General Section Original Article



ISSN: 2091-2749 (Print) 2091-2757 (Online)

#### Correspondence

Dr. Sunil Adhikari, Dept. of General Practice and Emergency Medicine, Patan Hospital, Patan Academy of Health Sciences, Lalitpur, Nepal Email:

suniladhikari@pahs.edu.np

## **Peer Reviewers**

Asst. Prof. Dr. Ashis Shrestha, Patan Academy of Health Sciences, Nepal

Prof. Dr. Jay Shah, Patan Academy of Health Sciences, Nepal

# Submitted

21 Dec 2020

## Accepted

10 Aug 2021

## How to cite this article

Sunil Adhikari, Suraj Rijal, Darlene Rose House. Clinical profile of patients presenting to emergency with upper gastrointestinal bleeding in a tertiary hospital of Nepal. Journal of Patan Academy of Health Sciences. 2021Aug;8(2):105-111.

https://doi.org/10.3126/jpahs. v8i2.28839

# Clinical profile of patients presenting to emergency with upper gastrointestinal bleeding in a tertiary hospital of Nepal

<sup>1</sup>Lecturer, Dept. of General Practice and Emergency Medicine, Patan Hospital, Patan Academy of Health Sciences, Lalitpur, Kathmandu, Nepal; <sup>2</sup>Asst. Prof. Clinical Emergency, Emergency Medicine, Indiana University, USA

#### **Abstract**

**Introduction**: Upper gastrointestinal bleeding is an acute emergency condition. It is an important cause for the hospital admission. This study descriptively analyses the clinical profile of upper gastrointestinal bleeding presenting to a tertiary hospital in Nepal.

**Method**: This is a cross-sectional study of patients presenting with upper gastrointestinal bleeding from 01 Oct 2018 to 30 Sep 2019 at Patan Hospital Emergency Department, Patan Academy of Health Sciences, Nepal. Patient's demographics, clinical presentation, duration of illness before presenting to Emergency, vitals, and laboratory parameters were descriptively analyzed. Ethical approval was obtained.

**Result:** There were 121 patients, male 82(67.8%) and female 38(31.4%) aging 14 to 90 years. Fifty-three patients (43.8 %) presented with hematemesis, 38(31.4%) with melena, and 27(22.3%) with both hematemesis and melena. Variceal bleeding was the main cause of upper gastrointestinal bleeding found in 73(60.33%) followed by ulcer bleeding in 48(39.66%).

**Conclusion:** Hematemesis was the most common clinical presentation of upper gastrointestinal bleeding commonly due to esophageal varices in patients presenting to the Emergency Department.

Keywords: bleeding, clinical presentation, upper gastrointestinal

#### Introduction

Upper gastrointestinal bleeding (UGIB) is defined as bleeding that occurs proximal to the ligament of Treitz.1 It is a common cause of hospital admission and hospital mortality is 3 to 15 % with mortality rates being higher in hemodynamically unstable patients.<sup>2</sup> clinical presentation is either passage of fresh blood or coffee-colored vomitus called hematemesis or passage of black tarry stools called melena.3 Non-variceal bleeding is more common than variceal bleeding and peptic ulcer disease accounts for 50-70%.4 Incidence of variceal bleeding accounts for less than 10% of all causes of GI bleeding but has a high mortality rate of about 30% during their initial hospitalization.5 Other causes of UGI bleeding are inflammatory lesions, Mallory Weiss tears, angiodysplasia, and Dieulafoy's lesion.6

The incidence of variceal and gastric ulcer bleeding has been varying in various studies published from Southeast Asia.<sup>7,8</sup> and in Nepal.<sup>8-10</sup> The varying results in these geographic regions depending on the different socioeconomic and demographic characteristics of the local population. Therefore, this study is designed to evaluate the clinical profile of upper gastrointestinal bleeding presenting to the Emergency Department of Patan Hospital, Nepal.

# Method

This is a cross-sectional study of patients presenting with UGIB from 01 Oct 2018 to 30 Sep 2019 at the Emergency Department of Patan Hospital, Patan Academy of Health Sciences, Nepal. Patan hospital is a tertiary where hospital the emergency department sees an average of 40,000 patients in a year. All patients arriving in an emergency with hematemesis, melena, or both hematemesis and melena were included. The data collection form was provided in the Emergency Department and was filled up by the researchers and/or doctors working on the floor who attended to the patient at first instance. Doctors working in the emergency were oriented about the study and the form. Researchers followed up the cases to find out the endoscopic findings.

We collected information regarding the patient's age, gender, home district/ village. clinical presentation, duration of illness before presenting to Emergency Department, vitals on presentation, lab parameters (hemoglobin, urea, albumin, prothrombin time/international normalized ratio PT/INR) and mental status (normal or altered based clinical observation). We recorded on interventions such as tranexamic acid given or not and blood transfusion given or not to the patient. Based on the data collected, we also calculated the pre-endoscopic Rockall score to stratify the low and high-risk patients presenting to the Emergency Department. This score takes into account parameters like patient's age, presence or absence of shock, and any comorbidities of the patient which are independent predictors of mortality. 11

The investigations done in these patients were routinely sent in Emergency as part of the standard management and were not influenced by our study. There was no economic burden to patient-related to our The information collected documented in a spreadsheet and analyzed using SPSS. Categorical data were expressed frequencies and corresponding percentages and the quantitative variables were presented as mean  $\pm$  standard deviation. Since the data was collected from information already recorded in the patient's chart as standard care, consent was waived after ethical approval from the institutional committee review (IRC) of **PAHS** (drs1810121225).

## Result

The present study comprised 121 patients of UGIB. The age ranged from 14 to 90 y, mean age being  $51.35\pm18.56$  y. Eighty-two (67.8%) were male and 39(32.2 %) were female with M:F=2.1:1. Seventy-one patients (58.7%) were

from inside Kathmandu valley and 50(41.3%) were from outside Kathmandu valley. On presentation, 8(6.6%) of patients presented with altered mental status, 19(15.7 %) had systolic blood pressure less than 90 mmHg, (16.5 %) had hemoglobin less than 7gm/dl, and 47(38.84%) had blood urea level >45mg/dl. Ninety-six (79.33 %) had serum albumin <3.5mg/dl and 12(9.9%), table 1.

Patients presented with a mean duration of symptoms of 41.83±43.78 hours. Fifty-three

patients (43.8 %) presented with only hematemesis, 38(31.4 %) presented with melena, and 27(22.3%) presented with both hematemesis and melena, Table 2.

The variceal bleeding was the cause for UGI bleeding in 73(60.33%), followed by ulcer bleeding 48(39.66%). Pre-endoscopic Rockall score was ≤2 in 70(57.85%), table 3. Total 98 patients (81%) received injectable tranexamic acid and 27 patients (22.3%) received a blood transfusion.

Table 1. Clinical parameters of patients with Upper gastrointestinal bleeding (UGIB) at presentation to the emergency department of Patan Hospital (N=121)

Parameters	Mean±SD
Duration of symptoms (h)	41.83±43.8
SBP (mmHg)	103.72±21.1
DBP (mmHg)	67.15±16.7
Pulse (rate/m)	96.60±16.2
Hemoglobin (mg/dl)	10.77±3.3
Albumin	2.97±0.7
Urea	45.09±24.9
PT/INR	1.44±0.9

SBP= systolic blood pressure, DBP= dystolic blood pressure, PT/INR= prothrombin time/international normalized ratio

Table 2. Clinical presentation of patients with UGI bleeding at presentation to the emergency department of Patan Hospital (N=121)

Presentation	N	%
Hematemesis	53	43.8
Melena	38	31.4
Both hematemesis & melena	27	22.3
Syncope	1	0.8
Syncope with hematemesis & melena	2	1.7

Table 3. Categorization of the patient with UGIB to the emergency department according to Rockall score (N=121)

Pre-endoscopic Rockall score	N	%
0	28	23.1
1	22	18.2
2	20	16.5
3	22	18.2
4	10	8.3
5	16	13.2
6	3	2.5

#### **Discussion**

According to this study, upper gastrointestinal bleeding was more common among males and occurred in patients in the fifth decade of life. Variceal bleeding was more common than ulcer bleeding. Most of the patients

presented to emergency with hematemesis only.

In our study, UGIB was common amongst the patients in the fifth (51.35 y) decade of life and comprised of 121 patients ranging from 14 to 90 years. This finding in our study was consistent with different studies in Nepal like

51.6 y and 48.76 y.<sup>8,12</sup> Another study from Nepal which looked into the endoscopic findings in patients with UGIB also showed that the mean age of patients was 49.6 y.<sup>13</sup> The mean age of patients was similar to the results seen in other larger studies which enrolled 1929 patients (mean age 52 y).<sup>14</sup>

Males were more likely to have UGIB compared to females in our study (M:67.8% and F:32.2%; M:F=2.1:1). In various studies done in Nepal, male predominance was reported at 59% - 75%. 8,12,15,16 Various risk factors like smoking and alcohol consumption which are more common in males in Nepal might have some role in contributing to UGIB.

Based on the clinical presentation, a greater number of our patients presented with hematemesis only (43.8%). Hematemesis was the most common presentation in studies from 48%<sup>12</sup> to 100%<sup>17</sup>, which was consistent with our findings. Both hematemesis and melena were present in 71.7%<sup>8</sup> and 51%<sup>16</sup>.

In our study, 19(15.7%) presented with shock (systolic blood pressure SBP<90 mmHg). Similar findings of patients presenting with shock were seen in  $21.7\%^8$ ,  $14\%^{12}$ ,  $36.7\%^{17}$  of UGIBD. Mean arterial blood pressure was low  $(73.71\pm10.95)^{12}$  and hypotension was noted in  $14.8\%^{18}$  of patients at presentation. Patients were anemic in 16.5% in our study but in other studies, it was reported in  $31\%^{19}$  of patients being severely anemic.

The most common etiology of UGIB was variceal bleeding (60.33%) followed by ulcer bleed (39.66%) in our study. Other studies also attributed variceal bleeding as the most common etiology of UGIB in 47.5%8 and 40%<sup>16</sup>. The increased incidence of variceal bleeding in our center could be because of an increased number of patients referred from health facilities for further management. Another reason for increased variceal bleeding could be a decline in the occurrence of duodenal ulcers in the past 20 years.14 Ulcer bleeding was more common in other studies, in 35.5%<sup>12</sup>, 37%<sup>18</sup>, 40.05%<sup>15</sup>, and 43%9. In our study, 6.6% of patients presented with altered mental status. A similar finding of altered sensorium at presentation was seen in 7.12%. But a lower incidence of altered sensorium in 2% at presentation was found in another study. The status of the

In our study, 22.3% of patients received a blood transfusion. A higher percentage of blood transfusion in 77%, 69.5%, and 51.92%, have been reported in other studies.

Though our study showed that Tranexamic acid was administered to 81% of patients with UGIB, the HALT-IT trial has shown that tranexamic acid did not reduce death from gastrointestinal bleeding but was associated risk with an increased of venous thromboembolic events and seizures.<sup>20</sup> Systematic reviews with meta-analysis concluded that there was moderate-quality evidence that tranexamic acid is superior to placebo for reducing mortality in patients with UGIB.<sup>21</sup> Another systematic review found that tranexamic acid had some beneficial effect in terms of decreasing the risk of re-bleeding and decreasing the need for surgery but there wasn't statistically significant effect on mortality or need of blood transfusion or risk of thromboembolic events.<sup>22</sup> According to the available evidence. tranexamic acid is an effective medication for patients with upper gastrointestinal bleeding and early administration of tranexamic acid may be worth be recommended for the treatment of UGIB in the Emergency Department.<sup>23</sup>

In an emergency, it is helpful for clinicians to use risk stratification tools to triage patients who need endoscopy in a suitable time frame and patients who are a low risk that can be followed up on an outpatient basis. There are various risk stratifying scoring systems, and we chose pre-endoscopy Rockall score in our study to stratify the patients into low or high risk for mortality because it could be easily calculated in the Emergency Department and we did not have to wait for the endoscopy. In our study, we found that based on the pre-endoscopic score, 57.85% of patients could be discharged and managed on an outpatient

basis. Other studies also found that pre endoscopic Rockall score was superior to the Glasgow Blatchford score in predicting the need for intervention and mortality.<sup>24</sup> However, some studies found that preendoscopic Rockall score was inferior to Glasgow Blatchford score in predicting the need for intervention and outcome of the patient.25-27 When comparing various preendoscopic risk scoring systems like admission Rockall score, AIMS 65, and Glasgow Blatchford, an international multicenter prospective study found that the Glasgow Blatchford score has accuracy at predicting the need for hospital-based intervention or death. Other scoring systems had low predictive accuracy for other outcomes like endoscopic treatment and mortality, therefore these scores had limited clinical utility in the management of high-risk patients.<sup>28</sup> Routine use of the scoring system by medical staff in the emergency could save lives, alert about the severity of patient's condition and help to decide between urgent endoscopy and delayed endoscopy. It could also help to predict low-risk patients who can be discharged early and followed up on an outpatient basis.<sup>29</sup> Despite various scoring systems, clinical acumen, and judgment of emergency doctors based on evaluation of patients at the emergency is of paramount significance.

This was a single-center study; therefore, metacentric studies would help to refute or verify our results and compare them with the national data. Moreover, we included patients who came to the emergency so, the majority of low-risk patients presenting to the outpatient department were by default excluded from the study.

# Conclusion

In our study, the upper gastrointestinal bleed was seen mostly in the fifth decade of life with male predominance. The patient

presented to the emergency on average at 41.83 h of symptoms and hematemesis was the most common presenting symptom. Endoscopic findings revealed that variceal bleeding was the main cause of bleeding.

# **Acknowledgment**

None

## **Conflict of Interest**

None

# **Funding**

None

#### **Author Contribution**

Concept, design, planning: ALL; Literature review: SA, SR; Data collection/analysis: SA, SR; Draft manuscript: ALL; Revision of draft: ALL; Final manuscript: ALL; Accountability of the work: ALL

#### Reference

- Fallah MA, Prakash C, Edmundowicz S. Acute gastrointestinal bleeding. Med Clin North Am. 2000;84(5):1183-208. | DOI | PubMed | Google Scholar |
- Yuedong Tang, Jie Shen, Feng Zhang. Scoring systems used to predict mortality in patients with acute upper gastrointestinal bleeding in the ED. American Journal of Emergency medicine. 2018;36(1):27-32. | DOI | PubMed |Google Scholar |
- Lau JY, Barkun A, Fan DM, Kuipers EJ, Yang YS, Chan FK. Challenges in the management of acute peptic ulcer bleeding. The Lancet. 2013 Jun 8;381(9882):2033-43. | DOI | PubMed | Google Scholar |
- Marshall JK, Collins SM, Gafni A. Prediction of resource utilization and case cost for acute nonvariceal upper gastrointestinal hemorrhage at a Canadian community hospital. Am J Gastroenterol. 1999; 94:1841-6.
   DOI | PubMed | Google Scholar |
- Sharara AI, Rockey DC. Gastroesophageal variceal hemorrhage. N Engl J Med. 2001;345:669-81. | DOI | PubMed | Google Scholar |
- Longstreth GF. Epidemiology of hospitalization for acute upper gastrointestinal hemorrhage: a population-based study. Am J Gastroenterol. 1995; 90:206-10. | PubMed | Google Scholar |

- Singh SP, Panigrahi MK. Spectrum of upper gastrointestinal hemorrhage in coastal Odisha. Tropical Gastroenterology. 2013 Jun 1;34(1):14-7. | DOI | PubMed | Google Scholar |
- 8. Dewan KR, PatowaryBS, Bhattarai S. A study of clinical and endoscopic profile of Acute upper gastrointestinal bleeding. Kathmandu Univ Med J. 2014;45(1):21-25. | DOI | PubMed | Google Scholar |
- Chaudhary S, Shakya S, Jaiswal NK, Shahi A, Dhakal PR, Chaudhary N. Clinical profile and outcome of patients presenting with acute upper GI bleeding in a tertiary care centre of Western Nepal. Journal of Universal College of Medical Sciences. 2018 Nov 20;6(1):3-7. | DOI | Google Scholar |
- Bhattarai S. Clinical Profile and Endoscopic Findings in Patients with Upper Gastrointestinal Bleed Attending a Tertiary Care Hospital: A Descriptive Cross-sectional Study. JNMA: Journal of the Nepal Medical Association. 2020 Jun;58(226):409. | DOI | PubMed | Google Scholar |
- Rockall TA, Logan RF, Devlin HB, Northfield TC. Risk assessment after acute upper gastrointestinal haemorrhage. Gut. 1996 Mar 1;38(3):316-21. | DOI | PubMed | Google Scholar |
- Mukesh Sharma Paudel, Sudhamshu KC, Amrendra Kumar Mandal et al. Acute Upper Gastrointestinal bleeding in a tertiary care centre of Nepal. J Nepal Med Assoc 2017;56(206):211-16. | PubMed | Google Scholar |
- 13. Bhattarai J, Acharya P, Barun B, Pokharel S, Uprety N, Shrestha NK. Comparison of endoscopic findings in patients from different ethnic groups undergoing endoscopy for upper gastrointestinal bleed in eastern Nepal. Nepal Med Coll J. 2007 Sep;9(3):173-5. | Full Text | PubMed | Google Scholar |
- 14. Kim JJ, Sheibani S, Park S, Buxbaum J, Laine L. Causes of bleeding and outcomes in patients hospitalized with upper gastrointestinal bleeding. Journal of clinical gastroenterology. 2014 Feb 1;48(2):113-8. | DOI | PubMed | Google Scholar |
- 15. Gurung RB, Joshi G, Gautam N, Pant P, Pokhrel B, Koju R, Bedi TR. Upper gastro-intestinal bleeding: aetiology and demographic profile based on endoscopic examination at Dhulikhel Hospital, Kathmandu University Hospital. Kathmandu University Medical Journal. 2010;8(2):208-11. | DOI | PubMed | Google Scholar |

- 16. Yubaraj Sharma, Jay N Shah. Endoscopic findings of acute upper gastrointestinal bleeding in a tertiary care hospital. Journal of Patan Academy of Health Sciences. 2015 June;2(1):22-25. | Full Text | Google Scholar |
- 17. Rathod JB, Shah DK, Yagnik BD, Yagnik VD. Upper gastrointestinal bleeding: audit of a single center experience in Western India. Clinics and practice. 2011 Oct;1(4):292-5. | DOI | PubMed | Google Scholar |
- Parvez MN, Goenka MK, Tiwari IK, Goenka U. Spectrum of upper gastrointestinal bleed: An experience from Eastern India. Journal of Digestive Endoscopy. 2016 Apr;7(02):055-61. | DOI | Google Scholar |
- Dewan KR, Patowary BS, Bhattarai S, Shrestha G. Complete Rockall Score in Predicting Outcomes in Acute Upper Gastrointestinal Bleeding. Journal of College of Medical Sciences-Nepal. 2018 Dec 30;14(4):178-83. |
  DOI | Google Scholar |
- Roberts I, Shakur-Still H, Afolabi A, Akere A, Arribas M, Brenner A, Chaudhri R, Gilmore I, Halligan K, Hussain I, Jairath V. Effects of a high-dose 24-h infusion of tranexamic acid on death and thromboembolic events in patients with acute gastrointestinal bleeding (HALT-IT): an international randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial. The Lancet. 2020 Jun 20;395(10241):1927-36. | DOI | PubMed | Google Scholar |
- Twum-Barimah E, Abdelgadir I, Gordon M, Akobeng AK. Systematic review with meta-analysis: the efficacy of tranexamic acid in upper gastrointestinal bleeding. Alimentary pharmacology & therapeutics. 2020 Jun;51(11):1004-13. | DOI | PubMed | Google Scholar |
- 22. Burke E, Harkins P, Ahmed I. Is there a role for tranexamic acid in upper GI bleeding? a systematic review and meta-analysis. Surgery Research and Practice. 2021 Jan 29;2021. | DOI | PubMed | Google Scholar |
- Lee PL, Yang KS, Tsai HW, Hou SK, Kang YN, Chang CC. Tranexamic acid for gastrointestinal bleeding: A systematic review with metaanalysis of randomized clinical trials. The American Journal of Emergency Medicine. 2021 Jul 1;45:269-79. | DOI | PubMed | Google Scholar |
- 24. Custovic N, Husic-Selimovic A, Srsen N, Prohic D. Comparison of Glasgow-Blatchford score and Rockall score in patients with upper gastrointestinal bleeding. Medical Archives. 2020 Aug;74(4):270. | DOI | PubMed | Google Scholar |

- 25. Pang SH, Ching JY, Lau JY, Sung JJ, Graham DY, Chan FK. Comparing the Blatchford and preupper GI hemorrhage. Gastrointestinal endoscopy. 2010 Jun 1;71(7):1134-40. | DOI | PubMed | Google Scholar |
- 26. Chen IC, Hung MS, Chiu TF, Chen JC, Hsiao CT. Risk scoring systems to predict need for clinical intervention for patients with nonvariceal upper gastrointestinal tract bleeding. The American journal of emergency medicine. 2007 Sep 1;25(7):774-9. | DOI | PubMed | Google Scholar |
- 27. Stanley AJ, Ashley D, Dalton HR, et al. Outpatient management of patients with low-risk upper-gastrointestinal haemorrhage: multicentre validation and prospective

- endoscopic Rockall score in predicting the need for endoscopic therapy in patients with evaluation. Lancet. 2009;373:42-7. | DOI | PubMed | Google Scholar |
- 28. Stanley AJ, Laine L, Dalton HR, Ngu JH, Schultz M, Abazi R, Zakko L, Thornton S, Wilkinson K, Khor CJ, Murray IA. Comparison of risk scoring systems for patients presenting with upper gastrointestinal bleeding: international multicentre prospective study. BMJ. 2017 Jan 4;356. | DOI | PubMed | Google Scholar |
- Monteiro S, Gonçalves TC, Magalhães J, Cotter J. Upper gastrointestinal bleeding risk scores: Who, when and why? World journal of gastrointestinal pathophysiology. 2016 Feb 15;7(1):86. | DOI | PubMed | Google Scholar |