

Antibacterial and Antifungal Activity of Medicinal Orchids Growing in Nepal

Ramesh Marasini* and Susan Joshi

Central Department of Chemistry, Tribhuvan University, Kirtipur, Kathmandu, Nepal
E- mail: nature.ramesh@yahoo.com, susanjoshi68@gmail.com

Abstract

Antibacterial activities of different extracts of epiphytic orchids were tested against 5 species of bacteria and antifungal activities were tested against 3 species of fungi. All orchid extracts showed good bacterial Zone of Inhibition (ZOI) against Staphylococcus aureus. The crude extracts of Pholidota imbricata and Coelogyne cristata were shown highest activity against Vibrio cholerae and Staphylococcus aureus respectively. The MIC and MBC value of the extracts of Pholidota imbricata and Coelogyne cristata were found to be 62.5 mg/ml, 31.25 mg/ml and 125 mg/ml, 250 mg/ml against Vibrio cholerae and Staphylococcus aureus respectively. Only Pholidota imbricata and Pholidota articulata extracts were shown fairly good activity but all others extracts were shown very less activity or even failed to show any activity against fungal organisms.

Keywords: Epiphytic orchids, Zone of Inhibition, Antibacterial, Antifungal

Introduction

Out of many medicinal aromatic plants, many orchids have been used as traditional system of medicines. Orchidaceae is one of largest family among angiosperms with more than 30,000 species of 750 genera in the world. In Nepal nearly 388 orchid's species with 99 genera are reported¹ which are famous by the name of "Sunakhari", "Sungava", "Chandigava". In Nepal, 30 species of orchid belonging to 23 genera are used for medicinal purpose². Orchids are widely known for economic importance but less for their medicinal values. Recently there has been tremendous progress in medicinal plant research; however orchids have not been explored fully for their medicinal applications and pharmacological studies³.

Historically the term 'orchid' was coined by Theophrastus as anatomy of the plants resemble with testis. Greek word orchid literally means testicles. This may account for the use of orchids as aphrodisiacs in ancient civilization. When we study the history of the ancient alternative system of medicine Ayurveda and Traditional Chinese Medicine (TCM) are one the forefront⁴. Asthavargha, is important ingredient of various classical Ayurvedic formulations like Chavyanprasa. Out of eight constituent of Arthavargha, four have been reported to be orchids as 'Jivaka' (*Malaxis muscifera*), 'Rishbhaka' (*M. acuminata*), 'Riddhi' (*Habenaria intermedia*), and 'Vridhhi' (*H. edgeworthii*)⁵.

The medicinal orchids belong mainly to the genera *Dendrobium*, *Coelogyne*, *Cymbidium*, *Cypripedium*, *Eria*, *Anoectochilus*, *Calanthe*, *Bulbophyllum*, *Dactylorhiza*, *Habenaria*, *Nevilia*, *Pholidota*, *Galeola*, and *Gastrodia*. A number of alkaloids have been extracted from orchids, such as chysine, drobine, dendronine, grandifolin and crepidine⁶. For example, the pods of *Vanilla plantifolia* are used for the extraction of vanillin, widely used as flavoring agent⁷.

A wide range of chemical compounds are presented including alkaloids, bibenzyle derivatives, flavonoides, phenanthrenes and terpenoides which have been isolated from various orchids from different

* *Corresponding author*

parts of the world. Extracts and metabolites of these plants, particularly those from flowers, stem and leaves, possess useful pharmacological activities. Particular attention has been given to diuretic, anti-rheumatic, anti-inflammatory, anti-carcinogenic, hypoglycemic activities, anti-microbial, anti-convulsive, relaxation, neuroprotective and anti-virus activities³.

Experimental Method

Collection of Plant Materials

The plant materials chosen for study were different medicinal epiphytic orchids. All the orchids were collected from different parts of the Nepal from April – November 2010 to conduct this research work.

Preparations of extracts

The different parts of epiphytic orchids were cleaned, chopped in small pieces and dried in a shade. They were then grinded in grinder into the powder form. The powdered plant materials were cold percolated using ethanol in the ratio of 1:4 (W/V). The ethanol extract was concentrated in Rota-Vapour under reduced pressure and was taken as ethanol extract.

Preparation of working solution

5 % working solution was prepared by transferring 5 mg of each extract to sterile vial aseptically containing 1 ml DMSO solvent which was then capped sealed and stored in refrigerator until use.

Microorganisms used

Present study includes 5 different species of bacteria including both gram positive and gram negative and 3 different species of fungi. Gram Positive Bacteria: *Staphylococcus aureus* and *Bacillus subtilis*. Gram Negative Bacteria: *Vibrio cholerae*, *Escherichia coli* and *Klebsiella pneumoniae*.

The fungal organisms used for the present study were namely *Candida albicans*, *Rhizopus stolonifer* and *Mucor* spps.

Antibacterial activity

Inhibition of bacterial growth was tested by agar well diffusion method. Already prepared Sterile Muller Hinton Agar (MHA) plates were taken and dried in an incubator at 37°C for 30 minutes. The standard working inoculums of five different organisms were also taken. A sterile cotton swab was dipped into the standard working inoculums (equivalent with McFarland turbidity standard, cell density 1.5×10^8 CFU/ml) of an organism tube. The swab was then pressed to the wall of tube above liquid with rotating to remove excess inoculums⁶⁰. The swab was streaked all over the MHA plates in the angle of 60° for three times with rotating the plates. All the inoculated plates were left to dry at room temperature for about 10 minutes with closed lid.

The wells were made in the incubated media plates with the help of sterile cork borer (6 mm) and labeled properly. Then 50 µl of the working solution of plant extract were loaded into the respective wells with the help of micropipette. The solvent itself was tested for its activity as a control at the same time in the separate well. The plates were then left for half an hour with the lid closed so that plant extract diffused to the media. The plates were incubated overnight at 37°C. The plates were then observed for the zone of inhibition (ZOI) produced by the anti-bacterial activity of different plant extracts. At the same time ZOI of different organism by different samples were measured with the help of the ruler for the estimation of potency of anti-bacterial substance and tabulated.

From the result of ZOI, the plant extracts which had shown excellent antimicrobial activity against tested bacterial strains were chosen for determination of MIC up to maximum dilution by tube dilution method as per the guidelines given by CLSI, 2010⁷.

Antifungal activity

Inhibition of fungal growth was also tested by agar well diffusion method. Sterile potato dextrose agar (PDA) plates were prepared. Before using the plates, they were dried in hot air oven at 40°C for 5 minutes to remove excess of moisture from the surface of the media. Sterile cotton swab was dipped into the prepared inoculums and excess of inoculums were removed by pressing and rotating against the upper inside wall of the tube above liquid level and then swabbed carefully all over the plates. The plates were rotated through an angle of 60° after each swabbing. Finally the swab was passed round the edges of the agar surface. The inoculated plates were left to dry for few minutes at room temperature with the lid closed.

The wells were made in PDA plates. 50 µl of the working solution of plant extracts were loaded and the solvent itself was tested for its activity as a control at the same time in the separate well. The plates were then left for half an hour with the lid closed so that plant extract diffused to the media. The plates were incubated for the seven days at 27°C. The plates were then observed for the zone of inhibition (ZOI) produced by the antifungal activity of different plant extracts.

Result and Discussion

The results of antibacterial activity are presented in Table 1. No zone of inhibition was observed in control region. Only *Coelogyne stricta* (leaf) and *Dendrobium amoeneum* were shown good activity against *Klebsiella pneumoniae* but *Pholidota articulata* and *P. imbricata* were shown good activity against *Escherichia coli*. *Eria spicata*, *Bulbophyllum affine*, *Vanda cristata* and *Rynchosytilis retusa* were shown weak activity against all bacteria. *Dendrobium nobile* showed good inhibition against *Staphylococcus aureus* but weak against all others bacteria. All plants extract showed very good inhibition against *Staphylococcus aureus*. *Pholidota imbricata* and *Coelogyne cristata* were shown highest inhibition against *Vibrio cholera* and *Staphylococcus aureus* respectively.

Table 1: Result of antibacterial activity of 5% solution of different extracts of Orchids

Plant Samples	Test Organisms	ZOI (mm)	Plant Samples	Test Organisms	ZOI (mm)
1. <i>Eria spicata</i>	<i>Vibrio cholerae</i>	-	7. <i>Pholidota imbricata</i>	<i>Vibrio cholerae</i>	14
	<i>Staphylococcus aureus</i>	8		<i>Staphylococcus aureus</i>	13
	<i>Bacillus subtilis</i>	-		<i>Bacillus subtilis</i>	10
	<i>Escherichia coli</i>	-		<i>Escherichia coli</i>	10
	<i>Klebsiella pneumoniae</i>	8		<i>Klebsiella pneumonia</i>	8
2. <i>Bulbophyllum affine</i>	<i>Vibrio cholerae</i>	-	8. <i>P. articulata</i>	<i>Vibrio cholerae</i>	-
	<i>Staphylococcus aureus</i>	10		<i>Staphylococcus aureus</i>	12
	<i>Bacillus subtilis</i>	-		<i>Bacillus subtilis</i>	10
	<i>Escherichia coli</i>	-		<i>Escherichia coli</i>	8
	<i>Klebsiella pneumoniae</i>	-		<i>Klebsiella pneumonia</i>	9
3. <i>Vanda cristata</i>	<i>Vibrio cholerae</i>	-	9. <i>Coelogyne cristata</i>	<i>Vibrio cholerae</i>	-
	<i>Staphylococcus aureus</i>	8		<i>Staphylococcus aureus</i>	14
	<i>Bacillus subtilis</i>	-		<i>Bacillus subtilis</i>	8
	<i>Escherichia coli</i>	-		<i>Escherichia coli</i>	10
	<i>Klebsiella pneumoniae</i>	8		<i>Klebsiella pneumonia</i>	8
4. <i>Rynchosytilis retusa</i>	<i>Vibrio cholerae</i>	-	10. <i>Coelogyne stricta</i>	<i>Vibrio cholerae</i>	10
	<i>Staphylococcus aureus</i>	8		<i>Staphylococcus aureus</i>	12
	<i>Bacillus subtilis</i>	-		<i>Bacillus subtilis</i>	10
	<i>Escherichia coli</i>	-		<i>Escherichia coli</i>	8
	<i>Klebsiella pneumoniae</i>	9		<i>Klebsiella pneumonia</i>	8

5. <i>Dendrobium nobile</i>	<i>Vibrio cholerae</i>	8	11. <i>Coelogyne stricta</i> (leaf)	<i>Vibrio cholerae</i>	10
	<i>Staphylococcus aureus</i>	12		<i>Staphylococcus aureus</i>	12
	<i>Bacillus subtilis</i>	8		<i>Bacillus subtilis</i>	10
	<i>Escherichia coli</i>	8		<i>Escherichia coli</i>	8
	<i>Klebsiella pneumoniae</i>	8		<i>Klebsiella pneumoniae</i>	10
6. <i>Dendrobium amoneum</i>	<i>Vibrio cholerae</i>	11			
	<i>Staphylococcus aureus</i>	12			
	<i>Bacillus subtilis</i>	8			
	<i>Escherichia coli</i>	8			
	<i>Klebsiella pneumoniae</i>	12			

N.B.: ZOI more than 12 mm was considered as most active, from 9-11 mm moderately active and from 7-8 mm weakly active⁸

After evaluating the ZOI values of various extracts, two extracts with highest ZOI value were taken for MIC test by two fold serial dilution method. MIC of extract of *Coelogyne cristata* was tested against *Staphylococcus aureus* and that of *Pholidota imbricata* against *Vibrio cholerae*. Table 2 shows the value of MIC and MBC of extracts. The MIC of *Coelogyne cristata* against *Staphylococcus aureus* was observed at 31.25 mg/ml and that of *Pholidota imbricata* against *Vibrio cholerae* was observed at 62.5 mg/ml. The MBC values of the extracts of *Pholidota imbricata* against *Vibrio cholerae* and *Coelogyne cristata* against *Staphylococcus aureus* were observed 125 mg/ml and 250 mg/ml respectively.

Table 2: MIC and MBC value determination of extracts

Plant Sample	Organism Used	Dilution of extracts (mg/ml)				
		250	125	62.5	31.25	15.62
<i>Coelogyne cristata</i>	<i>Vibrio cholerae</i>	Nil ♣	Nil	Nil	Nil ¥	√
<i>Pholidota imbricata</i>	<i>Staphylococcus aureus</i>	Nil	Nil ♣	Nil ¥	√	√

N.B: Nil = No growth of bacteria, √ = Growth of bacteria, ¥ = MIC, ♣ = MBC





Figure 1 (left): ZOI of 5% of extracts of sample 8, 9, 10 & 11 against *Staphylococcus*. **Figure 2(right):** MIC of extract of *Pholidota articulata* against *Staphylococcus*

Table 3 shows the result of antifungal activities of different orchid extracts. *Coelogyne stricta* (Pseudobulb), *Coelogyne stricta* (leaf) and *Dendrobium amoeneum* were shown no activity while *Pholidota imbricata* and *P. articulata* extracts were shown good activity against fungal organisms. Rest of the extracts showed moderate or even very weak activity against selected fungal pathogens.

Table 3: Result of antifungal activity of 5% solution of different extracts of Orchids

Plant Samples	Test Organisms	ZOI (mm)	Plant Samples	Test Organisms	ZOI (mm)
<i>Eria spicata</i>	<i>Candida albicans</i>	8	<i>Pholidota imbricata</i>	<i>Candida albicans</i>	10
	<i>Rhizopus stolonifer</i>	8		<i>Rhizopus stolonifer</i>	10
	<i>Mucor spp</i>	8		<i>Mucor spp</i>	8
<i>Bulbophyllum affine</i>	<i>Candida albicans</i>	8	<i>P. articulata</i>	<i>Candida albicans</i>	10
	<i>Rhizopus stolonifer</i>	8		<i>Rhizopus stolonifer</i>	8
	<i>Mucor spp</i>	-		<i>Mucor spp</i>	8
<i>Vanda cristata</i>	<i>Candida albicans</i>	8	<i>Coelogyne cristata</i>	<i>Candida albicans</i>	8
	<i>Rhizopus stolonifer</i>	-		<i>Rhizopus stolonifer</i>	-
	<i>Mucor spp</i>	8		<i>Mucor spp</i>	8
<i>Rynchosytilis retusa</i>	<i>Candida albicans</i>	8	<i>Coelogyne stricta</i>	<i>Candida albicans</i>	-
	<i>Rhizopus stolonifer</i>	8		<i>Rhizopus stolonifer</i>	-
	<i>Mucor spp</i>	-		<i>Mucor spp</i>	-
<i>Dendrobium nobile</i>	<i>Candida albicans</i>	8	<i>Coelogyne stricta (leaf)</i>	<i>Candida albicans</i>	-
	<i>Rhizopus stolonifer</i>	8		<i>Rhizopus stolonifer</i>	-
	<i>Mucor spp</i>	8		<i>Mucor spp</i>	-
<i>Dendrobium amoenum</i>	<i>Candida albicans</i>	-			
	<i>Rhizopus stolonifer</i>	-			
	<i>Mucor spp</i>	-			

Conclusion

Result showed that different extracts of epiphytic orchids have good antibacterial and antifungal properties. Antibacterial activities shown by *Coelogyne cristata*, *Pholidota articulata* and *P. imbricata* extracts were most active then others extracts. *Pholidota imbricata* and *P. articulata* extracts were shown intermediate activity but all others extracts were shown very less activity or even failed to show activity against all different five fungal organisms. This results form a good basis for further pharmacological investigation on medicinal orchids.

Acknowledgement

Authors would like to thank Associate Prof. Dr. Dwij Raj Bhatta, Central Department Microbiology, Tribhuvan University, Kathmandu for providing necessary laboratory facilities. Mr. Anil Sharma, Mr. Prakash Bhattraai and Ms. Rita Chettri, Central Department of Botany, Tribhuvan University, Kathmandu are acknowledged for their help during field visit to collect orchids and their identification.

References

1. Acharya K. P. *Orchid species richness along a Himalayan elevation gradient*. Department of Biology, Faculty of Mathematics and Natural Sciences, University of Bergen, Bergen (M. S. Thesis), 2008, pp 3-9.
2. Shakya L. R. *Revision of the genus Oberonia Lindl. (Orchidaceae) in the Himalayas*. Ph. D. Dissertation, Central Department of Botany, Tribhuvan University, Kirtipur, Kathmandu, Nepal, 1999, pp. 10- 30.
3. Rosa M. P. G. *Journal of Medicinal Plants Research*, 2010, **4 (8)**, pp. 592- 638.
4. Vaidya B., M. Shrestha and N. Joshee. Report on Nepalese Orchid species with medicinal properties. In *The Himalayan plants, can they save us? Proceeding of Nepal- Japan joint symposium on conservation and utilization of Himalayan medicinal resources* (Eds. T. Watanabe, A. Tanako, M. S. Bista and H. K. Saiju), Society for the Conservation and development of Himalayan Medicinal Resources (SCDHMR), Japan, 2000, pp. 146-152.
5. Sharma A. Diversity and distribution pattern of epiphytic orchids along Bhote Koshi gorge (Upper Tamakoshi Valley), Dolakha, Central Nepal. *M. Sc. Dissertation*, Central Department of Botany, T. U., Nepal, 2010, pp. 3-6.
6. Singh, A. and Duggal, S. *Ethnobotanical Leaflets*, 2009, **13**, pp. 351- 63.
7. Clinical Laboratory Standard Institute, Performance Standard for antimicrobial susceptibility testing, M100-520, 2010, **30** (15).
8. Nostro A., Germano M. P., D' Angelo V., Marino A. and Cannatelli M. A. Letter in *Applied Microbiology*, 2000, **30**, pp.379-384.