Accuracy of magnetic resonance cholangiopancreatography in the diagnosis of benign and malignant cause of obstructive jaundice
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Abstract

Introduction: Magnetic Resonance Cholangiopancreatography (MRCP) is a non-invasive technique for overall assessment of obstructive jaundice. This study aims to compare the accuracy of MRCP in benign and malignant obstructive jaundice with the gold standard, the invasive procedure of endoscopic retrograde cholangiopancreatography (ERCP), and histopathology.

Method: This was a retrospective study reviewing MRCP findings of clinically diagnosed obstructive jaundice from 2018 to 2021 at Patan Hospital, Kathmandu, Nepal. The study was conducted after ethical clearance from the institutional review committee. Sensitivity, Specificity, PPV, NPV, and overall accuracy of MRCP were compared with ERCP and histopathology. A Chi square test was used for analysis, with a p 0.05 considered significant.

Result: Among 66 patients, the Sensitivity, Specificity, PPV, and NPV of MRCP for biliary obstruction due to malignant pathology were 89.50%, 93.60%, 85.00%, and 95.70%, respectively. Similarly, for benign etiology, it was 93.60%, 89.50%, 95.70%, and 85.00% respectively. The overall diagnostic accuracy was 92.40%. Choledocholithiasis was 31(46.97%) among benign causes and periampullary carcinoma 8(12.12%) among malignant.

Conclusion: MRCP is a highly sensitive and specific test in the evaluation of benign and malignant biliary pathology. It is noninvasive and can have good diagnostic value despite the use of contrast. So, the minimally invasive procedure ERCP can be reserved for therapeutic or diagnostic biopsy purposes only.
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Introduction

Obstructive jaundice is one of the most common and serious forms of hepatobiliary disease. It can pose problems in diagnosis and management, particularly in intrahepatic cholestasis. Endoscopic Retrograde Cholangio-Pancreatography (ERCP) has high diagnostic accuracy in detecting suspected stones and can be applied both as a diagnostic and a therapeutic tool. However, it is an invasive procedure with mortality and morbidity of 1% and 7%, respectively.

The Magnetic resonance cholangiopancreatography (MRCP) is safe and can be obtained without administration of any contrast agent or radiation exposure. The limitations of available imaging modalities have led to the increasing use of MRCP, which is a non-invasive and highly accurate technique in evaluating biliary obstruction. It has a high diagnostic specificity for determining the location and extent of obstruction. It makes use of heavily T2-weighted pulse sequences, thus exploiting the inherent differences in the T2-weighted contrast between stationary fluid-filled structures in the abdomen and adjacent soft tissue. The MRCP has an overall diagnostic accuracy, sensitivity, and specificity of 94.1%, 93.5%, and 100% respectively in detecting the etiology of hepatopancreatic biliary disease. Its positive predictive value is 100% and negative predictive value of 60%.

With the help of MRCP many benign cases can be diagnosed so it can reduce unnecessary intervention and also help surgeon with clear surgical roadmap. Hence, the current study is planned to see the accuracy of MRCP to diagnose the benign and malignant cause of obstructive jaundice comparing with gold standard i.e., ERCP and histopathology examination (HPE).

Method

This was a cross-sectional study of retrospective findings of MRCP in the Department of Radiology and Imaging from July 2018 to June 2021 at Patan Hospital, Patan Academy of Health Sciences (PAHS), Kathmandu, Nepal. The study was conducted after ethical clearance of the institutional review committee of the PAHS, (Reference number- drs2110221573).

All the patients with obstructive jaundice on clinical evaluation who underwent MRCP and either ERCP or HPE (i.e., Diagnostic biopsy, post-surgery HPE, or post-ERCP Biopsy) were included in the study. The magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) was performed in the Philips Ingenia MRI system with a field strength of 1.5 Tesla.

For an operational definition, a benign stricture was differentiated from a malignant one if it showed regular, symmetric, and short segment narrowing. Irregular, asymmetric, and long segment narrowing was considered a feature of malignant stricture. The presence of mass was considered highly specific for malignant strictures but the absence of mass did not rule out the possibility of malignancy.

Result

The total number of patients with obstructive jaundice who underwent MRCP were 230 and 66 had ERCP or histopathology report available. Data on these 66 patients were analyzed in this study. Males were 37(56.06%) and females 29(43.94%) and median age of 47.50 y, Table 1.

Luminal pathologies were present in 35(53.03%), extraluminal pathologies in 22(33.33%), and mural pathologies in nine(13.64%). Causes of biliary obstructions were choledocholithiasis in 31(46.97%), periampullary carcinomas including pancreatic head carcinomas in 8(12.12%), carcinoma of gall bladder 5(7.58%), cholangiocarcinoma 4(6.06%), biliary sludge 4(6.06%), choledochal cysts 3(4.55%), benign biliary strictures 3(4.55%), malignant biliary strictures 2(3.03%) and solitary case each of autoimmune pancreatitis, duodenal diverticulum, hydatid cyst of liver, Mirizzi syndrome, serous
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cystadenoma of the pancreas and xanthogranulomatous cholecystitis. The sensitivity, specificity, positive predictive value (PPV), and negative predictive value (NPV) of MRCP for biliary obstruction due to malignant pathology were 89.50%, 93.60%, 85.00%, and 95.70% respectively. Similarly, for benign etiology, sensitivity, specificity, PPV and NPV accounted for 93.60%, 89.50%, 95.70% and 85.00% respectively. The overall diagnostic accuracy was 92.40%. Stratification was done with respect to the four most prevalent causes of obstruction, Table 2. About one-fourth of the cases were histologically proven to be of malignant etiology. A statistically significant association was found between MRCP and ERCP/histopathological diagnosis for malignant biliary obstruction, p<0.001, Table 3. Three cases with choledochal cyst were correctly diagnosed in MRCP. According to Todani classification,9 two cases were extrahaepatic fusiform (Type I) and one case was both intra- and extrahaepatic biliary dilatation. Ten cases in our study were intrahepatic causing biliary obstruction and 56 cases were extrahepatic.

In one case, MRCP misdiagnosed cystic duct calculus as common hepatic duct (CHD) calculus. This was proven to be due to the mass effect of Mirizzi syndrome which is a complication of chronic symptomatic gallstone disease10 causing compression on CHD mimicking CHD calculus.

| Table 1. Demography of patients with obstructive jaundice who had magnetic resonance cholangiopancreatography (MRCP), N=66 |
|---|---|---|
| Age (in y) | Male/female | N(%) |
| 20-39 | 12/8 | 20(30.30) |
| 40-59 | 13/25 | 38(57.58) |
| 60-79 | 4/4 | 8(12.12) |

| Table 2. Accuracy of MRCP findings for the cause of biliary obstruction, N=66 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Etiology (N=66) | N(%) | Sensitivity (%) | Specificity (%) | PPV (%) | NPV (%) | Accuracy (%) |
| Benign | 47 (71.21) | 93.60 | 89.50 | 95.70 | 85.00 | 92.40 |
| Malignant | 19 (28.79) | 89.50 | 93.60 | 85.00 | 95.70 | 92.40 |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cause of obstruction*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Top four causes (N=48)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cholelithiasis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Periampullary carcinoma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carcinoma of gall bladder</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cholangiocarcinoma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Others# (N=18)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Only top four causes were analyzed; #Others: Biliary Sludge, mirizzi syndrome, autoimmune pancreatitis, choledochal cysts, benign biliary strictures, malignant biliary strictures, duodenal diverticulum, hydatid cyst of liver, serous cystadenoma of the pancreas and xanthogranulomatous cholecystitis; PPV: Positive Predictive Value, NPV: Negative Predictive Value

| Table 3. Association between MRCP and histopathology for malignant biliary obstruction, N=66 |
|---|---|---|---|
| MRCP | ERCP/ Histopathology | Fischer’s exact |
| | Malignant | Benign |
| Malignant | 17 | 3 | p<0.001 |
| Benign | 2 | 44 |
Discussion

In the present study of 66 patients with obstructive jaundice, MRCP showed a sensitivity, specificity, PPV, and NPV of 89.50%, 93.60%, 85.00%, and 95.70% respectively. Choledocholithiasis (46.97%) was the most common cause of obstructive jaundice. Only two patients with positive MRCP were found to be negative in ERCP, (Table 3). Among the two patients, one had sludge only and in another patient, a 2 mm distal common bile duct (CBD) calculus diagnosed on MRI was not present on ERCP. It may be either due to the low sensitivity of MRCP in the detection of smaller stones or may be due to spontaneous passage of the stone. Bile flow-related artifact might also have contributed to the false-positive result. A similar finding of two false-positive MRCP among 63 patients with gallstone pancreatitis was reported in a randomized study comparing two groups one with MRCP and one without MRCP with intraoperative cholangiography (IOC) /ERCP. In a retrospective study of 117 patients of gallstone pancreatitis who underwent MRCP and subsequent ERCP/IOC, the MRCP detected 95 choledocholithiasis with a positive likelihood ratio of 6.5 and the negative likelihood ratio of 0.1.

In another study among 30 patients with MRCP findings when compared to postsurgical diagnosis; MRCP failed to detect a CBD calculus in a minimally dilated ductal system and misdiagnosed a case of focal chronic pancreatitis as carcinoma head of the pancreas, and a small pancreatic head mass as cholangiocarcinoma. The MRCP had a sensitivity of 94.44%, specificity of 81.81%, PPV 89.47%, and NPV 90% for the detection of malignant causes. The overall diagnostic accuracy for detection of level and cause of obstruction was 96.3% and 89.65%, respectively in that study. In the present study there were no false-negative findings of calculus.

In another study of 129 patients who underwent ERCP/MRCP, the sensitivity, specificity, positive, and negative predictive values for MRCP in the diagnosis of choledocholithiasis were 97.9%, 89.0%, 83.6%, and 98.6%, respectively. All 12 strictures were diagnosed by MRCP (sensitivity 100%, specificity 99.1%). Similarly, in our current study five CBD strictures were correctly diagnosed, three benign and two malignant.

In a study of 28 patients with infiltrative cholangiocarcinoma and 23 patients with benign CBD strictures reviewed retrospectively, the final diagnosis (based on surgical or biopsy records) reported that infiltrative cholangiocarcinoma and benign CBD strictures could be effectively differentiated using DCE-MRI and MRCP based on hyperenhancement during the equilibrium phase and bile wall thickness of the involved segment.

A study done in the Nepalese population taking patients showed choledocholithiasis as the main biliary duct pathology(25.7%) similar to the present study. Another study published in 2019 done on 20 patients showed tumors (45%) to be the most common cause of obstructive jaundice followed by choledocholithiasis(35%). This variation may be due to smaller sample size (29) or variation in the presentation of the patient at the study time in that center.

Periampullary carcinoma 8(12.12%), carcinoma of gall bladder 5(7.58%), cholangiocarcinoma 4(6.06%) were the leading cause of malignant pathology causing obstructive jaundice. In a study by Suthar Meena et al. among 21 cases of malignant obstruction, cholangiocarcinoma was the most common cause with 13(62%) cases. Other causes of malignant obstruction were periampullary carcinoma 4(19%), carcinoma gall bladder, and carcinoma head of pancreas each 2(9.5%).

The ERCP may have some limitations in the identification of distal bile duct stenosis in cases of critical stenosis. The non-invasive nature and panoramic capabilities of MRCP and the fact that no contrast material is needed make MRCP the examination of
reference in the diagnosis of malignant stenosis of the distal bile duct, also it has a better ability to visualize the entire biliary tree in the presence of critical strictures of the common bile duct. The rationale for the use of ERCP lies in the possibility of taking histological samples and performing minimally invasive surgical interventions.

Similarly, in a study of 21 patients with clinically distal biliary stenosis comparing the MRCP and ERCP, the MRCP correctly identified the presence and site of the distal biliary stenosis in 21(100%) cases, as well as allowing evaluation of the upper abdomen by associating it with conventional MRI. ERCP, instead, allowed detection of the presence and site of biliary stenosis in 20(95%) cases.21

A comparative study of MRI and MRCP as well as a comparison between MRCP- and pathology-based diagnoses revealed there was a 100% positive rate of anatomical diagnosis and the detection rate of bile ducts on the proximal side of an obstruction, and diagnostic accuracy of 82.9% for malignant obstruction.22 This finding is consistent with our results which show that MRCP has a high diagnostic specificity for determining the location and extent of obstruction.

This is one of the few studies from Nepal that have looked into the sensitivity and specificity of MRCP in diagnosing benign and malignant pathology causing obstructive jaundice in hospital patients. Though the data cannot be generalized as this is a single hospital study but the hospital caters to a large number of patients from around Nepal and hence our present findings could be representative of the country.

Though 230 patients with clinical obstructive jaundice had undergone MRCP examination at our center during the study period, only 66 patients fulfilled our study design. Many patients were lost to follow up and others were under conservative management without undergoing histopathology. Also, the overall patient flow and MRCP procedures were affected by the COVID-19 pandemic.

Differentiation of benign from malignant biliary obstruction has been one of the challenges for the radiologist by imaging alone. The reported accuracy in the differentiation of benign from the malignant cause of obstruction has varied from 30 to 80%.8

Although ultrasound is a good first-line diagnostic tool in obstructive jaundice and provides information about the presence and level of biliary obstruction, it does not suggest the possible cause in many cases. The site of measurement may differ as ultrasound may not visualize the most distal part of CBD due to overlying gas while MRCP defines the whole ductal system. Also, US is operator dependent so operators differ in measuring the outer or inner outline of CBD while MRCP is not operator dependent So Ultrasound is regarded as the initial examination, which provides a guide to choose patients for MRI examination.11

Though CT scan is readily available and is a good modality in the evaluation of the cause of obstructive jaundice CT scans do not depict biliary stones <3 mm because of their limited resolution. The contrast-enhanced CT (CECT) scan differentiates between native hyperattenuating lithiasic lesions and enhancing tumoral disease. Because of the axial image acquisition (coronal planes are only reformatted reconstructions), CT can hardly point to the location of the obstruction relating to the duodenal papilla.12

Present retrospective study findings of diagnostic accuracy of MRCP and its ability to differentiate the benign and malignant cause of obstructive jaundice when compared to the gold standard ERCP and histopathology, we observed that MRCP is a good imaging modality with high sensitivity and specificity. Since it is noninvasive and without the need for contrast material, it can be recommended diagnostic test and ERCP can be reserved for therapeutic and diagnostic biopsy purposes. Our results also revealed that MRCP has false-positive findings for very small calculus <2 mm in size. Similarly, biliary sludge, flow-related
artifact, and respiratory artifact in CBD also compromised the quality of MRCP and its overall accuracy.

**Conclusion**

The MRCP is a highly sensitive and specific test for the evaluation of obstructive jaundice, and detection of benign and malignant biliary pathology. The additional advantages include it is a noninvasive test and doesn’t use contrast.
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