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ABSTRACT

Objective: To observe the subjective acceptance in presbyopic patients following prescription of spectacles. Material and method: This is a hospital based cross sectional study which was conducted in 100 presbyopic patients in age group of 35 to 60 years at outpatient department of ophthalmology in Nobel Medical College Teaching Hospital, kanchanbari from 1st August 2018 to 30th November 2018. The patients were divided into two groups, First group received the presbyopic correction according to conventional method of age and the second group received the prescription according to their amplitude of accommodation. Patient’s satisfaction in terms of symptoms like eyestrain, headache or difficulty with the usage of glasses was noted. The data collected were subjected to statistical analysis. Conclusion: When presbyopic correction is given according to amplitude of accommodation in patients belonging to 36-45 years age group, it is tolerated better and patients are more satisfied in terms of symptoms like eyestrain and headache than getting the simple correction as per their age. However after the age of 45 years, presbyopic correction given according to age is equally tolerated well among all refractive error groups. Results: 100 patients in this study who visited our OPD with presbyopic symptoms were divided into two groups, each of 50 patients. Patient’s satisfaction and tolerance was better when presbyopic correction was given on the basis of their amplitude of accommodation in age group 36-45, in comparison to the prescription given according to conventional method of their age. However, there was no statistically significant difference in the patient’s satisfaction level in the two groups after the age of 45.
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INTRODUCTION

Presbyopia is defined as the reduction in the range of accommodation or accommodative power which occurs with ageing. Uncorrected presbyopia results in an inability to perform which result in receding of Normal Near point effortless near tasks at a customary working distance with attendant visual symptoms. Presbyopia poses an important public health challenge because its onset coincides with the productive year of an individual which may affect productivity and subsequently hinder economic development of a nation. The definition of presbyopia is fluid because there is no standard distance for near work. The age at which patients seek remedy for presbyopic symptoms vary and it is not unusual to see patients in their late forties, not using presbyopic lenses. This variability could be either professional or due to variable preservation of accommodative ability or artifactual due to Myopia. Symptoms of presbyopia itself can be dependent on other factors like amount of near work done, lighting conditions, and corrected distance acuity etc.

The pathophysiology of presbyopia still remains poorly understood. According to a theory proposed by Helmholtz, accommodation occurs as a result of the elastic properties of the lens and possibly the vitreous that allows the lens to expand and increase its power when zonular tension is relieved during ciliary muscle contraction. As the lens changes with age, the ability to expand and increase refractive power is lost. Helmholtz’s theory of sclerosis of the crystalline lens as the cause of presbyopia has been challenged in 1992 by Schachar. Schachar suggests that the longitudinal muscle fibers of the ciliary muscle contract during accommodation, placing more tension on the equatorial zonules, while relaxing the anterior and posterior zonules. This force distribution causes an increase in the equatorial diameter of the lens, decreasing the peripheral volume while increasing the central volume. As the central volume increases, so does the power of the lens. Under this theory, presbyopia occurs because of the increasing equatorial diameter of the aging lens. Once the lens diameter reaches a critical size, usually during the fifth decade of life, the resting tension on the zonules is significantly reduced.

CORRECTION OF PRESBYOPIA

The treatment of presbyopia is to provide the patient with convex lenses so that his accommodation is reinforced and his near point brought within the useful working distance. To do this adequately we must first know the working point of the individual. An individual is to be treated as an individual and his needs are his own needs and the individual is not to be given correction for presbyopia merely because he is in the presbyopic age. Rather the person’s refractive status and the amplitude of accommodation should be taken into account whenever prescribing presbyopic correction.
According to the Donder’s rule, 1/4 of amplitude of accommodation should be kept in reserve for comfortable near work and it should be kept in mind that majority of people work at a distance of 28-30 cm and for maximum comfort in near work, at least 1/3 of the available accommodative power must be kept in reserve.

To the best of my knowledge, I found study showing the patient’s level of satisfaction and comfort after receiving presbyopic correction with spectacles. Also, there is little data on the actual differences in accommodation that is preserved in various types of refractive errors. Hence, this study is aimed to compare the subjective acceptance in patients receiving presbyopic correction with glasses according to conventional age method over the correction according to their amplitude of accommodation.

MATERIAL AND METHODS
This was a hospital based cross-sectional study on the patients with presbyopic symptoms who visited the outpatient department of ophthalmology in Nobel Medical College and teaching Hospital from 1st August 2018 to 30th November 2018, provided they fulfilled the inclusion and exclusion criteria mentioned below.

INCLUSION CRITERIA
- Patients between 35-60 yrs of age
- Clear ocular media
- Visual acuity improving to 6/6 on snellen’s refraction

EXCLUSION CRITERIA
- Age <35 yrs of age and >60 yrs.
- Hazy ocular media including corneal opacity and cataract> grade NO1, NC1,C1, P1 according to LOCS III cataract classification
- Spherical correction of more than 6.0 D
- Cylindrical correction of more than 0.75 D cylindrical
- Patients of strabismus or with history of diabetes mellitus, systemic illness, trauma, drug therapy.

A total of 100 cases were included in the study. All the cases were examined for refractive status and their amplitude of accommodation was measured with the help of RAF ruler and the patients were divided into 2 groups, 50 in each: one group was prescribed presbyopic correction according to age and the second group, according to amplitude of accommodation. When prescribing according to age, the conventional method of prescribing +1 D at the age of 40 yrs and thereafter adding +0.5 D for every 5 years was followed. On the other hand in patients who are prescribed presbyopic correction according to amplitude of accommodation, 1/3rd of Amplitude of Accommodation was kept in reserve, for comfortable sustained vision. For example, if a patient who had his near point at 50 cms, his amplitude of accommodation would be 2D, but for a normal working distance of 25 cms, he required an amplitude of accommodation of 4D, and to work comfortably he must keep 1/3 of his accommodation in reserve i.e. 1/3 of 2D or 0.7 D, so the corrective presbyopic lens he was given was that of 2.7D (4-2+0.7).

Patient’s satisfaction in terms of symptoms like eyestrain, headache or difficulty with the usage of glasses was noted. Patients with any of these symptoms were considered as not satisfied. A follow up examination was done at 2 weeks after prescription of presbyopic correction and acceptance of correction.

Analysis and Statistics
The data collected was tabulated and results of study were analyzed using statistical package for social science (SPSS) 16.0 and Microsoft Word and Microsoft Excel have been used to generate graphs, tables, etc. Significance level was assessed by calculating 'p' value using student T test. Observations were taken as significant at 'p' value less than 0.05 ('p' <0.05).

RESULTS

![Figure I: Age distribution on group I](image)
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Table I: Patient satisfaction after presbyopic correction according to age (group I)

Table II: Patient satisfaction after presbyopic correction according to amplitude of accommodation
Table I shows patients satisfaction after presbyopic correction according to age. There was 0% satisfaction in all 3 refractive error groups in age group 36-40 years, 50% satisfaction in myopic patients in age groups 41-45 and 46-50 and 100% satisfaction in all 3 refractive error groups in age groups 51-55 years.

Table II shows patients satisfaction after presbyopic correction according to amplitude of accommodation in group II. It showed 100% satisfaction in myopes and hypermetropes in age group 36-40 and 51-55 years, 60% satisfaction in emmetropes in 36-40 years age group; 90.9% and 87.5% satisfaction of hypermetropes and emmetropes in the age group 41-45 years respectively.

DISCUSSION
In this study, I compared patient's satisfaction and level of comfort while receiving presbyopic correction according to age with that while receiving correction according to their amplitude of accommodation, where 1/3rd of the patient's accommodation was kept in reserve. I observed that patient's satisfaction after getting presbyopic correction according to age was 0% in 36-40 years age group in all the three refractive errors; it was 20% in hypermetropes in 41-45 year age group. However in 51-55 year age group, 100% satisfaction was found in all the three refractive errors. When presbyopic correction was given according to amplitude of accommodation keeping 1/3rd of it in reserve, 100% satisfaction was seen among all the age groups between various refractive errors except for hypermetropia in 41-45 year age group (90.9%) which can be considered fairly good and for myopia in 56-60 year age group where only one patient out of three complained of fatigue after the use of glasses.

My findings regarding presbyopic correction correlates well with revathi et al., who observed the role of amplitude of accommodation in giving presbyopic correction and concluded that though age related presbyopic correction can be given in normal practice; more care has to be taken regarding the working distance range and amplitude of accommodation when coming across a young hypermetrope who tolerates individualized correction better than the conventional one.

My above findings regarding role of amplitude of accommodation in giving presbyopic correction also correlates well with Rambo and sangal, who in 1960, studied amplitude of accommodation in the presbyopic age group and interpreted that after thirties every refraction patient should have accommodation measured and presbyopic correction given accordingly; however after the age of 45 years this is not so important and full addition for close work or reading can usually be given.

CONCLUSION
This study tried to find out the role of amplitude of accommodation in giving presbyopic correction. In conclusion, it can be said that when presbyopic correction is given according to amplitude of accommodation in patients belonging to 36-45 years age group, it is tolerated better and patients are more satisfied in terms of symptoms like eyestrain and headache than getting the simple correction as per their age. However after the age of 45 years, presbyopic correction given according to age is equally tolerated well among all refractive error groups. Hence, presbyopic correction in the age group of 36-45 should be given after taking the amplitude of accommodation of the individual in account and keeping 1/3 of the accommodation in reserve. After the age of 45 years the conventional age old “near correction with age” can be given and is usually tolerated well.
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