Histopathological findings of renal biopsy in systemic lupus erythematosus
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ABSTRACT

Introductions: Classifying morphological pattern of renal involvement is important in systemic lupus erythematosus (SLE) for definitive treatment and prognosis. This study aims to analyse the histopathological pattern of glomerula in SLE patients.

Methods: This was a retrospective chart review of patients diagnosed with SLE who had renal biopsy during October 2013 to September 2015 at Patan Hospital.

Results: There were 38 patients of SLE. Antinuclear antibody (ANA) was positive in all 38 (100 %), Anti-dsDNA seen in 18 (47.4%). Active urinary sediment & proteinuria was seen in 25 (65.8%) patients and proteinuria in 13 (34.2%) patients. Histopathological patterns were of glomerular involvement, ISN Class II in 2 (5.3%), Class III in 2 (5.3%), class IV in 20 (52.5%), Class V in 6 (15.8%) and mixed IV-V in 8 (21.1%).

Conclusions: The diffuse proliferative lupus nephritis (ISN Class IV) was the most common pattern of lupus nephritis encountered in our study followed by mixed pattern (ISN Class IV & V) and membranous lupus nephritis (ISN class IV).
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INTRODUCTIONS

Systemic lupus erythematosus (SLE) is a chronic inflammatory disease affecting multiple organs. The reported prevalence of SLE is 20 to 150 cases per 100,000. In women, prevalence rates vary from 164 (white) to 406 (African American) per 100,000.

Renal involvement is common in SLE. An abnormal urinalysis with or without an elevated plasma creatinine concentration is present in large proportion of patients at the time of diagnosis of lupus nephritis. This may eventually develop in up to 75% patients with a diagnosis of SLE. It is crucial to obtain morphological histopathological pattern to decide the severity of disease, treatment and prognosis.

The objective of this study was to assess the occurrence of different glomerular histopathological pattern of renal biopsy in patients with SLE managed at our hospital.

METHODS

This was a retrospective chart review of SLE patients aged 16 years or more admitted in the department of Internal Medicine, Patan Hospital, Patan Academy of Health Sciences, Lalitpur, Nepal, during October 2013 to September 2015. Diagnosis of SLE was based on four or more of the clinical criteria of the American Rheumatism Association. Patients who underwent renal biopsy were included.

Clinical and laboratory data were collected from inpatient chart. Renal biopsy was done with ultrasound guide. The histopathology grading of lupus nephritis (LN) was based on International Society of Nephrology (ISN) pathologic classification (revised version of old World Health Organization) as follows: Minimal mesangial LN (Class I), Mesangial proliferative LN (Class II), Focal proliferative LN (Class III), Diffuse proliferative LN (Class IV), Membranous LN (Class V) and Advanced sclerosing LN (class VI).

Statistical Package for Social Sciences (SPSS) version 17 was used for descriptive analysis. The institutional review committee of PAHS approved the study.

RESULTS

There were 38 SLE patients, female 34 (89.5%) and male 4 (10.5%). Mean age at the onset of disease was 26.3±7.9 years; and 16 (42.1%) were in age group 21-30, Figure 1.

Anaemia was seen in 28 (73.6%) patients. Mean 24-hour urinary protein was 2939.42 mg (SD±2096.02). The ANA was positive in all 38 (100%) and Anti-dsDNA in 18 (47.4%) patients, Table 1. Active urinary sediment with proteinuria was seen in 25 (65.8%) and proteinuria alone in 13 (34.2%). The histopathological pattern of class IV LN was seen in 20 (52.5%), Table 2.

Figure 1. Age of presentation of lupus nephritis (LN) in systemic lupus erythematosus (SLE) patients (n=38)
Variable | mean ±SD (percentage)
---|---
Malar Rash | 30 (78.9%)
Discoid Rash | 8 (21.1%)
Photosensitivity | 28 (73.7%)
Oral Ulcer | 12 (31.6%)
Arthritis | 26 (68.5%)
Serositis | 12 (31.6%)
Anemia | 28 (73.3 %)
24-hr Urinary Protein (mg) | 2939.42±2096.02
ESR (mm) | 80.36 ±34.87
Anti-dsDNA | 18 (47.4%)
ANA | 38 (100%)

Note: SD - standard deviation

DISCUSSIONS

The predominant histopathological pattern was class IV in our study which is comparable with other studies.6-9 In our study, Class I was not observed. Dhakal10 in a Nepalese study have reported more common findings of Class I & II in 13.5% and 35.5% respectively. Class I is the initial stage of lupus nephritis where abnormal renal changes may not be apparent5 and renal biopsy is mostly performed in more active disease.

Majority of patients in this study were female. This findings is similar to other studies of SLE that have shown a predominance in females.11,12 The mean age at presentation was 26.26± 7.9 years which is similar to earlier reported series.9,13 Austin et al. and Esdaile et al. have reported young age (<23 years) as one
of the indicators associated with increased rate of renal failure and a more rapidly progressive course.\textsuperscript{11,14}

The cutaneous lesions including malar rash, discoid rash, and photosensitivity were seen in 78.9\%, 21.1\%, and 73.7\% of patients respectively. The data were similar to a previous study done in Nepal.\textsuperscript{10} However, we noticed great variation in incidence, clinical heterogeneity and severity of disease due to environmental, cultural and genetic variability in various ethnic and racial group when comparing with other studies.\textsuperscript{8,15}

In our study, anaemia was observed in majority of patients 73.3\% which is a frequent occurrence in SLE, affecting most patients at some time in the course of their disease.\textsuperscript{9}

Multiple mechanisms contribute to the development of anaemia, including inflammation, renal insufficiency, blood loss, dietary insufficiency, medications, haemolysis, infection, hypersplenism, myelofibrosis, myelodysplasia, and aplastic anaemia that is suspected to have an autoimmune pathogenesis.\textsuperscript{9}

Almost all of our patients with SLE had positive ANA, similar to other studies.\textsuperscript{10,16,17} The Anti dsDNA, which is considered specific for SLE and marker of disease activity, was seen in 47.4\% slightly lower than other studies.\textsuperscript{10,15} We didn’t observe advance stage of Class VI, possibly because all patients were in active state. The prevalence of different histopathological pattern reported in literature is compared in Table 3.

Table 3. Histopathological class of Lupus Nephritis in reported literatures

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ISN class</th>
<th>Dhakal\textsuperscript{10} n=37</th>
<th>Kafle\textsuperscript{6} n=40</th>
<th>Shobha\textsuperscript{8} n=32</th>
<th>Gomaa\textsuperscript{9} n=148</th>
<th>Satirapoj\textsuperscript{7} n=244</th>
<th>Present Study n=38</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Class I</td>
<td>13.5%</td>
<td>2.5%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Class II</td>
<td>35.5%</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>28.1%</td>
<td>12.8%</td>
<td>2.8%</td>
<td>5.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Class III</td>
<td>24.3%</td>
<td>12.5%</td>
<td>21.9%</td>
<td>8.8%</td>
<td>15.5%</td>
<td>5.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Class IV</td>
<td>18.9%</td>
<td>52.5%</td>
<td>40.6%</td>
<td>51.4%</td>
<td>69.6%</td>
<td>52.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Class IV &amp;V</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>21.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Class V</td>
<td>5.4%</td>
<td>2.5%</td>
<td>9.4%</td>
<td>23%</td>
<td>17.6%</td>
<td>15.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Class VI</td>
<td>2.7%</td>
<td>2.5%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The limitation of our study was lack of sub grouping in Class III and Class IV, which gives an idea on activity and chronicity of disease. This study also did not look into other forms of renal involvement like tubulointerstitial nephritis and vascular disease.

**CONCLUSIONS**

We observed diverse histopathological pattern of Lupus Nephritis, with diffuse proliferative type (ISN Class IV) being most common followed by mixed pattern (Class IV & V) and membranous lupus nephritis (Class IV).
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