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ABSTRACT

Background: Mucinous lesions of the appendix are frequently misinterpreted in histopathological examination due to their relative rarity. Our study focuses on interpreting the histopathological spectrum of such lesions and understanding the disease burden of appendiceal mucinous lesions in a tertiary centre.

Materials and Methods: This was a retrospective cross-sectional study conducted in the Department of Pathology. Gross and microscopic findings of appendicectomy specimens with mucinous lesions were analysed and classified according to the latest WHO 2019 recommendation.

Results: Seven hundred and forty appendicectomy specimens were received during the study period out of which nine appendix (1.2%) had mucinous lesions. Five (55.6%) of these lesions had a mucinous neoplasm while four of them (44.4%) were non-neoplastic. The most common lesion was low-grade appendiceal mucinous neoplasm (n=4; 44.4%). Two cases (22.2%) were retention cysts and there was one case each of mucinous adenocarcinoma, appendiceal diverticulosis, and endometriosis-associated mucinous metaplasia of the appendix. The mucinous neoplasms were significantly more common in females (80%). High-grade appendiceal mucinous neoplasms and serrated lesions or polyps weren’t seen in our study.

Conclusion: Mucinous lesions of the appendix are often incidental findings in patients operated for symptoms of acute appendicitis. Low-grade appendiceal mucinous neoplasms are the most common among them. Thorough knowledge of the neoplastic mimics and careful gross, as well as microscopic examination, is a must for proper diagnosis and staging since it has a significant impact on further patient management and prognostication.

INTRODUCTION

Mucinous lesions of the appendix are rare, usually incidental entities identified in appendicectomy specimens. These lesions can range from simple mucoceles (retention cysts) to various neoplastic lesions.¹⁻⁷ According to the WHO 5th edition (2019), low-grade appendiceal mucinous neoplasms (LAMN), high grade appendiceal mucinous neoplasms (HAMN), and mucinous adenocarcinoma of the appendix comprise the neoplastic spectrum.²⁻⁷ Retention cysts, appendiceal endometriosis with intestinal metaplasia, and diverticulosis associated mucinous metaplasia of the appendix are amongst the non-neoplastic mucinous lesions and can mimic a mucinous neoplasm, particularly LAMN.⁸⁻¹⁰
The mucinous appendiceal lesions do not have a specific clinical or imaging presentation and the patients are frequently operated on for symptoms resembling acute appendicitis. Categorisation of the mucinous neoplasm with attention to extent of mucin and neoplastic cells in the appendicectomy specimen is crucial to stage the disease as well as assess the risk of pseudomyxoma peritonei (PMP), a dreaded complication with poor prognosis.

Thorough knowledge of the mimics of mucinous neoplasm of the appendix with detailed gross and microscopic examination is thus essential. The present study is undertaken to study the disease burden of the mucinous lesions amongst appendicectomy specimens with detailed documentation of the gross and histological findings of all the cases, thus offering a specific diagnosis and staging them accordingly. This will have a major role in better understanding these relatively unrecognized lesions, managing them as well as predicting their future course.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

This was a retrospective cross-sectional study performed in the Department of Pathology at Nobel Medical College, Biratnagar, Nepal. This study was conducted in the appendicectomy specimens received over a period of two years from April 2019 to April 2021. All surgically managed appendicular lesions demonstrating a mucinous lesion in histopathological examination during the study period were included in the study while appendicectomy specimens without such mucinous lining were excluded.

The specimens were fixed in 10% neutral buffered formalin. Gross details for the presence of mucin in the lumen and its extension to the wall or outside the appendix were done. The gross and microscopic findings were reviewed by two Pathologists. The diagnoses were revised if needed. Histologic categorization and staging was done according to World Health Organization (WHO 5th edition, 2019) guidelines and recommendation in the American Joint Committee on Cancer (AJCC) 8th edition.

RESULTS

Mucinous lesions of the appendix comprised only 1.2% (9/740) of the appendicectomy specimens examined. The mucinous neoplasm was identified in five cases (55.6%) while four (44.4%) were non-neoplastic. The mucinous neoplasms were more common in females (80%). Seven of the nine cases of mucinous lesions presented with symptoms of abdominal pain. Non-neoplastic lesions were identified in the younger age group (mean 51.2 years) as compared to the neoplastic cases (mean 65.4 years).

Among the four non-neoplastic cases, two (22.2%) were retention cysts, one was appendiceal diverticulosis and the other was appendiceal endometriosis with intestinal metaplasia. Both cases of retention cysts, including the single case of appendiceal diverticulosis, were identified, presented with symptoms of acute appendicitis, and showed dilated mucin-filled lumen. A case of endometriosis-associated intestinal metaplasia was also seen and it presented with an obliterated lumen (fig. 1).

Low grade appendiceal mucinous neoplasm was the most common mucinous lesion with four cases (44.4%) and in all of them, the mucin was confined to the muscularis propria thus staged as Tis according to the AJCC 8th edition (fig. 2). One of these four LAMN cases presented with intussusception with the base of the dilated appendix being the intussusceptum. Two of them were reported as mucoceles in ultrasound examination and the last remaining case was suspected as acute appendicitis.

There was one case of grade 2 mucinous adenocarcinoma, stage pT3 and it presented with severe anaemia and appendicular mass in imaging with a clinical query of appendiceal mucinous adenocarcinoma. Solid nodular luminal mass and traversing mucin was identified in this case (fig. 3).

High grade appendiceal mucinous neoplasms and serrated lesions or polyps weren’t seen in our study. None of the patients presented with PMP. All the mucinous lesions observed are summarized in Table 1.

DISCUSSION

Mucoceles denote grossly dilated mucus-filled appendix and can result from obstruction of appendiceal ostium due to mucus, mucous hyperplasia, benign and malignant lesions. It isn’t pathological terminology and the definite diagnosis can range from a simple retention cyst to a mucinous neoplasm of the appendix. Various conditions involving the appendix like diverticulosis, endometriosis, and retention cysts are identified in our study and can pose a diagnostic challenge since they closely mimic a mucinous neoplasm for inexperienced eyes.

Mucinous lesions accounted for 1.2% of cases in our study which is in accordance with other studies where they comprised 0.2 to 0.8% of appendicectomy specimens. There was a significant female predominance in our study with male to female ratio being 1:2. This finding is also similar to other studies performed. A study by Carr et al however had a male to female ratio of 5:2 in their study. Neoplastic lesions occurred in older age group than non-neoplastic ones as also observed in another study.

Patients with appendiceal mucinous lesions can present with non-specific clinical symptoms. The most common clinical presentation was abdominal pain with a pre-
operative diagnosis of acute appendicitis in six cases (66.7%). A similar observation was noted in other studies. Abdominal mass, intussusception was also a pre-operative diagnosis in one case each that turned out to be endometriosis-associated neoplasia and LAMN, stage Tis respectively. Thus, the clinical presentation in the mucinous lesions appeared to be non-specific in our study making pre-operative diagnosis difficult in these cases. CT scan was obtained from one of the cases where a pre-operative diagnosis of mucinous adenocarcinoma was in agreement with the histopathological diagnosis too.

The most common non-neoplastic entity in our study was a simple retention cyst that showed flattened mucinous lining epithelium with no atypia. They were detected in ultrasonography as well and the histology showed preserved lamina propria and normal crypt architecture with no other abnormalities. Appendicectomy is curative and there are no
recurrences or progression of the disease. Endometriosis was observed in only one case (0.13%) and presented as an ileocaecal mass. A study by Yantis et al and Emre et al also found the incidence of endometriosis to be 0.36% and 0.61% respectively. Recognising this entity is necessary because of the potential for misdiagnosing it as a LAMN which it mimics clinically as well as histologically. Tipps et al have also observed the presence of intestinal metaplasia in a focus of endometriosis can be mistaken for mucinous adenocarcinoma histologically. There have been reports demonstrating the co-existence of intestinal-type metaplasia and LAMN and thus the possible correlation between these two entities needs further studies.

Appendiceal diverticulosis is also a mimicker of LAMN and was seen in one of our nine cases. Features like retention of the normal lamina propria in the herniated mucosa, maintained crypt architecture, epithelial hyperplasia and lack of nuclear abnormalities favour a non-neoplastic entity. This is important to distinguish as there is no risk of intraperitoneal dissemination with PMP in diverticulosis, unlike LAMNs which might need further interventions according to the extent of disease. Valasek et al and Arnold et al have found that there is a high degree of misdiagnosis of these lesions as LAMN by the referring pathologists.

LAMNs comprised 0.5% (4/740) of the appendicectomy specimens which is similar to the incidence of ~0.3% in other studies. Three of the four LAMN cases in our study (75%) were in females. Intussusception with small bowel obstruction, volvulus, ureteral obstruction, and pseudomyxoma peritonei are known possible complications of LAMN. Except for a case of intussusception, none of our LAMN cases had complications.

All the LAMN cases showed grossly dilated appendiceal lumen filled with mucin and thinned out the wall. The microscopy showed circumferential involvement of the appendix by flat or undulating mucinous epithelial cells with low-grade dysplasia. Pushing type of invasion into the muscularis propria was seen in two cases. There was a complete absence of normal crypts and obliteration of lamina propria thus qualifying for LAMN. All the LAMN cases showed the mucinous epithelium and/or mucin limited to the muscularis propria and were staged as Tis. The prognosis of stage Tis LAMN is excellent while those with peritoneal dissemination have a variable prognosis depending on the extent of disease, grade of the mucinous epithelium, and response to therapy. Owing to the prognostic significance, it is necessary to distinguish extra-appendiceal mucin from artifact due to contamination by gross manipulation. The presence of tissue reactions like capillary and granulation tissue in-growth, mesothelial hyperplasia, and dystrophic calcifications around the mucin distinguishes it from an artifact. Moreover, Young et al have found that the presence or absence of neoplastic cells in the peritoneal mucinous deposits correlates strongly with tumor recurrence. Only 20% of cases with acellular peritoneal mucin developed recurrence while 66.7% cases with cellular peritoneal mucin developed tumor recurrence in their study.

HAMNs are similar to LAMNs except for the high-grade atypia as defined by loss of polarity, high nuclear:cytoplasmic ratio, glandular complexity, prominent nucleoli, and/or increased mitotic figures. HAMNs are rare and no cases were identified in our study. Owing to limited data, their behaviour when confined to the appendix is uncertain. A single case of grade 2 mucinous adenocarcinoma was identified amounting to 0.1% (1/740) of the appendicectomy specimens. Collins et al also reported the incidence to be 0.082% in their study where they examined 50,000 appendices. Studies show that these malignancies arise commonly in the 5th to 7th decades.

### Table 1: Summary of appendiceal mucinous lesions in the study

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age (years)</th>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>Clinical diagnosis</th>
<th>Gross findings</th>
<th>Microscopy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>48</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>Ileocecal mass</td>
<td>Obliterated appendix, fibrofatty ileocaecal lump</td>
<td>Endometriosis associated mucinous metaplasia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>Mucocele</td>
<td>Fragmented, dilated appendix</td>
<td>Appendiceal diverticulosis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Acute appendicitis</td>
<td>Dilated appendix with luminal mucin</td>
<td>Retention cyst</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Acute appendicitis</td>
<td>Dilated appendix with luminal mucin</td>
<td>Retention cyst</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>73</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>Mucocele</td>
<td>Dilated appendix with luminal mucin</td>
<td>LAMN, stage Tis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>54</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>Mucocele</td>
<td>Dilated appendix with luminal mucin</td>
<td>LAMN, stage Tis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>Acute appendicitis</td>
<td>Dilated appendix with luminal mucin</td>
<td>LAMN, stage Tis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>76</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Intussusception/ Carcinoma</td>
<td>Dilated appendix with luminal mucin, base as an intussusceptum</td>
<td>LAMN, stage Tis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>72</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>Mucinous adenocarcinoma</td>
<td>Dilated appendix with mural nodule, ulcerated mucosa and traversing mucin</td>
<td>Grade 2 mucinous adenocarcinoma, stage pT3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
in males. However, one other study showed a female predominance. Our only case presented at 72 years of age and was a female. The histology showed irregular angulated complex glands lined by columnar cells with atypical hyperchromatic nuclei as well as single cells that were infiltrating the underlying wall and exhibiting a surrounding desmoplastic response. These fare a dismal prognosis with 5 years survival rate from 19% to 55%.

CONCLUSIONS

Mucinous lesions of the appendix are rare but important entities due to the neoplastic potential of some. They are more common in females and range from simple retention cysts to mucinous adenocarcinomas. Pre-operative diagnosis is difficult in most cases. A gross examination can provide a clue while a definite diagnosis requires meticulous histopathological interpretation. Sound knowledge of the mucin artifacts and various non-neoplastic mimics is essential to prevent overdiagnosis as a mucinous neoplasm. Proper histological staging, especially of the LAMNs, plays a crucial role in prognostication and guiding further management.
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