Breast Feeding as Analgesia in Neonates: A Randomized Controlled Trial
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Abstract

Introduction: Major myth regarding neonatal pain suggests that neonates because of their neurological immaturity do not experience pain. Although exact mechanism is not known, it is proposed that breast feeding through combination of various senses and the closeness of the infant’s mother, saturates the senses thus reducing perception of noxious stimuli. The objectives of this study was to investigate the analgesic effect of breastfeeding during blood sampling through heel lance in healthy term neonates. Material and Methods: This was a Randomized controlled trial done in a Tertiary level Neonatal Intensive Care Unit. Sixty healthy term newborns, undergoing heel prick were included in study. Neonates were randomly assigned to two groups: Group I (breastfed) with; Group II (not breast fed). Babies were given heel prick and crying time, Heart rate, SpO2 and BP monitored. Changes in various physiological parameters following a heel prick were studied in two groups.

Results: Neonates in both groups expressed pain by crying, increase in heart rate, fall in transcutaneous oxygen saturation and rise in blood pressure. Compared to control group, the babies who were breast fed were found to have lesser crying time (40.04 sec and 69.09 sec respectively, \( p<0.05 \)) and lesser rise in heart rate (rise of 21.78 and 34.46 bpm respectively, \( p<0.03 \)). In the breast fed group there was a trend to a lesser decrease in oxygen saturation and lesser rise in blood pressure though this was not statistically significant.

Conclusion: Breast feeding offers a quick and effective means of reducing pain in neonates during routine neonatal procedures.
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Introduction

Neonatal pain receives limited attention and is treated less vigorously than that of older children and adults. Major myth regarding neonatal pain suggests that neonates because of their neurological immaturity do not experience pain. Studies however strongly dispute this contention¹. It has been seen that the pain pathways as well as cortical and sub cortical center necessary for pain perception are well developed late in gestation. Neurological systems known to be
associated with pain transmission and modulation are also intact and functional. Physiological and behavioural responses to pain are well documented. Infants, including newborn babies, experience pain similarly and probably more intensely than older children and adults. Neonates requiring intensive care undergo a number of painful diagnostic & therapeutic procedures. A wide variety of pharmacological and non-pharmacological interventions are available for management of pain in infants. Pharmacological interventions are infrequently employed before performing painful procedures in neonates. This may be due to fear of adverse effects caused by drugs given to neonates. Non-pharmacological interventions are more feasible alternatives as they are associated with minimal or no adverse effects. Various studies have shown that administration of oral glucose or sucrose raises pain threshold, presumably mediated by endogenous opiates and could be used for this purpose. Although exact mechanism is not known, it is proposed that breast feeding through combination of smell, taste, suck, touch, seeing and hearing, and the closeness of the infant’s mother, saturates the senses thus reducing perception of noxious stimuli. This provides an interesting alternative to other non-pharmacological interventions for pain relief in neonates. The aim of this study was to find out analgesic effects of breastfeeding in neonates and the objective were to compare the change in physiological parameters after heel prick between two groups of babies, one being breastfed and other not breastfed and to find out if this change was statistically significant.

Material and Methods

This prospective, randomized, controlled trial involved healthy, full-term, non-asphyxiated neonates up to seven days of life who were scheduled to receive heel sticks to collect blood for obligatory newborn screening at Command Hospital (Air Force) Bangalore. The study was conducted over 18 months. Sixty term neonates, who were hemodynamically stable and were not receiving oxygen or any analgesia, were included in the study. The neonates whose mothers had received analgesia during labour were excluded from the study. We calculated the sample size to be 30 in each group to achieve a statistically reliable result with a power of 80% and a \( p < 0.05 \). These neonates were randomized into two groups (30 neonates each) using a system of sealed envelope randomization system. The neonates in test group (Group I) received breastfeeding during heel prick procedure. The neonates in control group (Group II) were not breast fed during heel prick procedure. Participating infants and their mothers were taken to a quiet and warm nursery room for venepuncture. Before skin preparation, a sensor probe of pulse oximeter and blood pressure cuff of non-invasive blood pressure monitor were applied. Baseline heart rate, transcutaneous oxygen saturation and blood pressure were recorded for each neonate before giving the heel prick.

The study protocol was followed only after the neonates achieved calm and drowsy state as checked using Prechtl’s observational rating system: State 1 having eyes closed with regular respiration and no movements, state 2 having eyes closed, irregular respiration and small movements and state 3 with eyes open and no movements. The protocol was designed to have a study baseline (2 minutes), intervention (2 minutes) followed by heel prick (10 seconds) and recovery period (10 minutes).

Infants in Group 1 they were breast fed, breast feeding initiated two minutes before the procedure and continuing throughout. The heel prick was performed when the infant had achieved a good attachment at breast as determined by standard signs including baby’s mouth being wide open, lower lip turned outward, baby’s chin touching mother’s breast. In group 2 they were held in their mother’s arms without breast feeding, this was done two minutes before the heel prick.

Audio tape recorder was used to record crying. Duration of crying was measured later from recording using a stop watch. Two specially trained observers independently took part in sampling and assessed the recordings. The first gave the prick with autolet, a mechanical device for capillary sampling and second observer recorded the heart rate, transcutaneous oxygen saturation and blood pressure at 0 min (baseline of the heel prick), 1 min, 3 min, 5 min, 7 min and 10 min. In addition, the time at which various parameters returned to baseline was also noticed. Duration of cry was measured with a stop watch from first burst of sound until neonate became quiet again. The data thus collected from the study was tabulated and analyzed. A statistical comparison was made using Student’s t-test.

Participating mothers signed an informed consent which was approved by the Institutional Review Board allowing their infants to be participants in the study.

Results

Baseline characteristics: There was no significant difference in sex distribution, median gestational age
and birth weight between two groups (Table 1). Mean baseline heart rate, transcutaneous oxygen saturation, systolic blood pressure and diastolic blood pressure before the heel prick procedure in the two groups (Table 2). There was no statistically significant difference in baseline variables between the two groups.

**Physiological responses:** Mean duration of cry period after heel prick has been depicted in table 3. Mean duration of cry was less in group 1. Difference in mean duration of cry was statistically significant \( (p<0.05) \) between two groups (Table 3). Both groups showed significant increase in heart rate during the heel prick test. Mean and percentage increase in heart rate over base line heart rate after heel prick was less in group 1. The difference was statistically significant \( (p<0.05) \) (Table 3). Both groups showed a non-significant fall in mean transcutaneous oxygen saturation after heel prick (Table 3). Mean fall in transcutaneous oxygen saturation between two groups was statistically non significant (Table 3). Mean rise in systolic blood pressure (SBP) and diastolic blood pressure was less in group 1. However the difference was statistically non-significant (Table 3).

### Table 1: Base line characteristics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Breast fed</th>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>Gestational Age (wks)</th>
<th>Birth weight (gm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>Median</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>39</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\( p \)-value- not significant in all parameters

### Table 2: Baseline variables

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Baseline heart rate (beats per min)</th>
<th>Transcutaneous oxygen saturation</th>
<th>SBP(mm Hg)</th>
<th>DBP(mm Hg)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>SD</td>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>SD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>134.9</td>
<td>9.85</td>
<td>93.42</td>
<td>3.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II</td>
<td>125.5</td>
<td>10.61</td>
<td>93.26</td>
<td>3.31</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SBP systolic blood pressure, DBP diastolic blood pressure, SD standard deviation, \( p \)-value not significant in all parameters

### Table 3: Total duration of cry over 10 min period after heel prick

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Mean (sec)</th>
<th>SD</th>
<th>( p )-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>40.04</td>
<td>56.31</td>
<td>&lt;0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II</td>
<td>69.09</td>
<td>66.33</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 4: Change in heart rate after heel prick

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Mean (sec)</th>
<th>SD</th>
<th>( p )-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>21.78</td>
<td>21.74</td>
<td>&lt;0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II</td>
<td>34.46</td>
<td>25.10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 5: Fall in transcutaneous oxygen saturation after heel prick

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Fall in Sao2 (%) mean</th>
<th>SD</th>
<th>( p )-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>1.96</td>
<td>2.51</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>2.56</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NS not significant

### Table 6: Mean change in blood pressure of neonates after heel prick test

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>SBP(mm Hg )</th>
<th>SD</th>
<th>( p )-value</th>
<th>DBP(mm Hg)</th>
<th>SD</th>
<th>( p )-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>9.42</td>
<td>12.22</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>8.9</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II</td>
<td>14.9</td>
<td>14.56</td>
<td>1.86</td>
<td>9.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SBP systolic blood pressure, DBP diastolic blood pressure, NS not significant
Discussion

Pain may be difficult to quantify in neonates, but has far reaching short term as well as long term consequences. The use of breastfeeding as analgesia helps in the avoidance of these effects. The most commonly used parameters include duration of crying and change in heart rate or in blood pressure. Several scales have been advocated to assess pain. These scales are based on behavioural changes (neonatal facial coding system, neonatal infant pain scale) or a combination of physiological and behavioural changes (CRIES- acronym for crying, change in transcutaneous oxygen saturation, heart rate, blood pressure, facial expression and alteration in sleep pattern). However these scales are still not widely used in clinical practice and their value is debated. In this study four easily detectable parameters were used to assess pain. These included duration of crying, changes in heart rate, changes in SpO2 and changes in blood pressure. These were chosen as they are important parameters in most scales so far suggested and can be easily used in clinical practice. It is not known what components of breastfeeding are most responsible for the analgesic effect. Breast milk contains tryptophan, a precursor of melatonin which increases beta endorphins that may procure pain relief. However, simply administering breast milk to the baby does not provide the same analgesic effect as breastfeeding\(^9\). Various authors propose that during breastfeeding, the combination of smell, taste, suck, touch, seeing and hearing, and the closeness of the infant’s mother, saturates the senses, thereby reducing pain\(^9,10,11\). In most of the studies done in past, sucrose or glucose have been used as analgesic. However these sweet solutions carry the risk of giving infection to the baby. Breastfeeding is an excellent alternative. The sweet taste/fat content of breast milk acts through release of opioid like substances. Sucking and skin to skin contact also add to analgesia. In a study by Carabjal et al the median pain scores were lowest with breastfed infants\(^12\). The next-lowest median scores were in infants receiving sucrose and pacifiers. Infants held in their mother’s arms and those given sterile water had similar median pain scores.

Duration of crying has been found to be sensitive measure of pain. In our study, mean duration of cry after heel prick in control group and breast fed group was 69.09 sec and 40.04 sec respectively. Compared to control group, the breastfed group showed significant (\(p<0.05\)) reduction in duration of cry. Breast fed group cried for 44% lesser time as compared to control group. In fact six of the babies in breast fed group did not cry at all. In a similar study by Gary et al \(^13\) in term neonates, mean duration of cry was 8.77 sec in neonates who were given breast feeds. It was 72.07 sec in control group. These results are similar to the results obtained in present study. In a study by Blass et al\(^14\) the babies who were given breast milk cried only for 47% of time during blood collection as compared to 92% of time in babies given distilled water. In a study by Upadhyay et al\(^15\), mean duration of cry in neonates given expressed milk was 38.5 seconds as compared to babies fed distilled water in whom it was 90 sec. Pain scores were lower in breast fed infants in studies by Niranjan S et al and Uga et al\(^16,17\). Gary et al showed that kangaroo care reduced crying & grimacing by 82% and 65% respectively\(^18\). In another study by Codipietro et al, Infant Pain Profile scores were lower in the breastfeeding group than in the sucrose solution group\(^19\).

In our study, both groups showed significant increase in heart rate from baseline during heel prick procedure. There was rise of 21.78 beats per min in Group 1 and 34.46 beats per min in Group II. The difference was statistically significant. In breast fed babies, rise in heart rate was 37% lesser as compared to control group. In a study by Gray et al\(^10\) breast fed babies had lesser increase in heart rate (6 beats per min) when compared to controls (29 beats per min in babies swaddled in crib). This difference was significant and similar to present study. The study by Weissman et al had similar results\(^20\). One major limitation of this study is relying on few parameters to assess pain, which are not really gold slandered. Some of these parameters may get affected by effort of breastfeeding or distraction provided by breast feeding. However these parameters were used because of there being non-invasive in nature besides ease of recording, repeatability and objectivity to reduce inter or intra observer variation.

Conclusion

The results of our study establish the role of breastfeeding as an analgesic during routine painful procedures in neonates. Benefits of breastfeeding for both mother and child are well established. Our study highlights yet another advantage of breast feeding.

Recommendation: We suggest that this physiological measure be used universally whenever a healthy neonates needs to receive a painful stimulus like blood sampling.
Breastfeeding as Analgesia
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