of Bangladesh. Similarly, more cost was incurred mainly in bullock use for tillage and in intercultural operations for weeding and earthing up. Likewise using ware potato as seed tubers had resulted in underused resources which are mainly concerned in the quality of seed. This is because of difficult geographical locations the farmers probably were unaware about size and quality of tubers to be used as seed tubers.

Shrestha et al (2016) explained that production efficiency of vegetable farming could be increased with a greater access to improved seed, agricultural credit, training and extension services in eastern high hills of Nepal. Mahatha (2012) stated seed, irrigation, plant protection chemicals, potash and Di-ammonium phosphate (DAP) were underused resources. Thus, farmers could improve economic efficiency and productivity if they use more of these resources. Ghimire and Dhakal (2014) revealed the expenditure on chemical inputs in tomato production and human labor in cauliflower and cabbage production were overused after sustainable soil management program in Dhading District, Nepal. The resources such as seeds and irrigations were found overused whereas fertilizers, human labors and agrochemicals were found underused in the production process of Sorghum which appealed the optimum allocation of resources to maximize revenue at Andhra Pradesh, India (Chapke et al 2011). Sharma (2010) stated that land, human labor, variable and fixed expenses were overused in the production of cucumber in Nawalparasi District of Nepal. In contrast with these results, Ahmed et al (2015) found all the resources in the production process as underused resources in their study.

CONCLUSION

Potato is one of the most important potential crops to ensure nutritional value, food security and reduce poverty in the hilly regions of Nepal. The majority of the farmers are devoid of better extension services and lack of trainings for human capacity building in the study sites. Efficient use of resources is of much concern from economic point of view to increase the production level and maximize return. The resources such as cost on human labor, bullock for tillage, FYM and intercultural operations used in the production process were overused whose cost need to be decreased for its optimum allocation whereas cost on seed were underused and need to increase its cost for optimum allocation of resources. This appeals the need of proper technical knowledge among the farmers to use the available existing resources efficiently. This study concludes that the resources should be used wisely to maximize return. Government and other concerned stakeholders should give priority to provide efficient technical knowledge about good agricultural practice.
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### Appendix 1. Total cost and income from potato cultivation in study sites

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>Cost (NRs./ha)</th>
<th>Share to total cost (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Seed</td>
<td>46771±11049</td>
<td>23.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Human labor</td>
<td>40455±17975</td>
<td>20.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bullock for tillage</td>
<td>4607±2258</td>
<td>2.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FYM</td>
<td>89372±69621</td>
<td>45.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intercultural operations</td>
<td>10124±37923</td>
<td>5.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other cost</td>
<td>5857±6559</td>
<td>2.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total cost</td>
<td>197186±76225</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total income from potato</td>
<td>268047±136142</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Note: Figures after “±” indicate standard deviation.*